Quantum Resonance Theory of Open System Dynamics

Marco Merkli

Memorial University St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada

BIRS, August 19, 2019

The results presented are based on collaborations with

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

G.P. Berman (Los Alamos Nat'l Lab) I.M. Sigal (Toronto) M. Könenberg (Industry)

Open quantum systems

System S coupled to reservoir R of harmonic oscillators

$$H = H_{S} + \underbrace{\sum_{k} \omega_{k} a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{k}}_{H_{R}} + \lambda G \otimes \left(\sum_{k} g_{k} a_{k}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$
$$H_{S} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} E_{j} |\phi_{j}\rangle \langle \phi_{j}|$$

- $\lambda =$ coupling constant ($\in \mathbb{R}$)
- G = coupling matrix
- $g_k = ext{form factor} \ (\in \mathbb{C})$

Reduced system dynamics

Initial SR density matrix: $\rho_{SR}(0)$ Reduced density matrix of system:

$$\rho_{S}(t) = \operatorname{tr}_{R}\left(\operatorname{e}^{-\operatorname{i} t H} \rho_{SR}(0) \operatorname{e}^{\operatorname{i} t H}\right)$$

In case $\rho_{SR}(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_R(0) \Rightarrow$ well defined **dynamical map**

$$V(t)
ho_{\mathcal{S}}(0) =
ho_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$$

◦ V(t) is not a group: $V(t+s) \neq V(t)V(s)$ ◦ $\forall t$, V(t) is completely positive, trace preserving (CPT) ◦ Markovian approximation: $V(t) \approx e^{t\mathcal{L}}$ CPT semigroup

Resonance theory

Goal

Find a manageable approximation for $\rho_S(t)$ that

- captures irreversible effects (*e.g.* decay rates)
- is valid for all times (no " $\lambda^2 t < \text{const}$ " constraint)
- has a controlled error (λ small, time arbitrary)

Basic philosophy

(1) Start with coupled SR Hamiltonian H

Irreversible dynamics \leftrightarrow continuous mode limit of reservoir

(2) Do spectral analysis of H by perturbation theory (λ small) Eigenvalues \leftrightarrow stationary (bound) states Resonances \leftrightarrow metastable states Continuous spectrum \leftrightarrow "scattering states"

Thermodynamic limit

Consider (for instance) reservoir equilibrium state $\rho_{R,\beta} \propto e^{-\beta H_R}$ and perform thermodynamic limit

Limit state is represented by a vector in a purified (new) Hilbert space; has simple, explicit expression

$$\rho_{R,\beta} \rightsquigarrow |\Omega\rangle$$

In the new space, Hamiltonian ${\cal H}$ takes different form, called Liouvillian L

$${\rm e}^{{\rm i} t H} ~~ \sim ~~ {\rm e}^{{\rm i} t L}$$

Spectral analysis of $L_{\lambda} = L_0 + \lambda I$

(A) $\lambda = 0$: L_0 has eigenvalues $E_i - E_j$ embedded in continuous spectrum, 0 is degenerate (multiple stationary states)



(B) $\lambda \neq 0$: Eigenvalues are generically unstable, degeneracy of 0 is lifted: unique stationary state (equilibrium)



Unstable eigenvalues become complex resonances

- 'Deform' operator $L_{\lambda} \rightsquigarrow L_{\lambda}(\theta)$ by complex scaling:
 - $\circ~\theta$ moves continuous spectrum away from eigenvalues
 - o uncovers new, complex eigenvalues
 - works if reservoir correlation function decays in time
- Analytic perturbation theory: $\epsilon_{ij}(\lambda) = E_i E_j + \lambda^2 \epsilon'_{ij} + \cdots$



Expressing propagator via resonances

- When sandwiched between (suitable) states $\langle \Psi | \cdot | \Phi \rangle$, true propagator e^{itL} can be replaced by deformed propagator $e^{itL(\theta)}$
- Spectral decomposition:

$$e^{itL(heta)} = \sum_{j} e^{it\epsilon_{j}(\lambda)} P_{j}(\lambda) + O(e^{- heta t})$$

 $\epsilon_{j}(\lambda) = e + \lambda^{2}\epsilon_{j}^{(2)} + O(\lambda^{4})$
 $e^{it\epsilon_{j}(\lambda)} = e^{it\operatorname{Re}\epsilon_{j}(\lambda)}e^{-t\operatorname{Im}\epsilon_{j}(\lambda)}$

Decay rates: $\text{Im}\epsilon_j(\lambda) \propto \lambda^2$ (or $O(\lambda^4)...$), decay directions: $P_j(\lambda)$

◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

Result 1: Resonance expansion of dynamics

 $\rho_{SR} = initial SR state$

 $ho_{{\sf S},eta,\lambda}={\sf full}$ equilibrium state $\propto e^{-eta {\sf H}_\lambda}$ reduced to S

For all λ small, $t \ge 0$, system observable X:

$$\operatorname{tr}_{SR} \left(\rho_{SR} \ e^{itH_{\lambda}} X e^{-itH_{\lambda}} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}_{S} \left(\rho_{S,\beta,\lambda} X \right) + \sum_{j} e^{it\epsilon_{j}(\lambda)} \operatorname{tr}_{SR} \left(\rho_{SR} \left(P_{j} X \otimes \mathbf{1}_{R} \right) \right)$$

$$+ O \left(\lambda e^{-\gamma(\lambda)t} \right)$$

Here, $\gamma(\lambda) = \min_{j} \{ \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_{j}(\lambda) \}$ and P_{j} are λ -independent projections

Result 2: Markovian approx is valid for all times

 $\rho_{SR} = \rho_S \otimes \rho_{R,\beta} \Rightarrow \text{dynamical map } V(t)\rho_S = \rho_S(t) \text{ well defined}$ Suppose "Fermi Golden Rule holds":

$$\gamma_{\rm FGR} \equiv \min_j {
m Im} \epsilon_j^{(2)} > 0$$

where $\epsilon_i^{(2)}$ is the second order term of $\epsilon_j(\lambda)$ in λ . Then

$$\left\|V(t) - e^{t(\mathcal{L}_S + \lambda^2 \mathcal{K})} \right\| \leq C \lambda^2, \quad \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} = -\mathrm{i}[\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}, \ \cdot \]$, K is the "Davies generator"

This result overcomes " $\lambda^2 t < \text{const.}$ " regime

Previously, only *weak coupling- or, Van Hove regime* was treated rigorously:

[Davies '73, '74] $\forall a > 0$

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sup_{0 \le \lambda^2 t < a} \left\| V(t) - e^{t(\mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda^2 K)} \right\| = 0$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Resonance theory eliminates constraint $\lambda^2 t < \text{const.}$!

Illustration: Electron transport in degenerate donor-acceptor systems

Collaboration with A. Saxena and G.P. Berman, in progress

 N_D , N_A fold degenerate donor, acceptor in thermal environment:



Energy landscape for Donor/Acceptor: degenerate minima

Homogeneous coupling between donor and acceptor levels



$$H_{S} = E_{D} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{D}} |D_{j}\rangle\langle D_{j}| + E_{A} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{A}} |A_{k}\rangle\langle A_{k}| + V \sum_{j,k} \left(|A_{k}\rangle\langle D_{j}| + |D_{j}\rangle\langle A_{k}|\right)$$

Diagonal coupling to noise

$$G = g_D \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} |D_j\rangle \langle D_j| + g_A \sum_{k=1}^{N_A} |A_k\rangle \langle A_k|$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三 ・ のへで

Symmetry of Hamiltonian \Rightarrow invariant subspaces

$$H = H_{ ext{eff}} \oplus H_{D\perp} \oplus H_{A\perp}$$

► H_{eff} is an effective open 2-level system on $\text{span}\{|D\rangle, |A\rangle\}$ $|D\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_D}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} |D_j\rangle, \qquad |A\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_A} |A_k\rangle$

• $H_{D\perp}, H_{A\perp}$ act on states orthogonal to $|D\rangle$ and $|A\rangle$ (& on R)

▶ Via polaron transformation, $H_{D\perp}$, $H_{A\perp} = H_R + \text{const.}$

 \Rightarrow multitude of stationary states $\rho_S \otimes \rho_{R,\beta}^{\text{dressed}}$

Resonance theory: dynamics of DA density matrix for general initial state ρ_0

$$\begin{split} \rho_t &= \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_0 P_5^{\text{eff}}) \ \rho_{S,\beta}^{\text{eff}} + P_{D\perp} \rho_0 P_{D\perp} + P_{A\perp} \rho_0 P_{A\perp} \\ &+ 2\operatorname{Re} \ e^{it\epsilon_4^{(3)}} P_{A\perp} \rho_0 P_{D\perp} \\ &+ \frac{e^{it\epsilon_1^{(2)}}}{e^{-\beta e_1} + e^{-\beta e_2}} \left[e^{-\beta e_2} P_{11} \rho_0 P_{11} - e^{-\beta e_2} P_{21} \rho_0 P_{12} \\ &- e^{-\beta e_1} P_{12} \rho_0 P_{21} + e^{-\beta e_1} P_{22} \rho_0 P_{22} \right] \\ &+ 2\operatorname{Re} \ e^{it\epsilon_1^{(3)}} P_{22} \rho_0 P_{11} + 2\operatorname{Re} \ \sum_{s=1,2} e^{it\epsilon_2^{(s)}} P_{D\perp} \rho_0 P_{ss} \\ &+ 2\operatorname{Re} \ \sum_{s=3,4} e^{it\epsilon_2^{(s)}} P_{A\perp} \rho_0 P_{(s-2)(s-2)} + O(\lambda^2) \end{split}$$

- Diabatic DA energies $e_{1,2}$, states $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$, $P_{ij} = |\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_j|$
- Error is independent of t and N_D , N_A

Total donor population at time t

$$p_D(t) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N_D} \langle D_k, \rho_t D_k \rangle$$

• Resonance theory gives (modulo $O(\lambda^2)$)

$$\begin{split} p_D(t) &= p_D(0) - (1 - e^{it\epsilon_1^{(2)}}) \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} \, \frac{e^{-\beta e_2} [\rho_0]_{11} - e^{-\beta e_1} [\rho_0]_{22}}{e^{-\beta e_1} + e^{-\beta e_2}} \\ &- 2 \frac{|\alpha|}{1 + \alpha^2} \operatorname{Re}(1 - e^{it\epsilon_1^{(3)}}) [\rho_0]_{21} \\ &- 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{N_D} \sum_{s=1,2} (1 - e^{it\epsilon_2^{(s)}}) \langle D_k, P_{D\perp} \rho_0 P_{ss} D_k \rangle \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

• Note: several decay rates (all explicit)

Coherent/incoherent spread of initial donor population p_1, \ldots, p_{N_D} : a probability distribution, $0 \le p_j \le 1$, $\sum_j p_j = 1$ Consider two families of initial states:

(inc) The incoherent (classical) superposition

$$ho_{
m inc} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} p_j |D_j\rangle \langle D_j|$$

(coh) The coherent (quantum) superposition pure state

$$ho_{
m coh} = |\psi
angle \langle \psi |$$
 where $|\psi
angle = \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} \sqrt{
ho_j} |D_j
angle$

Final donor population: classical initial state

Independent of distribution $\{p_j\}$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Acceptor not populated for large N_D

Final donor population: quantum initial state

Depends on $\{p_j\}$

• Low temp & $p_k = 1/N_D \Rightarrow p_{D, \mathrm{coh}}(\infty) = 1 - \frac{1}{1+lpha^2}$

• Depletion of donor = total population of acceptor ($\alpha=$ 0)

$$p_{D,\mathrm{coh}}(\infty) \approx 0$$
 if T and $V\sqrt{N_DN_A} \ll E_D - E_A$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Upshot

- Coherent (quantum) spread of initial excitation on donor sites enhances transfer efficiency
- Acceptor population maximized for coherent, uniformly spread initial excitation, can get fully populated at low temperature
- ► For large N_D and incoherent (classical) initial spread, transfer efficiency is always low

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Conclusion

Resonance theory

- gives expansion of system dynamics for small λ , all t
- gives decay rates, decay directions
- shows Markovian approximation is valid for all times
- furnishes explicit expressions suitable for detailed analysis