

# Semiclassical resonances associated with an unstable equilibrium

Setsuro FUJIE  
Ritsumeikan University

Joint work with Jean-François Bony (Bordeaux I),  
Thierry RAMOND (Paris Sud), Maher ZERZERI (Paris 13)

BIRS, 5-9/11/2012

# 1. Introduction

We consider the semi-classical Schrödinger operator

$$P = -\hbar^2 \Delta + V(x),$$

where

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}, \quad V(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}), \quad 0 < \hbar \ll 1$$

# Resonances

As operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $P$  is self-adjoint and  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(P) = \mathbb{R}_+$ . However, as operator  $L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the resolvent  $(z - P)^{-1}$  has meromorphic extension from  $\mathbb{C}_+$  to  $\mathbb{C}_-$  across  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . The poles are called “resonances”.

Roughly speaking, resonances are characterized as complex numbers  $z$  s.t. there exists a non-trivial “outgoing” solution  $u(x, h)$  (called “resonant state”) to the equation

$$Pu = zu.$$

The imaginary part of resonances means the reciprocal of the exponential decay rate of the corresponding states for the evolution as time tends to  $+\infty$ .

# Resonances

As operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $P$  is self-adjoint and  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(P) = \mathbb{R}_+$ . However, as operator  $L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the resolvent  $(z - P)^{-1}$  has meromorphic extension from  $\mathbb{C}_+$  to  $\mathbb{C}_-$  across  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . The poles are called “resonances”.

Roughly speaking, resonances are characterized as complex numbers  $z$  s.t. there exists a non-trivial “outgoing” solution  $u(x, h)$  (called “resonant state”) to the equation

$$Pu = zu.$$

The imaginary part of resonances means the reciprocal of the exponential decay rate of the corresponding states for the evolution as time tends to  $+\infty$ .

# Resonances

As operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $P$  is self-adjoint and  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(P) = \mathbb{R}_+$ . However, as operator  $L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the resolvent  $(z - P)^{-1}$  has meromorphic extension from  $\mathbb{C}_+$  to  $\mathbb{C}_-$  across  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . The poles are called “resonances”.

Roughly speaking, resonances are characterized as complex numbers  $z$  s.t. there exists a non-trivial “outgoing” solution  $u(x, h)$  (called “resonant state”) to the equation

$$Pu = zu.$$

The imaginary part of resonances means the reciprocal of the exponential decay rate of the corresponding states for the evolution as time tends to  $+\infty$ .

# Classical mechanics

Let

$$p(x, \xi) = \xi^2 + V(x)$$

be the classical Hamiltonian, and

$$H_p = \nabla_\xi p \cdot \nabla_x - \nabla_x p \cdot \nabla_\xi$$

the Hamilton vector field on the phase space  $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n$ . The value  $p(x, \xi)$  is invariant along the integral curve  $\exp tH_p(x, \xi)$  starting from a point  $(x, \xi)$ .

The “trapped trajectories” are defined as the set

$$K(z_0) := \{(x, \xi) \in p^{-1}(z_0); t \mapsto \exp tH_p(x, \xi) \text{ is bounded}\}$$

# Classical mechanics

Let

$$p(x, \xi) = \xi^2 + V(x)$$

be the classical Hamiltonian, and

$$H_p = \nabla_\xi p \cdot \nabla_x - \nabla_x p \cdot \nabla_\xi$$

the Hamilton vector field on the phase space  $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n$ . The value  $p(x, \xi)$  is invariant along the integral curve  $\exp tH_p(x, \xi)$  starting from a point  $(x, \xi)$ .

The “trapped trajectories” are defined as the set

$$K(z_0) := \{(x, \xi) \in p^{-1}(z_0); t \mapsto \exp tH_p(x, \xi) \text{ is bounded}\}$$

# Resonance free zone

Let  $z_0$  be a positive energy and

$$\Omega(\epsilon, \delta) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |\operatorname{Re} z - z_0| < \epsilon, -\delta < \Im z < 0\}$$

## Theorem :

(Martinez '03, cf : Sjöstrand '86)

Assume  $K(z_0) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall C > 0$ , there is no resonance in  $\Omega(\epsilon, Ch|\log h|)$  for sufficiently small  $h$ .

► Given a geometry of non-empty  $K(z_0)$ , study the asymptotic (semi-classical) distribution of resonances in a complex neighborhood of  $z_0$ .



# Resonance free zone

Let  $z_0$  be a positive energy and

$$\Omega(\epsilon, \delta) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |\operatorname{Re} z - z_0| < \epsilon, -\delta < \Im z < 0\}$$

## Theorem :

(Martinez '03, cf : Sjöstrand '86)

Assume  $K(z_0) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall C > 0$ , there is no resonance in  $\Omega(\epsilon, Ch|\log h|)$  for sufficiently small  $h$ .

- ▶ Given a geometry of non-empty  $K(z_0)$ , study the asymptotic (semi-classical) distribution of resonances in a complex neighborhood of  $z_0$ .

## Some known results and our problem

- ▶ In the case where  $K(z_0)$  consists of a hyperbolic fixed point :  $|\operatorname{Im} z| \sim \delta_1 h$  (Briet-Combes-Duclos '87, Sjöstrand '87)
- ▶ In the case where  $K(z_0)$  consists of a hyperbolic periodic curve ( $n \geq 2$ ) :  $|\operatorname{Im} z| \sim \delta_2 h$  (Gérard-Sjöstrand '84)
- ▶ In the well in an island case :  $|\operatorname{Im} z| \sim \exp(-S/h)$  where  $S$  is the Agmon distance from the well to the sea (Helffer-Sjöstrand '86).
- ▶ Our problem : the case where  $K(z_0)$  consists of a hyperbolic fixed point and associated homoclinic trajectories

## 2. Results

We assume that  $x = 0$  is a non-degenerate local maximum of  $V(x)$  i.e.

$$V(x) = z_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j^2}{4} x_j^2 + \mathcal{O}(x^3) \quad \text{with } 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n.$$

The point  $(x, \xi) = (0, 0)$  is a hyperbolic fixed point of  $H_p$ , and the “outgoing and incoming stable manifolds”  $\Lambda_{\pm}$  are defined by

$$\Lambda_{\pm} := \{ \rho := (x, \xi); \exp tH_p(\rho) \rightarrow (0, 0) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \mp\infty \}$$

It turns out that for  $\rho \in \Lambda_{\pm}$ ,  $\exists \gamma(\rho)$  an eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the linearization of  $H_p$  s.t.

$$\exp tH_p(\rho) \sim e^{\pm \lambda_1 t} \gamma(\rho) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \mp\infty.$$

We denote by  $g(\rho)$  the  $x$ -space projection of  $\gamma(\rho)$ .

## 2. Results

We assume that  $x = 0$  is a non-degenerate local maximum of  $V(x)$  i.e.

$$V(x) = z_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j^2}{4} x_j^2 + \mathcal{O}(x^3) \quad \text{with } 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n.$$

The point  $(x, \xi) = (0, 0)$  is a hyperbolic fixed point of  $H_\rho$ , and the “outgoing and incoming stable manifolds”  $\Lambda_\pm$  are defined by

$$\Lambda_\pm := \{ \rho := (x, \xi); \exp tH_\rho(\rho) \rightarrow (0, 0) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \mp\infty \}$$

It turns out that for  $\rho \in \Lambda_\pm$ ,  $\exists \gamma(\rho)$  an eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the linearization of  $H_\rho$  s.t.

$$\exp tH_\rho(\rho) \sim e^{\pm \lambda_1 t} \gamma(\rho) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \mp\infty.$$

We denote by  $g(\rho)$  the  $x$ -space projection of  $\gamma(\rho)$ .

## 2. Results

We assume that  $x = 0$  is a non-degenerate local maximum of  $V(x)$  i.e.

$$V(x) = z_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j^2}{4} x_j^2 + \mathcal{O}(x^3) \quad \text{with } 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n.$$

The point  $(x, \xi) = (0, 0)$  is a hyperbolic fixed point of  $H_p$ , and the “outgoing and incoming stable manifolds”  $\Lambda_{\pm}$  are defined by

$$\Lambda_{\pm} := \{ \rho := (x, \xi); \exp tH_p(\rho) \rightarrow (0, 0) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \mp\infty \}$$

It turns out that for  $\rho \in \Lambda_{\pm}$ ,  $\exists \gamma(\rho)$  an eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the linearization of  $H_p$  s.t.

$$\exp tH_p(\rho) \sim e^{\pm \lambda_1 t} \gamma(\rho) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \mp\infty.$$

We denote by  $g(\rho)$  the  $x$ -space projection of  $\gamma(\rho)$ .

We assume

(H1)  $K(z_0)$  consists of  $(0, 0) \cup \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_- \setminus (0, 0)$  is the set of homoclinic trajectories

(H2)  $g(\rho) \cdot g(\rho') \neq 0$  for  $\forall \rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem (BFRZ)

Assume (H1) and (H2). Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall C > 0$ , there is no resonance in  $\Omega(C\hbar, \delta\hbar)$  for sufficiently small  $\hbar$ , if either (a) or (b) holds :

(a) The maximum at  $x = 0$  is anisotropic, i.e.  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_n$

(b) The intersection  $\Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_-$  is of finite order along  $\mathcal{H}$ .

► When  $n = 1$ , neither (a) nor (b) holds. In this case, the precise location of resonances is known (F-Ramond '97) :

$$|\operatorname{Im}z| \sim \frac{\log 2}{2} \lambda_1 \frac{\hbar}{|\log \hbar|}$$

We assume

- (H1)  $K(z_0)$  consists of  $(0, 0) \cup \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_- \setminus (0, 0)$  is the set of homoclinic trajectories
- (H2)  $g(\rho) \cdot g(\rho') \neq 0$  for  $\forall \rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem (BFRZ)

Assume (H1) and (H2). Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall C > 0$ , there is no resonance in  $\Omega(C\hbar, \delta\hbar)$  for sufficiently small  $\hbar$ , if either (a) or (b) holds :

- (a) The maximum at  $x = 0$  is anisotropic, i.e.  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_n$
- (b) The intersection  $\Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_-$  is of finite order along  $\mathcal{H}$ .

► When  $n = 1$ , neither (a) nor (b) holds. In this case, the precise location of resonances is known (F-Ramond '97) :

$$|\operatorname{Im}z| \sim \frac{\log 2}{2} \lambda_1 \frac{\hbar}{|\log \hbar|}$$

We assume

(H1)  $K(z_0)$  consists of  $(0, 0) \cup \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_- \setminus (0, 0)$  is the set of homoclinic trajectories

(H2)  $g(\rho) \cdot g(\rho') \neq 0$  for  $\forall \rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem (BFRZ)

Assume (H1) and (H2). Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall C > 0$ , there is no resonance in  $\Omega(Ch, \delta h)$  for sufficiently small  $h$ , if either (a) or (b) holds :

(a) The maximum at  $x = 0$  is anisotropic, i.e.  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_n$

(b) The intersection  $\Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_-$  is of finite order along  $\mathcal{H}$ .

► When  $n = 1$ , neither (a) nor (b) holds. In this case, the precise location of resonances is known (F-Ramond '97) :

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| \sim \frac{\log 2}{2} \lambda_1 \frac{h}{|\log h|}$$



We assume

(H1)  $K(z_0)$  consists of  $(0, 0) \cup \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_- \setminus (0, 0)$  is the set of homoclinic trajectories

(H2)  $g(\rho) \cdot g(\rho') \neq 0$  for  $\forall \rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem (BFRZ)

Assume (H1) and (H2). Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall C > 0$ , there is no resonance in  $\Omega(Ch, \delta h)$  for sufficiently small  $h$ , if either (a) or (b) holds :

(a) The maximum at  $x = 0$  is anisotropic, i.e.  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_n$

(b) The intersection  $\Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_-$  is of finite order along  $\mathcal{H}$ .

► When  $n = 1$ , neither (a) nor (b) holds. In this case, the precise location of resonances is known (F-Ramond '97) :

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| \sim \frac{\log 2}{2} \lambda_1 \frac{h}{|\log h|}$$

We assume

(H1)  $K(z_0)$  consists of  $(0, 0) \cup \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_- \setminus (0, 0)$  is the set of homoclinic trajectories

(H2)  $g(\rho) \cdot g(\rho') \neq 0$  for  $\forall \rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem (BFRZ)

Assume (H1) and (H2). Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall C > 0$ , there is no resonance in  $\Omega(Ch, \delta h)$  for sufficiently small  $h$ , if either (a) or (b) holds :

(a) The maximum at  $x = 0$  is anisotropic, i.e.  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_n$

(b) The intersection  $\Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_-$  is of finite order along  $\mathcal{H}$ .

► When  $n = 1$ , neither (a) nor (b) holds. In this case, the precise location of resonances is known (F-Ramond '97) :

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| \sim \frac{\log 2}{2} \lambda_1 \frac{h}{|\log h|}$$

We assume

(H1)  $K(z_0)$  consists of  $(0, 0) \cup \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_- \setminus (0, 0)$  is the set of homoclinic trajectories

(H2)  $g(\rho) \cdot g(\rho') \neq 0$  for  $\forall \rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem (BFRZ)

Assume (H1) and (H2). Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall C > 0$ , there is no resonance in  $\Omega(Ch, \delta h)$  for sufficiently small  $h$ , if either (a) or (b) holds :

(a) The maximum at  $x = 0$  is anisotropic, i.e.  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_n$

(b) The intersection  $\Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_-$  is of finite order along  $\mathcal{H}$ .

► When  $n = 1$ , neither (a) nor (b) holds. In this case, the precise location of resonances is known (F-Ramond '97) :

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| \sim \frac{\log 2}{2} \lambda_1 \frac{h}{|\log h|}$$

### 3. Method

Let  $z$  be a resonance and  $u(x, h)$  a corresponding resonant state.

► Step 1 : Using the fact that  $u$  is outgoing (Bony-Michel '03), we show that  $u$  is microlocally 0 outside  $\Lambda_+$  : i.e. the global FBI transform of  $u$

$$(Tu)(x, \xi, h) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi/h - (x-y)^2/(2h)} u(y) dy$$

is of  $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$  for  $(x, \xi) \notin \Lambda_+$ .

### 3. Method

Let  $z$  be a resonance and  $u(x, h)$  a corresponding resonant state.

► Step 1 : Using the fact that  $u$  is outgoing (Bony-Michel '03), we show that  $u$  is microlocally 0 outside  $\Lambda_+$  : i.e. the global FBI transform of  $u$

$$(Tu)(x, \xi, h) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi/h - (x-y)^2/(2h)} u(y) dy$$

is of  $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$  for  $(x, \xi) \notin \Lambda_+$ .

- ▶ Step 2 : Continue  $u$  microlocally along  $\mathcal{H}$  and show that, if  $z \in \Omega(Ch, \delta h)$ , its amplitude becomes smaller after a tour :

$$|u_{\text{final}}| \lesssim h^\alpha |u_{\text{initial}}| \quad \text{with } \alpha = \alpha(\delta) > 0,$$

for small  $h$  microlocally at a point on  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is a contradiction to the single-valuedness of  $u$ .

### Microlocal continuation of the solution

- ▶ along  $\mathcal{H}$  : Maslov theory on WKB solutions  
→ no decay in power of  $h$ .
- ▶ through  $(0,0)$  : Following theorem by BFRZ.

- ▶ Step 2 : Continue  $u$  microlocally along  $\mathcal{H}$  and show that, if  $z \in \Omega(Ch, \delta h)$ , its amplitude becomes smaller after a tour :

$$|u_{\text{final}}| \lesssim h^\alpha |u_{\text{initial}}| \quad \text{with } \alpha = \alpha(\delta) > 0,$$

for small  $h$  microlocally at a point on  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is a contradiction to the single-valuedness of  $u$ .

### Microlocal continuation of the solution

- ▶ along  $\mathcal{H}$  : Maslov theory on WKB solutions  
→ no decay in power of  $h$ .
- ▶ through  $(0, 0)$  : Following theorem by BFRZ.

# Propagation of singularities through a hyperbolic fixed point

Let  $\rho_- := (x_-, \xi_-) \in \Lambda_-$  with  $|x_-| = \epsilon$  small, and  $S_\epsilon = \{(x, \xi) \in \Lambda_-; |x| = \epsilon\}$ . Consider a microlocal Cauchy problem

$$(\text{MCP}) \begin{cases} Pu = zu & \text{microlocally near } (0, 0), \\ u = u_- & \text{microlocally near } S_\epsilon \end{cases}$$

where the data  $u_-$  with  $\|u_-\| \leq 1$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} Pu_- = zu_- & \text{microlocally near } S_\epsilon, \\ u_- = 0 & \text{microlocally near } S_\epsilon \setminus \{\rho_-\} \end{cases}$$



## Theorem (BFRZ '07)

There exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that, for  $z \in \Omega(Ch, \delta'h)$ , (MCP) has a unique solution  $u$  with  $\|u\| = \mathcal{O}(h^{-c})$ . Moreover, microlocally near a point  $\rho_+ \in \Lambda_+$  satisfying  $g(\rho_-) \cdot g(\rho_+) \neq 0$ ,  $u(x; h)$  is given by

$$h \sum \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_1}{2\lambda_1} - i \frac{z - z_0}{h\lambda_1} \int e^{i(\phi_+(x) - \phi_-(y))/h} d(x, y; h) u_-(y) dy.$$

Here  $\phi_{\pm}(x)$  are generating functions of  $\Lambda_{\pm}$ , and  $d(x, y; h)$  is an elliptic symbol of order 0 (explicitly computed at the principal level).

## Sketch the step 2

►  $u_{\text{initial}}$  is of WKB form  $u_{\text{initial}}(y, h) = e^{i\phi_+(y)/h} b(y; h)$  on  $\mathcal{H} \cap \Lambda_+$ , and so is its continuation to  $\mathcal{H} \cap \Lambda_-$  along  $\mathcal{H}$ :  $u_-(y, h) = e^{i\tilde{\phi}_+(y)/h} \tilde{b}(y; h)$ , where  $\tilde{\phi}_+(y)$  is a generating function of the evolution of  $\Lambda_+$ .

► Applying the previous theorem, we obtain, for  $-\delta h < \text{Im } z < 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{\text{final}}| &= \left| h^{\sum \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_1}{2\lambda_1} - i \frac{z - z_0}{h\lambda_1}} \left| \int e^{i(\phi_+(x) - \phi_-(y))/h} d(x, y; h) u_-(y) dy \right| \right| \\ &\leq h^{(\frac{1}{2} \sum (\lambda_j - \lambda_1) - \delta) / \lambda_1} \left| \int e^{i(\tilde{\phi}_+(y) - \phi_-(y))/h} d(x, y; h) b(y, h) dy \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By the stationary phase method, the integral in the RHS is of  $\mathcal{O}(h^\beta)$  for some  $\beta > 0$  if  $\Lambda_+$  and  $\Lambda_-$  intersects in finite order along  $\mathcal{H}$ .

## Sketch the step 2

- ▶  $u_{\text{initial}}$  is of WKB form  $u_{\text{initial}}(y, h) = e^{i\phi_+(y)/h} b(y; h)$  on  $\mathcal{H} \cap \Lambda_+$ , and so is its continuation to  $\mathcal{H} \cap \Lambda_-$  along  $\mathcal{H}$ :  $u_-(y, h) = e^{i\tilde{\phi}_+(y)/h} \tilde{b}(y; h)$ , where  $\tilde{\phi}_+(y)$  is a generating function of the evolution of  $\Lambda_+$ .
- ▶ Applying the previous theorem, we obtain, for  $-\delta h < \text{Im } z < 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{\text{final}}| &= \left| h^{\sum \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_1}{2\lambda_1} - i \frac{z - z_0}{h\lambda_1}} \left| \int e^{i(\phi_+(x) - \phi_-(y))/h} d(x, y; h) u_-(y) dy \right| \right| \\ &\leq h^{(\frac{1}{2} \sum (\lambda_j - \lambda_1) - \delta) / \lambda_1} \left| \int e^{i(\tilde{\phi}_+(y) - \phi_-(y))/h} d(x, y; h) b(y, h) dy \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By the stationary phase method, the integral in the RHS is of  $\mathcal{O}(h^\beta)$  for some  $\beta > 0$  if  $\Lambda_+$  and  $\Lambda_-$  intersects in finite order along  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Hence

$$\|u_{\text{final}}\| \lesssim h^\alpha \|u_{\text{initial}}\|$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \sum (\lambda_j - \lambda_1) + \lambda_1 \beta - \delta}{\lambda_1},$$

and obviously  $\alpha > 0$  if either (a) or (b) holds and  $\delta < \frac{1}{2} \sum (\lambda_j - \lambda_1) + \lambda_1 \beta$ .