# Bogomolny Equations on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a Knot Singularity

Weifeng Sun

February 5, 2021 @ BIRS

#### The Bogomolny Equations

Suppose A is an SU(2) connection on ℝ<sup>3</sup> whose curvature is F<sub>A</sub>. Suppose Φ is a section of the adjoint su(2) bundle, then the Bogomolny equations are:

$$F_A = *d_A \Phi.$$

Assymptotic conditions: 
$$|F_A| + |d_A \Phi| = O(\frac{1}{r^2}),$$
  
 $|\Phi| = 1 + O(\frac{1}{r}).$ 

Connected components of the configuration space {(A, Φ)} can be indexed by the "monopole number" k.
 (Classified by the degree of the map Φ/|Φ|: S<sup>2</sup> → S<sup>2</sup> ⊂ su(2).

#### The Bogomolny Equations

Suppose A is an SU(2) connection on ℝ<sup>3</sup> whose curvature is F<sub>A</sub>. Suppose Φ is a section of the adjoint su(2) bundle, then the Bogomolny equations are:

$$F_A = *d_A \Phi.$$

Assymptotic conditions: 
$$|F_A| + |d_A \Phi| = O(\frac{1}{r^2}),$$
  
 $|\Phi| = 1 + O(\frac{1}{r}).$ 

Connected components of the configuration space {(A, Φ)} can be indexed by the "monopole number" k.
 (Classified by the degree of the map Φ/|Φ|: S<sup>2</sup> → S<sup>2</sup> ⊂ su(2).

#### The Bogomolny Equations

Suppose A is an SU(2) connection on ℝ<sup>3</sup> whose curvature is F<sub>A</sub>. Suppose Φ is a section of the adjoint su(2) bundle, then the Bogomolny equations are:

$$F_A = *d_A \Phi.$$

• Assymptotic conditions: 
$$|F_A| + |d_A \Phi| = O(\frac{1}{r^2})$$
,  
 $|\Phi| = 1 + O(\frac{1}{r})$ .

Connected components of the configuration space {(A, Φ)} can be indexed by the "monopole number" k.
 (Classified by the degree of the map Φ/|Φ|: S<sup>2</sup> → S<sup>2</sup> ⊂ su(2).)

# Let $M_k$ be the moduli space of solutions up to SU(2) gauge transformation with monopole number k.

▶ **Theorem** (Donaldson, 1984) There is a circle bundle  $\tilde{M}_k$  over  $M_k$ , such that  $\tilde{M}_k$  can be identified with rational maps  $f : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$  with degree k and  $f(\infty) = 0$ .

ln particular, dim  $M_k = 4k$ , dim  $M_k = 4k - 1$ .

Donaldson's result was based on a study of the moduli space of "Nalm's equations" over (-1,1) with certain boundary conditions. The relationship between 1-d Nalm's equations and the 3-d Bogomolny equations was established earlier by Nalm and Hitchin.

Let  $M_k$  be the moduli space of solutions up to SU(2) gauge transformation with monopole number k.

- Theorem (Donaldson, 1984) There is a circle bundle M
  <sub>k</sub> over M<sub>k</sub>, such that M
  <sub>k</sub> can be identified with rational maps f : CP<sup>1</sup> → CP<sup>1</sup> with degree k and f(∞) = 0.
- ln particular, dim  $\tilde{M}_k = 4k$ , dim  $M_k = 4k 1$ .
- Donaldson's result was based on a study of the moduli space of "Nalm's equations" over (-1,1) with certain boundary conditions. The relationship between 1-d Nalm's equations and the 3-d Bogomolny equations was established earlier by Nalm and Hitchin.

Let  $M_k$  be the moduli space of solutions up to SU(2) gauge transformation with monopole number k.

- Theorem (Donaldson, 1984) There is a circle bundle M
  <sub>k</sub> over M<sub>k</sub>, such that M
  <sub>k</sub> can be identified with rational maps f : CP<sup>1</sup> → CP<sup>1</sup> with degree k and f(∞) = 0.
- ln particular, dim  $M_k = 4k$ , dim  $M_k = 4k 1$ .

Donaldson's result was based on a study of the moduli space of "Nalm's equations" over (-1,1) with certain boundary conditions. The relationship between 1-d Nalm's equations and the 3-d Bogomolny equations was established earlier by Nalm and Hitchin.

Let  $M_k$  be the moduli space of solutions up to SU(2) gauge transformation with monopole number k.

- Theorem (Donaldson, 1984) There is a circle bundle M
  <sub>k</sub> over M<sub>k</sub>, such that M
  <sub>k</sub> can be identified with rational maps f : CP<sup>1</sup> → CP<sup>1</sup> with degree k and f(∞) = 0.
- ln particular, dim  $\tilde{M}_k = 4k$ , dim  $M_k = 4k 1$ .
- Donaldson's result was based on a study of the moduli space of "Nalm's equations" over (-1, 1) with certain boundary conditions. The relationship between 1-d Nalm's equations and the 3-d Bogomolny equations was established earlier by Nalm and Hitchin.

(日)((1))

#### Hitchin's algebraic geometrical method:

- Consider the moduli space of straight oriented lines in ℝ<sup>3</sup> (identified with TCP<sup>1</sup>).
- If an oriented line (with direction v) has L<sup>2</sup> solutions to the ODE equation ∇<sup>A</sup><sub>v</sub>s + Φs = 0 on it, then all such lines form an algebraic curve in TCP<sup>1</sup>, namely the "spectral curve".
- The spectral curve satisfies certain constraints that can be described algebraically. And verse visa, all such curves correspond to all solutions to the Bogomolny equations.

Hitchin's algebraic geometrical method:

- Consider the moduli space of straight oriented lines in ℝ<sup>3</sup> (identified with TCP<sup>1</sup>).
- If an oriented line (with direction v) has L<sup>2</sup> solutions to the ODE equation ∇<sup>A</sup><sub>v</sub>s + Φs = 0 on it, then all such lines form an algebraic curve in TCP<sup>1</sup>, namely the "spectral curve".
- The spectral curve satisfies certain constraints that can be described algebraically. And verse visa, all such curves correspond to all solutions to the Bogomolny equations.

Hitchin's algebraic geometrical method:

- Consider the moduli space of straight oriented lines in ℝ<sup>3</sup> (identified with Tℂℙ<sup>1</sup>).
- If an oriented line (with direction v) has L<sup>2</sup> solutions to the ODE equation ∇<sup>A</sup><sub>v</sub>s + Φs = 0 on it, then all such lines form an algebraic curve in TCP<sup>1</sup>, namely the "spectral curve".

The spectral curve satisfies certain constraints that can be described algebraically. And verse visa, all such curves correspond to all solutions to the Bogomolny equations.

Hitchin's algebraic geometrical method:

- Consider the moduli space of straight oriented lines in ℝ<sup>3</sup> (identified with Tℂℙ<sup>1</sup>).
- If an oriented line (with direction v) has L<sup>2</sup> solutions to the ODE equation ∇<sup>A</sup><sub>v</sub>s + Φs = 0 on it, then all such lines form an algebraic curve in TCP<sup>1</sup>, namely the "spectral curve".
- The spectral curve satisfies certain constraints that can be described algebraically. And verse visa, all such curves correspond to all solutions to the Bogomolny equations.

- It is trending now to study the gauge theoretic PDEs with a knot singularity. For example
  - Kronheimer and Mrowka's studied the instanton floer theory with a knot singularity;
  - Witten proposed to study Kapustin-Witten equations with certain knot singularity.
- ► It is natural to ask (and proposed by Taubes), what can we say about the moduli space of the solutions on R<sup>3</sup> with a knot singularity? Can Bogomolny equations with a knot singularity be studied by algebraic geometrical method? This may have the potential to bring knot into algebraic geometry in the future.
- Currently, the only thing that I can say about the knot singularity is obtained by an adaption of Taubes' Analytical method.

- It is trending now to study the gauge theoretic PDEs with a knot singularity. For example
  - Kronheimer and Mrowka's studied the instanton floer theory with a knot singularity;
  - Witten proposed to study Kapustin-Witten equations with certain knot singularity.
- ► It is natural to ask (and proposed by Taubes), what can we say about the moduli space of the solutions on R<sup>3</sup> with a knot singularity? Can Bogomolny equations with a knot singularity be studied by algebraic geometrical method? This may have the potential to bring knot into algebraic geometry in the future.
- Currently, the only thing that I can say about the knot singularity is obtained by an adaption of Taubes' Analytical method.

- It is trending now to study the gauge theoretic PDEs with a knot singularity. For example
  - Kronheimer and Mrowka's studied the instanton floer theory with a knot singularity;
  - Witten proposed to study Kapustin-Witten equations with certain knot singularity.
- ► It is natural to ask (and proposed by Taubes), what can we say about the moduli space of the solutions on R<sup>3</sup> with a knot singularity? Can Bogomolny equations with a knot singularity be studied by algebraic geometrical method? This may have the potential to bring knot into algebraic geometry in the future.
- Currently, the only thing that I can say about the knot singularity is obtained by an adaption of Taubes' Analytical method.

Taubes have also studied the moduli space of the Bogomolny equations on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  using an analytical method in his Ph.D. thesis (Vortices and Monopoles: Structure of Static Gauge Theories).

- Suppose (A, Φ) is a configuration. Let L be the linearization of the Bogomolny equations with an extra gauge fixing condition at (A, Φ). Let Q be the quadradic term in the Bogomolny equations: F<sub>A</sub> − \*D<sub>A</sub>Φ = 0.
- Let S = A<sup>0</sup>(T\*M) ⊕ A<sup>1</sup>(T\*M) equipped with a "Clifford multiplication ·", g be the adjoint su(2) bundle. Then L : S ⊗ g → S ⊗ g can be written as

$$L=\sum_{j=1}^{3}dx_{j}\cdot\nabla_{A_{j}}+[\varPhi,\ ].$$

Taubes have also studied the moduli space of the Bogomolny equations on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  using an analytical method in his Ph.D. thesis (Vortices and Monopoles: Structure of Static Gauge Theories).

Suppose (A, Φ) is a configuration. Let L be the linearization of the Bogomolny equations with an extra gauge fixing condition at (A, Φ). Let Q be the quadradic term in the Bogomolny equations: F<sub>A</sub> − \*D<sub>A</sub>Φ = 0.

 Let S = A<sup>0</sup>(T\*M) ⊕ A<sup>1</sup>(T\*M) equipped with a "Clifford multiplication ·", g be the adjoint su(2) bundle. Then L : S ⊗ g → S ⊗ g can be written as

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^{3} dx_j \cdot \nabla_{A_j} + [\Phi, ].$$

Taubes have also studied the moduli space of the Bogomolny equations on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  using an analytical method in his Ph.D. thesis (Vortices and Monopoles: Structure of Static Gauge Theories).

- Suppose (A, Φ) is a configuration. Let L be the linearization of the Bogomolny equations with an extra gauge fixing condition at (A, Φ). Let Q be the quadradic term in the Bogomolny equations: F<sub>A</sub> \*D<sub>A</sub>Φ = 0.
- Let S = Λ<sup>0</sup>(T\*M) ⊕ Λ<sup>1</sup>(T\*M) equipped with a "Clifford multiplication ·", g be the adjoint su(2) bundle. Then L : S ⊗ g → S ⊗ g can be written as

$$L=\sum_{j=1}^{3}dx_{j}\cdot\nabla_{A_{j}}+[\varPhi,\ ].$$

Ignoring the boundary, integration by part shows that:

$$\int |L\psi|^{2} = \int |\nabla_{A}\psi|^{2} + |[\Phi,\psi]|^{2} + \langle \psi, *[(*F_{A} + d_{A}\Phi) \wedge \psi] \rangle,$$
$$\int |L^{\dagger}\psi|^{2} = \int |\nabla_{A}\psi|^{2} + |[\Phi,\psi]|^{2} + \langle \psi, *[(*F_{A} - d_{A}\Phi) \wedge \psi] \rangle.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Ignoring the boundary, integration by part shows that:

$$\int |L\psi|^2 = \int |\nabla_A \psi|^2 + |[\Phi, \psi]|^2 + \langle \psi, *[(*F_A + d_A \Phi) \wedge \psi] \rangle,$$
$$\int |L^{\dagger} \psi|^2 = \int |\nabla_A \psi|^2 + |[\Phi, \psi]|^2 + \langle \psi, *[(*F_A - d_A \Phi) \wedge \psi] \rangle.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- The quadratic part Q is a bounded map from 𝔑<sub>(A,Φ)</sub> × 𝔑<sub>(A,Φ)</sub> to 𝒫<sup>2</sup>.
- A skechy proof:

Write  $\psi$  as  $\psi'' + \psi^{\perp}$ , where  $\psi''/\!/ \Phi, \psi^{\perp} \perp \Phi$ . Note that  $Q(\psi_1'', \psi_2'') = 0$ . So  $Q(\psi_1, \psi_2) = Q(\psi_1, \psi_2^{\perp})$ .

By Sobolev embedding,  $\psi_1 \in \mathbb{L}^6$ ,  $\psi_2^{\perp} \in \mathbb{L}^6 \cap \mathbb{L}^2$ .

- So  $\left\|Q(\psi_1,\psi_2^{\perp})\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$  is bounded by  $\left\|\psi_1\right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi)}} \cdot \left\|\psi_2\right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi)}}$ .
- Corollary(implicit function theorem) The moduli space has a manifold structure.

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日) ・ (日)

- The quadratic part Q is a bounded map from 𝔑<sub>(A,Φ)</sub> × 𝔑<sub>(A,Φ)</sub> to 𝒫<sup>2</sup>.
- A skechy proof:

Write  $\psi$  as  $\psi'' + \psi^{\perp}$ , where  $\psi''/\!/ \Phi, \psi^{\perp} \perp \Phi$ . Note that  $Q(\psi''_1, \psi''_2) = 0$ . So  $Q(\psi_1, \psi_2) = Q(\psi_1, \psi_2^{\perp})$ .

By Sobolev embedding,  $\psi_1 \in \mathbb{L}^6$ ,  $\psi_2^{\perp} \in \mathbb{L}^6 \cap \mathbb{L}^2$ .

- So  $\left\| Q(\psi_1, \psi_2^{\perp}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$  is bounded by  $\left\| \psi_1 \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi)}} \cdot \left\| \psi_2 \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi)}}$ .
- Corollary(implicit function theorem) The moduli space has a manifold structure.

- The quadratic part Q is a bounded map from 𝔑<sub>(A,Φ)</sub> × 𝔑<sub>(A,Φ)</sub> to 𝒫<sup>2</sup>.
- A skechy proof:

Write 
$$\psi$$
 as  $\psi'' + \psi^{\perp}$ , where  $\psi''/\!/ \Phi, \psi^{\perp} \perp \Phi$ .  
Note that  $Q(\psi''_1, \psi''_2) = 0$ . So  $Q(\psi_1, \psi_2) = Q(\psi_1, \psi_2^{\perp})$ .

By Sobolev embedding,  $\psi_1 \in \mathbb{L}^6$ ,  $\psi_2^{\perp} \in \mathbb{L}^6 \cap \mathbb{L}^2$ .

So 
$$\left\| Q(\psi_1, \psi_2^{\perp}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$$
 is bounded by  $\left\| \psi_1 \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A, \Phi)}} \cdot \left\| \psi_2 \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A, \Phi)}}$ 

 Corollary(implicit function theorem) The moduli space has a manifold structure.

If there is a knot singularity, then the usual integration by part does not go through: the boundary term near the knot doesn't vanish.

Suppose  $\rho$  is the distance to the knot and  $N_{\epsilon}$  is the  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood of the knot. By examining the scale near the knot, I make the following adaption:

$$\begin{split} \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(\mathcal{A},\Phi),\epsilon}}^2 &= \epsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus N_{\epsilon}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{A}}\psi|^2 + |[\Phi,\psi]|^2\right) + \left(\int_{N_{\epsilon}} \rho(|\nabla_{\mathcal{A}}\psi|^2 + |[\Phi,\psi]|^2)\right) \\ \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2,\epsilon}^2 &= \epsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus N_{\epsilon}} |\psi|^2\right) + \left(\int_{N_{\epsilon}} \rho|\psi|^2\right). \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

If there is a knot singularity, then the usual integration by part does not go through: the boundary term near the knot doesn't vanish.

Suppose ρ is the distance to the knot and N<sub>ε</sub> is the ε-neighbourhood of the knot.
 By examining the scale near the knot, I make the following adaption:

$$\begin{split} \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon}}^2 &= \epsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus N_{\epsilon}} |\nabla_A \psi|^2 + |[\Phi,\psi]|^2\right) + \left(\int_{N_{\epsilon}} \rho(|\nabla_A \psi|^2 + |[\Phi,\psi]|^2)\right) \\ \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2,\epsilon}^2 &= \epsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus N_{\epsilon}} |\psi|^2\right) + \left(\int_{N_{\epsilon}} \rho|\psi|^2\right). \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Definition If after a gauge transformation, Ψ = (A,Φ) is close enough to a model solution Ψ<sub>γ</sub> = (A<sub>γ</sub>, Φ<sub>γ</sub>) near the knot (∫<sub>N<sub>ε</sub></sub> ρ(|∇<sup>A<sub>γ</sub></sup>(Ψ - Ψ<sub>γ</sub>)|<sup>2</sup> + |[Φ<sub>γ</sub>, Ψ - Ψ<sub>γ</sub>]|<sup>2</sup>) < +∞), then it has a knot singularity with monodromy γ. Here A<sub>γ</sub> = γσω is the flat connection with γ monodromy, Φ = σ is covariantly constant.
- Using SO(3) gauge transformation, one can change γ by any half integer. So it may be assumed that γ ∈ [0, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>).

- Definition If after a gauge transformation, Ψ = (A,Φ) is close enough to a model solution Ψ<sub>γ</sub> = (A<sub>γ</sub>, Φ<sub>γ</sub>) near the knot (∫<sub>N<sub>ε</sub></sub> ρ(|∇<sup>A<sub>γ</sub></sup>(Ψ - Ψ<sub>γ</sub>)|<sup>2</sup> + |[Φ<sub>γ</sub>, Ψ - Ψ<sub>γ</sub>]|<sup>2</sup>) < +∞), then it has a knot singularity with monodromy γ. Here A<sub>γ</sub> = γσω is the flat connection with γ monodromy, Φ = σ is covariantly constant.
- Using SO(3) gauge transformation, one can change  $\gamma$  by any half integer. So it may be assumed that  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

- Theorem (Sun20) Suppose (A, Φ) satisfies the assymptotic conditions and both F<sub>A</sub> and D<sub>A</sub>Φ have bounded L<sup>2</sup><sub>ε</sub> norm. Then the only possible singularity is a knot singularity.
- The drawback is: the cokernel of L is not guaranteed to be 0.
   Luckily, the quadratic term Q is still a bounded map from ⊞<sub>(A,Φ),ϵ</sub> × ⊞<sub>(A,Φ),ϵ</sub> to L<sup>2</sup><sub>ϵ</sub>.

- ► Theorem (Sun20) Suppose (A, Φ) satisfies the assymtotic conditions and both F<sub>A</sub> and D<sub>A</sub>Φ have bounded L<sup>2</sup><sub>e</sub> norm. Then the only possible singularity is a knot singularity.
- ▶ The drawback is: the cokernel of *L* is not guaranteed to be 0.

Luckily, the quadratic term Q is still a bounded map from  $\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon} \times \mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon}$  to  $\mathbb{L}^2_{\epsilon}$ .

- Theorem (Sun20) Suppose (A, Φ) satisfies the assymptotic conditions and both F<sub>A</sub> and D<sub>A</sub>Φ have bounded L<sup>2</sup><sub>ε</sub> norm. Then the only possible singularity is a knot singularity.
- The drawback is: the cokernel of L is not guaranteed to be 0.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

► Luckily, the quadratic term Q is still a bounded map from  $\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon} \times \mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon}$  to  $\mathbb{L}^2_{\epsilon}$ .

# Fredholm Theory

 Theorem (Sun20) If γ ∈ (0, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>) ∪ (<sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub>, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>), then L is Fredholm. In this situation, the moduli space has a local "analytical structure": Locally it can be identified with the pre-image f<sup>-1</sup>(0) of a real analytical map between f Euclidean spaces.
 A sketchy proof:

$$\int_{N_{\epsilon}} \rho |L_{\Psi}\psi|^{2} = c ||\psi||_{\mathbb{H}(N_{\epsilon})}^{2} + \int_{\partial N_{\epsilon}} \text{boundary term } A + (\cdots),$$

$$\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus N_{\epsilon}} |L_{\Psi}\psi|^{2} \ge \epsilon ||\psi||_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus N_{\epsilon}}} + \int_{\partial N_{\epsilon}} \text{boundary term } B + (\cdots).$$
Here  $\int_{\partial N_{\epsilon}} (2A + B)$  is compact relative to  $||\psi||_{\mathbb{H}(A,\Phi),\epsilon}$ , so
$$2 \int_{N_{\epsilon}} \rho |L_{\Psi}\psi|^{2} + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus N_{\epsilon}} |L_{\Psi}\psi|^{2} \ge c ||\psi||_{\mathbb{H}(A,\Phi),\epsilon}^{2} - \text{compact terms.}$$
Similar inequality holds for  $L_{\Psi}^{\dagger}$ , implying that  $L_{\Psi}$  is Fredholm.

## Fredholm Theory

 Theorem (Sun20) If γ ∈ (0, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>) ∪ (<sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub>, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>), then L is Fredholm. In this situation, the moduli space has a local "analytical structure": Locally it can be identified with the pre-image f<sup>-1</sup>(0) of a real analytical map between f Euclidean spaces.
 A sketchy proof:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{N_{\epsilon}} \rho |L_{\Psi}\psi|^{2} = c \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}(N_{\epsilon})}^{2} + \int_{\partial N_{\epsilon}} \text{boundary term } A + (\cdots), \\ &\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus N_{\epsilon}} |L_{\Psi}\psi|^{2} \geq \epsilon \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus N_{\epsilon}}} + \int_{\partial N_{\epsilon}} \text{boundary term } B + (\cdots). \\ &\text{Here } \int_{\partial N_{\epsilon}} (2A + B) \text{ is compact relative to } \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon}}, \text{ so} \\ &2 \int_{N_{\epsilon}} \rho |L_{\Psi}\psi|^{2} + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus N_{\epsilon}} |L_{\Psi}\psi|^{2} \geq c \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon}}^{2} - \text{compact terms.} \\ &\text{Similar inequality holds for } L_{\Psi}^{\dagger}, \text{ implying that } L_{\Psi} \text{ is Fredholm.} \end{split}$$

#### Conjecture

# • 1. *L* is also Fredholm when $\gamma \in [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]$ .

2. Generically, L also has 0 cokernel (which means, the moduli space has a manifold structure).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

#### Conjecture

- 1. *L* is also Fredholm when  $\gamma \in [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]$ .
- 2. Generically, L also has 0 cokernel (which means, the moduli space has a manifold structure).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Examples from gluing

One way to describe the Prasad-Sommerfield monopole (N = 1) is (in a certain gauge):
 A is almost flat and Φ is almost a constant outside of the Dirac region.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



# Examples from gluing

- One way to describe the Prasad-Sommerfield monopole (N = 1) is (in a certain gauge):
   A is almost flat and Φ is almost a constant outside of the Dirac region.
- If the Dirac regions and the knot are far away, then it is possible to "glue" any number of Prasad-Sommerfield monopoles onto the model solution with knot singularity.

R3

(日)

э

### Examples from gluing

- One way to describe the Prasad-Sommerfield monopole (N = 1) is (in a certain gauge):
   A is almost flat and Φ is almost a constant outside of the Dirac region.
- If the Dirac regions and the knot are far away, then it is possible to "glue" any number of Prasad-Sommerfield monopoles onto the model solution with knot singularity.
- (Sun20) If I shrink the knot to be small enough, then the relative compact term in

 $\|L_{\Psi}\psi\|^2_{\mathbb{L}^2_\epsilon} \geq c \|\psi\|^2_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon}}$  – relatively compact term.

can be bounded  $\frac{c}{2} \|\psi\|^2_{\mathbb{H}_{(A,\Phi),\epsilon}}$ , which implies that the cokernel of *L* is 0. (So the moduli space has a manifold structure nearby in this situation.)

# Thank you!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ