Some canonical metrics on four manifolds: rigidity and existence

Giovanni Catino

Politecnico di Milano

Nonlinear Geometric PDEs, Banff, 2019

Canonical Riemannian metrics

"It is geometers dream to find a canonical metric g_{best} on a given smooth manifold M so that all topology of M will be captured by geometry." [M. Gromov]

 (M^n,g) smooth Riemannian manifold, dim $(M^n) = n \ge 2$, $\partial M = \emptyset$.

g metric \rightsquigarrow Riemann ($Riem_g$), Ricci (Ric_g) and scalar curvature (R_g) In coordinates:

$$Riem_{g} = (Riem)_{ijkl} \stackrel{\text{trace}}{\longrightarrow} Ric_{g} = (Ric)_{ik} = g^{jl} (Riem)_{ijkl} \stackrel{\text{trace}}{\longrightarrow} R_{g} = g^{ik} (Ric)_{ik}$$

- Constant curvature: $Riem_g = \lambda g \bigotimes g$
- Constant Ricci curvature: $Ric_g = \lambda g$
- Constant scalar curvature: $R_g = \lambda$

Space forms Einstein metrics Yamabe metrics

* G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, A potential generalization of some canonical Riemannian metrics , Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., to appear.

Canonical Riemannian metrics

"It is geometers dream to find a canonical metric g_{best} on a given smooth manifold M so that all topology of M will be captured by geometry." [M. Gromov]

 (M^n, g) smooth Riemannian manifold, dim $(M^n) = n \ge 2$, $\partial M = \emptyset$.

g metric \rightsquigarrow Riemann ($Riem_g$), Ricci (Ric_g) and scalar curvature (R_g) In coordinates:

$$Riem_{g} = (Riem)_{ijkl} \xrightarrow{trace} Ric_{g} = (Ric)_{ik} = g^{jl} (Riem)_{ijkl} \xrightarrow{trace} R_{g} = g^{ik} (Ric)_{ik}$$

- Constant curvature: $Riem_g = \lambda g \bigotimes g$ Space forms
- Constant Ricci curvature: $Ric_g = \lambda g$ Einstein metrics
- Constant scalar curvature: $R_g = \lambda$ Yamabe metrics
- * G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, A potential generalization of some canonical Riemannian metrics , Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., to appear.

Canonical metrics as critical points of curvature functionals. Let M closed,

$$\mathfrak{S}(g) = \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{-\frac{n-2}{n}} \int_M R_g \, dV_g$$
 Einstein-Hilbert functional

g is **critical** for $\mathfrak{S}(g) \iff g$ is Einstein, i.e. $\mathit{Ric}_g = \lambda \, g, \, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

- If *n* = 3, then Einstein metrics have constant curvature.
- If n = 4, it is well known that there are topological obstructions to the existence of an Einstein metric (e.g. Hitchin-Thorpe: $\chi(M) \ge \frac{3}{2} |\tau(M)|$).
- If n > 4, still unknown.

On the other hand, the constrained problem in a conformal class (Yamabe problem) is unobstructed. More precisely the Yamabe invariant

$$\mathcal{Y}(M,[g]) = \inf_{\tilde{g}\in[g]} \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{g}) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(M)} \frac{\int_{M} |\nabla u|^2 \, dV_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{M} R \, u^2 \, dV_g}{\left(\int_{M} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \, dV_g\right)^{(n-2)/n}}$$

Canonical metrics as critical points of curvature functionals. Let M closed,

$$\mathfrak{S}(g) = \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{-\frac{n-2}{n}} \int_M R_g \, dV_g$$
 Einstein-Hilbert functional

g is **critical** for $\mathfrak{S}(g) \iff g$ is **Einstein**, i.e. $\operatorname{Ric}_g = \lambda g$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

- If *n* = 3, then Einstein metrics have constant curvature.
- If n = 4, it is well known that there are topological obstructions to the existence of an Einstein metric (e.g. Hitchin-Thorpe: $\chi(M) \ge \frac{3}{2} |\tau(M)|$).
- If n > 4, still unknown.

On the other hand, the constrained problem in a conformal class (Yamabe problem) is unobstructed. More precisely the Yamabe invariant

$$\mathcal{Y}(M,[g]) = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{g}) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(M)} \frac{\int_{M} |\nabla u|^2 \, dV_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{M} R \, u^2 \, dV_g}{\left(\int_{M} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \, dV_g\right)^{(n-2)/n}}$$

Canonical metrics as critical points of curvature functionals. Let M closed,

$$\mathfrak{S}(g) = \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{-\frac{n-2}{n}} \int_M R_g \, dV_g$$
 Einstein-Hilbert functional

g is **critical** for $\mathfrak{S}(g) \iff g$ is Einstein, i.e. $\operatorname{Ric}_g = \lambda \, g$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

- If n = 3, then Einstein metrics have constant curvature.
- If n = 4, it is well known that there are topological obstructions to the existence of an Einstein metric (e.g. Hitchin-Thorpe: $\chi(M) \ge \frac{3}{2} |\tau(M)|$).
- If n > 4, still unknown.

On the other hand, the constrained problem in a conformal class (Yamabe problem) is unobstructed. More precisely the Yamabe invariant

$$\mathcal{Y}(M,[g]) = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{g}) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(M)} \frac{\int_{M} |\nabla u|^2 \, dV_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{M} R \, u^2 \, dV_g}{\left(\int_{M} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \, dV_g\right)^{(n-2)/n}}$$

Canonical metrics as critical points of curvature functionals. Let M closed,

$$\mathfrak{S}(g) = \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{-\frac{n-2}{n}} \int_M R_g \, dV_g$$
 Einstein-Hilbert functional

g is **critical** for $\mathfrak{S}(g) \iff g$ is **Einstein**, i.e. $\operatorname{Ric}_g = \lambda g$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

- If n = 3, then Einstein metrics have constant curvature.
- If n = 4, it is well known that there are topological obstructions to the existence of an Einstein metric (e.g. Hitchin-Thorpe: $\chi(M) \ge \frac{3}{2} |\tau(M)|$).
- If n > 4, still unknown.

On the other hand, the constrained problem in a conformal class (Yamabe problem) is unobstructed. More precisely the Yamabe invariant

$$\mathcal{Y}(M,[g]) = \inf_{\tilde{g}\in[g]} \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{g}) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(M)} \frac{\int_{M} |\nabla u|^2 \, dV_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{M} R \, u^2 \, dV_g}{\left(\int_{M} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \, dV_g\right)^{(n-2)/n}}$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$${\it Riem}_{g}=rac{R_{g}}{2n(n-1)}\left(gigodot g
ight)+Weyl_{g}$$

- In dimension n = 3 $Weyl_g = 0$, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is **totally trace free**, i.e. $g^{ik}W_{ijkl} = 0$.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$${\it Riem}_{g}=rac{R_{g}}{2n(n-1)}\left(gigodot g
ight)+Weyl_{g}$$

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is **totally trace free**, i.e. $g^{ik}W_{ijkl} = 0$.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for $Riem_g$: $\nabla_t R_{ijkl} + \nabla_l R_{ijtk} + \nabla_k R_{ijlt} = 0$ and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has **zero divergence**, i.e. $\nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$ (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$\textit{Riem}_{g} = rac{\textit{R}_{g}}{2\textit{n}(n-1)} \left(\textit{g} \oslash \textit{g}
ight) + \textit{Weyl}_{g}$$

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is **totally trace free**, i.e. $g^{ik}W_{ijkl} = 0$.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$\textit{Riem}_{g} = rac{\textit{R}_{g}}{2n(n-1)} \left(g \oslash g
ight) + \textit{Weyl}_{g}$$

So, all the *geometric* information of an Einstein manifold are contained in the Weyl tensor. In particular, if $Weyl_g = 0$, then (M^n, g) is a space form.

Some well known facts:

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is **totally trace free**, i.e. $g^{ik}W_{ijkl} = 0$.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$\textit{Riem}_{g} = rac{\textit{R}_{g}}{2\textit{n}(\textit{n}-1)} \left(\textit{g} \oslash \textit{g}
ight) + \textit{Weyl}_{g}$$

So, all the *geometric* information of an Einstein manifold are contained in the **Weyl tensor**. In particular, if $Weyl_g = 0$, then (M^n, g) is a space form.

Some well known facts:

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is totally trace free, i.e. g^{ik} W_{ijkl} = 0.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$\textit{Riem}_{g} = rac{\textit{R}_{g}}{2\textit{n}(\textit{n}-1)} \left(\textit{g} \oslash \textit{g}
ight) + \textit{Weyl}_{g}$$

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is totally trace free, i.e. g^{ik} W_{ijkl} = 0.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$\textit{Riem}_{g} = rac{\textit{R}_{g}}{2\textit{n}(\textit{n}-1)} \left(\textit{g} \oslash \textit{g}
ight) + \textit{Weyl}_{g}$$

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is totally trace free, i.e. g^{ik} W_{ijkl} = 0.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$Riem_{g} = rac{R_{g}}{2n(n-1)} \left(g \otimes g\right) + Weyl_{g}$$

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is **totally trace free**, i.e. $g^{ik}W_{ijkl} = 0$.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$Riem_{g} = rac{R_{g}}{2n(n-1)} \left(g \otimes g\right) + Weyl_{g}$$

So, all the *geometric* information of an Einstein manifold are contained in the **Weyl tensor**. In particular, if $Weyl_g = 0$, then (M^n, g) is a space form. Some well known facts:

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is **totally trace free**, i.e. $g^{ik}W_{ijkl} = 0$.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).

• With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

 $abla_t W_{ijkl} +
abla_l W_{ijtk} +
abla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$

Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold, i.e. $Ric_g = \lambda g$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ [Besse]. In particular, by tracing, the scalar curvature is constant $R_g = n \lambda$. By the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$Riem_{g} = rac{R_{g}}{2n(n-1)} \left(g \otimes g\right) + Weyl_{g}$$

- In dimension n = 3 Weyl_g = 0, so every Einstein manifold is a space form.
- The Weyl tensor is **totally trace free**, i.e. $g^{ik}W_{ijkl} = 0$.
- Tracing the second Bianchi identity for Riem_g: ∇_tR_{ijkl} + ∇_lR_{ijtk} + ∇_kR_{ijlt} = 0 and using the decomposition, we get that the Weyl tensor has zero divergence, i.e. ∇_tW_{ijkt} = 0 (harmonic Weyl curvature).
- With some work, one can show that the Weyl tensor satisfies the **second Bianchi Identity**

$$\nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k W_{ijlt} = 0$$

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij}$$

In particular,

$$\label{eq:weylg} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{Weyl}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{Weyl}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|\textit{Weyl}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{ijkl}\textit{W}_{ijpq}\textit{W}_{klpq}\,.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$0 = \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt}$$

= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$
= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$

Since ${\it Riem}_g=(R_g/24)(g igodot g)+Weyl_g$ and $Weyl_g$ is trace free $\stackrel{...}{\Longrightarrow}$ [

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij} \,.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|Weyl_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$0 = \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt}$$

= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$
= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$

Since ${\it Riem}_g=(R_g/24)(g \odot g)+Weyl_g$ and $Weyl_g$ is trace free $\stackrel{...}{\Longrightarrow}$ [

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij} \, .$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|Weyl_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$0 = \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt}$$

= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$
= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$

Since ${\it Riem}_g=(R_g/24)(g \oslash g)+Weyl_g$ and $Weyl_g$ is trace free $\stackrel{...}{\Longrightarrow}$ [

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij} \,.$$

In particular,

$$\label{eq:constraint} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq}}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq}}\,.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$0 = \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt}$$

= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$
= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$

Since ${\it Riem}_g=({\it R}_g/24)(g igodot g)+{\it Weyl}_g$ and ${\it Weyl}_g$ is trace free $\stackrel{...}{\Longrightarrow}$ [

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = rac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij}$$
.

In particular,

$$\label{eq:constraint} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq}}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq}}\,.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$D = \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt}$$

= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$
= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$

Since ${\it Riem}_g=(R_g/24)(g igodot g)+Weyl_g$ and $Weyl_g$ is trace free $\stackrel{...}{\Longrightarrow}$ [

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\mathit{WeyI_g}|^2 = |\nabla \mathit{WeyI_g}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|\mathit{WeyI_g}|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt} \\ &= \Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g \\ &= \Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g \end{split}$$

Since ${\it Riem_g}=(R_g/24)(g igodot g)+Weyl_g$ and $Weyl_g$ is trace free $\stackrel{...}{\Longrightarrow}$ [

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij}$$

In particular,

$$\label{eq:constraint} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq}}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq}}\,.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$0 = \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt}$$

= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$
= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$

Since ${\it Riem_g}=(R_g/24)(g igodot g)+Weyl_g$ and $Weyl_g$ is trace free $\stackrel{...}{\Longrightarrow}$ [

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij}$$

In particular,

$$\label{eq:constraint} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq}}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq}}\,.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$0 = \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt}$$

= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$
= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$

Since $\mathit{Riem}_g = (\mathit{R}_g/24)(\mathit{g} \oslash \mathit{g}) + \mathit{Weyl}_g$ and Weyl_g is trace free

Proposition (Derdzinski, '83)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\Delta W_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_g W_{ijkl} - 4 W_{ipkq} W_{pjql} - W_{klpq} W_{pqij}$$

In particular,

$$\label{eq:constraint} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq}}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq}}\,.$$

More in general, the last formula holds on four-manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature.

Proof: Taking the divergence of the second Bianchi identity for $Weyl_g$ and commuting, we get

$$0 = \nabla_t \nabla_t W_{ijkl} + \nabla_t \nabla_l W_{ijtk} + \nabla_t \nabla_k W_{ijlt}$$

= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + \nabla_l \nabla_t W_{ijtk} + \nabla_k \nabla_t W_{ijlt} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$
= $\Delta W_{ijkl} + Riem_g * Weyl_g$

Since $\mathit{Riem}_g = (\mathit{R}_g/24)(\mathit{g} \oslash \mathit{g}) + \mathit{Weyl}_g$ and Weyl_g is trace free $\stackrel{\cdots}{\Longrightarrow}$

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|Weyl_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4, g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |Weyl_g|^2 < rac{1}{20} \int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Giovanni Catino (Politecnico di Milano)

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|Weyl_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}.$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4, g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |Weyl_g|^2 < rac{1}{20} \int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Giovanni Catino (Politecnico di Milano)

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\mathit{Weyl}_g|^2 = |\nabla \mathit{Weyl}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|\mathit{Weyl}_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4, g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |Weyl_g|^2 < \frac{1}{20} \int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Giovanni Catino (Politecnico di Milano)

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

$$rac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |
abla \textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + rac{1}{2}R_g |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}$$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4, g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |Weyl_g|^2 < \frac{1}{20} \int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Giovanni Catino (Politecnico di Milano)

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|Weyl_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}$$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an integral pinching assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4, g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |Weyl_g|^2 < \frac{1}{20} \int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Giovanni Catino (Politecnico di Milano)

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{ijkl}\textit{W}_{ijpq}\textit{W}_{klpq}$$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4, g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |Weyl_{g}|^{2} < \frac{1}{20} \int_{M} R_{g}^{2}$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Giovanni Catino (Politecnico di Milano)

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\mathit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla \mathit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|\mathit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}$$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4,g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |W\!e\!y\!l_g|^2 < rac{1}{20}\int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\mathit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla \mathit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|\mathit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}$$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4,g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |W\!e\!y\!l_g|^2 < rac{1}{20}\int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^4.$

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{ijkl}\textit{W}_{ijpq}\textit{W}_{klpq}$$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4,g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |\mathit{Weyl}_g|^2 < rac{1}{20} \int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Giovanni Catino (Politecnico di Milano)

Now let (M^4, g) be a closed Einstein manifolds with positive (constant) scalar curvature $R_g > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|Weyl_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}$$

By maximum principle, if $||Weyl_g||_{\infty} \leq c R_g$, for some sufficiently small c, then Weyl = 0. Thus, (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In fact, one can get the same conclusion with an **integral pinching** assumption. Namely, we have

Theorem (Singer, Hebey-Vaugon, Gursky, '90s)

Every four dimensional closed Einstein manifold (M^4,g) satisfying

$$\int_{M} |\mathit{Weyl}_g|^2 < rac{1}{20} \int_{M} R_g^2$$

is isometric to a quotient of the round sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .

Element of the proof: Bochner-Weitzenböch formula, Obata Theorem and Yamabe-Sobolev inequality. Optimal constant: 1/6 [Gursky-Lebrun].

Giovanni Catino (Politecnico di Milano)

Generally speaking, we would like to understand more properties on the **Weyl** tensor of Einstein manifolds (in dimension four). We start from the following simple observation: if a smooth function u satisfies a semilinear equation $\Delta u = f(u)$ on a four dimensional Einstein manifold, then the classical Bochner formula becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}R_g + f'(u)\right)|\nabla u|^2$$

Thus, under suitable assumptions, one can deduce Liouville type results for this class of PDEs. To this aim we computed a **Bochner-Weitzenböch formula** for the covariant derivatives of the Weyl tensor, obtaining:

Theorem 1 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then,

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12}R_g |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 - 10W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq,t}W_{klpq,t}.$

Generally speaking, we would like to understand more properties on the **Weyl tensor** of Einstein manifolds (in dimension four). We start from the following simple observation: if a smooth function u satisfies a semilinear equation $\Delta u = f(u)$ on a four dimensional Einstein manifold, then the classical Bochner formula becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}R_g + f'(u)\right)|\nabla u|^2$$

Thus, under suitable assumptions, one can deduce Liouville type results for this class of PDEs. To this aim we computed a **Bochner-Weitzenböch formula** for the covariant derivatives of the Weyl tensor, obtaining:

Theorem 1 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then,

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12}R_g |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 - 10W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq,t}W_{klpq,t}.$

Generally speaking, we would like to understand more properties on the **Weyl tensor** of Einstein manifolds (in dimension four). We start from the following simple observation: if a smooth function u satisfies a semilinear equation $\Delta u = f(u)$ on a four dimensional Einstein manifold, then the classical Bochner formula becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}R_g + f'(u)\right)|\nabla u|^2$$

Thus, under suitable assumptions, one can deduce Liouville type results for this class of PDEs. To this aim we computed a **Bochner-Weitzenböch formula** for the covariant derivatives of the Weyl tensor, obtaining:

Theorem 1 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then,

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12}R_g |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 - 10W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq,t}W_{klpq,t}.$

Generally speaking, we would like to understand more properties on the **Weyl tensor** of Einstein manifolds (in dimension four). We start from the following simple observation: if a smooth function u satisfies a semilinear equation $\Delta u = f(u)$ on a four dimensional Einstein manifold, then the classical Bochner formula becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}R_g + f'(u)\right)|\nabla u|^2.$$

Thus, under suitable assumptions, one can deduce Liouville type results for this class of PDEs. To this aim we computed a **Bochner-Weitzenböch formula** for the covariant derivatives of the Weyl tensor, obtaining:

Theorem 1 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then,

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 Weyl_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12}R_g |\nabla Weyl_g|^2 - 10W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq,t}W_{klpq,t}.$

Generally speaking, we would like to understand more properties on the **Weyl tensor** of Einstein manifolds (in dimension four). We start from the following simple observation: if a smooth function u satisfies a semilinear equation $\Delta u = f(u)$ on a four dimensional Einstein manifold, then the classical Bochner formula becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}R_g + f'(u)\right)|\nabla u|^2.$$

Thus, under suitable assumptions, one can deduce Liouville type results for this class of PDEs. To this aim we computed a **Bochner-Weitzenböch formula** for the covariant derivatives of the Weyl tensor, obtaining:

Theorem 1 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla W eyl_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 W eyl_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12} R_g |\nabla W eyl_g|^2 - 10 W_{ijkl} W_{ijpq,t} W_{klpq,t} \,.$$

We observe that this formula

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12}\textit{R}_g |\nabla \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 - 10\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq},t}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq},t}$

extends to the covariant derivative level Derdzinski identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\mathit{Weyl}_g|^2 = |\nabla \mathit{Weyl}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|\mathit{Weyl}_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

By standard commutation rules, it is quite easy to derive "rough" Bochner type identity for the covariant derivative of Weyl, and, with some work, even a formula for the k-th covariant derivative $\nabla^k W$.

The proof of the theorem, instead, relies heavily on the *algebraic structure* of curvature operators in dimension four. In fact, on an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension four (M^4, g) , Λ^2 decomposes as the sum of two subbundles $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^+ \otimes \Lambda^-$, which are the eigenspaces of the Hodge operator $\star : \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda^2$ corresponding respectively to the eigenvalue ± 1 . Since the Weyl tensor acts on Λ^2 , we have the decomposition

$$Weyl_g = W_g^+ + W_g^-$$

where the **self-dual** and **anti-self-dual** W^\pm are trace-free endomorphisms of Λ^\pm .

We observe that this formula

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12}\textit{R}_g |\nabla \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 - 10\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq},t}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq},t}$

extends to the covariant derivative level Derdzinski identity

$$\label{eq:constraint} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq}}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq}}\,.$$

By standard commutation rules, it is quite easy to derive "rough" Bochner type identity for the covariant derivative of Weyl, and, with some work, even a formula for the k-th covariant derivative $\nabla^k W$.

The proof of the theorem, instead, relies heavily on the *algebraic structure* of curvature operators in dimension four. In fact, on an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension four (M^4, g) , Λ^2 decomposes as the sum of two subbundles $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^+ \otimes \Lambda^-$, which are the eigenspaces of the Hodge operator $\star : \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda^2$ corresponding respectively to the eigenvalue ± 1 . Since the Weyl tensor acts on Λ^2 , we have the decomposition

$$Weyl_g = W_g^+ + W_g^-$$

where the **self-dual** and **anti-self-dual** W^\pm are trace-free endomorphisms of Λ^\pm .

We observe that this formula

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12}\textit{R}_g |\nabla \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 - 10\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq},t}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq},t}$

extends to the covariant derivative level Derdzinski identity

$$\label{eq:constraint} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{WeyI}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq}}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq}}\,.$$

By standard commutation rules, it is quite easy to derive "rough" Bochner type identity for the covariant derivative of Weyl, and, with some work, even a formula for the k-th covariant derivative $\nabla^k W$.

The proof of the theorem, instead, relies heavily on the *algebraic structure* of curvature operators in dimension four. In fact, on an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension four (M^4, g) , Λ^2 decomposes as the sum of two subbundles $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^+ \otimes \Lambda^-$, which are the eigenspaces of the Hodge operator $\star : \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda^2$ corresponding respectively to the eigenvalue ± 1 . Since the Weyl tensor acts on Λ^2 , we have the decomposition

$$Weyl_g = W_g^+ + W_g^-$$

where the **self-dual** and **anti-self-dual** W^\pm are trace-free endomorphisms of Λ^\pm .

We observe that this formula

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 = |\nabla^2 \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 + \frac{13}{12}\textit{R}_g |\nabla \textit{Weyl}_g|^2 - 10\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq},t}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq},t}$

extends to the covariant derivative level Derdzinski identity

$$\label{eq:constraint} \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\textit{Weyl}_g|^2 = |\nabla\textit{Weyl}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\textit{R}_g|\textit{Weyl}_g|^2 - 3\textit{W}_{\textit{ijkl}}\textit{W}_{\textit{ijpq}}\textit{W}_{\textit{klpq}}\,.$$

By standard commutation rules, it is quite easy to derive "rough" Bochner type identity for the covariant derivative of Weyl, and, with some work, even a formula for the k-th covariant derivative $\nabla^k W$.

The proof of the theorem, instead, relies heavily on the *algebraic structure* of curvature operators in dimension four. In fact, on an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension four (M^4, g) , Λ^2 decomposes as the sum of two subbundles $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^+ \otimes \Lambda^-$, which are the eigenspaces of the Hodge operator $\star : \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda^2$ corresponding respectively to the eigenvalue ± 1 . Since the Weyl tensor acts on Λ^2 , we have the decomposition

$$Weyl_g = W_g^+ + W_g^-$$

where the **self-dual** and **anti-self-dual** W^{\pm} are trace-free endomorphisms of Λ^{\pm} .

As a consequence, we can show the following:

Corollary 1 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a closed four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g^{\pm}|^2 - \frac{5}{3} \int |\Delta W_g^{\pm}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} R_g \int |\nabla W_g^{\pm}|^2 = 0,$$

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g^{\pm}|^2 + \frac{23}{12} R_g \int |\nabla W_g^{\pm}|^2 = \frac{5}{12} \int |W_g^{\pm}|^2 \Big(6|W_g^{\pm}|^2 - R_g^2 \Big).$$

Corollary 2 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature. If

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g|^2 \leq \frac{1}{12} R_g \int |\nabla W_g|^2 \,,$$

then (M^4,g) is isometric to either \mathbb{S}^4 , \mathbb{CP}^2 or quotients of $\mathbb{S}^2 imes \mathbb{S}^2$.

As a consequence, we can show the following:

Corollary 1 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a closed four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g^{\pm}|^2 - \frac{5}{3} \int |\Delta W_g^{\pm}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} R_g \int |\nabla W_g^{\pm}|^2 = 0,$$

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g^{\pm}|^2 + \frac{23}{12} R_g \int |\nabla W_g^{\pm}|^2 = \frac{5}{12} \int |W_g^{\pm}|^2 \Big(6|W_g^{\pm}|^2 - R_g^2 \Big).$$

Corollary 2 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature. If

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g|^2 \leq \frac{1}{12} R_g \int |\nabla W_g|^2 \,,$$

then (M^4, g) is isometric to either \mathbb{S}^4 , \mathbb{CP}^2 or quotients of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

As a consequence, we can show the following:

Corollary 1 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a closed four dimensional Einstein manifold. Then

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g^{\pm}|^2 - \frac{5}{3} \int |\Delta W_g^{\pm}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} R_g \int |\nabla W_g^{\pm}|^2 = 0,$$

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g^{\pm}|^2 + \frac{23}{12} R_g \int |\nabla W_g^{\pm}|^2 = \frac{5}{12} \int |W_g^{\pm}|^2 \Big(6|W_g^{\pm}|^2 - R_g^2 \Big).$$

Corollary 2 (C.-Mastrolia)

Let (M^4, g) be a four dimensional Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature. If

$$\int |\nabla^2 W_g|^2 \leq \frac{1}{12} R_g \int |\nabla W_g|^2 \,,$$

then (M^4,g) is isometric to either \mathbb{S}^4 , \mathbb{CP}^2 or quotients of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

$$SF \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

 \cap
 $\mathcal{H}W$

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

- Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.
- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

$egin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{SF} &\subset & \mathcal{E} &\subset & \mathcal{Y} \\ & & \cap & & \\ & & \mathcal{HW} \end{array}$

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

- Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.
- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

$\mathcal{SF} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ \cap \mathcal{HW}

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

- Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.
- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}.$$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{SF} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

 \cap
 \mathcal{HW}

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

- Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.
- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}.$$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{SF} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

 \cap
 \mathcal{HW}

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

• Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}.$$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{SF} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

 \cap
 \mathcal{HW}

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

- Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.
- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

 $\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}.$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{SF} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

 \cap
 \mathcal{HW}

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

- Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.
- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{SF} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

 \cap
 \mathcal{HW}

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

- Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.
- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{SF} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

 \cap
 \mathcal{HW}

Let M^4 be a closed manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M^4 has harmonic Weyl curvature if

$$\delta W = \nabla_t W_{ijkt} = 0$$

- Einstein metrics have harmonic Weyl curvature.
- There are topological obstructions to the existence of harmonic Weyl metrics (e.g. Bourguignon: either Einstein or $\tau(M) = 0$).
- As we have seen, Derdzinski proved that the Bochner-Weitzenböch formula holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |W_g|^2 = |\nabla W_g|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_g|W_g|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \,.$$

Actually this formula **characterizes** harmonic Weyl metrics on closed four manifolds. This follows from the integral identity [Chang-Gursky-Yang]

$$\int_{M} \left(|\nabla W_{g}|^{2} - 4|\delta W_{g}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g}|W_{g}|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} \right) dV_{g} = 0$$

From a variational point of view it seems natural to consider the quadratic scaling-invariant Riemannian functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Obviously harmonic Weyl metrics are critical points (absolute minima) of $\mathfrak{D}(g)$. In the same spirit of the Yamabe problem, we define the conformal invariant

$$\mathcal{D}(M,[g]) := \inf_{\widetilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{D}(\widetilde{g})$$

- 1. What are the geometric properties of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$?
- 2. Is the existence of minimizers guaranteed in every conformal class?
 - * G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric, submitted.

From a variational point of view it seems natural to consider the quadratic scaling-invariant Riemannian functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Obviously harmonic Weyl metrics are critical points (absolute minima) of $\mathfrak{D}(g)$. In the same spirit of the Yamabe problem, we define the conformal invariant

$$\mathcal{D}(M,[g]) := \inf_{\widetilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{D}(\widetilde{g})$$

- 1. What are the geometric properties of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$?
- 2. Is the existence of minimizers guaranteed in every conformal class?
 - * G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric, submitted.

From a variational point of view it seems natural to consider the quadratic scaling-invariant Riemannian functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Obviously harmonic Weyl metrics are critical points (absolute minima) of $\mathfrak{D}(g)$. In the same spirit of the Yamabe problem, we define the conformal invariant

$$D(M,[g]) := \inf_{\widetilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{D}(\widetilde{g})$$

- 1. What are the geometric properties of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$?
- 2. Is the existence of minimizers guaranteed in every conformal class?
 - * G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric, submitted.

From a variational point of view it seems natural to consider the quadratic scaling-invariant Riemannian functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Obviously harmonic Weyl metrics are critical points (absolute minima) of $\mathfrak{D}(g)$. In the same spirit of the Yamabe problem, we define the conformal invariant

$$\mathcal{D}(M,[g]) := \inf_{\widetilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{D}(\widetilde{g})$$

- 1. What are the geometric properties of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$?
- 2. Is the existence of minimizers guaranteed in every conformal class?
 - * G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric, submitted.

From a variational point of view it seems natural to consider the quadratic scaling-invariant Riemannian functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Obviously harmonic Weyl metrics are critical points (absolute minima) of $\mathfrak{D}(g)$. In the same spirit of the Yamabe problem, we define the conformal invariant

$$\mathcal{D}(M,[g]) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{D}(\tilde{g})$$

- 1. What are the geometric properties of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$?
- 2. Is the existence of minimizers guaranteed in every conformal class?
 - * G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric, submitted.

From a variational point of view it seems natural to consider the quadratic scaling-invariant Riemannian functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Obviously harmonic Weyl metrics are critical points (absolute minima) of $\mathfrak{D}(g)$. In the same spirit of the Yamabe problem, we define the conformal invariant

$$\mathcal{D}(M,[g]) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{D}(\tilde{g})$$

- 1. What are the geometric properties of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$?
- 2. Is the existence of minimizers guaranteed in every conformal class?
 - * G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric, submitted.

From a variational point of view it seems natural to consider the quadratic scaling-invariant Riemannian functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Obviously harmonic Weyl metrics are critical points (absolute minima) of $\mathfrak{D}(g)$. In the same spirit of the Yamabe problem, we define the conformal invariant

$$\mathcal{D}(M,[g]) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{D}(\tilde{g})$$

- 1. What are the geometric properties of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$?
- 2. Is the existence of minimizers guaranteed in every conformal class?

^{*} G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric, submitted.

From a variational point of view it seems natural to consider the quadratic scaling-invariant Riemannian functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Obviously harmonic Weyl metrics are critical points (absolute minima) of $\mathfrak{D}(g)$. In the same spirit of the Yamabe problem, we define the conformal invariant

$$\mathcal{D}(M,[g]) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \mathfrak{D}(\tilde{g})$$

- 1. What are the geometric properties of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$?
- 2. Is the existence of minimizers guaranteed in every conformal class?
 - * G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric, submitted.

We have the following characterization of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Proposition (C.-Mastrolia-Monticelli-Punzo)

A metric is critical in the conformal class for the functional $g\mapsto\mathfrak{D}(g)$ if and only if it satisfies the Weitzenböck formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|W|^{2} = |\nabla W|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}R|W|^{2} - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} - 8|\delta W|^{2} + \frac{4}{\text{Vol}(M)}\int_{M}|\delta W|^{2} dV$$

In this case we say that g is a weak harmonic Weyl metric.

$$SF \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

$$\cap$$

$$\mathcal{H}W \subset \mathcal{W}\mathcal{H}W$$

We have the following characterization of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Proposition (C.-Mastrolia-Monticelli-Punzo)

A metric is critical in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$ if and only if it satisfies the Weitzenböck formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|W|^2 = |\nabla W|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R|W|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} - 8|\delta W|^2 + \frac{4}{\text{Vol}(M)}\int_M |\delta W|^2 dV$$

In this case we say that g is a weak harmonic Weyl metric.

$$SF \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

$$\cap$$

$$\mathcal{H}W \subset \mathcal{W}\mathcal{H}W$$

We have the following characterization of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Proposition (C.-Mastrolia-Monticelli-Punzo)

A metric is critical in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$ if and only if it satisfies the Weitzenböck formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|W|^2 = |\nabla W|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R|W|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} - 8|\delta W|^2 + \frac{4}{\text{Vol}(M)}\int_M |\delta W|^2 dV$$

In this case we say that g is a weak harmonic Weyl metric.

$$SF \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

$$\cap$$

$$\mathcal{H}W \subset \mathcal{W}\mathcal{H}W$$

We have the following characterization of critical metrics in the conformal class for the functional

$$\mathfrak{D}(g) := \operatorname{Vol}_g(M)^{rac{1}{2}} \int_M |\delta_g W_g|_g^2 \, dV_g$$

Proposition (C.-Mastrolia-Monticelli-Punzo)

A metric is critical in the conformal class for the functional $g \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(g)$ if and only if it satisfies the Weitzenböck formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|W|^2 = |\nabla W|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R|W|^2 - 3W_{ijkl}W_{ijpq}W_{klpq} - 8|\delta W|^2 + \frac{4}{\text{Vol}(M)}\int_M |\delta W|^2 dV$$

In this case we say that g is a weak harmonic Weyl metric.

$$SF \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

 \cap
 $\mathcal{HW} \subset \mathcal{WHW}$

Existence of WHW metrics

Theorem 2 (C.-Mastrolia-Monticelli-Punzo)

On every closed four-dimensional manifold there exists a weak harmonic Weyl metric.

• Aubin proved that every closed Riemannian manifold admits a constant negative scalar curvature metric. Besides this one, Theorem 2 is the only existence result of a *canonical* metric, which generalizes the Einstein condition, on *every* four-dimensional Riemannian manifold, without any topological obstructions.

• The metric in Theorem 2 is constructed as follows: first, thanks to a result of Aubin, on every four-dimensional manifold M^4 we can choose a reference metric g_0 with $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} > 0$. Then, we prove that on (M^4, g_0) the infimum $\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0])$ is attained by a conformal metric $g \in [g_0]$, which is a weak harmonic Weyl metric. Moreover, we show that every critical point in the conformal class $[g_0]$ is necessarily a minimum point.

Existence of WHW metrics

Theorem 2 (C.-Mastrolia-Monticelli-Punzo)

On every closed four-dimensional manifold there exists a weak harmonic Weyl metric.

• Aubin proved that every closed Riemannian manifold admits a constant negative scalar curvature metric. Besides this one, Theorem 2 is the only existence result of a *canonical* metric, which generalizes the Einstein condition, on *every* four-dimensional Riemannian manifold, without any topological obstructions.

• The metric in Theorem 2 is constructed as follows: first, thanks to a result of Aubin, on every four-dimensional manifold M^4 we can choose a reference metric g_0 with $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} > 0$. Then, we prove that on (M^4, g_0) the infimum $\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0])$ is attained by a conformal metric $g \in [g_0]$, which is a weak harmonic Weyl metric. Moreover, we show that every critical point in the conformal class $[g_0]$ is necessarily a minimum point.

Existence of WHW metrics

Theorem 2 (C.-Mastrolia-Monticelli-Punzo)

On every closed four-dimensional manifold there exists a weak harmonic Weyl metric.

• Aubin proved that every closed Riemannian manifold admits a constant negative scalar curvature metric. Besides this one, Theorem 2 is the only existence result of a *canonical* metric, which generalizes the Einstein condition, on *every* four-dimensional Riemannian manifold, without any topological obstructions.

• The metric in Theorem 2 is constructed as follows: first, thanks to a result of Aubin, on every four-dimensional manifold M^4 we can choose a reference metric g_0 with $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} > 0$. Then, we prove that on (M^4, g_0) the infimum $\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0])$ is attained by a conformal metric $g \in [g_0]$, which is a weak harmonic Weyl metric. Moreover, we show that every critical point in the conformal class $[g_0]$ is necessarily a minimum point.

Existence of WHW metrics

Theorem 2 (C.-Mastrolia-Monticelli-Punzo)

On every closed four-dimensional manifold there exists a weak harmonic Weyl metric.

- Aubin proved that every closed Riemannian manifold admits a constant negative scalar curvature metric. Besides this one, Theorem 2 is the only existence result of a *canonical* metric, which generalizes the Einstein condition, on *every* four-dimensional Riemannian manifold, without any topological obstructions.
- The metric in Theorem 2 is constructed as follows: first, thanks to a result of Aubin, on every four-dimensional manifold M^4 we can choose a reference metric g_0 with $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} > 0$. Then, we prove that on (M^4, g_0) the infimum $\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0])$ is attained by a conformal metric $g \in [g_0]$, which is a weak harmonic Weyl metric. Moreover, we show that every critical point in the conformal class $[g_0]$ is necessarily a minimum point.

In order to prove this theorem, we endow a closed four-manifolds M^4 with the metric g_0 constructed by Aubin and we consider the functional

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{D}(v) &:= \mathfrak{D}(v^{-2}g_0) \\ &= \left(\int_M v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_M \left(\frac{1}{4}|W|^2 |\nabla v|^2 + |\delta W|^2 v^2 - (v)_s^2 W_{sijk} W_{pijk,p}\right) dV \,, \end{split}$$

where all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 and the function ν belongs to the convex cone

$$H(M):=\left\{u\in H^1(M):u>0 ext{ a.e. and } \int_M u^{-4}\,dV<\infty
ight\}\,.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{D}:=\inf_{u\in H(M)}\mathfrak{D}(u).$$

In order to prove this theorem, we endow a closed four-manifolds M^4 with the metric g_0 constructed by Aubin and we consider the functional

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}) &:= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}^{-2}g_0) \\ &= \left(\int_M v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_M \left(\frac{1}{4}|W|^2 |\nabla v|^2 + |\delta W|^2 v^2 - (v)_s^2 W_{sijk} W_{pijk,p}\right) dV \,, \end{split}$$

where all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 and the function ν belongs to the convex cone

$$H(M):=\left\{u\in H^1(M):u>0 ext{ a.e. and } \int_M u^{-4}\,dV<\infty
ight\}\,.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{D}:=\inf_{u\in H(M)}\mathfrak{D}(u).$$

In order to prove this theorem, we endow a closed four-manifolds M^4 with the metric g_0 constructed by Aubin and we consider the functional

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}) &:= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}^{-2}g_0) \\ &= \left(\int_M \mathbf{v}^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_M \left(\frac{1}{4}|W|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\delta W|^2 \mathbf{v}^2 - (\mathbf{v})_s^2 \, W_{sijk} \, W_{pijk,p}\right) dV \,, \end{split}$$

where all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 and the function v belongs to the convex cone

$$H(M):=\left\{u\in H^1(M):u>0 \text{ a.e. and } \int_M u^{-4}\,dV<\infty
ight\}\,.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{D}:=\inf_{u\in H(M)}\mathfrak{D}(u).$$

In order to prove this theorem, we endow a closed four-manifolds M^4 with the metric g_0 constructed by Aubin and we consider the functional

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}) &:= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}^{-2}g_0) \\ &= \left(\int_M \mathbf{v}^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_M \left(\frac{1}{4}|W|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\delta W|^2 \mathbf{v}^2 - (\mathbf{v})_s^2 \, W_{sijk} \, W_{pijk,p}\right) dV \,, \end{split}$$

where all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 and the function v belongs to the convex cone

$$H(M):=\left\{u\in H^1(M):u>0 ext{ a.e. and } \int_M u^{-4}\,dV<\infty
ight\}\,.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{D}:=\inf_{u\in H(M)}\mathfrak{D}(u).$$

In order to prove this theorem, we endow a closed four-manifolds M^4 with the metric g_0 constructed by Aubin and we consider the functional

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}) &:= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}^{-2}g_0) \\ &= \left(\int_M \mathbf{v}^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_M \left(\frac{1}{4}|W|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\delta W|^2 \mathbf{v}^2 - (\mathbf{v})_s^2 \, W_{sijk} \, W_{pijk,p}\right) dV \,, \end{split}$$

where all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 and the function v belongs to the convex cone

$$H(M):=\left\{u\in H^1(M):u>0 ext{ a.e. and } \int_M u^{-4}\,dV<\infty
ight\}\,.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{D}:=\inf_{u\in H(M)}\mathfrak{D}(u).$$

In order to prove this theorem, we endow a closed four-manifolds M^4 with the metric g_0 constructed by Aubin and we consider the functional

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}) &:= \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{v}^{-2}g_0) \\ &= \left(\int_M \mathbf{v}^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_M \left(\frac{1}{4}|W|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\delta W|^2 \mathbf{v}^2 - (\mathbf{v})_s^2 \, W_{sijk} \, W_{pijk,p}\right) dV \,, \end{split}$$

where all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 and the function v belongs to the convex cone

$$H(M):=\left\{u\in H^1(M):u>0 ext{ a.e. and } \int_M u^{-4}\,dV<\infty
ight\}\,.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{D}:=\inf_{u\in H(M)}\mathfrak{D}(u).$$

One has

$$\mathfrak{D}(v) = \left(\int_{M} v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} \left(a |\nabla v|^{2} + c v^{2}\right) \, dV = \left(\int_{M} v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} v \, Lv \, dV$$

with $a \in C^{\infty}(M)$, a > 0, $c \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and the uniformly elliptic self-adjoint operator L is given by

$$Lv := -\operatorname{div}(a \nabla v) + c v.$$

Since, by definition, $\mathfrak{D}(v) \geq 0$, we get

$$\lambda_1 := \inf_{u \in H^1(M), \ u \neq 0} \frac{\int_M \left(a |\nabla u|^2 + c \ u^2 \right) \ dV}{\int_M u^2 \ dV} \ge 0.$$

One has

$$\mathfrak{D}(v) = \left(\int_{M} v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} \left(a|\nabla v|^{2} + c v^{2}\right) dV = \left(\int_{M} v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} v Lv dV$$

with $a \in C^{\infty}(M)$, a > 0, $c \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and the uniformly elliptic self-adjoint operator L is given by

$$Lv := -\operatorname{div}(a\nabla v) + c v.$$

Since, by definition, $\mathfrak{D}(v) \geq 0$, we get

$$\lambda_1 := \inf_{u \in H^1(M), \ u \neq 0} \frac{\int_M \left(a |\nabla u|^2 + c \ u^2 \right) \ dV}{\int_M u^2 \ dV} \ge 0.$$

One has

$$\mathfrak{D}(v) = \left(\int_{M} v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} \left(a|\nabla v|^{2} + c v^{2}\right) dV = \left(\int_{M} v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} v Lv dV$$

with $a \in C^{\infty}(M)$, a > 0, $c \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and the uniformly elliptic self-adjoint operator L is given by

$$Lv := -\operatorname{div}(a\nabla v) + c v.$$

Since, by definition, $\mathfrak{D}(v) \geq 0$, we get

$$\lambda_1 := \inf_{u \in H^1(M), \ u \neq 0} \frac{\int_M \left(\mathsf{a} |\nabla u|^2 + \mathsf{c} \ u^2 \right) \ \mathsf{d} V}{\int_M u^2 \, \mathsf{d} V} \ge 0.$$

One has

$$\mathfrak{D}(v) = \left(\int_{M} v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} \left(a|\nabla v|^{2} + c v^{2}\right) dV = \left(\int_{M} v^{-4} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} v Lv dV$$

with $a \in C^{\infty}(M)$, a > 0, $c \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and the uniformly elliptic self-adjoint operator L is given by

$$Lv := -\operatorname{div}(a\nabla v) + c v.$$

Since, by definition, $\mathfrak{D}(v) \geq 0$, we get

$$\lambda_1 := \inf_{u \in H^1(M), \ u \neq 0} \frac{\int_M \left(\mathsf{a} |\nabla u|^2 + \mathsf{c} \ u^2 \right) \ \mathsf{d} V}{\int_M u^2 \ \mathsf{d} V} \ge 0.$$

We have the following (strong) maximum principle.

Lemma 🛾

Let $\lambda_1 > 0$. If $u \in H^1(M)$ satisfies $Lu \ge 0$ in the weak sense, then either u = 0 a.e. on M or essinf M u > 0.

Moreover, by Jensen, we can show a two-sided estimate on $\mathcal{D} = \inf_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u)$ in terms of λ_1

Lemma 2

We have

$$\operatorname{Vol}(M)^{\frac{3}{2}} \lambda_{1} \leq \mathcal{D} \leq \frac{\int_{M} \varphi_{1}^{2} \, dV}{\left(\int_{M} \varphi_{1}^{-4} \, dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \, \lambda_{1} \, .$$

We have the following (strong) maximum principle.

Lemma 1

Let $\lambda_1 > 0$. If $u \in H^1(M)$ satisfies $Lu \ge 0$ in the weak sense, then either u = 0 a.e. on M or essinf_M u > 0.

Moreover, by Jensen, we can show a two-sided estimate on $\mathcal{D} = \inf_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u)$ in terms of λ_1

Lemma 2

We have

$$\operatorname{Vol}(M)^{\frac{3}{2}} \lambda_{1} \leq \mathcal{D} \leq \frac{\int_{M} \varphi_{1}^{2} \, dV}{\left(\int_{M} \varphi_{1}^{-4} \, dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \, \lambda_{1} \, .$$

We have the following (strong) maximum principle.

Lemma 1

Let $\lambda_1 > 0$. If $u \in H^1(M)$ satisfies $Lu \ge 0$ in the weak sense, then either u = 0 a.e. on M or essinf_M u > 0.

Moreover, by Jensen, we can show a two-sided estimate on $\mathcal{D} = \inf_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u)$ in terms of λ_1

Lemma 2

We have

$$\operatorname{Vol}(M)^{\frac{3}{2}} \lambda_{1} \leq \mathcal{D} \leq \frac{\int_{M} \varphi_{1}^{2} \, dV}{\left(\int_{M} \varphi_{1}^{-4} \, dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \, \lambda_{1} \, .$$

We have the following (strong) maximum principle.

Lemma 1

Let $\lambda_1 > 0$. If $u \in H^1(M)$ satisfies $Lu \ge 0$ in the weak sense, then either u = 0 a.e. on M or essinf_M u > 0.

Moreover, by Jensen, we can show a two-sided estimate on $\mathcal{D} = \inf_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u)$ in terms of λ_1

Lemma 2

We have

$$\operatorname{Vol}(M)^{\frac{3}{2}} \lambda_1 \leq \mathcal{D} \leq \frac{\int_M \varphi_1^2 \, dV}{\left(\int_M \varphi_1^{-4} \, dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \, \lambda_1 \, .$$

A variational argument shows that $u \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(u)$ admits a minimum point v in H(M). Consequently, v is a (weak) solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-\frac{1}{4}\operatorname{div}(|W|^2\nabla v) + \left(|\delta W|^2 + \operatorname{div}(W_{sijk}W_{pijk,p})\right)v = \mathfrak{D}(v)\left(\int_M v^{-4}dV\right)^{-3/2} \frac{1}{v^5},$$

which is a uniformly elliptic semilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. Here, again, all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 . Moreover, v is unique up to scaling. Hence, by standard elliptic regularity theory, $v \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0]) = \min_{0 < u \in C^{\infty}(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \min_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \mathcal{D}$$

Therefore

$$g := v^{-2}g_0$$

is a weak harmonic Weyl metric on M^4 . \Box

A variational argument shows that $u \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(u)$ admits a minimum point v in H(M). Consequently, v is a (weak) solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-rac{1}{4}\operatorname{div}(|W|^2
abla v)+\left(|\delta W|^2+\operatorname{div}(W_{sijk}\,W_{
ho ijk,
ho})
ight)v=\mathfrak{D}(v)\left(\int_M v^{-4}dV
ight)^{-3/2}\,rac{1}{v^5}\,,$$

which is a uniformly elliptic semilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. Here, again, all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 . Moreover, v is unique up to scaling. Hence, by standard elliptic regularity theory, $v \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0]) = \min_{0 < u \in C^{\infty}(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \min_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \mathcal{D}$$

Therefore

$$g := v^{-2}g_0$$

is a weak harmonic Weyl metric on M^4 . \Box

A variational argument shows that $u \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(u)$ admits a minimum point v in H(M). Consequently, v is a (weak) solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-rac{1}{4}\operatorname{div}(|W|^2
abla v)+\left(|\delta W|^2+\operatorname{div}(W_{sijk}\,W_{
ho ijk,
ho})
ight)v=\mathfrak{D}(v)\left(\int_M v^{-4}dV
ight)^{-3/2}\,rac{1}{v^5}\,,$$

which is a uniformly elliptic semilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. Here, again, all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 . Moreover, v is unique up to scaling. Hence, by standard elliptic regularity theory, $v \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0]) = \min_{0 < u \in C^{\infty}(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \min_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \mathcal{D}$$

Therefore

$$g := v^{-2}g_0$$

is a weak harmonic Weyl metric on M^4 . \Box

A variational argument shows that $u \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(u)$ admits a minimum point v in H(M). Consequently, v is a (weak) solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-rac{1}{4}\operatorname{div}(|W|^2
abla v)+\left(|\delta W|^2+\operatorname{div}(W_{sijk}\,W_{
ho ijk,
ho})
ight)v=\mathfrak{D}(v)\left(\int_M v^{-4}dV
ight)^{-3/2}\,rac{1}{v^5}\,.$$

which is a uniformly elliptic semilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. Here, again, all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 . Moreover, v is unique up to scaling. Hence, by standard elliptic regularity theory, $v \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0]) = \min_{0 < u \in C^{\infty}(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \min_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \mathcal{D}$$

Therefore

$$g := v^{-2}g_0$$

is a weak harmonic Weyl metric on M^4 . \Box

A variational argument shows that $u \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(u)$ admits a minimum point v in H(M). Consequently, v is a (weak) solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-rac{1}{4}\operatorname{div}(|W|^2
abla v)+\left(|\delta W|^2+\operatorname{div}(W_{sijk}\,W_{
ho ijk,
ho})
ight)v=\mathfrak{D}(v)\left(\int_M v^{-4}dV
ight)^{-3/2}\,rac{1}{v^5}\,,$$

which is a uniformly elliptic semilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. Here, again, all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 . Moreover, v is unique up to scaling. Hence, by standard elliptic regularity theory, $v \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0]) = \min_{0 < u \in C^{\infty}(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \min_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \mathcal{D}$$

Therefore

$$g:=v^{-2}g_0$$

is a weak harmonic Weyl metric on M^4 . \Box

A variational argument shows that $u \mapsto \mathfrak{D}(u)$ admits a minimum point v in H(M). Consequently, v is a (weak) solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-rac{1}{4}\operatorname{div}(|W|^2
abla v)+\left(|\delta W|^2+\operatorname{div}(W_{sijk}\,W_{
ho ijk,
ho})
ight)v=\mathfrak{D}(v)\left(\int_M v^{-4}dV
ight)^{-3/2}\,rac{1}{v^5}\,,$$

which is a uniformly elliptic semilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. Here, again, all the geometric quantities are referred to g_0 . Moreover, v is unique up to scaling. Hence, by standard elliptic regularity theory, $v \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(M, [g_0]) = \min_{0 < u \in C^{\infty}(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \min_{u \in H(M)} \mathfrak{D}(u) = \mathcal{D}$$

Therefore

$$g:=v^{-2}g_0$$

is a weak harmonic Weyl metric on M^4 . \Box

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in M? We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If |W_{g0}|_{g0} ≡ 0 on some open set Ω ⊂ M, then we can show that a smooth metric g = v⁻²g₀ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. W_g ≡ 0 on M (and thus W_{g0} ≡ 0).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)}\to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)\to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p)=0$ at some point $p\in M$ and

$$\limsup_{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0}\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}>0$$

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in *M*?

We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} \equiv 0$ on some open set $\Omega \subset M$, then we can show that a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_g \equiv 0$ on M (and thus $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)}\to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)\to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p)=0$ at some point $p\in M$ and

$$\limsup_{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0}\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}>0$$

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in *M*? We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} \equiv 0$ on some open set $\Omega \subset M$, then we can show that a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_g \equiv 0$ on M (and thus $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)}\to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)\to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p)=0$ at some point $p\in M$ and

$$\limsup_{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0}\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}>0$$

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in *M*? We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} \equiv 0$ on some open set $\Omega \subset M$, then we can show that a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_g \equiv 0$ on M (and thus $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)}\to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)\to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p)=0$ at some point $p\in M$ and

$$\limsup_{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0}\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}>0$$

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in M? We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} \equiv 0$ on some open set $\Omega \subset M$, then we can show that a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_g \equiv 0$ on M (and thus $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p) \to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p)=0$ at some point $p\in M$ and

$$\limsup_{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0}\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}>0$$

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in *M*? We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If |W_{g0}|_{g0} ≡ 0 on some open set Ω ⊂ M, then we can show that a smooth metric g = v⁻²g₀ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. W_g ≡ 0 on M (and thus W_{g0} ≡ 0).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}\to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p)=0$ at some point $p\in M$ and

$$\limsup_{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0}\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}>0$$

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in *M*? We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} \equiv 0$ on some open set $\Omega \subset M$, then we can show that a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_g \equiv 0$ on M (and thus $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|\mathcal{W}_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)}\to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,\rho)\to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p)=0$ at some point $p\in M$ and

$$\limsup_{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0}\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}>0$$

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in *M*? We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} \equiv 0$ on some open set $\Omega \subset M$, then we can show that a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_g \equiv 0$ on M (and thus $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|\mathcal{W}_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}\to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p)=0$ at some point $p\in M$ and

$$\limsup_{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0} \frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{g_0}(x,p)} > 0$$

What happens in the degenerate case, i.e. if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} = 0$ somewhere in M? We can show that uniqueness (up to scaling) of smooth (C^2) solutions to the equation still holds, unless g_0 is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$. Moreover we have the following non-existence results:

- If $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0} \equiv 0$ on some open set $\Omega \subset M$, then we can show that a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it is locally conformally flat, i.e. $W_g \equiv 0$ on M (and thus $W_{g_0} \equiv 0$).
- In addition, if $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\frac{|\mathcal{W}_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}\to 0 \quad \text{as } {\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0,$$

then, a smooth metric $g = v^{-2}g_0$ is critical if and only if it has harmonic Weyl, i.e. $\delta_g W_g \equiv 0$ on M. As we have seen, there are topological obstructions to the existence of such a metric.

The remaining case, i.e. $|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$ and

$$\limsup_{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)\to 0}\frac{|W_{g_0}|_{g_0}(x)}{{\rm dist}_{g_0}(x,p)}>0$$

Thank you.

Some references:

- T. Aubin, *Metriques riemanniennes et courbure*, J. Differential Geometry **4** (1970) 383–424.
- J.-P. Bourguignon, *Les varietes de dimension 4 a signature non nulle dont la courbure est harmonique sont d'Einstein*, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), n. 2, 263–286.
- G. Catino and P. Mastrolia, *Bochner type formulas for the Weyl tensor on four dimensional Einstein manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not., to appear.
- G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli and F. Punzo, *Four dimensional closed manifolds admit a weak harmonic Weyl metric*, submitted.
- A. Derdzinski, *Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four*, Compositio Math. **49** (1983), n. 3, 405–433.
- M. J. Gursky, Four-manifolds with $\delta W^+ = 0$ and Einstein constants of the sphere, Math. Ann. **318** (2000) n. 3, 417–431.
- M. J. Gursky and C. Lebrun, *On Einstein manifolds of positive sectional curvature*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **17** (1999) n. 4, 315–328.