

Convergence of the largest eigenvalues of a sample covariance matrix for heavy-tailed multivariate time series¹

Thomas Mikosch

University of Copenhagen

Joint work with Richard A. Davis (Columbia)
and Oliver Pfaffel (Munich Re)

¹Banff, April 30, 2014

1. MOTIVATION

- **Large-dimensional data sets** appear in many quantitative fields like finance, environmental sciences, wireless communications, fMRI, and genetics.
- Structure in this data can often be analyzed via **sample covariances**.
- **PCA** is used to transform data to a new set of variables, the **principal components**, ordered such that the first few retain most of the variation of the data.

This suggests the need for an **eigenvalue decomposition** of the sample covariance matrix.

2. THE SETUP

- Data matrix: a $p \times n$ matrix \mathbf{X} consisting of n observations of a p -dimensional time series, i.e.

$$\mathbf{X} = (X_{it})_{t=1,\dots,n;i=1,\dots,p}$$

- The $p \times p$ sample covariance matrix (normalized) is given by

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' = \left(\sum_{t=1}^n X_{it}X_{jt} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,p}$$

- Objective: study the ordered eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{(1)} \geq \lambda_{(2)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{(p)}$$

of the $p \times p$ sample covariance matrix $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}'$.

- **Note:** if the rows are independent and identically distributed strictly stationary ergodic time series (with mean 0 and variance 1), then for p fixed,

$$\frac{1}{n}XX' = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{it}X_{jt} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,p} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} I_p$$

3. KNOWN RESULTS FOR THE LARGEST EIGENVALUE

- Assume the entries of \mathbf{X} are **iid standard normal**.
- For $n \rightarrow \infty$ and fixed p , Anderson (1963) proved that

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{(1)}}{n} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

- Johnstone (2001) showed that for $p, n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $p/n \rightarrow \gamma \in (0, \infty)$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{p}}{(1/\sqrt{n} + 1/\sqrt{p})^{1/3}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{(1)}}{(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{p})^2} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Tracy-Widom distr.}$$

4. OUR OBJECTIVE

- The assumption of Gaussianity in Johnstone's result can be relaxed to a moment condition; cf. **Four Moment Theorem** by Tao and Vu (2011); and work by Erdős, Johansson, Péché, Schlein, Soshnikov, Yau, and others.
- **BUT:** in applications one often has neither independent observations, nor Gaussianity or even the existence of sufficient moments.

This led us to consider **heavy-tailed random matrices with dependent entries**.

5. MODEL SETUP

- Suppose $\mathbf{X} = (X_{it})_{i=1,\dots,p;t=1,\dots,n}$ with

$$X_{it} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{kl} Z_{i-k,t-l}.$$

- Regularly varying iid noise (Z_{it}) with index $\alpha \in (0, 4)$, (infinite 4th moment) i.e. there exists $a_n = n^{1/\alpha} \ell(n)$ such that

$$n P(|Z| > a_n x) \rightarrow x^{-\alpha}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad x > 0.$$

and a tail balance condition holds.

- Summability condition on $H = (h_{kl})$.

- Growth conditions on $p = p_n \rightarrow \infty$.

$\alpha \in (0, 1) : p = O(n^\beta)$ for any $\beta > 0$.

$\alpha \in [1, 2) : p = O(n^\beta)$ for any $\beta < (\alpha - 1)^{-1}$.

$\alpha \in [2, 4) : p = O(n^\beta)$ for any $\beta < (2 - 0.5\alpha)(\alpha - 1)^{-1}$.

(excludes case $p/n \rightarrow \gamma > 0$)

- A crucial role play the quantities

$$D_i = D_i^{(n)} = \sum_{t=1}^n Z_{it}^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, p,$$

and their order statistics $D_{(1)} \geq \dots \geq D_{(p)}$.

- as well as the operator $M = HH'$, where

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} h_{il}h_{jl}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and its eigenvalues $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots$.

6. MAIN RESULT

- Let (λ_i) be the eigenvalues of \mathbf{XX}' for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and of $\mathbf{XX}' - \mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}'$ for $\alpha \in (2, 4)$, $\lambda_{(1)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{(p)}$ the ordered eigenvalues, $k^2 = o(p)$ an integer sequence,

- Then

$$a_{np}^{-2} \max_{i=1, \dots, p} |\lambda_{(i)} - \delta_{(i)}| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

- where $\delta_{(1)} \geq \dots \geq \delta_{(p)}$ are the ordered values of the set $\{D_{(i)}v_j, i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and of the set $\{(D_{\ell_i} - \mathbf{E}D)v_j, i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$, $|D_{\ell_1} - \mathbf{E}D| \geq \dots \geq |D_{\ell_p} - \mathbf{E}D|$ for $\alpha \in (2, 4)$.

7. POINT PROCESS CONVERGENCE

- It follows from Nagaev-type large deviations that for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_{a_{np}^{-2} D_i} \xrightarrow{d} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha}},$$

where $\Gamma_i = E_1 + \cdots + E_i$, $i \geq 1$, for iid standard exponentials (E_i) .

- The continuous mapping theorem implies (r is the rank of M)

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_{a_{np}^{-2} D_i v_j} \xrightarrow{d} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha} v_j}.$$

- Hence, by the Main Result,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_{a_{np}^{-2} \lambda_i} \xrightarrow{d} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha} v_j}.$$

- The corresponding result holds for $\alpha \in (2, 4)$ for $(D_i - ED)$.

- According to Resnick (1987),

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_{a_{np}^{-2} D_i} \xrightarrow{d} N = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha}}$$

holds for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ if and only if A. Nagaev (1968), S. Nagaev (1979)

$$p P(D_1 > a_{np}^2 x) \rightarrow x^{-\alpha} = \mu(x, \infty], \quad x > 0,$$

- For $\alpha \in (2, 4)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_{a_{np}^{-2}(D_i - ED)} \xrightarrow{d} N = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha}}$$

holds if and only if

$$p P(D - ED > a_{np}^2 x) \rightarrow x^{-\alpha} = \mu(x, \infty]$$

$$p P(D - ED \leq -a_{np}^2 x) \rightarrow 0 = \mu[-\infty, -x], \quad x > 0,$$

- μ is the intensity measure of the Poisson process N .

8. THE LARGEST EIGENVALUES

- The point process convergence implies for fixed m

$$a_{np}^{-2}(\lambda_{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} (d_{(1)}, \dots, d_{(m)}),$$

where $d_{(1)} \geq \dots \geq d_{(m)}$ are the m largest values of $\{\Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha} v_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, j = 1, \dots, r\}$.

- For independent entries this was shown by [Soshnikov \(2006\)](#) for $\alpha < 2$ and by [Auffinger, Ben Arous, P ech e \(2009\)](#) for $\alpha \in (2, 4)$.
- For matrices with linear structure in each of the iid rows this was shown by [Davis, Pfaffel, Stelzer \(2013\)](#) for $\alpha \in (0, 4)$.

- **Example.** $X_{it} = Z_{i,t} - Z_{i,t-1} - 2(Z_{i-1,t} - Z_{i-1,t-1})$. Then $v_1 = 8$ and $v_2 = 2$ so that

$$a_{np}^{-2}(\lambda_{(1)}, \lambda_{(2)}) \xrightarrow{d} (8\Gamma_1^{-2/\alpha}, 2\Gamma_1^{-2/\alpha} \vee 8\Gamma_2^{-2/\alpha})$$

- **Example: The separable case** $h_{kl} = \theta_k c_l$. Then

$$M = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_l^2 (\theta_i \theta_j)_{i,j \geq 0} \text{ has rank } r = 1 \text{ and } v_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_l^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k^2.$$

The limit point process is Poisson as in the iid case.

$$a_{np}^{-2}(\lambda_{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} v_1(\Gamma_1^{-2/\alpha}, \dots, \Gamma_m^{-2/\alpha}),$$

$$\frac{\lambda_{(1)}}{\lambda_{(1)} + \dots + \lambda_{(m)}} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\Gamma_1^{-2/\alpha}}{\Gamma_1^{-2/\alpha} + \dots + \Gamma_m^{-2/\alpha}}.$$

9. THE TRACE

- Point process convergence and the continuous mapping theorem also imply that for $\alpha \in (0, 2)^2$

$$a_{np}^{-2}(\lambda_{(1)}, \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i) \xrightarrow{d} \left(v_1 \Gamma_1^{-2/\alpha}, \sum_{j=1}^r v_j \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha} \right).$$

- Here $\Gamma_1^{-2/\alpha}$ has a **Fréchet** $\Phi_{\alpha/2}$ distribution and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha}$ has an $\alpha/2$ -**stable** distribution.
- In particular,

$$\frac{\lambda_{(1)}}{\text{trace}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}')} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{v_1}{v_1 + \cdots + v_r} \frac{\Gamma_1^{-2/\alpha}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i^{-2/\alpha}}.$$

²The corresponding result for $\alpha \in (2, 4)$ holds but requires compensation for the sums.

10. CONSISTENCY

- For $\alpha \in (2, 4)$, $\lambda_{(1)}/n$ is the largest eigenvalue of $n^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_n\mathbf{X}'_n - E\mathbf{X}_n\mathbf{X}'_n)$.
- One might expect that $(\lambda_{(1)} - \delta_{(1)})/n \xrightarrow{P} 0$ but this is true if and only if $a_{np}^2/n \rightarrow 0$ (satisfied if $p = o(n^\gamma)$ for small γ because we also have $p = O(n^\beta)$ for $\beta < (2 - 0.5\alpha)(\alpha - 1)^{-1}$).

11. ELEMENTS OF PROOF

Special case: $X_{it} = \theta_0 Z_{i,t} + \theta_1 Z_{i-1,t}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{i,t}^2 &= \sum_{t=1}^n (\theta_0^2 Z_{i,t}^2 + \theta_1^2 Z_{i-1,t}^2) + 2\theta_0\theta_1 \sum_{t=1}^n Z_{i,t}Z_{i-1,t} \\ &= \theta_0^2 D_i + \theta_1^2 D_{i-1} + o_P(a_n^2). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that Z^2 has tail index $\alpha/2$, while $Z_1 Z_2$ has tail index α . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{i,t} X_{i+1,t} &= \theta_0\theta_1 \sum_{t=1}^n Z_{i,t}^2 + o_P(a_n^2) \\ &= \theta_0\theta_1 D_i + o_P(a_n^2). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the approximation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{i,t}^2 & \sum_{t=1}^n X_{i,t}X_{i+1,t} \\ \sum_{t=1}^n X_{i,t}X_{i+1,t} & \sum_{t=1}^n X_{i+1,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \approx \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^2 & \theta_0\theta_1 \\ \theta_0\theta_1 & \theta_1^2 \end{pmatrix} D_i + \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} D_{i-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_0^2 \end{pmatrix} D_{i+1}.$$

The sample covariance matrix can be **approximated** by

$$\left\| \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' - \sum_{i=1}^p D_i M_i \right\|_2 = o_P(a_{np}^2),$$

where

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^2 & \theta_0\theta_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \theta_0\theta_1 & \theta_1^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_0^2 & \theta_0\theta_1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_0\theta_1 & \theta_1^2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

- Denote the order statistics of the D_i 's by $D_{(1)} \geq \dots \geq D_{(p)}$ and

$$D_{L_i} = D_{(i)}.$$

- Then

$$a_{np}^{-2} \left\| \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' - \sum_{i=1}^p D_{L_i} M_{L_i} \right\|_2 \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

- For $k = k_n \rightarrow \infty$ slowly,

$$a_{np}^{-2} \left\| \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' - \sum_{i=1}^k D_{L_i} M_{L_i} \right\|_2 \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

- Since (D_i) is iid, (L_1, \dots, L_p) is a random permutation of $(1, \dots, p)$, hence the event

$$A_k = \{|L_i - L_j| > 1, i \neq j = 1, \dots, k\}$$

has probability close to one provided $k = o(p^2)$.

- On the set A_k , the matrix $\sum_{i=1}^k D_{L_i} M_{L_i}$ is block-diagonal with non-zero eigenvalues $D_{L_i}(\theta_0^2 + \theta_1^2)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.
- Here we used that M_{L_i} has **rank 1** with non-zero eigenvalue equal to $\theta_0^2 + \theta_1^2$.
- By **Weyl's inequality**,

$$a_{np}^{-2} \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left| \lambda_{(i)} - D_{L_i}(\theta_0^2 + \theta_1^2) \right| \leq a_{np}^{-2} \left\| \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' - \sum_{i=1}^k D_{L_i} M_{L_i} \right\|_2 \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

- **Extension to general structure:** Use truncation.

12. OPEN PROBLEMS

- Centering in the case $\alpha \in (2, 4)$.
- Order of magnitude of $p = p_n \rightarrow \infty$.
- Minima, lower order statistics, eigenvectors, determinant,
- Non-linear structure of X_{it} , where the tail of the squares of the noise does not dominate.