

The braided Hopf algebra structure of reflected Nichols algebras

Hans-Jürgen Schneider

LMU, München, Germany

Banff, September 11,

Joint work in progress with Istvan Heckenberger

The main problem

H Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, $\theta \geq 1$

Definition

$$\mathcal{F}_\theta^H = \{M = (M_1, \dots, M_\theta) \mid M_1, \dots, M_\theta \text{ fin-dim. irr.} \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}\}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(M) = \mathcal{B}(M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_\theta), M \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^H$$

$\mathcal{B}(M)$ is an \mathbb{N}_0^θ -graded Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, $\deg(M_i) = \alpha_i$,
 $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\theta$ standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^θ

What is the structure of $\mathcal{B}(M)$?

Find combinatorial invariants of $\mathcal{B}(M)$ (Weyl groupoid, root system ...)

Decomposition of $\mathcal{B}(M)$

“Lusztig’s PBW-basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^+$ for Nichols algebras”

Describe the right coideal subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(M)$

Generalize $\mathcal{B}(M)$ to Nichols system for M

Part I: The reflection operator

Reflection of Yetter-Drinfeld modules

$$M \mapsto R_i(M) \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^H, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \theta$$

Categorical interpretation of $\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))$ and generalization

1. The i -th reflection of M

Definition

M admits the i -th reflection if

$\forall j \neq i \exists -a_{ij}^M \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with

$$(\operatorname{ad} M_i)^{-a_{ij}^M}(M_j) \neq 0, \quad (\operatorname{ad} M_i)^{1-a_{ij}^M}(M_j) = 0$$

Reflection operator

Assume that M admits the i -th reflection. Let

$$R_i(M) = (M'_1, \dots, M'_\theta),$$

where $M'_i = M_i^*$, $\forall j \neq i$, $M'_j = (\operatorname{ad} M_i)^{-a_{ij}^M}(M_j)$ in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}$.

$R_i(M) \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^H$ by [AHS 2010]

2. Hopf algebras with a projection in braided categories

$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\otimes, I, c)$ (strict) monoidal braided category.

R Hopf algebra in \mathcal{C} , antipode of R an isomorphism.

(B, π, γ) **Hopf algebra triple over R** \iff

B Hopf algebra in \mathcal{C} , $\pi : B \rightarrow R, \gamma : R \rightarrow B$ Hopf algebra homomorphisms in \mathcal{C} with $\pi\gamma = \text{id}_R$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & R \\
 & & & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow = \\
 B^{\text{co}R} = K & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R
 \end{array}$$

$\iff K$ Hopf algebra in ${}^R_R\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})$, $K \# R \cong B$
 (Radford-Majid bosonization)

Note: $\mathcal{C} = {}^H_H\mathcal{YD} \Rightarrow {}^R_R\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})$ is naturally identified with ${}^{R\#H}_{R\#H}\mathcal{YD}$

3. Pre-Nichols and Nichols systems

S Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$,

$N_1, \dots, N_\theta \subseteq S$ fin.-dim. subobjects in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, $N = (N_1, \dots, N_\theta)$,

$f = (f_j)_{1 \leq j \leq \theta} : N \cong M$ in \mathcal{F}_θ^H .

Definition

$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(S, N, f)$ is called a **pre-Nichols system for M** if

- (1) S is generated as an algebra by N_1, \dots, N_θ ,
- (2) $\sum_{j=1}^\theta N_j = \bigoplus_{j=1}^\theta N_j$, and
- (3) S is an \mathbb{N}_0^θ -graded Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ with grading given by $\deg(N_j) = \alpha_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \theta$.

$p^\mathcal{N} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(M)$ \mathbb{N}_0^θ -graded Hopf algebra map,

the **canonical map of \mathcal{N}** , defined by $f_j : N_j \xrightarrow{\cong} M_j \subseteq \mathcal{B}(M)$.

Definition

A pre-Nichols system $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(S, N, f)$ for M is called a **Nichols system for M** , if $p^{\mathcal{N}}$ defines bijective maps

- (1) $\mathbb{k}[N_j] \cong \mathcal{B}(M_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \theta$, and
- (2) $(\text{ad}^S N_i)^n(N_j) \cong (\text{ad}^{\mathcal{B}(M)} M_i)^n(M_j)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta, j \neq i$, and $n \geq 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & \mathbb{k}[N_i] \\
 & & & \swarrow \subseteq & \downarrow \cong \\
 & & & S & \xrightarrow{\pi_i^{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{B}(M_i) \\
 K_i^{\mathcal{N}} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & & & \downarrow p^{\mathcal{N}} & \downarrow = \\
 K_i^{\mathcal{B}(M)} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & & \mathcal{B}(M) \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & & \mathcal{B}(M_i)
 \end{array}$$

$K_i^{\mathcal{B}(M)}$ is generated by $(\text{ad} M_i)^n(M_j), j \neq i, n \geq 0$.

$K_i^{\mathcal{N}}$ is generated by $(\text{ad}^S N_i)^n(N_j), j \neq i, n \geq 0$.

4. The category isomorphism

Let $\mathcal{C} = {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, $1 \leq i \leq \theta$

Theorem [HS 2013b]

There is a naturally defined braided monoidal isomorphism of categories

$$(\Omega_i, \omega_i) : {}_{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}^{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})_{\text{rat}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{B}(M_i^*)}^{\mathcal{B}(M_i^*)}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})_{\text{rat}},$$

where $\Omega_i(V) = V$ as a Yetter-Drinfeld module over H , $\Omega_i(f) = f$ for morphisms f

$X \in \mathcal{YD}_{\text{rat}}$ means: $\forall x \in X \exists n_0$ with $\mathcal{B}^n(M_i)x = 0$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^n(M_i^*)x = 0$) $\forall n \geq n_0$

The basic construction

K Hopf algebra in ${}_{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}^{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})_{\text{rat}}$

$B := K \# \mathcal{B}(M_i) \mapsto \tilde{B} := \Omega_i(K) \# \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)$ (Hopf algebras in ${}_{H}^H\mathcal{YD}$)

Theorem

Assume that M admits the i -th reflection, let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(S, N, f)$ be a Nichols system for M . Let $\tilde{N}_i = M_i^*$, and

$$\tilde{S} = \Omega_i(K_i^{\mathcal{N}}) \# \mathcal{B}(M_i^*), \quad \tilde{N}_j = (\text{ad}^S N_i)^{-a_{ij}^M}(N_j), j \neq i.$$

(1) For all $j \neq i$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$(M_i^*)^n \cdot_{\Omega} \tilde{N}_j = \begin{cases} (\text{ad}^S N_i)^{-a_{ij}^M - n}(N_j), & \text{if } 0 \leq n \leq -a_{ij}^M \\ 0, & \text{if } n > -a_{ij}^M. \end{cases}$$

(2) \tilde{S} is an \mathbb{N}_0^θ -graded Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ with

$$\deg(x \otimes y) = s_i^M(\deg^S(x) + \deg(y))$$

for homogeneous el. $x \in K_i^{\mathcal{N}}$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)$, where $\deg(M_i^*) = -\alpha_i$. For all $1 \leq j \leq \theta$, $\deg(\tilde{N}_j) = \alpha_j$.

On the proof

For all $j \neq i$, $p^{\mathcal{N}}$ induces an isomorphism between

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{ad}^S \mathbb{k}[N_i])(N_j) &= N_j \oplus (\mathrm{ad}^S N_i)(N_j) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathrm{ad}^S N_i)^{-a_{ij}^M}(N_j), \text{ and} \\(\mathrm{ad} \mathcal{B}(M_i))(M_j) &= M_j \oplus (\mathrm{ad} M_i)(M_j) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathrm{ad} M_i)^{-a_{ij}^M}(M_j)\end{aligned}$$

M_j is irreducible in ${}^H_H \mathcal{YD} \Rightarrow$

$(\mathrm{ad} \mathcal{B}(M_i))(M_j)$ irreducible in ${}_{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}^{\mathcal{B}(M_i)} \mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathrm{rat}}$ by [AHS 2010], hence $\Omega_i((\mathrm{ad}^S \mathbb{k}[N_i])(N_j))$ is irreducible.

Use structure of \mathbb{Z} -graded irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

Definition

Assume M admits the i -th reflection, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(S, N, f)$ a Nichols system for M .

- (1) Let $R_i(\mathcal{N}) := \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{f})$, where \tilde{S}, \tilde{N} are as in the theorem, $\tilde{f}_j : \tilde{N}_j \rightarrow R_i(M)_j, j \neq i$, is induced by $p^{\mathcal{N}}$, and $\tilde{f}_i = \text{id}$.
- (2) We say that \mathcal{N} admits the i -th reflection, if $R_i(\mathcal{N})$ is a Nichols system.

Note: $R_i(\mathcal{N})$ is a pre-Nichols system by the theorem.

Theorem[HS 2013a]

There is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$

$$\Phi : \mathcal{B}(R_i(M)) \rightarrow \Omega_i(K_i^{\mathcal{B}(M)}) \# \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)$$

which is the identity on the components of $R_i(M)$.

5. The Hopf algebra structure after reflection

Theorem

K Hopf algebra in ${}_{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}^{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})_{\text{rat}}$, $\tilde{B} = \Omega_i(K) \# \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)^{\text{cop}} \\
 & & & \subseteq & \downarrow = \\
 & & & \swarrow & \\
 & & \tilde{B}^{\text{cop}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_i} & \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)^{\text{cop}} \\
 L = (\tilde{B}^{\text{cop}})^{\text{co}} & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & & & \\
 \end{array}$$

Then $L := \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{B}}^{-1}(\Omega_i(K))$, and

$$\varphi : \mathbf{K}^{\text{cop}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{B}}^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

is an isomorphism of algebras and coalgebras in \mathcal{C} .

What we have done so far

K Hopf algebra in ${}_{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}^{\mathcal{B}(M_i)}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})_{\text{rat}}$

$B := K \# \mathcal{B}(M_i) \mapsto \tilde{B} = \Omega_i(K) \# \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)$ **new Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$**

This is interesting, since if $B = \mathcal{B}(M)$, then $\tilde{B} \cong \mathcal{B}(R_i(M))$.

On the other hand,

$\tilde{B}^{\text{cop}} \cong L \# \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)^{\text{cop}}$, $\varphi : K^{\text{cop}} \xrightarrow{\cong} L$ as algebras and coalgebras

$\implies B$ and \tilde{B} are closely related.

We will see that φ "is" the part of the Lusztig automorphism used to construct the higher root vectors of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^+$

Part II: PBW-like decomposition and Cartan graph of Nichols algebras

Axioms for combinatorial structures (Weyl groupoid, real roots,...)

Stepwise construction of right coideal subalgebras, and of the “higher root vectors” using φ as an essential tool

Applications when there are only finitely many real roots:

Nichols algebra versions of Lusztig’s PBW-basis

When Nichols systems are Nichols algebras

1. Semi-Cartan, Cartan graph and Weyl groupoid (axioms)

Rough idea: Generalize the root system of a Cartan matrix to a family of Cartan matrices

$\theta \geq 1$, \mathcal{X} a non-empty set, $r_i : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $1 \leq i \leq \theta$, maps, $A^X = (a_{jk}^X)_{1 \leq j, k \leq \theta}$, $X \in \mathcal{X}$, generalized Cartan matrices $s_i^X \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^\theta)$ with

$$s_i^X(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - a_{ij}^X \alpha_i \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq \theta$$

Definition

$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\theta, \mathcal{X}, (r_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (A^X)_{X \in \mathcal{X}})$ is called a **semi-Cartan graph** if

- (1) $r_i^2 = \text{id}$ for all i ,
- (2) $a_{ij}^X = a_{ij}^{r_i(X)}$ for all X and i, j .

Definition

Weyl groupoid $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{G})$ of a semi-Cartan graph \mathcal{G}

category with objects $X \in \mathcal{X}$, morphisms generated by all

$$X \xrightarrow{s_i^X} r_i(X)$$

Formally $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ consists of the triples (Y, s, X) with

$$Y = r_{i_m} \cdots r_{i_2} r_{i_1}(X), \quad s = s_{i_1}^{r_{i_m} \cdots r_{i_2} r_{i_1}(X)} \cdots s_{i_m}^{r_{i_m} \cdots r_{i_2} r_{i_1}(X)} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$$

$$\begin{aligned} (Y = r_{i_m} \cdots r_{i_2} r_{i_1}(X) &\xrightarrow{s_{i_m}^{r_{i_m} \cdots r_{i_2} r_{i_1}(X)}} \cdots \rightarrow r_{i_1}(X) \xrightarrow{s_{i_1}^{r_{i_1}(X)}} X) \\ &= (Y \xrightarrow{s} X) \\ &= \text{id}_X s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}, \end{aligned}$$

$$m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq \theta.$$

The inverse of $s_i^X : X \rightarrow r_i(X)$ is $s_i^{r_i(X)} : r_i(X) \rightarrow X$.

$\Delta^{X \text{ re}} = \{w(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^\theta \mid w \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}), X), 1 \leq i \leq \theta\}$ **real roots**

$\Delta^{X \text{ re}} \cap \mathbb{N}_0^\theta$ **positive** real roots

$\Delta^{X \text{ re}} \cap -\mathbb{N}_0^\theta$ **negative** real roots.

For any $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$ let

$$m_{ij}^X = |\Delta^{X \text{ re}} \cap (\mathbb{N}_0 \alpha_i + \mathbb{N}_0 \alpha_j)|.$$

Definition

The semi-Cartan graph \mathcal{G} is a **Cartan graph** if

- (1) $\forall X \in \mathcal{X}$, $\Delta^{X \text{ re}}$ consists of positive and negative roots.
- (2) $\forall X \in \mathcal{X}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$, $(r_i r_j)^{m_{ij}^X}(X) = X$, if $m_{ij}^X < \infty$.

The Weyl groupoid of a Cartan graph is a Coxeter groupoid (Heckenberger, Yamane 2008)

Example

Let $\theta = 2$, $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, X_2\}$, $r_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the non-trivial permutation and $r_2 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the identity. Let

$$A^{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{X_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(2, \mathcal{X}, r, A)$ is a semi-Cartan graph. The Weyl groupoid is generated by the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} s &= s_1^{X_2} : X_2 \rightarrow X_1, & t &= s_1^{X_1} : X_1 \rightarrow X_2, \\ u &= s_2^{X_1} : X_1 \rightarrow X_1, & v &= s_2^{X_2} : X_2 \rightarrow X_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then s and t are inverse isomorphisms in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{G})$, and u, v are self-inverse.

$\text{Aut}(X_1)$ is generated by u and $(X_1 \xrightarrow{t} X_2 \xrightarrow{v} X_2 \xrightarrow{s} X_1)$.

$$\Delta^{X_1 \text{ re}} = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 12, \pm 12^2, \pm 12^3, \pm 1^2 2^3, \pm 1^3 2^4, \pm 1^3 2^5\},$$

$$\Delta^{X_2 \text{ re}} = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 12, \pm 12^2, \pm 12^3, \pm 12^4, \pm 1^2 2^3, \pm 1^2 2^5\},$$

$$(a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_2 = 1^a 2^b, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}.)$$

2. Semi-Cartan and Cartan graph of $\mathcal{B}(M)$

Assume that M admits all reflections $R_{i_1} \cdots R_{i_n}(M)$, $n \geq 0$.

(This is true if $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is fin.-dim., or if $\text{GK-dim}(\mathcal{B}(M))$ is finite, and all tensor powers of $M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_\theta$ are semisimple in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ [HS 2010].) Let

$$\mathcal{F}_\theta^H(M) = \{R_{i_1} \cdots R_{i_n}(M) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \in I\}$$

$$\mathcal{X}(M) = \{[N] \mid N \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^H(M)\} \text{ isomorphism classes}$$

$$r_i : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M), r_i([N]) = [R_i(N)], A^{[N]} = (a_{ij}^{[N]})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \theta} \quad (a_{ii}^{[N]} = 2)$$

Theorem

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(M) = \mathcal{G}(\theta, \mathcal{X}(M), (r_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (A^X)_{X \in \mathcal{X}(M)})$ is a semi-Cartan graph. [AHS 2010]
- (2) Assume $\Delta^{[M]_{\text{re}}}$ is finite. Then $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is a Cartan graph. [HS 2010], [HS 2013a]

$$\mathcal{W}(M) = \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{G}(M))$$

3. Stepwise construction of right coideal subalgebras, and of the “higher root vectors”

Let $1 \leq i \leq \theta$, and assume that M admits the i -th reflection. Recall

$$\Phi : \mathcal{B}(R_i(M)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_i(K_i^{\mathcal{B}(M)}) \# \mathcal{B}(M_i^*).$$

Definition

$$T_i^{\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))} : L_i^{\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{co}\mathcal{B}(M_i^*)(\Omega_i(K_i^{\mathcal{B}(M)}) \# \mathcal{B}(M_i^*)) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} K_i^{\mathcal{B}(M)}$$

The T_i play the role of Lusztig's T_i .

Definition

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(M)) &= \{E \mid E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(M) \text{ } \mathbb{N}_0^\theta\text{-gr. right coid. subalg. in } {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}\}, \\ \mathcal{K}_i^+(\mathcal{B}(M)) &= \{E \mid E \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(M)), M_i \subseteq E\}, \\ \mathcal{K}_i^-(\mathcal{B}(M)) &= \{E \mid E \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(M)), M_i \not\subseteq E\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

$$\mathcal{K}_i^-(\mathcal{B}(M)) = \{E \mid E \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(M)), E \subseteq L_i^{\mathcal{B}(M)}\}.$$

The fundamental relation between right coideal subalgebras in $\mathcal{B}(M)$ and in $\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))$.

Theorem

$1 \leq i \leq \theta$, $M \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^H$ admits the i -th reflection.

(1) The map

$$\begin{aligned} t_i^{\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))} : \mathcal{K}_i^-(\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))) &\rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i^+(\mathcal{B}(M)), \\ E &\mapsto T_i^{\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))}(E)\mathbb{k}[M_i], \end{aligned}$$

is bijective with inverse $E \mapsto (T_i^{\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))})^{-1}(E \cap \mathcal{K}_i^{\mathcal{B}(M)})$.

(2) The multiplication map

$T_i^{\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))}(E) \otimes \mathbb{k}[M_i] \rightarrow T_i^{\mathcal{B}(R_i(M))}(E)\mathbb{k}[M_i]$ is bijective for all $E \in \mathcal{K}_i^-(\mathcal{B}(R_i(M)))$.

Stepwise construction

Let $1 \leq k \leq l, 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_l \leq \theta$. Consider in $\mathcal{W}(M)$,

$$[R_{i_1} \cdots R_{i_l}(M)] \xrightarrow{s_{i_l}} [R_{i_{l-1}} \cdots R_{i_1}(M)] \cdots \xrightarrow{s_{i_2}} [R_{i_1}(M)] \xrightarrow{s_{i_1}} [M]$$

Let $M_1^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l) = M_{i_1}$. We say that

$$M_k^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l) = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}((R_{i_{k-1}} \cdots R_{i_1}(M))_{i_k}), 2 \leq k \leq l,$$

$$E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l) = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_l}(\mathbb{k}1)$$

are **well-defined**, where

$$T_{i_p} = T_{i_p}^{\mathcal{B}(R_{i_p} \cdots R_{i_1}(M))} : L_{i_p}^{\mathcal{B}(R_{i_p} \cdots R_{i_1}(M))} \rightarrow K_{i_p}^{\mathcal{B}(R_{i_{p-1}} \cdots R_{i_1}(M))}$$

$$t_{i_p} = t_{i_p}^{\mathcal{B}(R_{i_p} \cdots R_{i_1}(M))} : \mathcal{K}_{i_p}^-(\mathcal{B}(R_{i_p} \cdots R_{i_1}(M))) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{i_p}^+(\mathcal{B}(R_{i_{p-1}} \cdots R_{i_1}(M))),$$

if all the compositions are well-defined.

Theorem

$M \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^H$ admits all reflections, $l \geq 1$, and $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_l \leq \theta$. Assume that (i_1, \dots, i_l) is $[M]$ -reduced, that is, β_1, \dots, β_l are pairwise distinct elements in \mathbb{N}_0^θ , where $\beta_k = \text{id}_{[M]} s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$. Then the $M_k^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(M)$, and $E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(M)$ are well-defined. For all $1 \leq k \leq l$, let

$$M_{\beta_k} = M_k^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l).$$

Then

- (1) For all $1 \leq k \leq l$, $M_{\beta_k} \subseteq E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l)$ is a finite-dimensional irreducible subobject in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ of degree β_k .
- (2) For all $1 \leq k \leq l$, there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}(M_{\beta_k}) \cong \mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_k}]$
- (3) The multiplication map $\mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_l}] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_1}] \rightarrow E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l)$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{N}_0^θ -graded objects in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$.

Notes on the proof

By induction on l

Works for Nichols systems $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(S, N, f)$:

$p^{\mathcal{N}}$ induces an isomorphism $E^{\mathcal{N}}(i_1, \dots, i_l) \cong E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_l)$

$p^{\mathcal{N}} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(M)$ is an isomorphism, if $E^{\mathcal{N}}(i_1, \dots, i_l) = \mathcal{B}(M)$

4. When the number of real roots is finite

Need finiteness assumption to actually reach

$\mathcal{B}(M) = E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ for some sequence (i_1, \dots, i_m) .

Get “root space decomposition” of $\mathcal{B}(M) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M)$ is Cartan.

Theorem[HS 2013a]

Assume that M admits all reflections. The following are equivalent.

- (1) *The length of all $[N]$ -reduced sequences, for all $N \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^H(M)$, is bounded.*
- (2) $\Delta^{[M]_{\text{re}}}$ is finite.

In this case, $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is a Cartan graph. If $w_0 = \text{id}_{[M]} s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}$ is the longest word, in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}(M), [M])$, then

$$\mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_m}] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(i_1, \dots, i_m) = \mathcal{B}(M).$$

Theorem

Assume M admits all reflections, and $\Delta^{[M]_{\text{re}}}$ is finite. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(S, N, f)$ be a Nichols system for M which admits all reflections. Then the canonical map $p^{\mathcal{N}} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(M)$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem

Let $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$. Then any fin.-dim. pre-Nichols system for M , where all M_i are one-dim., is Nichols.

Corollary (I. Angiono)

Let $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$ and H a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra with abelian group $G(H)$. Then H is generated by group-likes and skew-primitive elements.

Corollary

Assume that M admits all reflections and $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is finite.

- (1) $\Delta_+^{[M]^{\text{re}}} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$.
- (2) Let $P \in \mathcal{F}_\theta^H(M)$, and $1 \leq i \leq \theta$. Then $P_i \cong M_{\beta_k}$ or $P_i \cong M_{\beta_k}^*$ in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m$.
- (3) Let $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$, $i \neq j$, and $0 \leq t \leq -a_{ij}^M$.
Then for some $1 \leq k \leq m$,
$$\alpha_j + t\alpha_i = \beta_k, (\text{ad}M_i)^t(M_j) \cong M_{\beta_k} \text{ in } {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}.$$

In particular, $(\text{ad}M_i)^t(M_j)$ is irreducible in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$.

Corollary

Assume that M admits all reflections and $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is finite. For all $x \in M_{\beta_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$,

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{B}(M)}(x) \in x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \\ + \mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_{k-1}}] \cdots \mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_1}] \otimes \mathcal{B}(M).$$

Corollary

Assume that M admits all reflections and $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is finite. For all $1 \leq p < q \leq l$, $x \in M_{\beta_p}$, $y \in M_{\beta_q}$,

$$xy - (x_{(-1)} \cdot y)x_{(0)} \in \mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_{q-1}}]\mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_{q-2}}] \cdots \mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_{p+1}}].$$

As a special case, we obtain the commutation rule of Levendorskii and Soibelman for quantum groups without any case by case consideration.

Theorem[HS 2013a]

Assume that M admits all reflections and $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is finite. Then

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}(M), [M]) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(M)), w \mapsto E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(w),$$

$$E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(w) = E^{\mathcal{B}(M)}(j_1, \dots, j_l),$$

$$w = \text{id}_{[M]} s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_l} \text{ reduced,}$$

is bijective.

5. Application to right coideal subalgebras in $U_q^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{g})$

$U = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, q not a root of unity, \mathfrak{g} semisimple Lie algebra.

Let w be an element of the Weyl group W , and let $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}$ be a reduced decomposition of w . Recall (see Jantzen's book) that $U^+[w]$ is the linear span of the products

$$E_{\beta_m}^{a_m} \cdots E_{\beta_2}^{a_2} E_{\beta_1}^{a_1}, \quad a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where $E_{\beta_l} = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{l-1}}(E_{i_l})$ for all $1 \leq l \leq m$.

Theorem[HS 2013a]

The map $w \mapsto U^+[w]U^0$ from W to the set of right coideal subalgebras of $U^{\geq 0}$ containing the group algebra U^0 , is a bijection.

Conjecture of Kharchenko (2009) for simple \mathfrak{g} :

Number of right coideal subalgebras = $|W|$.

Theorem[HS 2013a]

If w_0 is the longest word, we obtain Lusztig's PBW-basis of U^+ , $\mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_m}] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} U^+$, $\mathbb{k}[M_{\beta_i}]$ is a polynomial ring in E_{β_i} .

The first proof without any case by case considerations, no checking of the braid relations.