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Analysis of longitudinal deformations
Is there an alternative to the Riemannian setting?
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Design Mathematical Methods and Algorithms to Model and Analyze the Anatomy

- Statistics of organ shapes across subjects in species, populations, diseases...
  - Mean shape
  - Shape variability (Covariance)

- Model organ development across time (heart-beat, growth, ageing, ages...)
  - Predictive (vs descriptive) models of evolution
  - Correlation with clinical variables
Longitudinal deformation analysis in AD

Dynamic observations

How to transport longitudinal deformation across subjects?
What are the convenient mathematical settings?
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Conclusion and challenges
Riemannian geometry is a powerful structure to build consistent statistical computing algorithms

Shape spaces & directional statistics
- [Kendall StatSci 89, Small 96, Dryden & Mardia 98]

Numerical integration, dynamical systems & optimization
- [Helmke & Moore 1994, Hairer et al 2002]
- Matrix Lie groups [Owren BIT 2000, Mahony JGO 2002]
- Optimization on Matrix Manifolds [Absil, Mahony, Sepulchre, 2008]

Information geometry (statistical manifolds)

Statistics for image analysis
- Rigid body transformations [Pennec PhD96]
- General Riemannian manifolds [Pennec JMI98, NSIP99, JMI06]
- PGA for M-Reps [Fletcher IPMI03, TMI04]
- Planar curves [Klassen & Srivastava PAMI 2003]

Geometric computing
- Subdivision scheme [Rahman,…Donoho, Schroder SIAM MMS 2005]
The geometric framework: Riemannian Manifolds

Riemannian metric:
- Dot product on tangent space
- Speed, length of a curve
- Distance and geodesics
  - Closed form for simple metrics/manifolds
  - Optimization for more complex

Exponential map (Normal coord. syst.):
- Geodesic shooting: $\text{Exp}_x(v) = \gamma(x,v)$ (1)
- Log: find vector to shoot right (geodesic completeness!)

Unfolding ($\text{Log}_x$), folding ($\text{Exp}_x$)
- Vector -> Bipoint (no more equivalent class)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Euclidean space</th>
<th>Riemannian manifold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subtraction</td>
<td>$xy = y - x$</td>
<td>$xy = \text{Log}_x(y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>$y = x + xy$</td>
<td>$y = \text{Exp}_x(xy)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>$\text{dist}(x, y) = |y - x|$</td>
<td>$\text{dist}(x, y) = |xy|$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient descent</td>
<td>$x_{t+\epsilon} = x_t - \epsilon \nabla C(x_t)$</td>
<td>$x_{t+\epsilon} = \text{Exp}_{x_t}(-\epsilon \nabla C(x_t))$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
First statistical tools: moments

Probability measures

- Metric -> Volume form $dM(x)$
- Intrinsic probability density functions $dP(z) = p(z).dM(z)$

Expectation of a function from $M$ into $\mathbb{R}$

- Variance: $\sigma_x^2(y) = E[\text{dist}(y, x)^2] = \int_M \text{dist}(y, z)^2.dP(z)$
- Information: $I[x] = E[\log(p(x))]$

Fréchet / Karcher mean: minimize the variance

- Optimum: exponential barycenter $E[\overline{x}] = \int_M \overline{x}.dP(z) = 0$ $[P(C) = 0]$
- Gauss-Newton Geodesic marching
  $$\overline{x}_{t+1} = \exp_{\overline{x}_t}(v) \quad \text{with} \quad v = E[y|x]$$

Covariance (tPCA) and higher orders

$$\Sigma_{xx} = E[(\overline{x}x)(\overline{x}x)^\top] = \int_M (\overline{x}z)(\overline{x}z)^\top.dP(z)$$

[Oller & Corcuera 95, Battacharya & Patrangenaru 2002, Pennec, JMV06, NSIP’99]
Riemannian Shape space setting

- Forms live in a shape space with a Riemannian metric
- Use Frechet/Karcher mean, covariance, Tangent PCA

Measure of deformation [D’Arcy Thompson 1917, Grenander & Miller]

- Observation = "random" deformation of a reference template
- Deterministic template = anatomical invariants [Atlas ~ mean]
- Random deformations = geometrical variability [Covariance matrix]
Riemannian metrics on diffeomorphisms

Space of deformations
- Transformation $y = \phi(x)$
- Curves in transformation spaces: $\phi(x,t)$
- Tangent vector = speed vector field $v_t(x) = \frac{d\phi(x,t)}{dt}$

Right invariant metric
- Eulerian scheme
- Sobolev Norm $H_k$ or $H_\infty$ (RKHS) in LDDMM $\rightarrow$ diffeomorphisms [Miller, Trouve, Younes, Dupuis 1998 – 2009]

Geodesics determined by optimization of a time-varying vector field
- Distance $d^2(\phi_0, \phi_1) = \arg\min_{v_t} \int_0^1 \|v_t\|^2_{\phi_t} dt$
- Geodesics characterized by initial momentum
- Point supported objects (Currents, e.g. curves, surface): finite dimensional parameterization with Dirac currents [Glaunes PhD’06]
- Images: more difficult implementation [Beg IJCV 2005, Niethammer 09]
Statistics on which deformations feature?

Space of “initial momentum” [Quantity of motion instead of speed]
- [Vaillant et al., NeuroImage, 04, Durrleman et al, MICCAI’07]
- Based on right-invariant metrics on diffeos [Trouvé, Younes et al.]
- No more finite dimensional parameterization with images
- Computationally intensive for images

Global statistics on displacement field or B-spline parameters
- [Rueckert et al., TMI, 03], [Charpiat et al., ICCV’05],[P. Fillard, stats on sulcal lines]
- Simple vector statistics, but inconsistency with group properties

Local statistics on local deformation (mechanical properties)
- Gradient of transformation, strain tensor
- Riemannian elasticity [Pennec, MICCAI’05, MFCA’06]
- TBM [N. Lepore & C. Brun, MICCAI’06 & 07, ISBI’08, Neuroimage09]

An alternative: “log-Euclidean” statistics on diffeomorphisms?
- [Arsigny, MICCAI'07]
- [Bossa, MICCAI’07, Vercauteran MICCAI’07, Ashburner NeuroImage 2007]
- Mathematical problems but efficient numerical methods!
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The SVF framework for Diffeomorphisms

Framework of [Arsigny et al., MICCAI 06]

- Use one-parameter subgroups

Exponential of a smooth vector field is a diffeomorphism

- $u$ is a smooth stationary velocity field
- Exponential: solution at time 1 of ODE $\frac{\partial x(t)}{\partial t} = u(x(t))$
The SVF framework for Diffeomorphisms

Efficient numerical methods

- Take advantage of algebraic properties of exp and log.
  - \( \exp(t.V) \) is a one-parameter subgroup.
- → Direct generalization of numerical matrix algorithms.

Efficient parametric diffeomorphisms

- Computing the deformation: Scaling and squaring recursive use of \( \exp(v) = \exp(v/2) \circ \exp(v/2) \)  
  \[ \text{[Arsigny MICCAI 2006]} \]

- Updating the deformation parameters:  
  BCH formula  \[ \text{[Bossa MICCAI 2007]} \]

\[
\exp(v) \circ \exp(\epsilon u) = \exp(v + \epsilon u + [v,\epsilon u]/2 + [v,[v,\epsilon u]]/12 + \ldots )
\]

- Lie bracket  
  \( [v,u](p) = \text{Jac}(v)(p).u(p) - \text{Jac}(u)(p).v(p) \)
**Symmetric log-demons [Vercauteren MICCAI 08]**

**Idea:** [Arsigny MICCAI 2006, Bossa MICCAI 2007, Ashburner Neuroimage 2007]
- Parameterize the deformation by SVFs
- Time varying (LDDMM) replaced by stationary vector fields
- Efficient scaling and squaring methods to integrate autonomous ODEs

**Log-demons with SVFs**

\[
\mathcal{E}(v, v_c) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \| F - M \circ \exp(v_c) \|_{L_2}^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_x^2} \| \log(\exp(-v) \circ \exp(v_c)) \|_{L_2}^2 + R(v) 
\]

- **Similarity**
  *Measures how much the two images differ*

- **Coupling**
  *Couples the correspondences with the smooth deformation*

- **Regularisation**
  *Ensures deformation smoothness*

- Efficient optimization with BCH formula
- Inverse consistent with symmetric forces
- Open-source ITK implementation
  - Very fast
  - http://hdl.handle.net/10380/3060

The SVF framework for Diffeomorphisms

Can we justify that? [Pennec & Lorenzi, MFCA11]
- Drop the metric, use connection to define geodesics
- Canonical symmetric Cartan Connection: unique symmetric left AND right invariant linear connection on a Lie group
- Null torsion, Curvature $R(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z} = -\frac{1}{4}[[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}], \tilde{Z}]$

What we gain
- Geodesics are left (and right) translations of one-parameter subgroups
- Invariance by left and right translations + inversion
- Efficiency (PDEs -> ODEs)

What we loose
- No compatible metric for non compact non abelian groups
- Geodesic completeness but no Hopf-Rinow theorem
  - There is not always a smooth geodesic joining two points (e.g. $\text{SL}_2$, no pb for $\text{GL}_n$)
- Infinite dimensions: exponential might not be locally diffeomorphic
  - Known examples on $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ but with $\|\phi\|_{H^k} \xrightarrow{k \to +\infty} \infty$

In practice
- Reachable diffeos seem to be sufficient to describe anatomical deformations
Generalizing the statistical setting to affine connection spaces?

Intuition: from Euclidean to affine spaces (but with curvature)

Mean value

- Fréchet / Karcher means not usable (no distance)
- Can be defined through exponential barycenters
- Algorithm to compute the mean: fixed point iteration (stability?)
- Canonical symmetric Cartan connection:
  Bi-invariant mean on Lie groups [Arsigny Preprint 2006 + PhD 2006]

Covariance matrix & higher order moments

- Cannot be defined as $\Sigma_{ij} = E( <x|e_i><x|e_j>)$ (no dot product)
- $\Sigma_{ij} = E( x_i.x_j)$ can be defined in any specific basis (but depends on it)
- PCA has no meaning: change it to ICA?
- Anyway, the distribution is more important than the distance [Anuj yesterday]
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Longitudinal structural damage in AD

Baseline vs. 2 years follow-up:
- Ventricle's expansion
- Hippocampal atrophy
- Widespread cortical thinning

Widespread cortical thinning
Modeling longitudinal atrophy in AD from images

- From patient specific evolution to population trend (parallel transport of deformation trajectories)
- Inter-subject and longitudinal deformations are of different nature and might require different deformation spaces/metrics

PhD Marco Lorenzi - Collaboration With G. Frisoni (IRCCS FateBenefratelli, Brescia)
Parallel transport of deformations

Encode longitudinal deformation by its initial tangent (co-) vector
- Momentum (LDDMM) / SVF

Parallel transport
- (small) longitudinal deformation vector
- along the large inter-subject normalization deformation

Existing methods
- Vector reorientation with Jacobian of inter-subject deformation
- Conjugate action on deformations (Rao et al. 2006)
- Resampling of scalar maps (Bossa et al, 2010)
- LDDMM setting: parallel transport along geodesics via Jacobi fields [Younes et al. 2008]

Intra and inter-subject deformations/metrics are of different nature
Parallel transport along arbitrary curves

Infinitesimal parallel transport = connection

\[ \nabla_{\gamma'}(x) : TM \rightarrow TM \]

A numerical scheme to integrate for symmetric connections: Schild’s Ladder [Elhers et al, 1972]

- Build geodesic parallelogrammoid
- Iterate along the curve

[Lorenzi, Ayache, Pennec: Schild's Ladder for the parallel transport of deformations in time series of images, IPMI 2011]
Efficient Schild’s Ladder with SVFs

Numerical scheme

- Direct computation:
  \[ \Pi_{\text{conj}}(u) = D \left( \text{Exp}(v) \right) \big|_{\text{Exp}(-v) \cdot u \circ \text{Exp}(-v)} \]

- Using the BCH:
  \[ \Pi_{\text{BCH}}(u) = u + [v, u] + \frac{1}{2} [v[v, u]] \]

[Lorenzi, Ayache, Pennec: Schild's Ladder for the parallel transport of deformations in time series of images, IPMI 2011 ]
Synthetic experiments (Consistency)
**Synthetic experiments (Consistency)**

Original longitudinal Log-Jacobian map

![Original longitudinal Log-Jacobian map]

Scalar transport

![Scalar transport]

Vector transport:

**Conjugation**

(deformation field)

\[ Ad_{\psi_T}(\varphi_i) = \psi_T^{-1} \circ \varphi_i \circ \psi_T \]

**Reorientation**

(velocity field)

\[ J_{\psi_T} v_i \]

**Schild’s Ladder**

(velocity field)
Modeling longitudinal atrophy in AD from images

One year structural changes for 70 Alzheimer's patients
  - Median evolution model and significant atrophy (FdR corrected)

[Lorenzi et al, in Proc. of IPMI 2011]
Modeling longitudinal atrophy in AD from images

One year structural changes for 70 Alzheimer's patients

- Median evolution model and significant atrophy (FDr corrected)

[Lorenzi et al, in Proc. of IPMI 2011]
Modeling longitudinal atrophy in AD from images

One year structural changes for 70 Alzheimer's patients

- Median evolution model and significant atrophy (FdR corrected)

[Lorenzi et al, in Proc. of IPMI 2011]
Modeling longitudinal atrophy in AD from images

One year structural changes for 70 Alzheimer's patients

- Median evolution model and significant atrophy (FdR corrected)

[Lorenzi et al, in Proc. of IPMI 2011]
Longitudinal model for AD

Modeled changes from 70 AD subjects (ADNI data)

Extrapolation

X. Pennec - Geometry for Anatomy W. Banff 2011-08-31
Analysis of longitudinal datasets
Multilevel framework

Single-subject, two time points
Log-Demons (LCC criteria)

Single-subject, multiple time points
4D registration of time series within the Log-Demons registration.

Multiple subjects, multiple time points
Schild’s Ladder

[Lorenzi et al, in Proc. of MICCAI 2011]
Study of prodromal Alzheimer’s disease

- 98 healthy subjects, 5 time points (0 to 36 months).
- 41 subjects Aβ42 positive (“at risk” for Alzheimer’s)
- Q: Different morphological evolution for Aβ+ vs Aβ-?

[Average SVF for normal evolution (Aβ-)]

[Lorenzi, Ayache, Frisoni, Pennec, in Proc. of MICCAI 2011]
Study of prodromal Alzheimer’s disease

Linear regression of the SVF over time: interpolation + prediction

\[ T(t) = \text{Exp}(\tilde{v}(t)) \cdot T_0 \]

Multivariate group-wise comparison of the transported SVFs shows statistically significant differences (nothing significant on log(det) )

[Lorenzi, Ayache, Frisoni, Pennec, in Proc. of MICCAI 2011]
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Conclusion

Algorithms for SVFs
- Log-demons: Open-source ITK implementation [http://hdl.handle.net/10380/3060]
- Tensor (DTI) Log-demons: [https://gforge.inria.fr/projects/ttk]
- LCC time-consistent log-demons for AD available soon
- ITK class for SVF diffeos currently under development

Schilds Ladder for parallel transport
- Effective instrument for the transport of deformation trajectories
- Key component for multivariate analysis and modeling of longitudinal data
- Stability and sensitivity

From group models to subject-specific measures
- Faithful measure at individual level: diagnosis / follow-up
- Model at group level: statistical prediction (extrapolation)
- Personalized model: prediction (prognosis)
Conclusion

Affine connection instead of Riemannian spaces?
- A symmetric connection defines geodesics but no length along them
- Not always a geodesic joining two points
- Covariance matrix makes sense in a basis but no canonical basis
- PCA -> ICA?

An apparently nice setting for transformation groups
- Canonical Cartan connection on Lie groups: one-parameters subgroups
- Bi-invariant mean on Lie groups [Arsigny Preprint + PhD 2006]
- Parallel transport is easy using Schild’s Ladder

Left/right invariant metrics (LDDMM) and symmetric Cartan connection
- Quantify differences between geodesics
- Evaluate the practical impact on statistics
Advertisement

Master of Science in Computational Biology
at Nice-Sophia Antipolis University

- http://www.computationalbiology.eu

Workshop Mathematical Foundations of Computational Anatomy at MICCAI 2011

- Toronto, September 18 or 22, 2011
  - http://www-sop.inria.fr/asclepios/events/MFCA08/
  - http://www-sop.inria.fr/asclepios/events/MFCA06/
Thank You!

Publications: http://www.inria.fr/sophia/asclepios/biblio

Software: http://www.inria.fr/sophia/asclepios/software/MedINRIA.
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