

Simplicity of algebras associated to non-Hausdorff groupoids

Charles Starling

Joint work with L. O. Clark, R. Exel, E. Pardo, and A. Sims

Carleton University

September 12, 2019

The Grigorchuk group

$$X = \{0, 1\}$$

$$X^* = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} X^n \text{ — finite words in } 0, 1$$

$$\text{Aut}(X^*) = \text{length-preserving bijections of } X^*$$

▷ graph automorphisms of the rooted binary tree

The **Grigorchuk group** is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X^*)$ generated by the following elements.

The Grigorchuk group

For $w \in X^*$, define $a, b, c, d \in \text{Aut}(X^*)$ recursively by

$$\begin{array}{ll} a \cdot (0w) = 1w & c \cdot (0w) = 0(a \cdot w) \\ a \cdot (1w) = 0w & c \cdot (1w) = 1(d \cdot w) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} b \cdot (0w) = 0(a \cdot w) & d \cdot (0w) = 0w \\ b \cdot (1w) = 1(c \cdot w) & d \cdot (1w) = 1(b \cdot w) \end{array}$$

The group $\Gamma = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is called the **Grigorchuk group** (Grigorchuk, 1980).

The Grigorchuk group

Some relations:

$$a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = e$$

$$bc = cb = d, \quad cd = dc = b \quad bd = db = c$$

\implies reduced words alternate between a and elements from $\{b, c, d\}$

E.g. $abaca$, $bacad$

Note: these are not all the relations, for example $(ad)^4 = e$.

The Grigorchuk group

$$\Gamma = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(X^*)$$

Theorem (Grigorchuk)

Γ is an infinite, finitely generated torsion group.

Theorem (Grigorchuk)

Γ is just infinite (all quotients are finite).

Theorem (Grigorchuk)

Γ is amenable, but not elementary amenable.

Theorem (Grigorchuk)

Γ has intermediate word growth.

Self-similar groups

Suppose we have an finite set X , a countable discrete group G ,

- 1 a **faithful** action $G \times X^* \rightarrow X^*$ which preserves lengths, and
- 2 a **restriction** $G \times X \rightarrow G$

$$(g, x) \mapsto g|_x.$$

such that the action on X^* can be defined **recursively**

$$g(x\alpha) = (gx)(g|_x \alpha)$$

The pair (G, X) is called a **self-similar action**.

Restriction extends to words

$$g|_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n} := g|_{\alpha_1} |_{\alpha_2} \cdots |_{\alpha_n}$$

$$g(\alpha\beta) = (g\alpha)(g|_\alpha \beta)$$

The action extends to infinite words $X^{\mathbb{N}}$

Self-similar group C^* -algebras

Nekrashevych defined a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{G,X}$ from (G, X) .

$\mathcal{O}_{G,X}$ is the universal C^* -algebra for

- 1 a Cuntz family of isometries $\{s_x\}_{x \in X}$ and
- 2 a unitary representation $\{u_g\}_{g \in G}$,

subject to

$$u_g s_x = s_{g \cdot x} u_g|_x \quad x \in X, g \in G.$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{G,X} = \overline{\text{span}\{s_\alpha u_g s_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in X^*, g \in G\}}$$

$$\supset \overline{\text{span}\{s_\alpha u_g s_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in X^*, g \in G, |\alpha| = |\beta|\}}$$

Self-similar graph C^* -algebras

Exel and Pardo extended the idea of a self-similar group action to act on a **graph** rather than an alphabet.

This idea unified Nekrashevych's algebras with **Katsura** algebras associated to a pair $A, B \in M_N(\mathbb{N})$.

Each $\mathcal{O}_{A,B} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, E_A}$.

Katsura: Every UCT Kirchberg algebra is modeled by some $\mathcal{O}_{A,B}$.

Self-similar group C^* -algebras

For the Grigorchuk group Γ , it was left open whether $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, X}$ is simple or not.

$\mathcal{O}_{G, X} = C^*(\mathcal{G}_{G, X})$ for an étale groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{G, X}$.

Nekrashevych showed $\mathcal{G}_{G, X}$ Hausdorff $\implies \mathcal{O}_{G, X}$ simple.

The issue: $\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma, X}$ is not Hausdorff.

The groupoids of some Katsura algebras are also non-Hausdorff (as well as some Li semigroup algebras, foliations, pseudogroups).

Our question: can we characterize simplicity for C^* -algebras of non-Hausdorff groupoids?

Groupoids

- Let \mathcal{G} be a second countable topological groupoid such that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff.
- We say \mathcal{G} is **ample** if \mathcal{G} has a basis of compact open bisections.
 - Ample groupoids are always locally compact and étale.
- We say \mathcal{G} is **minimal** if every orbit is dense.
- We say \mathcal{G} is **topologically principal** if the units with trivial isotropy are dense in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.
- We say \mathcal{G} is **effective** if the interior of the isotropy bundle is $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.
- If \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff and second countable, then effective and topologically principal are equivalent.

Non-Hausdorff groupoids

Suppose \mathcal{G} is an étale groupoid such that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff.

Then $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is open in \mathcal{G} .

$\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is closed in $\mathcal{G} \iff \mathcal{G}$ is Hausdorff

\mathcal{G} not Hausdorff \implies there is a sequence of units that converges to something outside the unit space

Elements of $\overline{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \setminus \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ are isotropy.

\implies principal étale groupoids are Hausdorff.

Non-Hausdorff groupoids

"I feel like I just don't speak non-Hausdorff, and when I try, I have a terrible Hausdorff accent." — Aidan Sims

If \mathcal{G} is not Hausdorff, then compact sets might not be closed and the intersection of compact sets might not be compact.

Many authors take "compact" to include Hausdorff.

If \mathcal{G} is not Hausdorff, then effective and topologically principal are different.

Étale groupoid C^* -algebras

Renault, Connes: $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G})$

$C_c(U)$ = compactly supported continuous functions on U

$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ = linear span of the $C_c(U)$ as U ranges over all bisections.

WARNING: $f \in C_c(U)$ might not be continuous on \mathcal{G} !

$u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow L_u : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathcal{G}u))$ satisfying

$$L_u(f)\delta_\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Gr}(\gamma)} f(\alpha)\delta_{\alpha\gamma} \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}).$$

$C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ = closure of the image of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ under $\bigoplus_{u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} L_u$

\exists universal $C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$

Étale groupoid C^* -algebras

$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ dense in $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$

We view elements of $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ as functions from $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:

For $a \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$, define $j(a) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$j(a)(\gamma) = (L_{s(\gamma)}(a)\delta_{s(\gamma)} \mid \delta_\gamma).$$

$j : C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ linear, contractive, identity on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$.

$\text{supp}(f) = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} : f(\gamma) \neq 0\}$ “open support” may not be open.

We say f is **singular** if interior of $\text{supp}(f)$ is empty.

Simplicity of étale groupoid C^* -algebras

Theorem (Renault, Brown-Clark-Farthing-Sims)

Let \mathcal{G} be a *Hausdorff* étale groupoid. Then $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is simple if and only if

- 1 \mathcal{G} is *minimal* (every orbit is dense)
- 2 \mathcal{G} is *effective* (the interior of the isotropy group bundle is the unit space), and
- 3 \mathcal{G} satisfies *weak containment* ($C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G})$).

Proof: (\Leftarrow) uses a Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem saying an ideal intersects $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$.

(\Rightarrow) gives effective, not topologically principal.

Simplicity of étale groupoid C^* -algebras

Theorem (Clark, Exel, Pardo, Sims, S)

Let \mathcal{G} be an étale groupoid. Then $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is simple if and only if

- 1 \mathcal{G} is *minimal* (every orbit is dense)
- 2 \mathcal{G} is *effective* (the interior of the isotropy group bundle is the unit space),
- 3 \mathcal{G} satisfies *weak containment* ($C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G})$), and
- 4 for all $a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})$, $\text{supp}(j(a))$ has nonempty interior

$j : C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathcal{G})$, norm-decreasing, identity on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$.

To get (\Leftarrow) we prove a new uniqueness theorem.

(1) + (2) + (4) $\implies C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ is simple.

Simplicity of étale groupoid C^* -algebras

If \mathcal{G} is **ample** (= has a basis of **compact** open bisections), then

“every compact open subset of \mathcal{G} is regular open” \implies (4)

Corollary

*Let \mathcal{G} be an **ample** étale groupoid. If \mathcal{G} is minimal, effective, and every compact open subset of \mathcal{G} is regular open, then $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ is simple.*

This is much easier to verify than $\text{supp}(j(a))^\circ \neq \emptyset \forall a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})$

Even in the case $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G})$, the converse does not hold.
Counterexample?

The Grigorchuk Group!

Steinberg algebra

K a field, \mathcal{G} an ample groupoid

$A_K(\mathcal{G})$ = linear span of characteristic functions of compact bisections.

$A_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{G})$ is a dense subalgebra of $C^*(\mathcal{G})$.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid. Then $A_K(\mathcal{G})$ is simple if and only if \mathcal{G} is minimal, effective, and $\text{supp}(f)$ has nonempty interior for all nonzero $f \in A_K(\mathcal{G})$

Singular elements: $S(\mathcal{G}) = \{f \in A_K(\mathcal{G}) : \text{supp}(f)^\circ = \emptyset\}$ is always an ideal.

Our results on the Grigorchuk group

Theorem

Let Γ be the Grigorchuk group and $\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma, X}$ the associated groupoid. Then

- 1 $\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma, X}$ contains a compact open set which is not regular open, but...
- 2 $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma, X}) = \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, X}$ is simple.
- 3 $A_K(\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma, X})$ is simple for any characteristic zero field K , but...
- 4 $A_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma, X})$ is not simple. (Nekrashevych '16)

Theorem (Clark, Exel, Pardo, Sims, S)

Let \mathcal{G} be an étale groupoid. Then $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is simple if and only if

- ① \mathcal{G} is *minimal* (every orbit is dense)
- ② \mathcal{G} is *effective* (the interior of the isotropy group bundle is the unit space),
- ③ \mathcal{G} satisfies *weak containment* ($C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G})$), and
- ④ for all $a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})$, $\text{supp}(j(a))$ has nonempty interior

Question 1: is (4) needed? ~~Question 1: is (4) needed?~~ By modifying the Grigorchuk group, get $\mathcal{G}_{G,X}$ minimal, effective, amenable, but with singular functions.

Question 2: Can (4) be replaced by a condition on \mathcal{G} ?

Question 3: $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma,X}$ is simple, purely infinite, and nuclear. Does $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma,X}$ satisfy the UCT?