

Bracket width of simple Lie algebras

Boris Kunyavskii
(Bar-Ilan University)

Workshop “Affine Algebraic Groups, Motives and Cohomological
Invariants”
Banff, Canada
September 18, 2018

Definition of prime numbers

Let $A = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$. Equip A with usual multiplication. Then $a \in A$ is prime if the equation

$$xy = a$$

has no solutions $(x, y) \in A \times A$.

Illustration for young children

Multiplication

x	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
2	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24
3	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36
4	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40	44	48
5	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
6	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72
7	7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	63	70	77	84
8	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96
9	9	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90	99	108
10	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120
11	11	22	33	44	55	66	77	88	99	110	121	132
12	12	24	36	48	60	72	84	96	108	120	132	144



www.pearson.com.au
© Pearson Education, Inc. All rights reserved. CE

Illustration for young children

Multiplication

x	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
2	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24
3	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36
4	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40	44	48
5	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
6	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72
7	7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	63	70	77	84
8	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96
9	9	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90	99	108
10	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120
11	11	22	33	44	55	66	77	88	99	110	121	132
12	12	24	36	48	60	72	84	96	108	120	132	144

© 2018 by Pearson Education, Inc. All rights reserved.  

Erase the first row and the first column. The numbers that do not appear any more are the prime numbers.

Definition of prime elements in general algebras

Let A be an algebra equipped with a binary operation. Then we say that $a \in A$ is prime if the equation

$$xy = a$$

has no solutions $(x, y) \in A \times A$.

Example: group commutators

Let G be a group, and let A be the underlying set of G with operation $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

Example: group commutators

Let G be a group, and let A be the underlying set of G with operation $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

Does G have prime elements?

Example: group commutators

Let G be a group, and let A be the underlying set of G with operation $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

Does G have prime elements?

If G is not perfect, this question is obviously answered in the affirmative: any $a \notin [G, G]$ is prime.

Example: group commutators

Let G be a group, and let A be the underlying set of G with operation $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

Does G have prime elements?

If G is not perfect, this question is obviously answered in the affirmative: any $a \notin [G, G]$ is prime.

For some perfect groups G the question is also answered in the affirmative but not in an obvious way.

Example: group commutators

Let G be a group, and let A be the underlying set of G with operation $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

Does G have prime elements?

If G is not perfect, this question is obviously answered in the affirmative: any $a \notin [G, G]$ is prime.

For some perfect groups G the question is also answered in the affirmative but not in an obvious way.

The smallest perfect group containing a prime element is of order 960 (see Malle's Bourbaki 2013 talk).

Example: group commutator in simple groups

The same question for *simple* groups is more tricky.

Example: group commutator in simple groups

The same question for *simple* groups is more tricky.
The cases of finite and infinite groups should be considered separately.

Example: group commutator in simple groups

The same question for *simple* groups is more tricky.

The cases of finite and infinite groups should be considered separately.

In the case where G is finite, each element is a single commutator (i.e., the algebra A has no prime elements). This was conjectured by Ore in 1950's. The proof required lots of various techniques. Most groups of Lie type were treated by Ellers and Gordeev in 1990's. The proof was finished by Liebeck, O'Brien, Shalev and Tiep in 2010. See Malle's Bourbaki talk for details.

Example: group commutator in simple groups

If G is infinite, the situation is entirely different.

Example: group commutator in simple groups

If G is infinite, the situation is entirely different.

There are several cases where each element of G is a single commutator:

- $G = S_\infty$, infinite symmetric group (Ore, 1951);
- $G = \mathcal{G}(k)$, the group of k -points of a semisimple adjoint linear algebraic group \mathcal{G} over an algebraically closed field k (Ree, 1964);

Example: group commutator in simple groups

If G is infinite, the situation is entirely different.

There are several cases where each element of G is a single commutator:

- $G = S_\infty$, infinite symmetric group (Ore, 1951);
- $G = \mathcal{G}(k)$, the group of k -points of a semisimple adjoint linear algebraic group \mathcal{G} over an algebraically closed field k (Ree, 1964);
- G is the automorphism group of some nice topological or combinatorial object (e.g., the Cantor set).

Example: group commutator in simple groups

If G is infinite, the situation is entirely different.

There are several cases where each element of G is a single commutator:

- $G = S_\infty$, infinite symmetric group (Ore, 1951);
- $G = \mathcal{G}(k)$, the group of k -points of a semisimple adjoint linear algebraic group \mathcal{G} over an algebraically closed field k (Ree, 1964);
- G is the automorphism group of some nice topological or combinatorial object (e.g., the Cantor set).

So in all these cases A has no prime elements, as in the finite case.

Example: group commutator in simple groups

The first example of opposite kind was discovered by Barge and Ghys in 1992.

Example: group commutator in simple groups

The first example of opposite kind was discovered by Barge and Ghys in 1992. The title of their paper is “Cocycles d’Euler et de Maslov”, and the group they constructed is of differential-geometric origin. It is simple and contains elements not representable as a single commutator.

Example: group commutator in simple groups

The first example of opposite kind was discovered by Barge and Ghys in 1992. The title of their paper is “Cocycles d’Euler et de Maslov”, and the group they constructed is of differential-geometric origin. It is simple and contains elements not representable as a single commutator.

Later more examples of such a kind were constructed (Muranov, Caprace–Fujiwara, Fink–Thom).

Example: group commutator in simple groups

The first example of opposite kind was discovered by Barge and Ghys in 1992. The title of their paper is “Cocycles d’Euler et de Maslov”, and the group they constructed is of differential-geometric origin. It is simple and contains elements not representable as a single commutator.

Later more examples of such a kind were constructed (Muranov, Caprace–Fujiwara, Fink–Thom).

These groups are indeed very different from “nice” groups discussed above in the following sense.

Commutator width

For any group G one can introduce the following notions.
For any $a \in G$ define its length $\ell(a)$ as the smallest number k of commutators needed to represent it as a product

$$a = [x_1, y_1] \cdots [x_k, y_k].$$

Commutator width

For any group G one can introduce the following notions.
For any $a \in G$ define its length $\ell(a)$ as the smallest number k of commutators needed to represent it as a product

$$a = [x_1, y_1] \cdots [x_k, y_k].$$

Define the commutator width of G as

$$\text{wd}(G) := \sup_{a \in G} \ell(a).$$

Commutator width

For any group G one can introduce the following notions.
For any $a \in G$ define its length $\ell(a)$ as the smallest number k of commutators needed to represent it as a product

$$a = [x_1, y_1] \cdots [x_k, y_k].$$

Define the commutator width of G as

$$\text{wd}(G) := \sup_{a \in G} \ell(a).$$

It turns out that for a simple group G the commutator width $\text{wd}(G)$ may be as large as we wish, or even infinite (such examples appear in the papers of Barge–Ghys and Muranov).

Prime elements in Lie algebras

Let now L be a Lie algebra defined over a field k . As above, we say that $a \in L$ is prime if it cannot be represented as a single Lie bracket.

As for groups, if L is not perfect, it contains prime elements (those lying outside the derived subalgebra $[L, L]$).

Main questions

(i) Does there exist a *simple* Lie algebra containing prime elements?

Main questions

(i) Does there exist a *simple* Lie algebra containing prime elements? More generally, as in the case of groups, one can define for every $a \in L$ its bracket length $\ell(a)$ as the smallest k such that a is representable as a sum

$$a = [x_1, y_1] + \cdots + [x_k, y_k],$$

then define the bracket width of L as

$$\text{wd}(L) := \sup_{a \in L} \ell(a).$$

Main questions

(i) Does there exist a *simple* Lie algebra containing prime elements? More generally, as in the case of groups, one can define for every $a \in L$ its bracket length $\ell(a)$ as the smallest k such that a is representable as a sum

$$a = [x_1, y_1] + \cdots + [x_k, y_k],$$

then define the bracket width of L as

$$\text{wd}(L) := \sup_{a \in L} \ell(a).$$

If Question (i) is answered in the affirmative, one can ask the next question:

(ii) Does there exist a simple Lie algebra L of infinite bracket width?

Where to look for counter-examples?

Throughout below L is a *simple* Lie algebra over a field k .

First suppose that L is *finite-dimensional*.

In the following cases it is known that L does not contain prime elements (i.e., every element is a single bracket, i.e., $\text{wd}(L) = 1$):

Where to look for counter-examples?

Throughout below L is a *simple* Lie algebra over a field k .

First suppose that L is *finite-dimensional*.

In the following cases it is known that L does not contain prime elements (i.e., every element is a single bracket, i.e., $\text{wd}(L) = 1$):

- L is split and k is sufficiently large (Gordon Brown (1963); Hirschbühl (1990) improved estimates on the size of k);

Where to look for counter-examples?

Throughout below L is a *simple* Lie algebra over a field k .

First suppose that L is *finite-dimensional*.

In the following cases it is known that L does not contain prime elements (i.e., every element is a single bracket, i.e., $\text{wd}(L) = 1$):

- L is split and k is sufficiently large (Gordon Brown (1963); Hirschbühl (1990) improved estimates on the size of k);
- $k = \mathbb{R}$, L is compact (Djokovic–Tam (2003), Neeb (2007), Akhiezer (2015), D’Andrea–Maffei (2016), Malkoun–Nahlus (2017));

Where to look for counter-examples?

Throughout below L is a *simple* Lie algebra over a field k .

First suppose that L is *finite-dimensional*.

In the following cases it is known that L does not contain prime elements (i.e., every element is a single bracket, i.e., $\text{wd}(L) = 1$):

- L is split and k is sufficiently large (Gordon Brown (1963); Hirschbühl (1990) improved estimates on the size of k);
- $k = \mathbb{R}$, L is compact (Djokovic–Tam (2003), Neeb (2007), Akhiezer (2015), D’Andrea–Maffei (2016), Malkoun–Nahlus (2017));
- some non-compact algebras L over \mathbb{R} (Akhiezer).

Where to look for counter-examples?

The most interesting unexplored class in finite-dimensional case is the family of algebras of Cartan type over a field of positive characteristic.

Where to look for counter-examples?

The most interesting unexplored class in finite-dimensional case is the family of algebras of Cartan type over a field of positive characteristic.

Working hypothesis. All these algebras are of bracket width 1.

Where to look for counter-examples?

Suppose now that L is *infinite-dimensional*.

Where to look for counter-examples?

Suppose now that L is *infinite-dimensional*.

There are several natural families of simple infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Here are some of them:

- four families W_n, H_n, S_n, K_n of algebras of Cartan type;
- (subquotients of) Kac–Moody algebras;
- algebras of vector fields on smooth affine varieties.

Where to look for counter-examples?

Observation (due to Zhihua Chang):

A theorem of Rudakov (1969) shows that $\text{wd}(L) = 1$ for all algebras L of Cartan type.

Back to the origins

Back to the origins



Back to the origins



Sophus Lie
(1842–1899)

Back to the origins

Back to the origins



Back to the origins



Élie Cartan
(1869–1951)

Main result

Among Lie algebras of vector fields on smooth affine varieties there are algebras L with $\text{wd}(L) > 1$
(B.K. and Andriy Regeta, work in progress).

Some preliminaries

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$ be an irreducible affine k -variety.

Some preliminaries

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$ be an irreducible affine k -variety. Let $\text{Vec}(X)$ denote the collection of (polynomial) vector fields on X , i.e., $\text{Vec}(X) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}(X))$, the set of derivations of the ring of regular functions on X .

Some preliminaries

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$ be an irreducible affine k -variety. Let $\text{Vec}(X)$ denote the collection of (polynomial) vector fields on X , i.e., $\text{Vec}(X) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}(X))$, the set of derivations of the ring of regular functions on X . It carries a natural structure of Lie algebra, as a Lie subalgebra of $\text{End}_k(\mathcal{O}(X))$:

$$[\xi, \eta] := \xi \circ \eta - \eta \circ \xi.$$

Some preliminaries

There are strong relations between properties of X and $\text{Vec}(X)$.
We only mention a couple of most important facts.

Some preliminaries

There are strong relations between properties of X and $\text{Vec}(X)$. We only mention a couple of most important facts.

- two normal affine varieties are isomorphic if and only if $\text{Vec}(X)$ and $\text{Vec}(Y)$ are isomorphic as Lie algebras (Janusz Grabowski (1978) for smooth varieties, Thomas Siebert (1996) in general);

Some preliminaries

There are strong relations between properties of X and $\text{Vec}(X)$. We only mention a couple of most important facts.

- two normal affine varieties are isomorphic if and only if $\text{Vec}(X)$ and $\text{Vec}(Y)$ are isomorphic as Lie algebras (Janusz Grabowski (1978) for smooth varieties, Thomas Siebert (1996) in general);
- X is smooth if and only if $\text{Vec}(X)$ is simple (David Alan Jordan (1986), Siebert (1996); see also Kraft's notes (2017) and a new proof due to Billig and Futorny (2017)).

Some preliminaries

There is also a structure of an $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module on $\text{Vec}(X)$. For $x \in X$ we define $(f \cdot \xi)_x := f(x)\xi_x$. The two structures are related by the formula

$$[\xi, f \cdot \eta] = \xi(f) \cdot \eta + f \cdot [\xi, \eta].$$

Let $\varepsilon_x: \text{Vec}(X) \rightarrow T_x X$ denote the evaluation map, $\xi \mapsto \xi_x$. It is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -modules.

Examples

Example 1. $X = \mathbb{A}^n$.

$\text{Vec}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^n) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module of rank n generated by $\partial_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Examples

Example 1. $X = \mathbb{A}^n$.

$\text{Vec}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^n) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module of rank n generated by $\partial_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Example 2. $X = \{y^2 = x^3\}$, the cuspidal curve. $\text{Vec}(X)$ is generated by $\xi_1 = 2\bar{x}\partial_x + 3\bar{y}\partial_y$, $\xi_2 = 2\bar{y}\partial_x + 3\bar{x}^2\partial_y$, with the relation $\bar{x}^2\xi_1 - \bar{y}\xi_2 = 0$.

Examples

Example 1. $X = \mathbb{A}^n$.

$\text{Vec}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^n) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module of rank n generated by $\partial_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Example 2. $X = \{y^2 = x^3\}$, the cuspidal curve. $\text{Vec}(X)$ is generated by $\xi_1 = 2\bar{x}\partial_x + 3\bar{y}\partial_y$, $\xi_2 = 2\bar{y}\partial_x + 3\bar{x}^2\partial_y$, with the relation $\bar{x}^2\xi_1 - \bar{y}\xi_2 = 0$.

Example 3. $X = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0\}$, a normal surface with isolated singularity. $\text{Vec}(X)$ is generated by $\xi_1 = \bar{y}\partial_x - \bar{x}\partial_y$, $\xi_2 = \bar{z}\partial_x - \bar{x}\partial_z$, $\xi_3 = \bar{z}\partial_y - \bar{y}\partial_z$, with the relation $\bar{z}\xi_1 - \bar{y}\xi_2 + \bar{x}\xi_3 = 0$.

Main example (Billig–Futorny, 2017)

Let $H = \{y^2 = 2h(x)\}$ where $h(x)$ is a separable monic polynomial of odd degree $2m + 1 \geq 3$,

$A = \mathcal{O}(H) = k[x, y] / \langle y^2 - 2h(x) \rangle$. As a vector space,

$A \cong k[x] \oplus yk[x]$.

$\text{Vec}(H) = \text{Der}_k(A)$.

Lemma (Billig–Futorny). $\text{Vec}(H)$ is a free A -module of rank 1 generated by

$$\tau = y\partial_x + h'(x)\partial_y.$$

Filtration on A

Define the degree of a monomial in $A = k[x] \oplus yk[x]$ by

$$\deg(x^k) := 2k, \quad \deg(x^k y) := 2k + 2m + 1.$$

Filtration on A

Define the degree of a monomial in $A = k[x] \oplus yk[x]$ by

$$\deg(x^k) := 2k, \quad \deg(x^k y) := 2k + 2m + 1.$$

Let A_s be the space spanned by the monomials of degree $\leq s$.
Then we have a filtration

$$A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$$

We have $A_s A_k \subset A_{s+k}$.

Filtration on A

Define the degree of a monomial in $A = k[x] \oplus yk[x]$ by

$$\deg(x^k) := 2k, \quad \deg(x^k y) := 2k + 2m + 1.$$

Let A_s be the space spanned by the monomials of degree $\leq s$.
Then we have a filtration

$$A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$$

We have $A_s A_k \subset A_{s+k}$.

For $f \in A$ define its degree as the degree of its (unique) leading monomial $\text{LT}(f)$ (which is the term of highest degree in the expansion of f in the basis $\{x^k, x^k y\}$).

Filtration on A

Define the degree of a monomial in $A = k[x] \oplus yk[x]$ by

$$\deg(x^k) := 2k, \quad \deg(x^k y) := 2k + 2m + 1.$$

Let A_s be the space spanned by the monomials of degree $\leq s$.
Then we have a filtration

$$A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$$

We have $A_s A_k \subset A_{s+k}$.

For $f \in A$ define its degree as the degree of its (unique) leading monomial $\text{LT}(f)$ (which is the term of highest degree in the expansion of f in the basis $\{x^k, x^k y\}$). We have $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$.

Grading on A

Let $\text{gr } A = A_0 \oplus A_1/A_0 \oplus A_2/A_1 \oplus \dots$ be the associated graded algebra.

Lemma (Billig–Futorny). *Each graded component is of dimension at most 1 and*

$$\text{gr } A \cong k[x, y] / \langle y^2 - 2x^{2m+1} \rangle.$$

There is an embedding

$$\psi: k[x, y] / \langle y^2 - 2x^{2m+1} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} k[t],$$

$x \mapsto 2t^2, y \mapsto 2^{m+1}t^{2m+1}$, allowing one to identify $\text{gr } A$ with a subalgebra of $k[t]$ generated by t^2 and t^{2m+1} .

Grading on A

Let $\text{gr } A = A_0 \oplus A_1/A_0 \oplus A_2/A_1 \oplus \dots$ be the associated graded algebra.

Lemma (Billig–Futorny). *Each graded component is of dimension at most 1 and*

$$\text{gr } A \cong k[x, y] / \langle y^2 - 2x^{2m+1} \rangle.$$

There is an embedding

$$\psi: k[x, y] / \langle y^2 - 2x^{2m+1} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} k[t],$$

$x \mapsto 2t^2, y \mapsto 2^{m+1}t^{2m+1}$, allowing one to identify $\text{gr } A$ with a subalgebra of $k[t]$ generated by t^2 and t^{2m+1} .

We have a multiplicative map $\text{LT}: A \rightarrow \text{gr } A$.

Filtration and grading on $\text{Vec}(H)$

Denote $\text{Vec}(H) = D$ and recall that $D = A\tau$. For any monomial $u \in A$, $u \neq 1$, we have $\tau(A) \neq 0$ and $\deg \tau(u) = \deg(u) + 2m - 1$. Hence for any nonzero $g\tau \in D$ and any nonconstant $f \in A$ we have

$$\deg(g\tau(f)) = \deg(f) + \deg(g) + 2m - 1.$$

Filtration and grading on $\text{Vec}(H)$

Denote $\text{Vec}(H) = D$ and recall that $D = A\tau$. For any monomial $u \in A$, $u \neq 1$, we have $\tau(A) \neq 0$ and $\deg \tau(u) = \deg(u) + 2m - 1$. Hence for any nonzero $g\tau \in D$ and any nonconstant $f \in A$ we have

$$\deg(g\tau(f)) = \deg(f) + \deg(g) + 2m - 1.$$

Define $\deg(g\tau) := \deg(g) + 2m - 1$. This allows one to define a filtration

$$(0) \subset D^{2m-1} \subset D^{2m} \subset D^{2m+1} \subset \dots$$

where D^s is the subspace of elements of degree $\leq s$, and the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } D$.

Filtration and grading on $\text{Vec}(H)$

Denote $\text{Vec}(H) = D$ and recall that $D = A\tau$. For any monomial $u \in A$, $u \neq 1$, we have $\tau(A) \neq 0$ and $\deg \tau(u) = \deg(u) + 2m - 1$. Hence for any nonzero $g\tau \in D$ and any nonconstant $f \in A$ we have

$$\deg(g\tau(f)) = \deg(f) + \deg(g) + 2m - 1.$$

Define $\deg(g\tau) := \deg(g) + 2m - 1$. This allows one to define a filtration

$$(0) \subset D^{2m-1} \subset D^{2m} \subset D^{2m+1} \subset \dots$$

where D^s is the subspace of elements of degree $\leq s$, and the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } D$.

Lemma (Billig–Futorny). *$\text{gr } D$ acts on $\text{gr } A$ by derivations. One can identify $\text{gr } D$ with a $\text{gr } A$ -submodule of $\text{Der } k[t]$ generated by $t^{2m}\partial_t$.*

Some properties of D

Theorem. (Billig–Futorny). *Let $0 \neq \eta \in D$. Then*

- 1 $\text{Ker ad}(\eta) = k\eta$.
- 2 $\eta \notin \text{Im ad}(\eta)$.
- 3 D has no semisimple elements.
- 4 D has no nilpotent elements.

Some properties of D

Theorem. (Billig–Futorny). *Let $0 \neq \eta \in D$. Then*

- 1 $\text{Ker ad}(\eta) = k\eta$.
- 2 $\eta \notin \text{Im ad}(\eta)$.
- 3 D has no semisimple elements.
- 4 D has no nilpotent elements.

(We say that η is semisimple if $\text{ad}(\eta)$ has an eigenvector.)

Additional property

Theorem. $\text{wd}(D) > 1$.

Additional property

Theorem. $\text{wd}(D) > 1$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in D$ be such that $\deg \eta = 2m - 1$.

Additional property

Theorem. $\text{wd}(D) > 1$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in D$ be such that $\deg \eta = 2m - 1$. Suppose that there exist $\nu, \xi \in D$ such that $[\nu, \xi] = \eta$. Since $\deg[\nu, \xi] \geq \deg \nu + 2m - 1$ (Billig–Futorny), and $\deg \nu > 0$, we are done.

Another example

Let $S = \{xy = p(z)\} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^3$, where $p(z)$ is a separable polynomial, $\deg p \geq 3$ (Danielewski surface).

Another example

Let $S = \{xy = p(z)\} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^3$, where $p(z)$ is a separable polynomial, $\deg p \geq 3$ (Danielewski surface).

Let $L = LND(S)$ be the subalgebra of $\text{Vec}(S)$ generated by all locally nilpotent vector fields.

Another example

Let $S = \{xy = p(z)\} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^3$, where $p(z)$ is a separable polynomial, $\deg p \geq 3$ (Danielewski surface).

Let $L = LND(S)$ be the subalgebra of $\text{Vec}(S)$ generated by all locally nilpotent vector fields.

Lemma. (Leuenberger–Regeta, 2017). *L is a simple Lie algebra.*

Another example

Let $S = \{xy = p(z)\} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^3$, where $p(z)$ is a separable polynomial, $\deg p \geq 3$ (Danielewski surface).

Let $L = LND(S)$ be the subalgebra of $\text{Vec}(S)$ generated by all locally nilpotent vector fields.

Lemma. (Leuenberger–Regeta, 2017). *L is a simple Lie algebra.*

Theorem. *Let $\eta = p'(z)\partial_y + x\partial_z$. We have $\eta \in L$ and there are no $\xi, \nu \in L$ such that $[\xi, \nu] = \eta$.*

Another example

Let $S = \{xy = p(z)\} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^3$, where $p(z)$ is a separable polynomial, $\deg p \geq 3$ (Danielewski surface).

Let $L = LND(S)$ be the subalgebra of $\text{Vec}(S)$ generated by all locally nilpotent vector fields.

Lemma. (Leuenberger–Regeta, 2017). *L is a simple Lie algebra.*

Theorem. *Let $\eta = p'(z)\partial_y + x\partial_z$. We have $\eta \in L$ and there are no $\xi, \nu \in L$ such that $[\xi, \nu] = \eta$.*

The proof is based on the same paper by Leuenberger and Regeta and uses degree arguments.

Bracket width

Question. *What is the bracket width of the algebras $\text{Vec}(H)$ and $LND(S)$?*

Bracket width

Question. *What is the bracket width of the algebras $\text{Vec}(H)$ and $LND(S)$?*

Remark. If L is finite-dimensional over any infinite field of characteristic different from 2 and 3, its bracket width is at most two (Bergman–Nahlus, 2011).

Further questions

- What geometric properties of X are responsible for the existence of prime elements in $\text{Vec}(X)$?
- Does there exist a Lie-algebraic counterpart of the Barge–Ghys example? This requires to go over to the category of vector fields on smooth manifolds.

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!