Statistical challenges in substructure lensing

DM-Stat, Banff International Research Station February 27, 2018

Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine

Department of Physics, Harvard University

Credit: Diana Dragomir

Probing small mass/length scales is key to determine the particle properties of DM



Dark matter physics affects small-scale structure



Vogelsberger, Zavala, Cyr-Racine +, arXiv:1512.05349

3

Many possible ways to probe smallscale structure



Example: Mapping the Milky Way satellites

• We are approaching the limit of visible small-scale structure!



One possible solution: Strong Gravitational Lensing



Credits: Leonidas Moustakas

Galaxy-scale Gravitational Lenses



Credits: Leonidas Moustakas

Probing substructure through gravitational lensing

• Use universality of gravity to probe smallest dark matter structures.



Probing substructure through gravitational lensing

- Compact sources (quasars):
 - Flux-ratio anomalies (Dalal & Kochanek 2002)
 - Time-delay lensing (Keeton & Moustakas 2009, Cyr-Racine et al. 2016)
- Extended sources (galaxies):
 - Gravitational imaging of subhalos (Koopmans 2005; Vegetti et al. 2009, 2012, 2014; Hezaveh et al. 2016)
 - Transdimensional inference of subhalos (Brewer et al. 2015; Daylan et al. 2018)
 - Power spectrum analysis of substructure field (Hezaveh et al. 2016; Cyr-Racine, Keeton & Moustakas, 2018)

Direct Subhalo Detection

• "Gravitational Imaging" of Perturbed Einstein Rings



Vegetti et al. Nature, (2012). See also Hezaveh et al. (2016)

Direct Subhalo Detection: Challenges

- What is actually detected?
- Unclear what the measured mass actually mean (Minor & Kaplinghat, 2017).
- Throw away all lensing data to infer dark matter subhalo statistics (subhalo mass function).
- Number of subhalo is fixed (Degeneracies with main lens model).

Can we improve on this?

Transdimensional subhalo Inference

• Let the data drive the model complexity

Sample Model SubhaloTrue Subhalo



Daylan, Cyr-Racine et al. (2018). See also Brewer et al. (2015)



Transdimensional subhalo inference: Pros and Cons

• Pros:

- Allows covariances between models with different number of subhalos to be taken into account.
- Lead to direct constraints on the mass function (hyperparameters) that are (in my opinion) more believable.
- Cons:
 - Might need to impose bound on model complexity (parsimony prior).

Power spectrum analysis of substructure field

• Move away from the subhalo language



Substructure field analogy: Looking through a textured window

• The textured window introduces perturbation on a given scale.

1) Unperturbed image

2) Image seen through textured glass



Substructure power spectrum



• The power spectrum has three main features:



Díaz Rivero, Cyr-Racine, & Dvorkin, arXiv:1707.04590

Effect of substructures on lensed images

• The substructure deflection field, leads to subtle surface brightness variations along the Einstein ring



Cyr-Racine, Keeton & Moustakas, in prep.

Effect of substructures on lensed images

• The substructure deflection field, leads to subtle surface brightness variations along the Einstein ring



Cyr-Racine, Keeton & Moustakas, in prep.

Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine, Harvard

18

From image residuals to substructure power spectrum

• We can decompose the image residuals in a Fourier-like basis to determine which modes are present in the data.



Cyr-Racine, Keeton & Moustakas, in prep.

Use *Hubble Space Telescope* mock images to assess sensitivity

• We show a significant detection of the power spectrum:



The next decade of substructure lensing

- With LSST and WFIRST(??), the number of known galaxyscale gravitational lenses will grow dramatically (from ~100 to ~10000).
- This will open the "statistical era" of strong lensing.
- Need to compare "direct" subhalo detection with more general probe of the density field.
- Several challenges to tackle, including how to jointly analyze a large number of lenses.

Thanks!