

# Drinfeld Modules and $t$ -Modules

## A Very Brief Introduction

W. Dale Brownawell

Penn State University

BIRS Workshop on  $t$ -Motives  
September 28 - October 2, 2009

# Outline

- 1 Classical Forebears
- 2 Analogues for Function Fields

# Classical Forebears

Arithmetic objects from characteristic 0

- The multiplicative group and  $\exp(z)$
- Elliptic curves and elliptic functions
- Abelian varieties

# The multiplicative group

We have the usual exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow 2\pi i\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\exp(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^i}{i!} \in \mathbb{C}[[z]].$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathbb{C}^\times \\ \downarrow z \mapsto nz & & \downarrow x \mapsto x^n \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathbb{C}^\times \end{array}$$

which is simply a restatement of the functional equation

$$\exp(nz) = \exp(z)^n.$$

# The multiplicative group

We have the usual exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow 2\pi i\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\exp(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^i}{i!} \in \mathbb{C}[[z]].$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathbb{C}^\times \\ z \mapsto nz \downarrow & & \downarrow x \mapsto x^n \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathbb{C}^\times \end{array}$$

which is simply a restatement of the functional equation

$$\exp(nz) = \exp(z)^n.$$

# Roots of unity

## Torsion in the multiplicative group

The  $n$ -th roots of unity are defined by

$$\mu_n := \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times \mid \zeta^n = 1 \} = \{ \exp(2\pi ia/n) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

- $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .
- **Kronecker-Weber Theorem:** The cyclotomic fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)$  provide explicit class field theory for  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- For  $\zeta \in \mu_n$ ,

$$\log(\zeta) = \frac{2\pi ia}{n}, \quad 0 \leq a < n.$$

# Elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$

Smooth projective algebraic curve of genus 1.

$$E : y^2 = 4x^3 + ax + b, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}$$

$E(\mathbb{C})$  has the structure of an abelian group through the usual chord-tangent construction.

# Weierstrass uniformization

There exist  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ , so that if we consider the lattice

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2,$$

then the *Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function* is defined by

$$\wp_{\Lambda}(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Lambda \\ \omega \neq 0}} \left( \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right).$$

The function  $\wp(z)$  has double poles at each point in  $\Lambda$  and no other poles.

We obtain an exact sequence of abelian groups,

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp_E} E(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\exp_E(z) = (\wp(z), \wp'(z)).$$

with commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\exp_E} & E(\mathbb{C}) \\ z \mapsto nz \downarrow & & \downarrow P \mapsto [n]P \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\exp_E} & E(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

where  $[n]P$  is the  $n$ -th multiple of a point  $P$  on the elliptic curve  $E$ .

# Periods of $E$

How do we find  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ ?

An elliptic curve  $E$ ,

$$E : y^2 = 4x^3 + ax + b, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C},$$

has the geometric structure of a torus in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ . Let

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$$

be generators of the homology of  $E$ .

Then we can choose

$$\omega_1 = \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{4x^3 + ax + b}}, \quad \omega_2 = \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{4x^3 + ax + b}}.$$

# Periods of $E$

How do we find  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ ?

An elliptic curve  $E$ ,

$$E : y^2 = 4x^3 + ax + b, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C},$$

has the geometric structure of a torus in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ . Let

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$$

be generators of the homology of  $E$ .

Then we can choose

$$\omega_1 = \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{4x^3 + ax + b}}, \quad \omega_2 = \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{4x^3 + ax + b}}.$$

# Quasi-periods of $E$

- The differential  $dx/y$  on  $E$  generates the space of holomorphic 1-forms on  $E$  (differentials of the first kind).
- The differential  $x dx/y$  generates the space of differentials of the second kind (differentials with poles but residues of 0).
- We set

$$\eta_1 = \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{4x^3 + ax + b}}, \quad \eta_2 = \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{4x^3 + ax + b}},$$

and  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  are called the *quasi-periods of  $E$* .

# Quasi-periods of $E$

- The differential  $dx/y$  on  $E$  generates the space of holomorphic 1-forms on  $E$  (differentials of the first kind).
- The differential  $x dx/y$  generates the space of differentials of the second kind (differentials with poles but residues of 0).
- We set

$$\eta_1 = \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{4x^3 + ax + b}}, \quad \eta_2 = \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{4x^3 + ax + b}},$$

and  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  are called the *quasi-periods of  $E$* .

# Quasi-Periods as Periods of Extensions

$\eta_1, \eta_2$  arise as special values of the Weierstrass  $\zeta$ -function because of the way  $\zeta$  is involved in the exponential functions of extensions of  $E$  by  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .

For  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , the function of two variables

$$(z, t) \longmapsto (\wp(z), \wp'(z), t + c\zeta(z))$$

is the exponential function of a group extension  $G$  of  $E$  by  $\mathbb{G}_a$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

Its periods are of the form  $(\omega, -c\eta)$ , since  $\zeta(\omega/2) = \eta/2$ .

When  $c = 0$ , the extension splits:  $G = E \times \mathbb{G}_a$ .

# Period matrix of $E$

- The period matrix of  $E$  is the matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 & \eta_1 \\ \omega_2 & \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It provides a natural isomorphism

$$H_{\text{sing}}^1(E, \mathbb{C}) \cong H_{\text{DR}}^1(E, \mathbb{C}).$$

- **Legendre Relation:** From properties of elliptic functions, the determinant of  $P$  is

$$\omega_1 \eta_2 - \omega_2 \eta_1 = \pm 2\pi i.$$

# Period matrix of $E$

- The period matrix of  $E$  is the matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 & \eta_1 \\ \omega_2 & \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It provides a natural isomorphism

$$H_{\text{sing}}^1(E, \mathbb{C}) \cong H_{\text{DR}}^1(E, \mathbb{C}).$$

- **Legendre Relation:** From properties of elliptic functions, the determinant of  $P$  is

$$\omega_1 \eta_2 - \omega_2 \eta_1 = \pm 2\pi i.$$

# Abelian varieties

## Higher dimensional analogues of elliptic curves

- An *abelian variety*  $A$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a smooth projective variety that is also a group variety.
- Elliptic curves are abelian varieties of dimension 1.
- Much as for  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and elliptic curves, an abelian variety of dimension  $d$  has a uniformization,

$$\mathbb{C}^d / \Lambda \cong A(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a discrete lattice of rank  $2d$ .

# Abelian varieties

## Higher dimensional analogues of elliptic curves

- An *abelian variety*  $A$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a smooth projective variety that is also a group variety.
- Elliptic curves are abelian varieties of dimension 1.
- Much as for  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and elliptic curves, an abelian variety of dimension  $d$  has a uniformization,

$$\mathbb{C}^d / \Lambda \cong A(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a discrete lattice of rank  $2d$ .

# The period matrix of an abelian variety

Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  of dimension  $d$ .

- As in the case of elliptic curves, there is a natural isomorphism,

$$H_{\text{Sing}}^1(A, \mathbb{C}) \cong H_{\text{DR}}^1(A, \mathbb{C}),$$

given by period integrals, whose defining matrix  $P$  is called the *period matrix of  $A$* .

- We have

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \omega_{ij} & \eta_{ij} \end{array} \right] \in \text{Mat}_{2d}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq 2d$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ .

- The  $\omega_{ij}$ 's provide coordinates for the period lattice  $\Lambda$ .
- The  $\eta_{ij}$ 's occur in periods of extensions of  $A$  by  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .

# The period matrix of an abelian variety

Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  of dimension  $d$ .

- As in the case of elliptic curves, there is a natural isomorphism,

$$H_{\text{Sing}}^1(A, \mathbb{C}) \cong H_{\text{DR}}^1(A, \mathbb{C}),$$

given by period integrals, whose defining matrix  $P$  is called the *period matrix of  $A$* .

- We have

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \omega_{ij} & \eta_{ij} \end{array} \right] \in \text{Mat}_{2d}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq 2d$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ .

- The  $\omega_{ij}$ 's provide coordinates for the period lattice  $\Lambda$ .
- The  $\eta_{ij}$ 's occur in periods of extensions of  $A$  by  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .

# Analogues for Function Fields

- Function field notation
- Drinfeld modules
  - ▶ The Carlitz module
  - ▶ Drinfeld modules
- $t$ -modules (higher dimensional Drinfeld modules) &  $t$ -motives

# Analoguees for Function Fields

- Function field notation
- Drinfeld modules
  - ▶ The Carlitz module
  - ▶ Drinfeld modules
- $t$ -modules (higher dimensional Drinfeld modules) &  $t$ -motives

# Function fields

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime;  $q$  a fixed power of  $p$ .

$$A := \mathbb{F}_q[\theta] \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{Z}$$

$$k := \mathbb{F}_q(\theta) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\bar{k} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$k_\infty := \mathbb{F}_q((1/\theta)) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_\infty := \widehat{\overline{k_\infty}} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}$$

$$|f|_\infty = q^{\deg f} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad |\cdot|$$

# Twisted polynomials

- Let  $F: \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$  be the  $q$ -th power Frobenius map:  $F(x) = x^q$ .
- For a subfield  $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}_\infty$ , the ring of *twisted polynomials* over  $K$  is

$K[F]$  = polynomials in  $F$  with coefficients in  $K$ ,

subject to the conditions

$$Fc = c^q F, \quad \forall c \in K.$$

- In this way,

$$K[F] \cong \{\mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear endomorphisms of } K^+\}.$$

For  $x \in K$  and  $\phi = a_0 + a_1 F + \cdots + a_r F^r \in K[F]$ , we write

$$\phi(x) := a_0 x + a_1 x^q + \cdots + a_r x^{q^r}.$$

# Twisted polynomials

- Let  $F: \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$  be the  $q$ -th power Frobenius map:  $F(x) = x^q$ .
- For a subfield  $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}_\infty$ , the ring of *twisted polynomials* over  $K$  is

$$K[F] = \text{polynomials in } F \text{ with coefficients in } K,$$

subject to the conditions

$$Fc = c^q F, \quad \forall c \in K.$$

- In this way,

$$K[F] \cong \{\mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear endomorphisms of } K^+\}.$$

For  $x \in K$  and  $\phi = a_0 + a_1 F + \cdots + a_r F^r \in K[F]$ , we write

$$\phi(x) := a_0 x + a_1 x^q + \cdots + a_r x^{q^r}.$$

# Drinfeld modules

Function field analogues of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and elliptic curves

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  be a polynomial ring in  $t$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## Definition

A *Drinfeld module* over is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism,

$$\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty[F],$$

such that

$$\rho(t) = \theta + a_1 F + \cdots + a_r F^r.$$

- $\rho$  makes  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$  into a  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module in the following way:

$$f * x := \rho(f)(x), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t], x \in \mathbb{C}_\infty.$$

- If  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in K \subseteq \mathbb{C}_\infty$ , we say  $\rho$  is *defined over*  $K$ .
- When  $a_r \neq 0$ ,  $r$  is called the *rank* of  $\rho$ .

# Drinfeld modules

Function field analogues of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and elliptic curves

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  be a polynomial ring in  $t$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## Definition

A *Drinfeld module* over is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism,

$$\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty[F],$$

such that

$$\rho(t) = \theta + a_1 F + \cdots + a_r F^r.$$

- $\rho$  makes  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$  into a  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module in the following way:

$$f * x := \rho(f)(x), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t], x \in \mathbb{C}_\infty.$$

- If  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in K \subseteq \mathbb{C}_\infty$ , we say  $\rho$  is *defined over*  $K$ .
- When  $a_r \neq 0$ ,  $r$  is called the *rank* of  $\rho$ .

# The Carlitz module

The analogue of  $\mathbb{G}_m$

Define a particular Drinfeld module  $C : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty[F]$  by

$$C(t) := \theta + F.$$

Thus, for any  $x \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ ,

$$C(t)(x) = \theta x + x^q.$$

# Carlitz exponential

Set

$$\exp_C(z) := z + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{q^i}}{(\theta^{q^i} - \theta)(\theta^{q^i} - \theta^q) \cdots (\theta^{q^i} - \theta^{q^{i-1}})}.$$

- $\exp_C : \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  is entire, surjective, and  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear.
- Functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\exp_C(\theta z) &= \theta \exp_C(z) + \exp_C(z)^q, \\ \exp_C(f(\theta)z) &= C(f)(\exp_C(z)), \quad \forall f(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t].\end{aligned}$$

# Carlitz uniformization and the Carlitz period

We have a commutative diagram of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\exp_C} & \mathbb{C}_\infty \\ z \mapsto \theta z \downarrow & & \downarrow x \mapsto \theta x + x^q \\ \mathbb{C}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\exp_C} & \mathbb{C}_\infty \end{array}$$

The kernel of  $\exp_C(z)$  is

$$\ker(\exp_C(z)) = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\pi_q,$$

where

$$\pi_q = \theta^{q^{-1}\sqrt{-\theta}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \theta^{1-q^i})^{-1}.$$

# Carlitz uniformization and the Carlitz period

We have a commutative diagram of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\exp_C} & \mathbb{C}_\infty \\ z \mapsto \theta z \downarrow & & \downarrow x \mapsto \theta x + x^q \\ \mathbb{C}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\exp_C} & \mathbb{C}_\infty \end{array}$$

The kernel of  $\exp_C(z)$  is

$$\ker(\exp_C(z)) = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\pi_q,$$

where

$$\pi_q = \theta^{q^{-1}\sqrt{-\theta}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \theta^{1-q^i})^{-1}.$$

# Wade's result

Thus we have an exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\pi_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty \xrightarrow{\exp_C} \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem (Wade 1941)

*The Carlitz period  $\pi_q$  is transcendental over  $\bar{k}$ .*

# Wade's result

Thus we have an exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\pi_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty \xrightarrow{\exp_C} \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

## Theorem (Wade 1941)

*The Carlitz period  $\pi_q$  is transcendental over  $\bar{k}$ .*

# Torsion for the Carlitz module

## Theorem (Carlitz-Hayes)

*Torsion of the Carlitz module provides explicit class field theory over  $\mathbb{F}_q(\theta)$ .*

# Drinfeld modules of rank $r$

- Suppose  $\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \overline{k}[F]$  is a rank  $r$  Drinfeld module defined over  $\overline{k}$  by

$$\rho(t) = \theta + a_1 F + \cdots + a_r F^r.$$

- Then there is a unique, entire,  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear function

$$\exp_\rho : \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty,$$

so that

$$\exp_\rho(f(\theta)z) = \rho(f)(\exp_\rho(z)), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t].$$

# Periods of Drinfeld modules of rank $r$

- Furthermore, there are  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$  so that

$$\ker(\exp_\rho(z)) = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\omega_1 + \dots + \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\omega_r =: \Lambda,$$

is a discrete  $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$  of rank  $r$ .

- **Chicken vs. Egg:**

$$\exp_\rho(z) = z \prod_{0 \neq \omega \in \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\omega}\right).$$

- Again we have a uniformizing exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty \xrightarrow{\exp_\rho} \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

# Periods of Drinfeld modules of rank $r$

- Furthermore, there are  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$  so that

$$\ker(\exp_\rho(z)) = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\omega_1 + \dots + \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\omega_r =: \Lambda,$$

is a discrete  $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$  of rank  $r$ .

- **Chicken vs. Egg:**

$$\exp_\rho(z) = z \prod_{0 \neq \omega \in \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\omega}\right).$$

- Again we have a uniformizing exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty \xrightarrow{\exp_\rho} \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

# Riemann-Legendre Relations

**Quasi-periods:** Quasi-periods  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$  for  $\rho$  arise in periods of extensions of  $\rho$  by  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .

**Legendre relation:** When  $r = 2$ ,  $\omega_1\eta_2 - \omega_2\eta_1 = \zeta\pi_q$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ .

# $t$ -modules (Anderson)

## Higher dimensional Drinfeld modules

- A  $t$ -module  $A$  of dimension  $d$  is a pair  $(A, \mathbb{G}_a^d)$  consisting of an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear homomorphism,

$$A : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{C}_\infty^d) \cong \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}_\infty[F]),$$

such that

$$A(t) = \theta \text{Id} + N + a_0 F + \cdots + a_r F^r,$$

where  $N \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$  is nilpotent.

- Thus  $\mathbb{C}_\infty^d$  is given the structure of an  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module via

$$f * x := A(f)(x), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t], x \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^d.$$

# Exponential functions of $t$ -modules

- There is a unique entire  $\exp_A : \mathbb{C}_\infty^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty^d$  so that

$$\exp_A((\theta \text{Id} + N)z) = A(t)(\exp_A(z)).$$

- If  $\exp_A$  is surjective, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty^d \xrightarrow{\exp_A} \mathbb{C}_\infty^d \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a discrete  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty^d$ .

- $\Lambda$  is called the *period lattice* of  $A$ .
- Quasi-periods are defined via periods of extensions by copies of the additive group.

# Remarks on $t$ -modules

- When  $A(t) \in \bar{k}$ , we say that the  $t$ -module is *defined over*  $\bar{k}$ .
- In that case,  $\exp_A$  has coefficients from  $\bar{k}$ .

## Subtleties

- Surjectivity of exponential function not assured, but here *posited*.
- We do not have a product expansion for  $\exp_A$  or indeed any series expansion in terms of  $\Lambda$ .
- Exponential function does not always completely determine  $t$ -module

# Remarks on $t$ -modules

- When  $A(t) \in \bar{k}$ , we say that the  $t$ -module is *defined over*  $\bar{k}$ .
- In that case,  $\exp_A$  has coefficients from  $\bar{k}$ .

## Subtleties

- Surjectivity of exponential function not assured, but here *posited*.
- We do not have a product expansion for  $\exp_A$  or indeed any series expansion in terms of  $\Lambda$ .
- Exponential function does not always completely determine  $t$ -module

# Remarks on $t$ -modules

- When  $A(t) \in \bar{k}$ , we say that the  $t$ -module is *defined over*  $\bar{k}$ .
- In that case,  $\exp_A$  has coefficients from  $\bar{k}$ .

## Subtleties

- Surjectivity of exponential function not assured, but here *posited*.
- We do not have a product expansion for  $\exp_A$  or indeed any series expansion in terms of  $\Lambda$ .
- Exponential function does not always completely determine  $t$ -module

# Remarks on $t$ -modules

- When  $A(t) \in \bar{k}$ , we say that the  $t$ -module is *defined over*  $\bar{k}$ .
- In that case,  $\exp_A$  has coefficients from  $\bar{k}$ .

## Subtleties

- Surjectivity of exponential function not assured, but here *posited*.
- We do not have a product expansion for  $\exp_A$  or indeed any series expansion in terms of  $\Lambda$ .
- Exponential function does not always completely determine  $t$ -module

# Easiest examples of $t$ -modules

- Direct sums of  $t$ -modules, in particular Drinfeld modules
- Extensions of  $t$ -modules by  $\mathbb{G}_a$  (De Rham cohomology controls how much new stuff can be obtained this way.)
- Tensor products of  $t$ -modules

# Easiest examples of $t$ -modules

- Direct sums of  $t$ -modules, in particular Drinfeld modules
- Extensions of  $t$ -modules by  $\mathbb{G}_a$  (De Rham cohomology controls how much new stuff can be obtained this way.)
- Tensor products of  $t$ -modules

# Easiest examples of $t$ -modules

- Direct sums of  $t$ -modules, in particular Drinfeld modules
- Extensions of  $t$ -modules by  $\mathbb{G}_a$  (De Rham cohomology controls how much new stuff can be obtained this way.)
- Tensor products of  $t$ -modules

A *morphism*  $\Theta$  between two  $t$ -modules  $(A_1, \mathbb{G}_a^{d_1})$  and  $(A_2, \mathbb{G}_a^{d_2})$  is a matrix of twisted polynomials  $\Theta \in \text{Mat}_{d_2 \times d_1}(\mathbb{C}_\infty[F])$  such that

$$\Theta A_1(t) = A_2(t)\Theta.$$

An *isogeny* is a morphism when  $d_1 = d_2$  and the kernel of  $\Theta$  is finite.

## $t$ -Motives (Anderson)

Let  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[t, F] := \mathbb{C}_\infty[F][t]$ , the ring of polynomials in the commuting variable  $t$  over the non-commuting ring  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[F]$ . A  $t$ -motive  $M$  is a left  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[t, F]$ -module which is free and finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[F]$ -module and for which there is an  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$(t - \theta)^\ell(M/FM) = \{0\},$$

*Morphisms* are morphisms of left  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[t, F]$ -modules.

# Motives from Modules

Every  $t$ -module  $(A, \mathbb{G}_a^d)$  gives rise to a unique  $t$ -motive over  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ , viz.

$$M := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}^q(\mathbb{G}_a^d, \mathbb{G}_a),$$

the module of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear morphisms of algebraic groups. The action of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[t, F]$  is given by

$$(ct^i, m) \mapsto c \circ m \circ A(t^i).$$

Projections on the  $d$  coordinates give a  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[F]$ -basis for  $M$ ,  $d = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty[F]} M$ , and  $\ell$  need not be taken greater than  $d$ .

# Modules from Motives

A  $t$ -motive  $M$  has a  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[F]$ -basis  $m_1, \dots, m_d$  which we can use to express the  $t$ -action via a matrix  $A(t) \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}_\infty[F])$ .

This is compatible with the above because, if we represent arbitrary  $m \in M$  as

$$m = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_d \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{k} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_d \end{pmatrix},$$

gives according to the commutativity of  $t$  with elements of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty[F]$ , that, with  $a \in L[F]$ ,

$$at \cdot \mathbf{k} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_d \end{pmatrix} = a\mathbf{k} \cdot t \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_d \end{pmatrix} = a\mathbf{k}A(t) \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_d \end{pmatrix}$$

## Theorem (Anderson)

*The above correspondence between  $t$ -modules and  $t$ -motives gives an anti-equivalence of categories.*

