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Essential question:
How much water is required to maintain river ecosystems?

What is the impact of changes in flow regimes on ecosystems?

Challenges

POPULATION DYNAMICS and DISTRIBUTIONS

Spatial and Temporal Environmental Heterogeneity

Anthropogenic Inputs

ABIOTIC FORCING
Factors affecting population dynamics in river ecosystems

- **Biological factors:** growth, biodiffusion, transfer between benthos and water, interspecific interactions.

- **Physical factors:** water flow, advection, diffusion, channel shape, temperature, ice
Effects of flows on ecosystems

- High flows may wash species downstream.

- Drift paradox: how can species persist in rivers where flow is unidirectional?

- Low flows provide insufficient food for drift feeders.

- They may also allow invaders to thrive, driving out resident species
Mathematical model for river dynamics

• **Reaction-diffusion-advection models** (Bencala and Walters (1983), DeAngelis et al. (1995), Speirs and Gurney (2001), Pachepsky et al. (2005), Lutscher et al. (2006) etc.).

• **Integrodifferential/integrodifference models** (Lutscher et al. (2005), Nisbet et al. (2007) etc.).

• **Numerical flow models coupled to habitat suitability for target species** (Rosenfeld (2003), PHABSIM)

• **Numerical flow models coupled to population dynamical equations** (uses River2D, Steffler, Blackburn, Jin and Lewis (in prep)).
Mathematical ideas

- **Spreading speeds/critical domain size** (Spiers and Gurney (2001), Lutscher et al. (2010) etc.).

- **Uptake and spiraling lengths** (Anderson et al. (2005)).

- **Dynamic energy budget models** (Nisbet et al. (2000), Kooijman et al. (2000)).

- **Habitat heterogeneity and ecological requirements** (Rosenfeld (2003)).
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Water management

• Trade-off between urban, industrial, agricultural and conservation goals for water
• Flows can be modified, and discharges can be varied and timed
• Successful management requires knowledge of flows required to maintain ecosystem integrity (instream flow needs)
Habitat modelling

1. Estimate *habitat suitability curves* from empirical data

2. Run a *habitat simulation model* to determine river characteristics (e.g. River2D)

3. Compute the *weighted usable area* available to species under various flow regimes

\[ WUA = \sum_{j} A_j \Pi S_{ij} \]

Can process-oriented models lead to better instream flow assessment?

- Process-oriented models can potentially include population dynamics, competition, predation, community structure, as interactions with the abiotic environment.

- Ideally they should be able to demonstrate how these factors change with river flow and hence how ecosystem function depends on water flow.
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FIGURE 3.5 A longitudinal profile (a) and a plan view (b) of a riffle-pool sequence. Water surface profiles in (a) depict high-, intermediate-, and low-flow conditions. (Reproduced from Dunne and Leopold 1978.)
\[
\frac{dh}{dx} = \frac{S_0(x) - S_f(h)}{1 - F_r^2(h)}
\]
Adding a single pelagic population in the drift

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{h(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D(x)h(x) \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{1}{h(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ a(x)h(x)n \right] + g(x,n)n
\]

where

\[
a(x) = \frac{Q}{Bh(x)} = \text{flow divided by cross-sectional area}
\]

and

\[
D(x) = D_b + D_f(h(x))
\]

with boundary conditions

\[
0 = D(x) \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} - a(x)n \quad \text{at } x = 0 \text{ (zero flux)} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
0 = \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \quad \text{at } x = L \text{ (free flow)}
\]

Bencala and Walters (1983)
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Model with drift and benthic populations

\[
\frac{\partial n_d}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{h(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_d(x) h(x) \frac{\partial n_d}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{Q}{B h(x)} \frac{\partial n_d}{\partial x} + \frac{\mu}{h(x)} n_b - \sigma n_d
\]

diffusion in drift

\[
\frac{\partial n_b}{\partial t} = -\mu n_b + \sigma h(x) n_d + g(x, n_b) n_b + D_b \frac{\partial^2 n_b}{\partial x^2}
\]

advection

transfer from drift

growth dynamics

diffusion on benthos

In results given below, it is assumed \( D_b=0 \) unless otherwise stated.
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![Graph showing population density and water depth over space. The graph indicates variations in population density and water depth.]
Drift-benthic model: spatially variable, high flow
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Summary of analytical results for 1D river population

• Analytical results were derived for the case with piecewise constant “good” and “bad” patches, repeating with length scale $L$ in Lutscher et al. (2006).

• For the pelagic model: adding spatial heterogeneity can allow for persistence, when none would be possible in the appropriately homogenized spatially uniform system.

• For the benthic/drift model: if the transfer rate from benthic to drift components is less than intrinsic growth rate in benthic compartment ($\mu < \max_{0 \leq x \leq L} g(x,0)$) there will be unconditional persistence, independent of flow. When $\mu > \max_{0 \leq x \leq L} g(x,0)$ persistence is conditional on sufficiently low flow, and spatial heterogeneity also can allow for persistence.
Numerical simulation of a 2D river population

• Water flow in a river is modelled using Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes methods, with bed friction and with eddy viscosity to define turbulence (River2D).

• Equations are solved in 2D (depth averaging), using finite elements, and are run to steady state.

• Physical quantities of velocity $a(x,y)$, depth $h(x,y)$ and turbulent diffusion $D(x,y)$ terms come from River2D calculations.
Benthic-drift model

- Water flow is coupled to a population that grows, dies and moves between the water column and the benthos.
Benthic-drift model

\[
\frac{\partial n_d(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = \mu \frac{n_b(x, y)}{h(x, y)} n_b(x, y, t) - \sigma n_d(x, y, t) \\
\text{transfer from } N_b
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{h(x, y)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [v_1(x, y)h(x, y)n_d(x, y, t)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [v_2(x, y)h(x, y)n_d(x, y, t)] \right]
\]

\text{advection}

\[
+ \frac{1}{h(x, y)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D(x, y)h(x, y) \frac{\partial n_d(x, y, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D(x, y)h(x, y) \frac{\partial n_d(x, y, t)}{\partial y} \right] \right]
\]

\text{diffusion}

\[
\frac{\partial n_b(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = f(n_b(x, y, t)) + \sigma n_d(x, y, t) h(x, y) - \mu n_b(x, y, t) \\
\text{growth} \hspace{2cm} \text{transfer from } N_d \hspace{2cm} \text{transfer to } N_d
\]
Simulation of benthic-drift model: high flow

Steffler, Blackburn, Jin, Lewis.
Simulation of benthic-drift model: lower flow

- River2D simulation for the benthic-drift model

Steffler, Blackburn, Jin, Lewis.
How can flow levels affect invasions? The invasion ratchet

- Flow levels affect environmental conditions (scouring, nutrients, flooding) and hence control of invader (e.g., weeds, *Didymo*)
- Reduced flow can enhance upstream spread (e.g., zebra mussels).
- Reduced **seasonal** flow could lead to an *invasion ratchet*

Jin, Lewis, Steffler et al. (in prep)

Limited upstream spread
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General single species pelagic model

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{A(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D(x) A(x) \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{Q}{A(x)} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + g(x,n)n
\]

with boundary conditions

\[
0 = \alpha_1 n(0) + \beta_1 n_x(0) \\
0 = \alpha_2 n(L) + \beta_2 n_x(L)
\]

Spatially homogeneous model

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial x^2} - a \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + g(n)n
\]

with boundary conditions

\[
0 = \alpha_1 n(0) + \beta_1 n_x(0) \\
0 = \alpha_2 n(L) + \beta_2 n_x(L)
\]
Drift Paradox

• The drift paradox asks how organisms can persist without being washed out, when they are continuously subjected to unidirectional stream flow

• If we assume logistic-type growth dynamics and hostile boundaries then…
Spreading speeds and critical domain size

\[ c^+ = c^* + a \text{ downstream} \]

\[ c^- = c^* - a \text{ upstream} \]

From Lewis et al, PCMI notes, 2004
Spreading speeds and critical domain size

The connection between the critical domain size and the advection speed at which spread stalls can be extended to account for:

• different boundary conditions (McKenzie et al., 2011).

• long-distance dispersal via integro-difference or integrodifferential equations (Lutscher et al., 2005).

• spatial heterogeneity (Lutscher et al., 2006).

• seasonality in growth and dispersal (Jin and Lewis, 2011).

A summary of some of these ideas is found in Lutscher et al. (2010).
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Is this a good place to live?

1. $R_{loc}(x)$: number of offspring produced by an individual introduced at $x_0$ (dispersal excluded), fundamental niche.

$R_{loc}(x_0)$ \hspace{2cm} New individuals born at $x_0$ over a lifetime

$\psi_0(x_0)$ \hspace{2cm} Point source

$x_0$ \hspace{4cm} a

Krkosek and Lewis (2010)
Is this a good place to live?

2. $R_\delta(x)$: number of offspring produced by an individual introduced at $x$ (dispersal included), realized niche

$R_\delta(x_0) = \int_{\Omega} N_{new}(x) \, dx$

New individuals born at $x$ over lifetime $N_{new}(x)$

Point source $\psi_0(x_0)$

Krkosek and Lewis (2010)
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3. $R_0$: net reproductive rate – number of offspring produced over an individual’s lifetime, given that the individual is distributed spatially in a manner appropriate for maximizing long-term growth

- $\Gamma$: Next generation distribution
- $R_0$: maximal eigenvalue of $\Gamma$

Global invasion dynamics:

$$\begin{cases} R_0 < 1, \text{ population extinction} \\ R_0 > 1, \text{ population persistence} \end{cases}$$

Krkosek and Lewis (2010)
Net reproductive rate for the single pelagic species model

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{A(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D(x)A(x) \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{Q}{A(x)} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + g(x,n)n
\]

rate of change of population density

\[
= \mathcal{L}n + g(x,n)n \quad \text{where}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{A(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D(x)A(x) \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{Q}{A(x)} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}
\]

is a strongly elliptic operator with boundary conditions

\[
0 = \alpha_1 n(0) + \beta_1 n_x(0),
\]

\[
0 = \alpha_2 n(L) + \beta_2 n_x(L)
\]

We assume that \( g \) is a continuous function of “logistic” form, and that \( A > 0 \) and \( D > 0 \) are \( C^2[0, L] \).
Net reproductive rate for the single pelagic species model

Linearized growth term: let \( g(x,0) = \underbrace{f(x) - \varphi}_{\text{birth}} - \psi_{\text{mortality}} \)

Density of individuals originally present:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\psi_t &= -\nu \psi + \mathcal{L} \psi, \quad x \in (0, L), \ t > 0, \\
\psi(x, 0) &= \psi_0(x), \quad x \in (0, L).
\end{aligned}
\]

Next generation operator \( \Gamma: X \rightarrow X \) is defined by:

\[
\Gamma \psi_0(x) = \int_0^\infty f(x) \psi(x, t) \, dt = f(x) \int_0^\infty \psi(x, t) \, dt,
\]
Net reproductive rate for the single pelagic species model

It can alternatively be defined by:

\[
\Gamma \psi_0(x) = f(x) \int_0^L k(x,y) \psi_0(y) \, dy,
\]

where \( k(x,y) \) is the solution of the ordinary boundary value problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{L}k(x,y) - \nu k(x,y) = -\delta(x-y), & x \in (0, L) \\
\alpha_1 k(0,y) - \beta_1 k'(0,y) = 0 \\
\alpha_2 k(L,y) - \beta_2 k'(L,y) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

The function \( k(x,y) \) can be considered the lifetime density of space use of an individual originally introduced at \( y \). Then

\[
R_0 := r(\Gamma).
\]
The next generation operator

\[ \Gamma : C([0, L]) \to C([0, L]), \]

\[ \Gamma \psi_0(x) = f(x) \int_0^\infty \psi(x, t) \, dt \]

- density of new individuals produced by \( \psi_0(x) \)
- birth

\[ = f(x) \int_0^L k(x, y) \psi_0(y) \, dy \]

- lifetime spatial density of an individual introduced at \( y \)

\[ \psi_t = -v \psi + \mathcal{L} \psi, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t > 0 \]

\[ \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x), \quad x \in (0, L) \]

+ BC

\[ -v k(x, y) + \mathcal{L} k(x, y) = -\delta(x - y) \]

+ BC
Spectral properties of the next generation operator

(1) Well-defined, compact linear operator

(2) Krein-Rutman Thm: $R_0 = r(\Gamma)$ is a simple eigenvalue and is the dominant eigenvalue of $\Gamma$. Furthermore, $R_0$ is the only eigenvalue with an eigenvector that is positive on $(0, L)$.

(3) Mckenzie et al. (2011), based on Thieme (2009): The solution $n^* = 0$ is asymptotically stable when $R_0 < 1$ and unstable when $R_0 > 1$. The population is uniformly persistent when $R_0 > 1$.

(4) Chatelin (1981): It is possible to approximate $R_0$ numerically

Publication:
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Using math to decide: is this a good place to live?

$R_{loc}(x) \ - \text{What is the spatial distribution of a species‘ fundamental niche?}$

$$ R_{loc}(x) = f(x) \int_0^\infty \psi(t) \, dt = \frac{f(x)}{v} \quad \psi_t = -\nu \psi, \quad t > 0 $$
$$ \psi(0) = 1 $$

$R_\delta(x_0) \ - \text{Where are the source-sink regions in the habitat?}^*$

$$ R_\delta(x_0) = \int_0^L f(x) \int_0^L k(x, y) \delta(y - x_0) \, dy \, dx = \int_0^L f(x) k(x, x_0) \, dx $$

$R_0 \ - \text{Does the species persist globally?}$

$$ R_0 = r(\Gamma) $$

It is possible to show that $R_0$ is greater than the spatially averaged value of $R_\delta$. 

---

*$R_\delta(x_0)$ is a function that represents the spatial distribution of the source-sink regions in the habitat.
Applying $R_0$ to river model (spatially homogeneous)

Classical thresholds from critical domain size/spreading speed analysis can be recovered, but $R_0$ analysis can also be easily applied to spatially variable rivers.
Applying $R_0$ to river models

• This type of analysis also provides a useful way to understand persistence in spatially variable rivers.

• The idea can be extended to the benthic-drift model and to two-dimensional environments (detailed analysis still needs to be done).
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Dynamics of persistence: $R_0 > 1$
Dynamic 2D Simulation: $R_0 > 1$
Dynamic 2D Simulation: $R_0 > 1$
Dominant eigenfunction: $R_0 > 1$
2D Calculation of dominant eigenfunction: $R_0 > 1$
Dynamics of washout: $R_0 < 1$

**Persistence Case**

- $t=0$
- $t=20$
- $t=40$

**Washout Case**

- $t=0$
- $t=5$
- $t=50$

(a1) Population density $n(x,t)$

(b1) Population density $R_0 = 1.23$

(b2) Population density $R_0 = 0.95$
Dynamic 2D Simulation: $R_0 < 1$
Dynamic 2D Simulation: $R_0 < 1$
Related research: Net Reproductive Rate

(a1) Persistence Case

Population density \( n(x,t) \)

- \( t=0 \)
- \( t=20 \)
- \( t=40 \)

(a2) Washout Case

Population density \( K_q(x) \)

- \( R_0 = 1.23 \)
- \( R_0 = 0.95 \)

(b1) \( R_0 = 1.23 \)

Population density \( \phi(x) \)

- \( \Gamma \phi(x) \)

(b2) \( R_0 = 0.95 \)

Population density \( \phi(x) \)

- \( \Gamma \phi(x) \)
Dominant eigenfunction: $R_0 < 1$
Summary

- Spatial $R_0$ analysis is a powerful approach to understanding persistence in stream habitats, especially when habitats vary spatially, depending upon flow conditions.
- The next step is to prove that this kind of analysis can work for benthic/drift models and in higher dimensions.
- $R_{\text{loc}}$ and $R_{\delta}$ are alternative metrics that have biological interpretations.
- Classical mathematical results pertaining to the drift paradox can be recovered with $R_0$ analysis.
- Hybrid mathematical/numerical methods for $R_0$ analysis can provide realistic approaches to stream modelling.
- A current project involves extending these methods to multiple trophic levels.
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