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- G absolutely almost simple K-isotropic
- $G^{\prime}$ absolutely simple adjoint.

Let
$G^{+}=$(normal) subgroup of $G(K)$ generated by K-points of unipotent radicals of K-defined parabolics.

Then any abstract homomorphism $\varphi: G^{+} \rightarrow G^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ with Zariski-dense image has a standard description.
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No. B-T gave an example of $\varphi: G(K) \rightarrow G^{\prime}(K)$ such that

- $G$ is absolutely almost simple / infinite K;
- $\varphi$ has Zariski-dense image;
- $G^{\prime}$ is not reductive.
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## Then

- $\operatorname{Im} \varphi$ is Zariski-dense in $G^{\prime}$;
- unipotent radical of $G^{\prime}$ is $\mathfrak{g}$ (hence nontrivial).
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G(A) \xrightarrow{t} \mathfrak{g}(K) \rtimes G(K)=G^{\prime}(K) \\
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Moreover, $\quad \varphi=t \circ F$.
Thus, $\varphi$ comes from a homomorphism of algebras $f: K \rightarrow A$. B-T conjectured that any abstract homomorphism can be obtained in (basically) this fashion.
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with $\rho(G(K))$ Zariski-dense in $G^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$, there exist

- a finite-dimensional $K^{\prime}$-algebra $B$, and
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\rho=\sigma \circ r_{B / K^{\prime}} \circ F,
$$

where

- $F: G(K) \rightarrow G_{B}(B)$ is induced by $f$;
- $r_{B / K^{\prime}}: G_{B}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{B / K^{\prime}}\left(G_{B}\right)\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ - canonical isomorphism;
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- $K=K^{\prime}=\mathbb{R} \quad$ (Tits, sketch)
- char $K=\operatorname{char} K^{\prime}=0$
$G$ simply connected Chevalley group,
$G^{\prime}$ has commutative unipotent radical
(L. Lifschitz, A. Rapinchuk)
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- char $K=0$ and $R$ is semilocal,
- char $K=0$ and $U:=R_{u}\left(H^{\circ}\right)$ is commutative,
there exist
- a ring homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow B$ to a finite-dimensional commutative K-algebra $B$ with Zariski-dense image, and
- a morphism $\sigma: G(B) \rightarrow H$ of algebraic K-groups such that

$$
\left.\rho\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.(\sigma \circ F)\right|_{\Gamma}
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for a suitable finite-index subgroup $\Gamma \subset G(R)^{+}$, where $F: G(R)^{+} \rightarrow G(B)^{+}$is induced by $f$.
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- Let $B=K\left[\delta_{n}\right]$, with $\delta_{n}^{n+1}=0$, and define
$f: R \rightarrow B, \quad g(X) \mapsto g\left(a_{1}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(a_{1}\right) \delta_{n}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{1}\right)}{2!} \delta_{n}^{2}+\cdots+\frac{g^{(n)}\left(a_{1}\right)}{n!} \delta_{n}^{n}$

Already these examples show that

- images of root subgroups of $G(R)^{+}$can have (arbitrarily) large dimension.
- one can construct representations whose image has unipotent radical of prescribed nilpotence class.
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## Algebraic rings

Definition. An algebraic ring is a triple $(A, \alpha, \mu)$ where

- $A$ is an affine algebraic variety $/ K$, and
- $\alpha: A \times A \rightarrow A$ and $\mu: A \times A \rightarrow A$ are regular maps ("addition" and "multiplication")
such that
- $(A, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is a commutative algebraic group,
- $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\mu}(x, y), z)=\boldsymbol{\mu}(x, \boldsymbol{\mu}(y, z)) \quad$ ("associativity"),
- $\boldsymbol{\mu}(x, \boldsymbol{\alpha}(y, z))=\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\mu}(x, y), \boldsymbol{\mu}(x, z))$ and

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(x, y), z)=\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\mu}(x, z), \boldsymbol{\mu}(y, z)) \quad \text { ("distributivity"). }
$$

Our algebraic rings will always be commutative and unital.
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## Construction of algebraic ring for $S_{3}$

Let $G=S L_{3}$.
Consider a representation $\rho: E_{3}(R) \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$.
Set $H=\overline{\rho\left(E_{3}(R)\right)}$.
Let $A=\overline{\rho\left(e_{13}(R)\right)}$. To define addition operation, let

$$
\alpha: A \times A \rightarrow A
$$

be the restriction of product in $H$ to $A$.
Then $(A, \alpha)$ is a commutative algebraic group.
Define $f: R \rightarrow A$ by $t \mapsto \rho\left(e_{13}(t)\right)$ and note that

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), f\left(t_{2}\right)\right)=f\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad t_{1}, t_{2} \in R .
$$

## Construction of algebraic ring for $S L_{3}$ (cont.)

To define multiplication operation $\mu: A \times A \rightarrow A$, we need

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{12}=e_{12}(1) e_{21}(-1) e_{12}(1) & =\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
w_{23} & =e_{23}(1) e_{32}(-1) e_{23}(1)=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Construction of algebraic ring for $S L_{3}$ (cont.)

To define multiplication operation $\mu: A \times A \rightarrow A$, we need

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{12}=e_{12}(1) e_{21}(-1) e_{12}(1) & =\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
w_{23} & =e_{23}(1) e_{32}(-1) e_{23}(1)=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
w_{12}^{-1} e_{13}(r) w_{12}=e_{23}(r), \quad w_{23} e_{13}(r) w_{23}^{-1}=e_{12}(r)
$$

and

$$
\left[e_{12}(r), e_{23}(s)\right]=e_{13}(r s)
$$

## Construction of algebraic ring for $S L_{3}$ (cont.)

Define a regular map $\boldsymbol{\mu}: A \times A \rightarrow H$ by

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\left[\rho\left(w_{23}\right) a_{1} \rho\left(w_{23}\right)^{-1}, \rho\left(w_{12}\right)^{-1} a_{2} \rho\left(w_{12}\right)\right] .
$$
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## Construction of algebraic ring for $S L_{3}$ (cont.)

Define a regular map $\boldsymbol{\mu}: A \times A \rightarrow H$ by

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\left[\rho\left(w_{23}\right) a_{1} \rho\left(w_{23}\right)^{-1}, \rho\left(w_{12}\right)^{-1} a_{2} \rho\left(w_{12}\right)\right] .
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), f\left(t_{2}\right)\right)=f\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}(A \times A) \subset A
$$

As $R$ is a commutative ring and $f$ has Zariski-dense image we conclude that
$(A, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ is a commutative algebraic ring with identity.
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Notice that

> Any finite-dimensional K-algebra $A$ has a natural structure of an algebraic ring.

## Conversely:

Theorem. Let $A$ be an algebraic ring / $K$ where char $K=0$. Then there exists a finite-dimensional K-algebra $B$ and $a$ finite ring $C$ such that

$$
A=B \oplus C
$$

In particular, any connected algebraic ring/K is a finite-dimensional K-algebra.
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## Structure of algebraic rings in characteristic 0 (cont.)

To summarize:

- Starting with a representation $\rho: G(R)^{+} \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$, we construct an algebraic ring $A$.
- If char $K=0$, then $A=B \oplus C$.
- The finite-dimensional K-algebra $B$ is the algebra that appears in Theorem 2.
- A nontrivial finite ring $C$ necessitates the passage to a finite-index subgroup.
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Structure theorem is false if char $K=p>0$.
Example. Set $A=K \oplus K$ with the usual addition and the following multiplication

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\left(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{p} y_{2}+x_{2}^{p} y_{1}\right) .
$$

Then $A$ is an algebraic ring with identity element $(1,0)$.
But $A$ is not a $K$-algebra: consider

$$
\varphi: A \rightarrow A, \quad a \mapsto \mu(a,(0,1)) .
$$

Then $\varphi((x, y))=\left(0, x^{p}\right)$, hence $d_{(0,0)} \varphi \equiv 0$.
If $A \simeq$ an algebra, then $\varphi$ would be a nonzero linear map, hence its differential would be $\not \equiv 0$.
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## Algebraic rings in char. $p$ (cont.)

Nevertheless, $A$ is related to a $K$-algebra.
Let

$$
A^{\prime}=K \oplus K
$$

with the usual addition and the following multiplication

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\left(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}\right)
$$

Then $\quad A^{\prime} \simeq K[\varepsilon]$, where $\varepsilon^{2}=0$, hence a $K$-algebra.

The map

$$
\psi: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A, \quad(x, y) \mapsto\left(x, y^{p}\right)
$$

is a morphism of algebraic rings and an isomorphism of abstract rings, but not an isomorphism of algebraic rings.
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## Algebraic rings in char. $p$ (cont.)

Proposition. (D. Boyarchenko-I.R.) Let $A$ be a connected algebraic ring / K, where char $K=p>0$, such that $p A=0$.

Then there exists a finite-dimensional K-algebra $B$ and a morphism of algebraic rings $B \rightarrow A$ that is an isomorphism of abstract rings.

Using this, some of our results can be extended to char $p$.

In particular, we generalize a rigidity result of G. Seitz.
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## Rigidity over rings of integers

## Notations:

- $\Phi$ - reduced irreducible root system of rank $\geqslant 2$
- G-corresponding Chevalley-Demazure group scheme/ $\mathbb{Z}$
- $R$ - commutative ring such that $(\Phi, R)$ is a nice pair
- K - algebraically closed field of characteristic 0

Theorem 2 implies the following classical result:

Theorem 8. Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ is a ring of $S$-integers in a number field
L. Then any representation $\rho: G(\mathcal{O})^{+} \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$ has a standard description.
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## Rigidity over rings of integers (cont.)

Key point: Since there are no nontrivial derivations $\delta: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow K$

- $H^{\circ}={\overline{\rho\left(G(\mathcal{O})^{+}\right.}}^{\circ}$ is automatically reductive
- algebraic ring associated to $\rho$ is of the form

$$
A=B \oplus C
$$

with $B \simeq K \times \cdots \times K$ and $C$ finite.

Theorem 8 then follows from Theorem 2.

This general strategy can be applied to rings with "few" derivations to analyze reps of some non-arithmetic groups.
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For a ring homomorphism $g: R \rightarrow K$, let $\operatorname{Der}^{g}(R, K)$ be the $K$-vector space of maps $\delta: R \rightarrow K$ such that

$$
\delta\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)=\delta\left(r_{1}\right)+\delta\left(r_{2}\right) \text { and } \delta\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)=\delta\left(r_{1}\right) g\left(r_{2}\right)+g\left(r_{1}\right) \delta\left(r_{2}\right)
$$

for all $r_{1}, r_{2} \in R$ (derivations of $R$ with respect to $g$ ).

Theorem 9. (I.R.) Suppose $\operatorname{dim}_{K} \operatorname{Der}^{g}(R, K) \leqslant 1$ for all homomorphisms $g: R \rightarrow K$. Then any representation $\rho: G(R)^{+} \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$ has a standard description.

Corollary. If $\mathcal{O}$ is a ring of integers in a number field, then any representation $\rho: S L_{m}(\mathcal{O}[X]) \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)(m \geqslant 3)$ has a standard description.
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## Idea of the proof

Set $H=\overline{\rho\left(G(R)^{+}\right)}$and $U=R_{u}\left(H^{\circ}\right)$.
The proof of Theorem 2 yields a standard description for $\rho$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(H^{\circ}\right) \cap U=\{e\} . \tag{Z}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify ( $Z$ ) in our situation, we use:

- algebraic ring associated to $\rho$ is of the form

$$
A=B \oplus C
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with $B \simeq K\left[\varepsilon_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times K\left[\varepsilon_{r}\right], \varepsilon_{i}^{d_{i}}=0$ for $d_{i} \geqslant 1$, and $C$ finite;

## Idea of the proof

Set $H=\overline{\rho\left(G(R)^{+}\right)}$and $U=R_{u}\left(H^{\circ}\right)$.
The proof of Theorem 2 yields a standard description for $\rho$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(H^{\circ}\right) \cap U=\{e\} . \tag{Z}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify ( $Z$ ) in our situation, we use:

- algebraic ring associated to $\rho$ is of the form

$$
A=B \oplus C
$$

with $B \simeq K\left[\varepsilon_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times K\left[\varepsilon_{r}\right], \varepsilon_{i}^{d_{i}}=0$ for $d_{i} \geqslant 1$, and $C$ finite;

- For $\widetilde{A}=K[\varepsilon], \varepsilon^{d}=0$ for $d \geqslant 1$, any central extension of algebraic groups over $K$ of the form

$$
1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow E \rightarrow G(\widetilde{A}) \rightarrow 1
$$

with $W=\mathbb{G}_{a}^{\ell}$ a vector group, splits. (Observed by Gabber.)
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Notice:
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## Derivations and standard descriptions (cont.)

Notice:

- For $\rho: G(\mathcal{O}[X])^{+} \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$, the restriction $\left.\rho\right|_{G(\mathcal{O})^{+}}$is completely reducible.
- We have $\left.\delta\right|_{\mathcal{O}}=0$ for any $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}^{8}(\mathcal{O}[X], K)$.


## In general:

If $R$ a comm. $k$-algebra and $g: R \rightarrow K$ a ring hom., consider $\operatorname{Der}_{k}^{g}(R, K)=$ set of derivations $\delta: R \rightarrow K$ such that $\left.\delta\right|_{k}=0$.

## Rigidity over coordinate rings of affine curves

Theorem 10. (I.R.) Suppose $\operatorname{dim}_{K} \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{g}(R, K) \leqslant 1$ for all homomorphisms $g: R \rightarrow K$. Then any representation $\rho: G(R)^{+} \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$ such that $\left.\rho\right|_{G(k)^{+}}$is completely reducible has a standard description.

## Rigidity over coordinate rings of affine curves

Theorem 10. (I.R.) Suppose $\operatorname{dim}_{K} \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{g}(R, K) \leqslant 1$ for all homomorphisms $g: R \rightarrow K$. Then any representation $\rho: G(R)^{+} \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$ such that $\left.\rho\right|_{G(k)^{+}}$is completely reducible has a standard description.

Corollary. Suppose $C$ is a smooth affine algebraic curve over a number field $k$, with coordinate ring $R=k[C]$. Then any representation $\rho: G(R)^{+} \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$ has a standard description.

## Outline
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- Work of Borel and Tits
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- Applications to character varieties
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## Representation and character varieties

Applications to character varieties are one motivation for studying representations with non-reductive image.

Let

- $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated group,
- $K$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 .

One can define

- $R_{n}(\Gamma)=$ variety of representations $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$

$$
\left(n^{\text {th }}\right. \text { representation variety) }
$$

- $X_{n}(\Gamma)=$ (categorical) quotient of $R_{n}(\Gamma)$ by $G L_{n}(K)$ ( $n^{\text {th }}$ character variety)

Suppose that $R$ is a finitely generated commutative ring, $\Phi$ is a reduced irreducible root system of rank $\geqslant 2$.
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Then $\Gamma=G(R)^{+}$has property ( T ) (Ershov-Jaikin-Kassabov), in particular is finitely generated.
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Suppose that $R$ is a finitely generated commutative ring, $\Phi$ is a reduced irreducible root system of rank $\geqslant 2$.

Then $\Gamma=G(R)^{+}$has property ( T ) (Ershov-Jaikin-Kassabov), in particular is finitely generated.

So, varieties $R_{n}(\Gamma)$ and $X_{n}(\Gamma)$ are defined.

Assume now that
$R$ is a finitely generated commutative ring, and $(\Phi, R)$ is a nice pair.

## Linear bound on the dimension

Theorem 5. (I.R.) There exists a constant $c=c(R)$ (depending only on $R$ ) such that $\varkappa_{\Gamma}(n):=\operatorname{dim} X_{n}(\Gamma)$ satisfies

$$
\varkappa_{\Gamma}(n) \leqslant c \cdot n
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$.
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## Linear bound on the dimension

Theorem 5. (I.R.) There exists a constant $c=c(R)$ (depending only on $R$ ) such that $\varkappa_{\Gamma}(n):=\operatorname{dim} X_{n}(\Gamma)$ satisfies

$$
\varkappa_{\Gamma}(n) \leqslant c \cdot n
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$.

Remarks.

- Constant $c$ is related to dimension of space of derivations of $R$.
- If $R$ is the ring of $S$-integers in a number field (e.g. $\mathbb{Z}$ ), then $c=0$, hence $\Gamma$ is $S S$-rigid.
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tangent space to $X_{n}(\Gamma)$ at $[\rho]$
by dimension of $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Ad}_{G L_{n}} \circ \rho\right)$.
(based on ideas going back to A. Weil)
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One then uses standard descriptions of representations of $\Gamma$ with non-reductive image (Theorem 2) to relate this cohomology group to a space of derivations of $R$.
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## Remarks

- For $\Gamma=F_{d}$, the free group on $d>1$ generators,

$$
\varkappa_{\Gamma}(n)=(d-1) n^{2}+1
$$

(i.e. quadratic in $n$ ).

- Hence, rate of growth of $\varkappa_{\Gamma}(n)$ at most quadratic for any finitely generated $\Gamma$.
- If $\Gamma$ is not $S S$-rigid, then rate of growth of $\varkappa_{\Gamma}(n)$ is at least linear in $n$ (I.R.)
- Thus, conjecture predicts that rate of growth of $\varkappa_{\Gamma}(n)$ is minimum possible if $\Gamma$ is Kazhdan.
- For any $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(n) / n$ is non-decreasing and $f(n) \leqslant n(n-1) / 2$, there exists a f.g. group $\Gamma_{f}$ such that $\varkappa_{\Gamma_{f}}(n)=f(n)$ for all $n \geqslant 3$ (M. Kassabov).
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## Realizing affine varieties as character varieties

Question. What affine varieties can be realized as $X_{n}(\Gamma)$ for some finitely generated group $\Gamma$ and some $n \geqslant 1$ ?

Same question with $\Gamma$ having some special properties.

Note that $X_{n}(\Gamma)$ is an affine variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Are there any other restrictions?

## Realizing affine varieties as character varieties (cont.)

Theorem 6. (Kapovich-Millson, 1998) For any affine variety $S$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, there is an Artin group $\Gamma$ such that a Zariskiopen subset $U$ of $S$ is biregular isomorphic to a Zariski-open subset of $X(\Gamma, P O(3))$.

## Realizing affine varieties as character varieties (cont.)

Theorem 6. (Kapovich-Millson, 1998) For any affine variety $S$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, there is an Artin group $\Gamma$ such that a Zariskiopen subset $U$ of $S$ is biregular isomorphic to a Zariski-open subset of $X(\Gamma, P O(3))$.

Theorem 7. (I.R.) Let $S$ be an affine algebraic variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. There exist a finitely generated group $\Gamma$ having Kazhdan's property $(T)$ and an integer $m \geqslant 1$ such that there is a biregular isomorphism of complex algebraic varieties

$$
\varphi: S(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow X_{m}(\Gamma) \backslash\left\{\left[\rho_{0}\right]\right\}
$$

(where $\rho_{0}$ is the trivial representation).
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## Idea of the proof

- Let $\mathbb{Q}[S]$ be the ring of $\mathbb{Q}$-regular functions on $S$.
- Let $R \subset \mathbb{Q}[S]$ be a f.g. ring such that $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{Q}[S]$.
- Localize $R$ more if necessary

$$
R \rightarrow R\left[\frac{1}{N}\right]
$$

(for a sufficiently large integer $N$ ).

- Let $G=S p_{2 n}, n \geqslant 2$.
- Set $\Gamma=G(R)^{+}, \quad m=2 n$.
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