# The effect of experiment conditioning on estimates of human influence on extreme weather

Dáithí Stone NIWA, Wellington, Aotearoa New Zealand Leroy Bird, Sam Dean, Luke Harrington, Sapna Rana, Suzanne Rosier, Stephen Stuart

### An extreme weather event happens



Courtesy Benedict Knill/NZ Herald

A common thought process:

- Humans are affecting the climate
- Extreme weather is part of the climate
- Are our emissions involved in this event?

### Factual/counterfactual comparison

"ALL" influences (factual) versus "NAT" natural-only (counterfactual)



A shift in magnitude, or a change in probability

### This is a statistical sampling problem

- We cannot observe a "natural" counterfactual
- We have to make one from dynamical models of the climate system



**Courtesy Peter Gibson** 

### **Experiment #1: global earth system model**

- Model everything: atmosphere, ocean, land, land ice, sea ice, chemistry, biosphere
- Addresses the most general question: effect of humans on a class of events
- Computational limitations on spatial resolution and sample size
- Can have substantial biases at regional scales



### **Experiment #2: global atmosphere model**

- Model usually most relevant: atmosphere, land
- Addresses a conditional question: effect on a on class of events, given current ocean state
- Computationally parallelisable: higher resolution and larger sample size
- Ocean biases removed, atmospheric biases reduced



### **Experiment #3: weather forecast model**

- "Design storm" experiment
- Addresses highly conditional question: how would this event differed without humans
- Computationally simple: very high resolution, very rapid calculation, official forecast
- Is it the same storm in the counterfactual?



## The Question of life, the Universe, and event attribution

#### Each experiment is asking a different question:

- What is the human effect on this type of event?
- What is the human effect on this type of event, given current oceanic state (e.g. El Niño)?
- What is the human effect on this *specific* event?

These differences might matter!

### But we cannot always be choosy

- Even if we want to ask the general question, the global earth system models might not be fit for purpose.
- Even if we want to ask the design storm question, the counterfactual forecast might produce a different event entirely.
- We might not possess the computational resources or model to perform our relevant experiment.

How transferable are our experiments?

### Theory (sort of) of transferability



Depends on definition of event, nature of conditioning, and attribution metric

Magnitude shift (%):

7.0

16

7.5

#### Dáithí Stone (dastone@runbox.com)

Magnitude shift (°C):

1.0

1.0

1.0

### Empirical evaluation: Buller, wet 15-16 July 2021

Climate models:

1-in-5-year event class

Forecast models:

actual event



- Models appear fit for purpose: we have managed a solid test
- Attributable effect weakens with increased conditioning (unexpected)

### **Empirical evaluation: Canterbury, wet 29-30 May 2021**

Atmosphere

Atmosphere-ocean

Forecast

Hindcast

All months Event month All months Event month Event day All months [Event month] Event day Multi-year Multi-year Multi-year Event year Multi-year | Event year Event year Event year 8 Risk ratio 2 φ Φ Climate models: 1/2 1-in-5-year event class 1/4 Atmosphere-ocean Atmosphere Forecast Hindcast All months Event month Multi-year Multi-year All months Event month All months Event month Event day Event day Forecast models: Multi-year Multi-year | Event year | Multi-year | Event year Event year Event year 40 Magnitude shift (%) 30 actual event 20 10 φ( φ 0 -10 -20 -30 BCC-CSM2-MR CAM5.1-1degree — WRF — UM-RCM-GA7 CCSM4 CAM5.3-0.25degree CESM2 ECHAM5.4 HadAM3P/RM3P MRI-ESM2-0 HadGEM3-A-N216

- Many models not fit for purpose: we have not managed a solid test
- Differences between conditioning level within sampling uncertainties

### **Empirical evaluation: North Island, wet 4 April 2017**

Climate models:

1-in-5-year event class

Forecast models:

actual event



- Apparent increase in attributable effect with increased conditioning
- But storm's track shifts in forecast experiments: is this the same event?

### Thoughts

- Sampling uncertainties are large enough across experiments that it is hard to identify systematic differences.
- Weather forecast experiment methodology is still at an early stage of development: but it is coming.
- Experiments appear practically transferable for NZ rainfall events.
- Weather-vs-climate: not transferable for NZ temperature event risk ratios, okay for magnitude shift.
- We are still at an early stage of understanding our event attribution tools.

### I hope that made sense