# On Large Cuspidal Automorphic Forms

Dihua Jiang University of Minnesota

Automorphic Forms, Mock Modular Forms and String Theory Banff Center, Oct. 29–Nov. 03, 2017

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• G a reductive algebraic group defined over a number field F.

 $\blacktriangleright$  G a reductive algebraic group defined over a number field F.

•  $\mathbb{A}$  is the ring of adeles of F.

► G a reductive algebraic group defined over a number field F.

- $\mathbb{A}$  is the ring of adeles of F.
- $X_G := G(F) \setminus G(\mathbb{A})^1$ , where  $G(\mathbb{A})^1 := \bigcap_{\chi \in X^*(G)} \ker |\chi|_{\mathbb{A}}$ .

- ► G a reductive algebraic group defined over a number field F.
- $\mathbb{A}$  is the ring of adeles of F.
- $X_G := G(F) \setminus G(\mathbb{A})^1$ , where  $G(\mathbb{A})^1 := \bigcap_{\chi \in X^*(G)} \ker |\chi|_{\mathbb{A}}$ .
- ▶  $L^2(X_G)$  denotes the space of functions:  $\phi$  :  $X_G \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\int_{G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^1} |\phi(g)|^2 dg < \infty$$

- ► G a reductive algebraic group defined over a number field F.
- $\mathbb{A}$  is the ring of adeles of F.
- $X_G := G(F) \setminus G(\mathbb{A})^1$ , where  $G(\mathbb{A})^1 := \bigcap_{\chi \in X^*(G)} \ker |\chi|_{\mathbb{A}}$ .
- ▶  $L^2(X_G)$  denotes the space of functions:  $\phi$  :  $X_G \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\int_{G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^1} |\phi(g)|^2 dg < \infty.$$

→ A<sub>2</sub>(G) is the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations π of G(A) occurring in the discrete spectrum L<sup>2</sup><sub>disc</sub>(X<sub>G</sub>).

- ► G a reductive algebraic group defined over a number field F.
- $\mathbb{A}$  is the ring of adeles of F.
- $X_G := G(F) \setminus G(\mathbb{A})^1$ , where  $G(\mathbb{A})^1 := \bigcap_{\chi \in X^*(G)} \ker |\chi|_{\mathbb{A}}$ .
- ▶  $L^2(X_G)$  denotes the space of functions:  $\phi$  :  $X_G \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\int_{G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^1} |\phi(g)|^2 dg < \infty.$$

•  $\mathcal{A}_2(G)$  is the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations  $\pi$  of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  occurring in the discrete spectrum  $L^2_{\text{disc}}(X_G)$ .

→ A<sub>cusp</sub>(G) is the subset of A<sub>2</sub>(G) consisting of those π of G(A) occurring in the cuspidal spectrum L<sup>2</sup><sub>cusp</sub>(X<sub>G</sub>).

# Theory of Endoscopic Classification

Theorem (Arthur, Mok, Kaletha-Minguez-Shin-White) Let  $G^*$  be an F-quasisplit classical group and G be a pure inner form of  $G^*$  over F. For any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ , there is a global Arthur parameter  $\psi \in \Psi_2(G^*)$ , which is G-relevant, such that

 $\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}(G)$ 

where  $\Pi_{\psi}(G)$  is the global Arthur packet of G associated to  $\psi$ .

## Theory of Endoscopic Classification

Theorem (Arthur, Mok, Kaletha-Minguez-Shin-White) Let  $G^*$  be an F-quasisplit classical group and G be a pure inner form of  $G^*$  over F. For any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ , there is a global Arthur parameter  $\psi \in \Psi_2(G^*)$ , which is G-relevant, such that

 $\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}(G)$ 

where  $\Pi_{\psi}(G)$  is the global Arthur packet of G associated to  $\psi$ .

We may form the global Arthur-Vogan packet as union of the global Arthur packets Π<sub>ψ</sub>(G) over all the pure inner forms G of G<sup>\*</sup>:

 $\Pi_{\psi}[G^*] := \cup_G \Pi_{\psi}(G).$ 

## Theory of Endoscopic Classification

Theorem (Arthur, Mok, Kaletha-Minguez-Shin-White) Let  $G^*$  be an F-quasisplit classical group and G be a pure inner form of  $G^*$  over F. For any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ , there is a global Arthur parameter  $\psi \in \Psi_2(G^*)$ , which is G-relevant, such that

 $\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}(G)$ 

where  $\Pi_{\psi}(G)$  is the global Arthur packet of G associated to  $\psi$ .

We may form the global Arthur-Vogan packet as union of the global Arthur packets Π<sub>ψ</sub>(G) over all the pure inner forms G of G<sup>\*</sup>:

$$\Pi_{\psi}[G^*] := \cup_G \Pi_{\psi}(G).$$

•  $\psi$  is G-relevant if the global packet  $\Pi_{\psi}(G)$  is not empty.

• 
$$G^* = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}^*$$
, F-split, and  $(G^*)^{\vee} = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

(ロ)、

- $G^* = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}^*$ , F-split, and  $(G^*)^{\vee} = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ .
- ► Each ψ ∈ Ψ<sub>2</sub>(G<sup>\*</sup>) (global Arthur parameters) is written as a formal sum of simple Arthur parameters:

$$\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r$$

where  $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$ , with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$ ;  $a_i, b_i \ge 1$ ; and  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = 2n$ .

- $G^* = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}^*$ , F-split, and  $(G^*)^{\vee} = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ .
- ► Each ψ ∈ Ψ<sub>2</sub>(G<sup>\*</sup>) (global Arthur parameters) is written as a formal sum of simple Arthur parameters:

$$\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r$$

where  $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$ , with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$ ;  $a_i, b_i \ge 1$ ; and  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = 2n$ .

If i ≠ j, either τ<sub>i</sub> ≇ τ<sub>j</sub> or b<sub>i</sub> ≠ b<sub>j</sub>, with the parity condition that a<sub>i</sub> ⋅ b<sub>i</sub> is even and ψ<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ψ<sub>2</sub>(SO<sup>\*</sup><sub>a,b,+1</sub>).

- $G^* = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}^*$ , F-split, and  $(G^*)^{\vee} = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ .
- ► Each ψ ∈ Ψ<sub>2</sub>(G<sup>\*</sup>) (global Arthur parameters) is written as a formal sum of simple Arthur parameters:

$$\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r$$

where  $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$ , with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$ ;  $a_i, b_i \ge 1$ ; and  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = 2n$ .

- ▶ If  $i \neq j$ , either  $\tau_i \cong \tau_j$  or  $b_i \neq b_j$ , with the parity condition that  $a_i \cdot b_i$  is even and  $\psi_i \in \Psi_2(SO^*_{a_ib_i+1})$ .
- Endoscopy Structure:  $2n = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \cdot b_i$ ,

- $G^* = \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}^*$ , *F*-split, and  $(G^*)^{\vee} = \operatorname{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ .
- ► Each ψ ∈ Ψ<sub>2</sub>(G<sup>\*</sup>) is written as a formal sum of simple Arthur parameters:

$$\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r$$

- where  $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$ , with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$ ;  $a_i, b_i \ge 1$ ;  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = 2n + 1$ ; and  $\prod_{i=1}^r \omega_{\tau_i}^{b_i} = 1$ . If  $i \ne j$ , either  $\tau_i \not\cong \tau_j$  or  $b_i \ne b_j$ , with the parity: 1 If  $a_i \cdot b_i$  is even, then  $\psi_i \in \Psi_2(SO^*_{a_ib_i})$ ; 2 If  $a_i \cdot b_i$  is is odd, then  $\psi_i \in \Psi_2(SP^*_{a_ib_i-1})$ .
- Endoscopy Structure:  $2n + 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \cdot b_i$ ,

$$\prod_{a_i b_i = 2l_i} \operatorname{SO}_{2l_i}^* \times \prod_{a_j b_j = 2l_j + 1} \operatorname{Sp}_{2l_j}^* \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}^* \\ \otimes_{a_i b_i = 2l_i} \Pi_{\psi_i}(\cdot) \otimes \otimes_{a_j b_j = 2l_j + 1} \Pi_{\psi_j}(\cdot) \Longrightarrow \Pi_{\psi}(\cdot)$$

• A parameter  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$  is generic if  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ .

- A parameter  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$  is generic if  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ .
- Generic global Arthur parameters  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  are:

$$\phi = (\tau_1, 1) \boxplus (\tau_2, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1)$$

with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$  that  $\tau_i \not\cong \tau_j$  if  $i \neq j$ .

- A parameter  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$  is generic if  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ .
- Generic global Arthur parameters  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  are:

$$\phi = (\tau_1, 1) \boxplus (\tau_2, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1)$$

with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$  that  $\tau_i \not\cong \tau_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . They are of either **symplectic** or **orthogonal** type, depending on  $G^*$ .

- A parameter  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$  is generic if  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ .
- Generic global Arthur parameters  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  are:

$$\phi = (\tau_1, 1) \boxplus (\tau_2, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1)$$

with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$  that  $\tau_i \not\cong \tau_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . They are of either **symplectic** or **orthogonal** type, depending on  $G^*$ .

► The pure inner forms of G\* = SO<sub>m</sub><sup>\*</sup> are G = SO<sub>m</sub>(V,q) for non-deg. quad. spaces (V,q) over F with the same dimension and discriminant.

- A parameter  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$  is generic if  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ .
- Generic global Arthur parameters  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  are:

$$\phi = (\tau_1, 1) \boxplus (\tau_2, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1)$$

with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$  that  $\tau_i \not\cong \tau_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . They are of either **symplectic** or **orthogonal** type, depending on  $G^*$ .

► The pure inner forms of G\* = SO<sub>m</sub><sup>\*</sup> are G = SO<sub>m</sub>(V,q) for non-deg. quad. spaces (V,q) over F with the same dimension and discriminant.

• If G is a pure inner form of  $G^*$ , then  ${}^LG = {}^LG^*$ .

- A parameter  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$  is generic if  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ .
- Generic global Arthur parameters  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  are:

$$\phi = (\tau_1, 1) \boxplus (\tau_2, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1)$$

with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$  that  $\tau_i \not\cong \tau_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . They are of either **symplectic** or **orthogonal** type, depending on  $G^*$ .

- ► The pure inner forms of G\* = SO<sub>m</sub><sup>\*</sup> are G = SO<sub>m</sub>(V,q) for non-deg. quad. spaces (V,q) over F with the same dimension and discriminant.
- If G is a pure inner form of  $G^*$ , then  ${}^LG = {}^LG^*$ .
- ▶ For  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$ , the endoscopic classification may define the global Arthur packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(G^*)$  and also define the global Arthur packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$ , which is non-empty if  $\phi$  is *G*-relevant.

## Endoscopic Classification and Langlands Functoriality



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ 二回 - のへで

• A Simple Question:  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) = \emptyset$ ?

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

• A Simple Question:  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) = \emptyset$ ?

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

- If  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) \neq \emptyset$ , call  $\psi$  cuspidal.
- What can one say about the cuspidal  $\psi$ ?

- A Simple Question:  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) = \emptyset$ ?
- If  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) \neq \emptyset$ , call  $\psi$  cuspidal.
- What can one say about the cuspidal  $\psi$ ?
- Write ψ = (τ<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>1</sub>) ⊞ · · · ⊞ (τ<sub>r</sub>, b<sub>r</sub>). How to bound these integers b<sub>1</sub>, · · · , b<sub>r</sub> if ψ is cuspidal?

- A Simple Question:  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) = \emptyset$ ?
- If  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) \neq \emptyset$ , call  $\psi$  cuspidal.
- What can one say about the cuspidal  $\psi$ ?
- Write ψ = (τ<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>1</sub>) ⊞ · · · ⊞ (τ<sub>r</sub>, b<sub>r</sub>). How to bound these integers b<sub>1</sub>, · · · , b<sub>r</sub> if ψ is cuspidal?
- This leads to a Ramanujan type upper bound for  $\mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .

- A Simple Question:  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) = \emptyset$ ?
- If  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) \neq \emptyset$ , call  $\psi$  cuspidal.
- What can one say about the cuspidal  $\psi$ ?
- Write ψ = (τ<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>1</sub>) ⊞ · · · ⊞ (τ<sub>r</sub>, b<sub>r</sub>). How to bound these integers b<sub>1</sub>, · · · , b<sub>r</sub> if ψ is cuspidal?
- This leads to a Ramanujan type upper bound for  $\mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .
- For π ∈ A<sub>cusp</sub>(G), how to determine which (τ, b) occurs in the global Arthur parameter ψ of π?
- This leads to the (τ, b)-theory that characterizes the (τ, b) factor of π in terms of basic invariants of π.

- A Simple Question:  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) = \emptyset$ ?
- If  $\Pi_{\psi}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G) \neq \emptyset$ , call  $\psi$  cuspidal.
- What can one say about the cuspidal  $\psi$ ?
- Write ψ = (τ<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>1</sub>) ⊞ · · · ⊞ (τ<sub>r</sub>, b<sub>r</sub>). How to bound these integers b<sub>1</sub>, · · · , b<sub>r</sub> if ψ is cuspidal?
- This leads to a Ramanujan type upper bound for  $\mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .
- For  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ , how to determine which  $(\tau, b)$  occurs in the global Arthur parameter  $\psi$  of  $\pi$ ?
- This leads to the (τ, b)-theory that characterizes the (τ, b) factor of π in terms of basic invariants of π.
- If ψ is cuspidal, how to construct explicit modules for the members in Π<sub>ψ</sub>(G) ∩ A<sub>cusp</sub>(G)?
- This leads to the theory of twisted automorphic descents and endoscopy correspondences via integral transforms.

The general notion of *Fourier Coefficients* can be used to understand structures and properties of  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

The general notion of *Fourier Coefficients* can be used to understand structures and properties of  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .

•  $G^*$  is an *F*-quasi-split classical group and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is the Lie algebra.

The general notion of *Fourier Coefficients* can be used to understand structures and properties of  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .

•  $G^*$  is an F-quasi-split classical group and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is the Lie algebra.

▶ Let  $N_{G^*}$  be the dimension for the defining embedding  $G^* \to \operatorname{GL}(N_{G^*}).$ 

The general notion of *Fourier Coefficients* can be used to understand structures and properties of  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .

- $G^*$  is an F-quasi-split classical group and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is the Lie algebra.
- ▶ Let  $N_{G^*}$  be the dimension for the defining embedding  $G^* \to \operatorname{GL}(N_{G^*}).$
- Over algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$  of F, all the nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}^*(\overline{F})$  form a conic algebraic variety, called the nilcone  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ .

The general notion of *Fourier Coefficients* can be used to understand structures and properties of  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .

- $G^*$  is an *F*-quasi-split classical group and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is the Lie algebra.
- ▶ Let  $N_{G^*}$  be the dimension for the defining embedding  $G^* \to \operatorname{GL}(N_{G^*}).$
- Over algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$  of F, all the nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}^*(\overline{F})$  form a conic algebraic variety, called the nilcone  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ .
- ► Under the adjoint action of G<sup>\*</sup>, N(g<sup>\*</sup>) decomposes into finitely many adjoint G<sup>\*</sup>-orbits O, which are parameterized by the corresponding partitions of N = N<sub>G<sup>\*</sup></sub> of type G<sup>\*</sup>.

The general notion of *Fourier Coefficients* can be used to understand structures and properties of  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .

- $G^*$  is an *F*-quasi-split classical group and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is the Lie algebra.
- ▶ Let  $N_{G^*}$  be the dimension for the defining embedding  $G^* \to \operatorname{GL}(N_{G^*}).$
- Over algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$  of F, all the nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}^*(\overline{F})$  form a conic algebraic variety, called the nilcone  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ .
- ► Under the adjoint action of G<sup>\*</sup>, N(g<sup>\*</sup>) decomposes into finitely many adjoint G<sup>\*</sup>-orbits O, which are parameterized by the corresponding partitions of N = N<sub>G<sup>\*</sup></sub> of type G<sup>\*</sup>.
- ► Over F, each F-orbit reduces to an F-stable adjoint G\*(F)-orbits O<sup>st</sup>, and hence the F-stable adjoint orbits in the nilcone N(g\*) are also parameterized by the corresponding partitions of an integer N = N<sub>G\*</sub> of type G\*.

For X ∈ N(𝔅<sup>\*</sup>), use ℓ<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F) to define a unipotent subgroup V<sub>X</sub> and a character ψ<sub>X</sub>.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- For X ∈ N(g\*), use sl<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F) to define a unipotent subgroup V<sub>X</sub> and a character ψ<sub>X</sub>.
- Let {X, H, Y} be an sl<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F). Under the adjoint action of ad(H),

 $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{-r} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r.$
- For X ∈ N(g<sup>\*</sup>), use sl<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F) to define a unipotent subgroup V<sub>X</sub> and a character ψ<sub>X</sub>.
- Let {X, H, Y} be an sl<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F). Under the adjoint action of ad(H),

 $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{-r} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r.$ 

▶  $\operatorname{Ad}(G^*)(Y) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  and  $\operatorname{Ad}(G^*)(X) \cap \mathfrak{g}_2$  are Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ , respectively.

- For X ∈ N(g<sup>\*</sup>), use sl<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F) to define a unipotent subgroup V<sub>X</sub> and a character ψ<sub>X</sub>.
- Let {X, H, Y} be an sl<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F). Under the adjoint action of ad(H),

 $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{-r} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r.$ 

- ▶  $\operatorname{Ad}(G^*)(Y) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  and  $\operatorname{Ad}(G^*)(X) \cap \mathfrak{g}_2$  are Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ , respectively.
- ► Take V<sub>X</sub> to be the unipotent subgroup of G<sup>\*</sup> such that the Lie algebra of V<sub>X</sub> is equal to ⊕<sub>i≥2</sub>g<sub>i</sub>.

- For X ∈ N(𝔅<sup>\*</sup>), use ℓ<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F) to define a unipotent subgroup V<sub>X</sub> and a character ψ<sub>X</sub>.
- Let {X, H, Y} be an sl<sub>2</sub>-triple (over F). Under the adjoint action of ad(H),

 $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{-r} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r.$ 

- ▶  $\operatorname{Ad}(G^*)(Y) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  and  $\operatorname{Ad}(G^*)(X) \cap \mathfrak{g}_2$  are Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ , respectively.
- ► Take V<sub>X</sub> to be the unipotent subgroup of G<sup>\*</sup> such that the Lie algebra of V<sub>X</sub> is equal to ⊕<sub>i≥2</sub>g<sub>i</sub>.
- Let ψ<sub>F</sub> be a non-trivial additive character of F\A. The character ψ<sub>X</sub> of V<sub>X</sub>(F) or V<sub>X</sub>(A) is defined by

$$\psi_X(v) = \psi_F(\operatorname{tr}(Y \log(v))).$$

▶ The Fourier coefficient of  $\varphi \in \pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(G^*)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_X}(\varphi)(g) := \int_{V_X(F) \setminus V_X(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(vg) \psi_X(v)^{-1} dv.$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

• The Fourier coefficient of  $\varphi \in \pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(G^*)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_X}(\varphi)(g) := \int_{V_X(F) \setminus V_X(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(vg) \psi_X(v)^{-1} dv.$$

Since φ is automorphic, the nonvanishing of F<sup>ψ<sub>X</sub></sup>(φ) depends only on the G<sup>\*</sup>(F)-adjoint orbit O<sub>X</sub> of X.

• The Fourier coefficient of  $\varphi \in \pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(G^*)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_X}(\varphi)(g) := \int_{V_X(F) \setminus V_X(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(vg) \psi_X(v)^{-1} dv.$$

- Since φ is automorphic, the nonvanishing of F<sup>ψ<sub>X</sub></sup>(φ) depends only on the G<sup>\*</sup>(F)-adjoint orbit O<sub>X</sub> of X.
- The set n(φ) := {X ∈ N(𝔅) | 𝔅<sup>ψ</sup><sub>X</sub>(φ) ≠ 0} is stable under the G<sup>\*</sup>(F)-adjoint action.

• The Fourier coefficient of  $\varphi \in \pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(G^*)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_X}(\varphi)(g) := \int_{V_X(F) \setminus V_X(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(vg) \psi_X(v)^{-1} dv.$$

- Since φ is automorphic, the nonvanishing of F<sup>ψ<sub>X</sub></sup>(φ) depends only on the G<sup>\*</sup>(F)-adjoint orbit O<sub>X</sub> of X.
- ▶ The set  $\mathfrak{n}(\varphi) := \{X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \mathcal{F}^{\psi_X}(\varphi) \neq 0\}$  is stable under the  $G^*(F)$ -adjoint action.
- Denoted by p(φ) the set of partitions <u>p</u> of N<sub>G\*</sub> of type G\* corresponding to the F-stable orbits O<sub><u>p</u></sub><sup>st</sup> that have non-empty intersection with n(φ).

• The Fourier coefficient of  $\varphi \in \pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(G^*)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_X}(\varphi)(g) := \int_{V_X(F) \setminus V_X(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(vg) \psi_X(v)^{-1} dv.$$

- Since φ is automorphic, the nonvanishing of F<sup>ψ<sub>X</sub></sup>(φ) depends only on the G<sup>\*</sup>(F)-adjoint orbit O<sub>X</sub> of X.
- ▶ The set  $\mathfrak{n}(\varphi) := \{X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \mathcal{F}^{\psi_X}(\varphi) \neq 0\}$  is stable under the  $G^*(F)$ -adjoint action.
- Denoted by p(φ) the set of partitions <u>p</u> of N<sub>G\*</sub> of type G\* corresponding to the F-stable orbits O<sup>st</sup><sub>p</sub> that have non-empty intersection with n(φ).
- p<sup>m</sup>(φ) is the set of all maximal partitions in p(φ), according to the partial ordering of partitions.

For π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(G), denote by p<sup>m</sup>(π) the set of maximal members among p<sup>m</sup>(φ) for all φ ∈ π.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

- For π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(G), denote by p<sup>m</sup>(π) the set of maximal members among p<sup>m</sup>(φ) for all φ ∈ π.
- We would like to know:
  - How to determine  $p^m(\pi)$  in terms of other invariants of  $\pi$ ?
  - What can one say about  $\pi$  based on the structure of  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ ?

- For π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(G), denote by p<sup>m</sup>(π) the set of maximal members among p<sup>m</sup>(φ) for all φ ∈ π.
- We would like to know:
  - How to determine  $p^m(\pi)$  in terms of other invariants of  $\pi$ ?
  - What can one say about  $\pi$  based on the structure of  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ ?

Folklore Conjecture: For any irreducible automorphic representation π of G, the set p<sup>m</sup>(π) is singleton.

- For π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(G), denote by p<sup>m</sup>(π) the set of maximal members among p<sup>m</sup>(φ) for all φ ∈ π.
- We would like to know:
  - How to determine  $p^m(\pi)$  in terms of other invariants of  $\pi$ ?
  - What can one say about  $\pi$  based on the structure of  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ ?

- Folklore Conjecture: For any irreducible automorphic representation π of G, the set p<sup>m</sup>(π) is singleton.
- Write  $\underline{p} = [p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r] \in \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$  with  $p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \cdots \ge p_r$ .

- For π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(G), denote by p<sup>m</sup>(π) the set of maximal members among p<sup>m</sup>(φ) for all φ ∈ π.
- We would like to know:
  - How to determine  $p^m(\pi)$  in terms of other invariants of  $\pi$ ?
  - What can one say about  $\pi$  based on the structure of  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ ?

- Folklore Conjecture: For any irreducible automorphic representation π of G, the set p<sup>m</sup>(π) is singleton.
- Write  $\underline{p} = [p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r] \in \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$  with  $p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \cdots \ge p_r$ .
- What can we say about the largest part  $p_1$  if  $\pi$  is cuspidal?

- For π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(G), denote by p<sup>m</sup>(π) the set of maximal members among p<sup>m</sup>(φ) for all φ ∈ π.
- We would like to know:
  - How to determine  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$  in terms of other invariants of  $\pi$ ?
  - What can one say about  $\pi$  based on the structure of  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ ?
- Folklore Conjecture: For any irreducible automorphic representation π of G, the set p<sup>m</sup>(π) is singleton.
- Write  $\underline{p} = [p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r] \in \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$  with  $p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \cdots \ge p_r$ .
- What can we say about the largest part p<sub>1</sub> if π is cuspidal?
- This problem is closely related to the theory of *twisted* automorphic descent, and is an induction step towards the understanding of the *wave-front set* of π. The *p*-adic analogy was undertaken in my recent work with Lei Zhang.

► Examples: G = GL<sub>n</sub>, the G(F)-stable orbits in N(g) are parameterized by partitions of n.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- ► Examples: G = GL<sub>n</sub>, the G(F)-stable orbits in N(g) are parameterized by partitions of n.
- ► Theorem (Piatetski-Shapiro; Shalika): If π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(GL<sub>n</sub>) is cuspidal, p<sup>m</sup>(π) = {[n]}. This says that any π ∈ A<sub>cusp</sub>(GL<sub>n</sub>) has a nonzero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.

- ► Examples: G = GL<sub>n</sub>, the G(F)-stable orbits in N(g) are parameterized by partitions of n.
- ▶ Theorem (Piatetski-Shapiro; Shalika): If  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(\mathrm{GL}_n)$  is cuspidal,  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi) = \{[n]\}$ . This says that any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{GL}_n)$  has a nonzero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.

• What happens if  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(GL_n)$  is not cuspidal?

- ► Examples: G = GL<sub>n</sub>, the G(F)-stable orbits in N(g) are parameterized by partitions of n.
- ▶ Theorem (Piatetski-Shapiro; Shalika): If  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(\mathrm{GL}_n)$  is cuspidal,  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi) = \{[n]\}$ . This says that any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{GL}_n)$  has a nonzero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.
- What happens if  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(GL_n)$  is not cuspidal?
- ▶ Moeglin-Waldspurger Theorem: Any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{disc}(GL_n)$  has form  $\Delta(\tau, b)$  (Speh residue with cuspidal support  $\tau^{\otimes b}$ ), where  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_a)$  and n = ab.

- ► Examples: G = GL<sub>n</sub>, the G(F)-stable orbits in N(g) are parameterized by partitions of n.
- ► Theorem (Piatetski-Shapiro; Shalika): If π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(GL<sub>n</sub>) is cuspidal, p<sup>m</sup>(π) = {[n]}. This says that any π ∈ A<sub>cusp</sub>(GL<sub>n</sub>) has a nonzero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.
- What happens if  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(GL_n)$  is not cuspidal?
- ▶ Moeglin-Waldspurger Theorem: Any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{disc}(GL_n)$  has form  $\Delta(\tau, b)$  (Speh residue with cuspidal support  $\tau^{\otimes b}$ ), where  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_a)$  and n = ab.
- If π = Δ(τ, b), then p<sup>m</sup>(π) = {[a<sup>b</sup>]} (Ginzburg (2006), J.-Baiying Liu (2013) gives a complete global proof).

- ► Examples: G = GL<sub>n</sub>, the G(F)-stable orbits in N(g) are parameterized by partitions of n.
- ► Theorem (Piatetski-Shapiro; Shalika): If π ∈ A<sub>2</sub>(GL<sub>n</sub>) is cuspidal, p<sup>m</sup>(π) = {[n]}. This says that any π ∈ A<sub>cusp</sub>(GL<sub>n</sub>) has a nonzero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.
- What happens if  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(\operatorname{GL}_n)$  is not cuspidal?
- ▶ Moeglin-Waldspurger Theorem: Any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{disc}(GL_n)$  has form  $\Delta(\tau, b)$  (Speh residue with cuspidal support  $\tau^{\otimes b}$ ), where  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_a)$  and n = ab.
- If π = Δ(τ, b), then p<sup>m</sup>(π) = {[a<sup>b</sup>]} (Ginzburg (2006), J.-Baiying Liu (2013) gives a complete global proof).
- ▶ In particular, the **Folklore Conjecture** is verified for all  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_2(\operatorname{GL}_n)!$

• How to understand this in terms of Arthur parametrization?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• How to understand this in terms of Arthur parametrization?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

•  $\Delta(\tau, b)$  has the Arthur parameter  $\psi = (\tau, b)$ .

- How to understand this in terms of Arthur parametrization?
- $\Delta(\tau, b)$  has the Arthur parameter  $\psi = (\tau, b)$ .
- The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} := [b^a]$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\Delta(\tau, b)) = \{[a^b]\}.$

- How to understand this in terms of Arthur parametrization?
- $\Delta(\tau, b)$  has the Arthur parameter  $\psi = (\tau, b)$ .
- The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} := [b^a]$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\Delta(\tau, b)) = \{[a^b]\}.$
- ▶  $\eta([b^a]) = [a^b]$  is given by the Barbasch-Vogan duality  $\eta$  from  $\operatorname{GL}_n^{\vee}$  to  $\operatorname{GL}_n$ . In this case, it is just the transpose.

- How to understand this in terms of Arthur parametrization?
- $\Delta(\tau, b)$  has the Arthur parameter  $\psi = (\tau, b)$ .
- The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} := [b^a]$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\Delta(\tau, b)) = \{[a^b]\}.$
- ▶  $\eta([b^a]) = [a^b]$  is given by the Barbasch-Vogan duality  $\eta$  from  $\operatorname{GL}_n^{\vee}$  to  $\operatorname{GL}_n$ . In this case, it is just the transpose.
- ▶ Take an Arthur parameter for  $GL_n$ : for  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$ ,

$$\psi = (\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus (\tau_2, b_2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, b_r).$$

- How to understand this in terms of Arthur parametrization?
- $\Delta(\tau, b)$  has the Arthur parameter  $\psi = (\tau, b)$ .
- The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} := [b^a]$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\Delta(\tau, b)) = \{[a^b]\}.$
- ▶  $\eta([b^a]) = [a^b]$  is given by the Barbasch-Vogan duality  $\eta$  from  $\operatorname{GL}_n^{\vee}$  to  $\operatorname{GL}_n$ . In this case, it is just the transpose.
- ▶ Take an Arthur parameter for  $GL_n$ : for  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$ ,

$$\psi = (\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus (\tau_2, b_2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, b_r).$$

• The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} = [b_1^{a_1} b_2^{a_2} \cdots b_r^{a_r}].$ 

- How to understand this in terms of Arthur parametrization?
- $\Delta(\tau, b)$  has the Arthur parameter  $\psi = (\tau, b)$ .
- The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} := [b^a]$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\Delta(\tau, b)) = \{[a^b]\}.$
- ▶  $\eta([b^a]) = [a^b]$  is given by the Barbasch-Vogan duality  $\eta$  from  $\operatorname{GL}_n^{\vee}$  to  $\operatorname{GL}_n$ . In this case, it is just the transpose.
- ▶ Take an Arthur parameter for  $GL_n$ : for  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$ ,

$$\psi = (\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus (\tau_2, b_2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, b_r).$$

- The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} = [b_1^{a_1} b_2^{a_2} \cdots b_r^{a_r}].$
- The Arthur representation is an isobaric sum

$$\pi_{\psi} = \Delta(\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus \Delta(\tau_2, b_2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Delta(\tau_r, b_r).$$

- How to understand this in terms of Arthur parametrization?
- $\Delta(\tau, b)$  has the Arthur parameter  $\psi = (\tau, b)$ .
- The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} := [b^a]$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^m(\Delta(\tau, b)) = \{[a^b]\}.$
- ▶  $\eta([b^a]) = [a^b]$  is given by the Barbasch-Vogan duality  $\eta$  from  $\operatorname{GL}_n^{\vee}$  to  $\operatorname{GL}_n$ . In this case, it is just the transpose.
- ▶ Take an Arthur parameter for  $GL_n$ : for  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$ ,

$$\psi = (\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus (\tau_2, b_2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, b_r).$$

- The partition for  $\psi$  is  $\underline{p}_{\psi} = [b_1^{a_1} b_2^{a_2} \cdots b_r^{a_r}].$
- The Arthur representation is an isobaric sum

$$\pi_{\psi} = \Delta(\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus \Delta(\tau_2, b_2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Delta(\tau_r, b_r).$$

• Conjecture: 
$$\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi_{\psi}) = \{\eta_{\mathfrak{gl}_n^{\vee},\mathfrak{gl}_n}(\underline{p}_{\psi})\}.$$

▶ For  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$ , where  $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$ with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$  and  $b_i \ge 1$ ,  $\underline{p}_{\psi} = [b_1^{a_1} \cdots b_r^{a_r}]$  is the partition of  $N_{(G^*)^{\vee}}$  attached to  $(\psi, (G^*)^{\vee})$  and  $\eta(\underline{p}_{\psi})$  is the Barbasch-Vogan duality of  $\underline{p}_{\psi}$  from  $(G^*)^{\vee}$  to  $G^*$ .

- ▶ For  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$ , where  $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$ with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$  and  $b_i \ge 1$ ,  $\underline{p}_{\psi} = [b_1^{a_1} \cdots b_r^{a_r}]$  is the partition of  $N_{(G^*)^{\vee}}$  attached to  $(\psi, (G^*)^{\vee})$  and  $\eta(\underline{p}_{\psi})$  is the Barbasch-Vogan duality of  $\underline{p}_{\psi}$  from  $(G^*)^{\vee}$  to  $G^*$ .
- Conjecture (J.-2014):
  - (1) For every  $\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}(G^*) \cap \mathcal{A}_2(G^*)$ , any partition  $\underline{p} \in \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$  has the property that  $\underline{p} \leq \eta(\underline{p}_{\psi})$ .

(2) There exists at least one member π ∈ Π<sub>ψ</sub>(G) ∩ A<sub>2</sub>(G) for some pure inner form G of G\* that have the property: η(<u>p</u><sub>ψ</sub>) ∈ p<sup>m</sup>(π).

- ▶ For  $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \psi_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r \in \Psi_2(G^*)$ , where  $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$ with  $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_{a_i})$  and  $b_i \ge 1$ ,  $\underline{p}_{\psi} = [b_1^{a_1} \cdots b_r^{a_r}]$  is the partition of  $N_{(G^*)^{\vee}}$  attached to  $(\psi, (G^*)^{\vee})$  and  $\eta(\underline{p}_{\psi})$  is the Barbasch-Vogan duality of  $\underline{p}_{\psi}$  from  $(G^*)^{\vee}$  to  $G^*$ .
- Conjecture (J.-2014):
  - (1) For every  $\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}(G^*) \cap \mathcal{A}_2(G^*)$ , any partition  $\underline{p} \in \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$  has the property that  $\underline{p} \leq \eta(\underline{p}_{\psi})$ .
  - (2) There exists at least one member π ∈ Π<sub>ψ</sub>(G) ∩ A<sub>2</sub>(G) for some pure inner form G of G\* that have the property: η(<u>p</u><sub>ψ</sub>) ∈ p<sup>m</sup>(π).
- Remark: For a pure inner form G of G\*, assume that the global Arthur parameter ψ is G-relevant and the Barbasch-Vogan duality η(<u>p</u><sub>ψ</sub>) is a G-relevant partition of N<sub>G</sub> = N<sub>G\*</sub> of type G\*. The definition of Fourier coefficients also work.

#### Examples of the Barbasch-Vogan duality

• 
$$G = SO_{2n+1}$$
 and  $2n = ab$ ; Take  $\psi = (\tau, b)$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(GL_a)$ , and

$$b = \begin{cases} 2\ell, & \text{if } \tau \text{ is orthogonal,} \\ 2\ell + 1, & \text{if } \tau \text{ is symplectic.} \end{cases}$$

•  $\underline{p}_{\psi} = [b^a]$  is the partition of 2n of type  $(\psi, \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}))$ .

The Barbasch-Vogan duality is given as follows:

$$\eta(\underline{p}_{\psi}) = \begin{cases} [(a+1)a^{b-2}(a-1)1] & \text{if } b = 2l \text{ and a is even}; \\ [a^{b}1] & \text{if } b = 2l \text{ and a is odd}; \\ [(a+1)a^{b-1}] & \text{if } b = 2l+1. \end{cases}$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

#### Examples of the Barbasch-Vogan duality

- Take  $G = \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}$  and  $\psi = (\tau, 2b + 1) \boxplus \boxplus_{i=2}^{r}(\tau_i, 1) \in \Psi_2(G)$ .
- $\underline{p}_{\psi} = [(2b+1)^a(1)^{2m+1-a}]$  with 2m+1 = (2n+1) 2ab.
- When  $a \leq 2m$  and a is even,

$$\begin{split} \eta(\underline{p}_{\psi}) = &\eta([(2b+1)^{a}(1)^{2m+1-a}]) = [(2b+1)^{a}(1)^{2m-a}]^{t} \\ = &[(a)^{2b+1}] + [(2m-a)] = [(2m)(a)^{2b}]. \end{split}$$

• When  $a \leq 2m$  and a is odd,

$$\begin{split} \eta(\underline{p}_{\psi}) &= \eta([(2b+1)^{a}(1)^{2m+1-a}]) \\ &= ([(2b+1)^{a}(1)^{2m-a}]_{\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}})^{t} \\ &= [(2b+1)^{a-1}(2b)(2)(1)^{2m-a-1}]^{t} \\ &= [(a-1)^{2b+1}] + [(1)^{2b}] + [(1)^{2}] + [(2m-1-a)] \\ &= [(2m)(a+1)(a)^{2b-2}(a-1)]. \end{split}$$

# Remarks on the Conjecture

It is true when G = GL<sub>n</sub> and ψ is an Arthur parameter for the discrete spectrum.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Remarks on the Conjecture

- It is true when G = GL<sub>n</sub> and ψ is an Arthur parameter for the discrete spectrum.
- ▶ If  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  is generic, i.e.  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ , the partition  $\underline{p}_{\phi} = [1^{N_{(G^*)^{\vee}}}].$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Remarks on the Conjecture

- It is true when G = GL<sub>n</sub> and ψ is an Arthur parameter for the discrete spectrum.
- If  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  is generic, i.e.  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ , the partition  $\underline{p}_{\phi} = [1^{N_{(G^*)} \vee}].$
- The Barbasch-Vogan duality of <u>p</u><sub>φ</sub> is η([1<sup>N<sub>(G\*)</sub>∨]) = [N<sub>G\*</sub>]<sub>G\*</sub>.</sup>
#### Remarks on the Conjecture

- It is true when G = GL<sub>n</sub> and ψ is an Arthur parameter for the discrete spectrum.
- If  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  is generic, i.e.  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ , the partition  $\underline{p}_{\phi} = [1^{N_{(G^*)} \vee}].$
- ► The Barbasch-Vogan duality of <u>p</u><sub>φ</sub> is η([1<sup>N</sup>(G<sup>\*</sup>)<sup>∨</sup>]) = [N<sub>G<sup>\*</sup></sub>]<sub>G<sup>\*</sup></sub>.
- It is clear that the partition η([1<sup>N<sub>(G\*)</sub>∨]) is G-relevant only if G = G<sup>\*</sup> is quasi-split. In this case, it the regular partition.</sup>

#### Remarks on the Conjecture

- It is true when G = GL<sub>n</sub> and ψ is an Arthur parameter for the discrete spectrum.
- If  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  is generic, i.e.  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ , the partition  $\underline{p}_{\phi} = [1^{N_{(G^*)} \vee}].$
- ► The Barbasch-Vogan duality of <u>p</u><sub>φ</sub> is η([1<sup>N</sup>(G<sup>\*</sup>)<sup>∨</sup>]) = [N<sub>G<sup>\*</sup></sub>]<sub>G<sup>\*</sup></sub>.
- It is clear that the partition η([1<sup>N<sub>(G\*)</sub>∨]) is G-relevant only if G = G\* is quasi-split. In this case, it the regular partition.</sup>
- The conjecture claims that any generic global Arthur packet contains a generic member for quasi-split G\*, and hence implies the global Shahidi conjecture on genericity of tempered packets.

#### Remarks on the Conjecture

- It is true when G = GL<sub>n</sub> and ψ is an Arthur parameter for the discrete spectrum.
- If  $\phi \in \Phi_2(G^*)$  is generic, i.e.  $b_1 = \cdots = b_r = 1$ , the partition  $\underline{p}_{\phi} = [1^{N_{(G^*)} \vee}].$
- ► The Barbasch-Vogan duality of <u>p</u><sub>φ</sub> is η([1<sup>N</sup>(G<sup>\*</sup>)<sup>∨</sup>]) = [N<sub>G<sup>\*</sup></sub>]<sub>G<sup>\*</sup></sub>.
- It is clear that the partition η([1<sup>N<sub>(G\*)</sub>∨]) is G-relevant only if G = G\* is quasi-split. In this case, it the regular partition.</sup>
- The conjecture claims that any generic global Arthur packet contains a generic member for quasi-split G\*, and hence implies the global Shahidi conjecture on genericity of tempered packets.
- ► This special case can be proved by the Arthur-Langlands transfer from G to GL<sub>NG</sub> and the Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry descent (J.- Liu 2016).

My work with Baiying Liu on small cuspidal spectrum and connection with the Ramanujan type upper bound on the cuspidal spectrum was discussed in my lecture at KIAS 2015, and will be published in Simons Symposium 2018.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- My work with Baiying Liu on small cuspidal spectrum and connection with the Ramanujan type upper bound on the cuspidal spectrum was discussed in my lecture at KIAS 2015, and will be published in Simons Symposium 2018.
- I would like to discuss a conjecture of J.- Lei Zhang on Large Cuspidal Spectrum in our work (J.- Zhang 2017).

- My work with Baiying Liu on small cuspidal spectrum and connection with the Ramanujan type upper bound on the cuspidal spectrum was discussed in my lecture at KIAS 2015, and will be published in Simons Symposium 2018.
- I would like to discuss a conjecture of J.- Lei Zhang on Large Cuspidal Spectrum in our work (J.- Zhang 2017).
- ▶ For G quasi-split, a  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$  is called **Large** if  $\pi$  has a non-zero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.

- My work with Baiying Liu on small cuspidal spectrum and connection with the Ramanujan type upper bound on the cuspidal spectrum was discussed in my lecture at KIAS 2015, and will be published in Simons Symposium 2018.
- I would like to discuss a conjecture of J.- Lei Zhang on Large Cuspidal Spectrum in our work (J.- Zhang 2017).
- ▶ For G quasi-split, a  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$  is called Large if  $\pi$  has a non-zero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.
- ▶ Global Generic Packet Conjecture: For any global generic Arthur parameter  $\phi$  of G, the global Arthur packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$  contains at least one Large cuspidal member.

- My work with Baiying Liu on small cuspidal spectrum and connection with the Ramanujan type upper bound on the cuspidal spectrum was discussed in my lecture at KIAS 2015, and will be published in Simons Symposium 2018.
- I would like to discuss a conjecture of J.- Lei Zhang on Large Cuspidal Spectrum in our work (J.- Zhang 2017).
- For G quasi-split, a π ∈ A<sub>cusp</sub>(G) is called Large if π has a non-zero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.
- ▶ Global Generic Packet Conjecture: For any global generic Arthur parameter  $\phi$  of G, the global Arthur packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$  contains at least one Large cuspidal member.
- For G to be quasisplit classical groups, the Global Generic Packet Conjecture is known (J.- Liu 2016).

- My work with Baiying Liu on small cuspidal spectrum and connection with the Ramanujan type upper bound on the cuspidal spectrum was discussed in my lecture at KIAS 2015, and will be published in Simons Symposium 2018.
- I would like to discuss a conjecture of J.- Lei Zhang on Large Cuspidal Spectrum in our work (J.- Zhang 2017).
- ▶ For G quasi-split, a  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$  is called Large if  $\pi$  has a non-zero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient.
- ▶ Global Generic Packet Conjecture: For any global generic Arthur parameter  $\phi$  of G, the global Arthur packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$  contains at least one Large cuspidal member.
- For G to be quasisplit classical groups, the Global Generic Packet Conjecture is known (J.- Liu 2016).
- The Question remains when G is not quasisplit.

▶ Take G to be  $SO_{r+m_0,r}$  or  $U_{r+m_0,r}$  with r the F-rank of G.

- ▶ Take G to be  $SO_{r+m_0,r}$  or  $U_{r+m_0,r}$  with r the F-rank of G.
- ▶ Take the partition  $\underline{p}_r := [(2r+1)1^{m_0-1}]$ , which is *G*-relevant.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

- ▶ Take G to be  $SO_{r+m_0,r}$  or  $U_{r+m_0,r}$  with r the F-rank of G.
- ▶ Take the partition  $\underline{p}_r := [(2r+1)1^{m_0-1}]$ , which is *G*-relevant.

• One has a unipotent subgroup  $V_{\underline{p}_r}$  of G and the character  $\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}$ , which define the Fourier coefficient  $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}}(\varphi_{\pi})$  for  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .

- ▶ Take G to be  $SO_{r+m_0,r}$  or  $U_{r+m_0,r}$  with r the F-rank of G.
- ▶ Take the partition  $\underline{p}_r := [(2r+1)1^{m_0-1}]$ , which is *G*-relevant.
- One has a unipotent subgroup  $V_{\underline{p}_r}$  of G and the character  $\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}$ , which define the Fourier coefficient  $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}}(\varphi_{\pi})$  for  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .
- ► The stabilizer of \u03c6<sub>p\_r</sub>;X is reductive subgroup of the F-anisotropic SO<sub>m0</sub> or U<sub>m0</sub>, and hence \u03c6<sup>ψ</sup><sub>p\_r</sub>;X is the largest possible Fourier coefficient one might get for G.

- ▶ Take G to be  $SO_{r+m_0,r}$  or  $U_{r+m_0,r}$  with r the F-rank of G.
- ▶ Take the partition  $\underline{p}_r := [(2r+1)1^{m_0-1}]$ , which is *G*-relevant.
- One has a unipotent subgroup  $V_{\underline{p}_r}$  of G and the character  $\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}$ , which define the Fourier coefficient  $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}}(\varphi_{\pi})$  for  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .
- ► The stabilizer of \(\psi\_{p\_r;X}\) is reductive subgroup of the F-anisotropic SO<sub>m0</sub> or U<sub>m0</sub>, and hence \(\mathcal{F}^{\psi\_{p\_r};X}\) is the largest possible Fourier coefficient one might get for G.

• A  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$  is called Large if  $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}}(\varphi_{\pi})$  is nonzero.

- ▶ Take G to be  $SO_{r+m_0,r}$  or  $U_{r+m_0,r}$  with r the F-rank of G.
- ▶ Take the partition  $\underline{p}_r := [(2r+1)1^{m_0-1}]$ , which is *G*-relevant.
- One has a unipotent subgroup  $V_{\underline{p}_r}$  of G and the character  $\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}$ , which define the Fourier coefficient  $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}}(\varphi_{\pi})$  for  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$ .
- ► The stabilizer of \(\psi\_{p\_r};X\) is reductive subgroup of the F-anisotropic SO<sub>m0</sub> or U<sub>m0</sub>, and hence \(\mathcal{F}^{\psi\_{p\_r};X\)}\) is the largest possible Fourier coefficient one might get for G.
- A  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(G)$  is called Large if  $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\underline{p}_r;X}}(\varphi_{\pi})$  is nonzero.
- Global Large Cuspidal Packet Conjecture (J.-Zhang): Let G\* be the F-quasisplit pure inner form of G. For any generic global Arthur parameter φ of G\*, which is G-relevant, the global Arthur packet Π<sub>φ</sub>(G) contains at least one Large cuspidal member.

 A special case of the GLCP Conjecture has the following important application.

- A special case of the GLCP Conjecture has the following important application.
- Theorem (J.- Zhang 2017): Assume that the GLCP Conjecture holds for U<sub>n+2,n</sub>. Let G\* to be either U<sub>n,n</sub> or U<sub>n+1.n</sub>. For any global generic Arthur parameter π of G\*, there exists an automorphic character χ of U<sub>1</sub> such that

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi) \neq 0$$

for all cuspidal members  $\pi$  belonging to the global Arthur packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(G^*).$ 

- A special case of the GLCP Conjecture has the following important application.
- Theorem (J.- Zhang 2017): Assume that the GLCP Conjecture holds for U<sub>n+2,n</sub>. Let G\* to be either U<sub>n,n</sub> or U<sub>n+1.n</sub>. For any global generic Arthur parameter π of G\*, there exists an automorphic character χ of U<sub>1</sub> such that

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi) \neq 0$$

for all cuspidal members  $\pi$  belonging to the global Arthur packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(G^*)$ .

Proposition (J.-Zhang 2017): For such a φ, there is a φ' such that φ ⊞ φ' is a generic global Arthur parameter of U<sub>n+1,n+1</sub>, which is U<sub>n+2,n</sub>-relevant.

- A special case of the GLCP Conjecture has the following important application.
- Theorem (J.- Zhang 2017): Assume that the GLCP Conjecture holds for U<sub>n+2,n</sub>. Let G\* to be either U<sub>n,n</sub> or U<sub>n+1.n</sub>. For any global generic Arthur parameter π of G\*, there exists an automorphic character χ of U<sub>1</sub> such that

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi) \neq 0$$

for all cuspidal members  $\pi$  belonging to the global Arthur packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(G^*)$ .

- Proposition (J.-Zhang 2017): For such a φ, there is a φ' such that φ ⊞ φ' is a generic global Arthur parameter of U<sub>n+1,n+1</sub>, which is U<sub>n+2,n</sub>-relevant.
- ► One direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture holds for U<sub>n+2,n</sub> (J.- Zhang 2015).