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A long term obsession with the problem of finding
BANDED matrices that commute with

## NATURALLY APPEARING FULL MATRICES.

Full matrix $=$ integral operator
Banded matrix $=$ differential operator.

My "new motivation" comes from looking at two papers:
"Maximal violations of Bell inequalities by position measurements", J. Kiukas and R. Werner, 2009.
and
"Properties of the entanglement Hamiltonian for finite free-fermion chains", V. Eisler and I. Peschel, 2018.

Both of these papers exploit the commutativity property mentioned in the title of this talk in some physically important cases.
$P_{1}(\mathcal{H})=L^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}($ see e.g. $[5])$, so the relevant subspace $\mathcal{K}$ is just $L^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}\right)$. It is convenient to choose the length scales as $l_{i}:=d_{i} / 2$, with $d_{i}$ the length of $\Delta_{i i}$ passing to the units where $h_{1}$ is 1 as discussed above, we see that the relevant operator $H$ is unitarily equivalent to

$$
H_{u}:=\chi_{[-1,1]]}(Q) \chi_{[-u, u]}(P) \chi_{[-1,1]]}(Q) \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}([-1,1])\right),
$$

where $u$ is given by $(19)$, i.e. $u=m d_{1} d_{2} /(4 t t)$. (This equivalence can be seen easily by frst applying the ustal translation and "velocity boost" unitaries with appropriate shifts to center the intervals to the origiin, and then dilating by $d_{1} / 2$.)
The structure of $H_{u}$ has been extensively studied because of its relevance in band- and timelimiting of signals (see, for instance [8, pp. 21-23], [11, pp. 121-132], (20), or the original papers by Landau, Pollack and Slepian $[13,17,20])$. We summarize the relevant mathematical facts briefly in the following paragraph.
The operator $H_{u}$ is explicitly given by

$$
\left(H_{u} \varphi\right)(v)=\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sin (u(v-w))}{\pi(v-w)} \varphi(w) d w, \varphi \in L^{2}([-1,1]),
$$

from which it follows that $H_{u}$ commutes with the differential operator $\frac{d}{d v}\left[\left(1-v^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d v}\right]-u^{2} v^{2}$ that determines the angular part of the wave equation in prolate spheroidal coordinates. This differential operator has a complete orthonormal set of eigenfunctions $\psi_{n}^{u} \in L^{2}([-1,1]), n=0,1, \ldots$, called angular prolate spheroidal wave functions. In the notation of [19] we have $\psi_{n}^{u}(v)=\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{S}_{n}(v, u)$. The corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}(u)$ of $H_{u}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}(u)=2 u \pi^{-1} S_{n}^{(1)}(1, u)^{2} \in(0,1), n=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{n}^{(1)}(, u)$ is the radial prolate spheroidal wave function of the first kind. In particular, $\lambda_{n}(u)$ depends continuously on $u$. In addition, we have $1>\lambda_{n}(u)>\lambda_{n+1}(u)>0$ for all $n$ and $u$.
Now $\frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(H)$ exactly when $u$ is chosen so as to make $\lambda_{n}(u)=\frac{1}{2}$ for some $n$. Since $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}(u)=1$, and $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}(u)=0$ for fixed $n($ see $(20))$, it follows by continuity that for each $n$ we get at least one value $u_{n} \in(0,1)$ with $\lambda_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{0}$. On the other hand, $H_{u} \leq H_{u^{\prime}}$ if $u \leq u^{\prime}$, so each $\lambda_{n}(u)$ is an increasing fuuction of $u$, and $u_{n}$ is this
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The paper by Kiukas and Werner refers to work by Correggi and Morchio, where they consider not a free particle (Fourier analysis) but have an external potential.

The examples touched upon by Correggi-Morchio involve the harmonic oscillator, i.e. one should deal with Hermite functions and for them I showed a long time ago that the "time and band limiting" miracle holds. Moral: one could in principle obtain plots analogous to the one on Kiukas-Werner.

At the very end of the talk I will come back to the question of "trajectories" for quantum walks. JOINT WORK WITH LUIS VELAZQUEZ AND JON WILKENING

This is not meant to be controversial, but to show that the notion of "monitored evolution" allows one IN THE QUANTUM case to pose and answer some time honored questions for classical coins ( where you have trajectories).

## With this motivation, back into history

The problem of double concentration, i.e. localizing a function both in physical and frequency space, cuts across several areas of mathematics, physics and engineering.

This topic arises in harmonic analysis, signal processing and quantum mechanics and one is trying to find a good compromise between these two competing goals.

In some instances this issue gives rise to a sharply posed question, as was done (at least implicitly) by Claude Shannon:
if you know the frequency components over a band $[-\kappa, \kappa]$ for an unknown signal of finite support in $[-\tau, \tau]$, what is the best use you can make of this (usually noisy) data?

It is natural to look for the coefficients of an expansion of the unknown signal in terms of the singular functions of the problem.

However, one faces a serious computational difficulty: these singular functions are given naturally as the eigenfunctions of an integral operator with most of its eigenvalues crowded together.

As a consequence, one is dealing with huge matrices and the problem of computing the eigenvectors becomes extremely ill-conditioned. One gets total garbage even when using the best numerical packages.

In a remarkable series of papers written at Bell Labs in the 1960s, motivated by Shannon's question, a mathematical miracle was uncovered and exploited very successfully. We refer to it as the "time-band limiting phenomenon".

Surprising fact: certain naturally appearing integral operators admit second-order commuting differential ones. The spectrum of the differential operator is simple and thus any of its eigenfunctions is an eigenfunction of the integral operator.

The numerical computation of the eigenfunctions of this differential operator is trivial compared to trying to do this for the integral one: we can discretize to a tridiagonal matrix (and avoid 97 percent of the work when calling $Q R$ ) and the eigenvalues are very separated. We are in true numerical paradise. THERE ARE ALSO CONCEPTUAL CONSEQUENCES OF THIS MIRACLE.

## The three classical cases

$$
(E f)(z)=\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{i z x} f(x) d x, \quad z \in[-\kappa, \kappa] .
$$

The central issue is the effective computation of the eigenvectors of the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E E^{*} f\right)(z)=2 \int_{-\kappa}^{\kappa} \frac{\sin \tau(z-w)}{z-w} f(w) d w, \quad z \in[-\kappa, \kappa] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem was beautifully solved by Landau, Pollak and Slepian in the early 1960's by showing that the integral operator above commutes with the differential operator

$$
R\left(z, \partial_{z}\right)=\partial_{z}\left(\kappa^{2}-z^{2}\right) \partial_{z}-\tau^{2}
$$

from which they were able to describe their common eigenfunctions by using the differential operator. Note that $R\left(z, \partial_{z}\right)$ is the "radial part" of the Laplacian in prolate-spheroidal coordinates.

Consider the Bessel functions $f_{\nu}(x, k)=\sqrt{x k} J_{\nu}(x k)$. The kernel

$$
K_{T}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{T} f_{\nu}\left(x_{1} k_{1}\right) f_{\nu}\left(x_{1} k_{2}\right) d x
$$

acting on $L^{2}(-G, G)$ was found by $D$. Slepian to admit a commuting differential operator, namely

$$
A_{\nu}=-D_{k_{1}}\left(G^{2}-k_{1}^{2}\right) D_{k_{1}}+k_{1}^{2} T^{2}+G^{2}\left(\nu^{2}-1 / 4\right) / k_{1}^{2} .
$$

The case of $\nu=1 / 2$ (essentially) is very close to the original "prolate spheroidal" result.

In the early 1990's Tracy and Widom discovered one more remarkable commuting pair of integral and differential operators associated to the Airy kernel. They effectively used this pair and the one for the Bessel kernel in their study of the asymptotics of the level spacing distribution functions of the edge scaling limits of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble and the Laguerre and Jacobi Ensembles. More precisely, Tracy and Widom proved that the integral operator with the Airy kernel

$$
\frac{A(z) A^{\prime}(w)-A^{\prime}(z) A(w)}{z-w}
$$

acting on $L^{2}(\tau,+\infty ; d w)$ admits the commuting differential operator

$$
\partial_{z}(\tau-z) \partial_{z}-z(\tau-z)
$$

where $A(z)$ denotes the Airy function.

This phenomenon, featuring a pair of commuting integral and differential operators plays an important role in at least three areas of applied mathematics:
the problem of time-and-band limiting in signal processing, studied by Slepian, Landau and Pollak;
the problem of limited angle X-ray tomography ( this is how I got into the problem): the gantry cannot go all the way around the patient.
and finally in Random Matrix Theory (Mehta 1967, Tracy and Widom 1994).

## The three Fourier based cases

PSF:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\sin \sigma(t-\tau)}{\pi(t-\tau)} \phi_{K}(\tau) d \tau=\lambda_{K} \phi_{K}(t), \quad K_{1}=0,1, \cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

DPSS:
(2)

$$
\sum_{n=-M}^{M} \frac{\sin \sigma(m-n)}{\pi(m-n)} \phi_{K}[n]=\lambda_{K} \phi_{K}[m], \quad K=0, \cdots, 2 M .
$$

## P-DPSS:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{M} \frac{\sin ((2 K+1)(m-n) \pi / N)}{N \sin ((m-n) \pi / N)} \phi_{i}[n]=\lambda_{i} \phi_{i}[m], \quad i=0, \cdots, M . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

My interaction with Slepian and Landau ....or what happens when you have to change to a smaller office after collecting junk for forty years
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The reprint "Estimation, etc." enclosed details
how I first solved the $\frac{\sin x}{x}$ integral equation. See
Appendix 2. The reprint "Group Codes, etc." might be of interest to you as it poses some nice problems about finding point configurations spread out on the sphere.

In my recent paper on discrete prolates, the
eigenvalues of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i j}=\frac{\sin 2 \pi W(i-j)}{\pi(1-j)}, \quad 0<W<\frac{1}{2} \\
& i, j=0,1, \ldots, N-1
\end{aligned}
$$

are considered. In dealing with the discrete Fourier transform as you proposed here, the matrix of interest is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{a}_{i j}=\frac{\sin 2 \pi \frac{M}{N}(i-j)}{\sin 2 \pi \frac{(1-j)}{N}}, \quad M<N \\
& i, j=1,2, \ldots, M^{\prime}<N .
\end{aligned}
$$

I haven't worked at this one ever.

The Russian paper I was trying to recall is
Y. I. Khurgin and V. P. Yakovlev, "Progress in the Soviet Union on the Theory and Applications of Bandlimited Functions", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 65, No. 7, pp. 1005-1029, July 1977. They touch on measurement and there is a large bibliography of the Russian literature.

Best regards,


MH-1218-DS-js
David Slepian
Enclosures

When doing expansions in terms of "classical orthogonal polynomials", Hermite, Jacobi and Laguerre the "time-band limiting miracle" holds.

It also holds for functions on the sphere and expansions in spherical harmonics. (noncommutative Fourier)

## One more, rather classical, source of examples

9. Hyperbolic spaces: Minkowski space. Another interesting class of examples is given by the hyperbolic spaces $H_{n}$ of dimension $n$; see [8].

Just as the spheres $S^{n-1}$ were obtained byfactoring the group $S O(n)$ by $S O(n-1)$, one has

$$
H_{n}=S O_{0}(n, 1) / S O(n) .
$$

Here $S O(n, 1)$ denotes the group of matrices acting on $R^{n+1}$ that keep invariant the form $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}-x_{n+1}^{2}$. The subscript 0 refers to the component of $S O(n, 1)$ which contains the identity.

The simplest examples are given by $n=2$ and $n=3$.

In the first case, $H_{2}$ is just the celebrated "upper half plane with hyperbolic metric" with a Laplace-Beltrami operator given by

$$
\nabla^{2}=y^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) .
$$

One usually thinks of this space as $S L(2, R) / S O(2)$.
In the second case, $H_{3}$ is the space of relativity theory, obtained by dividing the proper homogeneous Lorentz group by ordinary spatial rotations.

One can also think of this group as $S L(2, C) / S U(2)$.
We prefer to discuss only $H_{3}$ since the analysis is simpler in this instance; see [16].
We simplify even further by considering only radial functions in $H_{3}$. In this case the Laplace-Beltrami operator takes the form

$$
\nabla^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(\sinh ^{2} r \frac{d}{d r}\right),
$$

and it gives a selfadjoint operator in $L^{2}\left((0, \infty), \sinh ^{2} r d r\right)$. A complete set of eigenfunctions is given by

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(r)=\frac{\sin r \lambda}{\lambda \sinh r}, \quad \lambda \geqq 0,
$$

and we have

$$
\nabla^{2} \phi_{\lambda}(r)=-\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right) \phi_{\lambda}(r) .
$$

The operator $K_{L}\left(p_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ is obtained by

$$
K_{L}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{\lambda}\left(r_{1}\right) \phi_{\lambda}\left(r_{2}\right) \lambda^{2} d \lambda
$$

and one can easily see that

$$
K_{L}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\frac{\frac{\sin \left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) L}{r_{1}-r_{2}}-\frac{\sin \left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) L}{r_{1}+r_{2}}}{\sinh r_{1} \sinh r_{2}}
$$

The analysis proceeds as in the Euclidean or spherical cases. Pick a ball of radius $R$ in $H_{3}$ centered at $r=0$.

At issue now is the existence of a second order differential operator $D_{r}$ with leading coefficient vanishing at $r=R$ and satisfying

$$
\left(D_{r_{1}}-D_{r_{2}}\right) K_{L}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=0
$$

One can check that the operator given by

$$
D_{r} \equiv \frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right) \sinh ^{2} r \frac{d}{d r}\right)+\left(2 r-\frac{2 r e^{r}}{\sinh r}-r^{2}\right)+L^{2}\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right)
$$

satisfies the desired relation.

## The true reasons behind this remarkable algebraic "accident", deserve further study.

It appears that what is behind this miracle (in the exceptional cases when it holds) is the notion of

## BISPECTRALITY

that will be introduced below.

Duistermaat, J. J., Grünbaum, F. A. "Differential equations in the spectral parameter'". Commun. Math. Phys. 103 (1986), 177-240.

CRM Lectures notes The bispectral problem

## The bispectral problem

The problem as posed and solved with $H$. Duistermaat is as follows:
Find all nontrivial instances where a function $\varphi(x, k)$ satisfies

$$
L\left(x, \frac{d}{d x}\right) \varphi(x, k) \equiv\left(-D^{2}+V(x)\right) \varphi(x, k)=k \varphi(x, k)
$$

as well as

$$
B\left(k, \frac{d}{d k}\right) \varphi(x, k) \equiv\left(\sum_{i=0}^{M} b_{i}(k)\left(\frac{d}{d k}\right)^{i}\right) \varphi(x, k)=\Theta(x) \varphi(x, k) .
$$

All the functions $V(x), b_{i}(k), \Theta(x)$ are, in principle, arbitrary except for smoothness assumptions. Notice that here $M$ is arbitrary (finite). The operator $L$ could be of higher order, but I will stick now to order two.

The complete solution (when $L$ has order two) is given as follows:
Theorem If $M=2$, then $V(x)$ is (except for translation) either $c / x^{2}$ or ax, i.e. we have a Bessel or an Airy case. If $M>2$, there are two families of solutions
a) $L$ is obtained from $L_{0}=-D^{2}$ by a finite number of Darboux transformations $\left(L=A A^{*} \rightarrow \tilde{L}=A^{*} A\right)$. In this case $V$ is a rational solution of the Korteweg deVries hierarchy of equations.
b) $L$ is obtained from $L_{0}=-D^{2}+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}$ after a finite number of rational Darboux transformations. In this case $V$ is a rational solution of the Virasoro flows, also called the master symmetries of KdV.

Case A:

$$
\begin{align*}
V(x) & =\frac{2}{\left(x+t^{1 / 3}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2}{\left(x+\omega \cdot t^{1 / 3}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2}{\left(x+\omega^{2} t^{1 / 3}\right)^{2}} \\
& =-2 \partial_{x}^{2} \log \left(x^{3}+t\right), \quad \omega=e^{2 \pi i / 3} \tag{1.38}
\end{align*}
$$

with the eigenfunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, \lambda)=e^{i k x} \frac{x^{3}-3 x^{2} / i k-3 x / k^{2}+t}{x^{3}+t}, \quad k^{2}=\lambda \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(-\partial_{k}^{2}+\frac{6}{k^{2}}\right)^{2}-4 t i \partial_{k}\right] \phi=\left(x^{4}+4 t x\right) \phi, \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

the common solution space of $(1.40)$ and (1.2) being one-dimensional.

Case B:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{2}{(x+i \sqrt{t})^{2}}+\frac{2}{(x-i \sqrt{t})^{2}}, \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, \lambda)=\psi^{\prime}(k x)-\frac{3 x^{2}-t}{2 k x\left(t+x^{2}\right)} \cdot \psi(k x), \quad k^{2}=\lambda, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is any solution of the Bessel equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\partial_{y}^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{y^{2}}\right) \psi(y)=\psi(y) \tag{1.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi(x, \lambda)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(-\partial_{k}^{2}+\frac{15}{4} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\right)^{2}+2 t\left(-\partial_{k}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\right)\right] \phi=\left(x^{4}+2 t x^{2}\right) \cdot \phi \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the common solution space of (1.44) and (1.2) is two-dimensional.

## Extensions of the "classical results" by using bispectrality

From $L_{0}=-\partial_{x}^{2}-\left(1 / 4 x^{2}\right)$ by two Darboux transformations, one gets the operator

$$
L_{2}=-\partial_{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}+\frac{4\left(x^{2}-t_{1}\right)}{\left(x^{2}+t_{1}\right)^{2}}
$$

In this case $\Theta(x)=x^{4}+2 t_{1} x^{2}$ and the differential operator in the spectral parameter ( given above) is

$$
B_{2}\left(k, \partial_{k}\right)=\left(-\partial_{k}^{2}+\frac{15}{4 k^{2}}\right)^{2}+2 t_{1}\left(-\partial_{k}^{2}-\frac{1}{4 k^{2}}\right)
$$

If one builds the integral kernel out of the eigenfunctions of $L_{2}$, namely

$$
f^{(1)}(x, k, t)=(1 / k)\left(D_{x}+\left(t-3 x^{2}\right) /\left(2 x\left(x^{2}+t\right)\right)\right) \sqrt{k x} J_{1}(k x)
$$

then one can see that for each $t$ there exists a fourth-order commuting differential operator for this integral one. Moreover, if we call $A(t)$ the differential operator in question, we have that

$$
A(t)=2 G^{2} A_{0} t+\left(A_{2}^{2}-3 / 2 A_{2}-\frac{11}{2} G^{2} T^{2}\right)
$$

where $A_{\nu}$ are the operators found by D. Slepian for the Bessel cases.

Some very recent results involving the Korteweg-deVries solutions.

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$. Consider the function

$$
\psi(x, z)=\frac{\left(x+z^{-1}\right)^{3}-z^{3}+r}{x^{3}+r} e^{-x z}
$$

which up to a change of variables is precisely the first nontrivial bispectral function in the paper with H. Duistermaat, given on Eq. (1.39). The integral operator $\mathcal{E}_{\psi} \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{*}$ has kernel

$$
K_{\psi}(z, w)=\frac{\psi(s, z) \psi_{x}(s, w)-\psi_{x}(s, z) \psi(s, w)}{z^{2}-w^{2}}
$$

The commuting differential operator -independent of the parameter $r$ - is given by

$$
R_{s, t}\left(z, \partial_{z}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{3} \partial_{z}^{m} f_{m}(z) \partial_{z}^{m}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}(z)=\frac{z^{2}\left(3 s^{6} t^{3}-54 s^{4} t\right)}{6}+s^{6} z^{5}-\frac{3 s^{6} t z^{4}}{2}+12 s^{4} z^{3}, \\
& f_{1}(z)=(z-t)\left(3 s^{4} z^{4}-3 s^{4} t z^{3}+12 s^{2} z^{2}+9 s^{2} t z-9 s^{2} t^{2}\right), \\
& f_{2}(z)=(z-t)^{2}\left(3 s^{2} z^{3}-\frac{3 s^{2} t z^{2}}{2}+12 t\right), \\
& f_{3}(z)=(z-t)^{3} z^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Looking for a general method

There is a short and elegant paper by Perline given a constructive way to go from bisectrality to the commuting differential operator in question.

A very recent pair of papers
Algebraic Heun Operator and Band-Time Limiting Grünbaum, F.A., Vinet, L., Zhedanov, A.

Bispectrality and Time-Band-Limiting: Matrix-valued polynomials A.Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and I. Zurrián
shows that the ideas of Perline can be extended to other scenarios, with extra conditions in the matrix valued case.

See also
The CMV bispectral problem, A. Grünbaum, Luis Velazquez.

## A general result for real valued symmetric Toeplitz matrices, rather sad

$$
M(i, j)=r_{|i-j|}=\frac{\sin (\alpha)(i-j)}{\sin (\beta)(i-j)},
$$

## New examples for matrices that are not Toeplitz, but Hankel

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{\sqrt{q}}{q+1} & \frac{q}{q^{2}+q+1} \\
\frac{\sqrt{q}}{q+1} & \frac{q}{q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} \\
\frac{q}{q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{2}}{q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqrt{q} & \frac{q^{3}+q}{2 q^{3}+q^{2}+q+2} & 0 \\
\frac{q^{3}+q}{2 q^{3}+q^{2}+q+2} & 0 & \frac{q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1}{2 q^{3}+q^{2}+q+2} \\
0 & \frac{q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1}{2 q^{3}+q^{2}+q+2} & -\frac{q^{4}-2 q^{3}+2 q^{2}-2 q+1}{\sqrt{q}\left(2 q^{2}-q+2\right)}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & \frac{\sqrt{q}}{q+1} & \frac{q}{q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} \\
\frac{\sqrt{q}}{q+1} & \frac{q}{q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{2}}{q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{2}}{q^{4}+q^{3}+} \\
\frac{q}{q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{2}}{q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\frac{5}{2}}}{q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}} \\
\frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{2}}{q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\frac{5}{2}}}{q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{2}}{q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\mathbf{3}}}{q^{6}+q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+q^{4}+} \\
\frac{q^{2}}{q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{3}}{q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{3}}{q^{6}+q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{\frac{7}{2}}}{q^{7}+q^{6}+q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1} & \frac{q^{3}}{q^{7}+q^{6}+q^{5}+q^{4}} \\
q^{8}+q^{7}+q^{6}+q^{5}+
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{q}\left(q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}\right)}{2 q^{7}+q^{6}+2 q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+2 q^{2}+q+2} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{q}\left(q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}\right)}{2 q^{7}+q^{t} \text { iny } 6+2 q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+2 q^{2}+q+2} & \frac{2 q^{8}+4 q^{6}-q^{5}+4 q^{4}+2 q^{2}}{2 q^{10}+q^{9}+6 q^{8}+q^{7}+8 q^{6}+q^{4}+q^{3}+6 q^{2}+q+2} & -\frac{\sqrt{q}\left(q^{7}+q^{6}+q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q\right)}{2 q^{9}+q^{8}+4 q^{7}+2 q^{6}+3} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{q}\left(q^{7}+q^{6}+q^{5}\right.}{2 q^{9}+q^{8}+4 q^{7}+2 q^{6}+3 q^{5}+3 q^{4}+2 q^{3}+4 q^{2}+q+2} & \frac{2 q^{5}-2 q^{4}+3}{2 q^{6}-3 q^{5}+6 q^{4}-} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{q}( }{2 q^{5}-q^{4}+} \\
0 & 0 &
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\frac{4}{31} & \frac{2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{63} & \frac{8}{127} & \frac{2^{\frac{7}{2}}}{255} & \frac{16}{511} & \frac{2^{\frac{9}{2}}}{1023} & \frac{32}{2047} & \frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}}{4095} \\
\frac{2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{63} & \frac{8}{127} & \frac{2^{\frac{7}{2}}}{255} & \frac{16}{511} & \frac{2^{\frac{9}{2}}}{1023} & \frac{32}{2047} & \frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}}{4095} & \frac{64}{819} \\
\frac{8}{127} & \frac{2^{\frac{7}{2}}}{255} & \frac{16}{511} & \frac{2^{\frac{9}{2}}}{1023} & \frac{32}{2247} & \frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}}{4095} & \frac{64}{8191} & \frac{2^{\frac{13}{2}}}{16383} \\
\frac{2^{\frac{7}{2}}}{255} & \frac{16}{511} & \frac{2^{\frac{9}{2}}}{1023} & \frac{32}{2047} & \frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}}{4095} & \frac{64}{8191} & \frac{2^{\frac{13}{2}}}{16383} & \frac{128}{32767} \\
\frac{16}{511} & \frac{2^{\frac{9}{2}}}{1023} & \frac{32}{2047} & \frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}}{4095} & \frac{64}{8191} & \frac{2^{\frac{13}{2}}}{16383} & \frac{128}{32767} & \frac{2^{\frac{15}{2}}}{65535} \\
\frac{2^{\frac{9}{2}}}{1023} & \frac{32}{2047} & \frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}}{4095} & \frac{64}{8191} & \frac{2^{\frac{13}{2}}}{16383} & \frac{128}{32767} & \frac{2^{\frac{15}{2}}}{65535} & \frac{256}{131071} \\
\frac{32}{2047} & \frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}}{4095} & \frac{64}{8191} & \frac{2^{\frac{13}{2}}}{16383} & \frac{128}{32767} & \frac{2^{\frac{15}{2}}}{65535} & \frac{256}{131071} & \frac{2^{\frac{17}{2}}}{262143} \\
\frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}}{4095} & \frac{64}{8191} & \frac{2^{\frac{13}{2}}}{16383} & \frac{128}{32767} & \frac{2^{\frac{15}{2}}}{65535} & \frac{256}{131071} & \frac{2^{\frac{17}{2}}}{262143} & \frac{512}{524287}
\end{array}\right)
$$



A different topic, just a sketch of work in progress.

## The arcsine law for the Hadamard walk

Paul Levy for Brownian motion and Chung-Feller for the coin tossing game

Joint work with Luis Velazquez and Jon Wilkening.
How to make sense of "occupation times in a given subspace" for a discrete time quantum walk?


Figure: a plot of the cummulative distribution of the "proportion of time spent on the positive half line"

If you start a classical coin at the origin and run it for time $n$, the probability that it spends 0 time on the positive side behaves like $1 / \sqrt{n}$.

In the quantum case things are very different.

| 0 | $\frac{3(\pi-2)}{4 \pi}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $\frac{3(\pi-2)}{4 \pi^{2}}$ |
| 8 | $\frac{\left(\pi^{4}+40 \pi^{2}+48\right)}{64 \pi^{6}}(\pi-2)$ |
| 8 | $\frac{\left(\pi^{6}+11 \pi^{4}+32 \pi^{2}+24\right)}{32 \pi^{9}}(\pi-2)$ |



The arcsine law of Paul Levy is replaced by some extreme version of it.

Its "density" has a pair of deltas of strength $1 / 2$ at each end point.
Ballistic behaviour.

