On the degree of compositum of two number fields

P. Drungilas¹, A. Dubickas¹, F. Luca², C. Smyth³

¹Vilnius University ²University of the Witwatersrand ³University of Edinburgh

2015

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Sum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- ▶ deg $\alpha = a$, deg $\beta = b$, deg $\gamma = c$,

$$\blacktriangleright \ \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \mathbf{0}.$$

For example, (2, 2, 4) is sum-feasible:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2}, \quad \beta = \sqrt{3}, \quad \gamma = -(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Sum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- deg $\alpha = a$, deg $\beta = b$, deg $\gamma = c$,
- $\blacktriangleright \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \mathbf{0}.$

For example, (2, 2, 4) is sum-feasible:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2}, \ \beta = \sqrt{3}, \ \gamma = -(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

Sum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- deg $\alpha = a$, deg $\beta = b$, deg $\gamma = c$,

 $\blacktriangleright \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \mathbf{0}.$

For example, (2, 2, 4) is sum-feasible:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2}, \quad \beta = \sqrt{3}, \quad \gamma = -(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

Sum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- $\deg \alpha = a$, $\deg \beta = b$, $\deg \gamma = c$,

$$\blacktriangleright \ \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \mathbf{0}.$$

For example, (2, 2, 4) is sum-feasible

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2}, \ \beta = \sqrt{3}, \ \gamma = -(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Sum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- deg $\alpha = a$, deg $\beta = b$, deg $\gamma = c$,

$$\blacktriangleright \ \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \mathbf{0}.$$

For example, (2, 2, 4) is sum-feasible:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2}, \ \beta = \sqrt{3}, \ \gamma = -(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

Problem ¹ Find all possible sum-feasible triplets $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$.

Equivalent to:

QUESTION

Given

▶ *a*, *b* − fixed positive integers,

• α, β – algebraic numbers, deg $\alpha = a$, deg $\beta = b$, what are the possible values of deg $(\alpha + \beta)$?

For example, if deg $\alpha = \text{deg }\beta = 5$ then

 $\deg(\alpha + \beta) \in \{1, 5, 10, 20, 25\}.$

Problem

¹ Find all possible sum-feasible triplets $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$.

Equivalent to:

QUESTION

Given

▶ a, b - fixed positive integers,

▶ α, β - algebraic numbers, deg $\alpha = a$, deg $\beta = b$,

what are the possible values of deg($\alpha + \beta$)?

For example, if deg $\alpha = \text{deg }\beta = 5$ then

 $\deg(\alpha + \beta) \in \{1, 5, 10, 20, 25\}.$

Problem

¹ Find all possible sum-feasible triplets $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$.

Equivalent to:

QUESTION

Given

▶ a, b - fixed positive integers,

• α, β – algebraic numbers, deg $\alpha = a$, deg $\beta = b$, what are the possible values of deg $(\alpha + \beta)$?

For example, if deg $\alpha = \text{deg }\beta = 5$ then

$$\deg(\alpha + \beta) \in \{1, 5, 10, 20, 25\}.$$

Related results

Theorem (I. Kaplansky, 1969)

Suppose that

- α , β algebraic numbers,
- $\blacktriangleright \ \deg \alpha > \deg \beta,$
- deg α is a prime number.

Then $\deg(\alpha + \beta) = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta$.

Theorem (I.M. Isaacs, 1970)

If a triplet (a, b, c) is sum-feasible and gcd(a, b) = 1 then c = ab.

As J. Browkin, B. Diviš and A. Schinzel remarked, the proof of Isaacs implies that if $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta$ then

$$\deg(\alpha + \beta) = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Related results

Theorem (I. Kaplansky, 1969) Suppose that

- α , β algebraic numbers,
- $\blacktriangleright \ \deg \alpha > \deg \beta,$
- deg α is a prime number.

Then $\deg(\alpha + \beta) = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta$.

Theorem (I.M. Isaacs, 1970)

If a triplet (a, b, c) is sum-feasible and gcd(a, b) = 1 then c = ab.

As J. Browkin, B. Diviš and A. Schinzel remarked, the proof of Isaacs implies that if $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta$ then

$$\deg(\alpha + \beta) = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta.$$

Related results

Theorem (I. Kaplansky, 1969) Suppose that

- α , β algebraic numbers,
- $\deg \alpha > \deg \beta$,
- deg α is a prime number.

Then $\deg(\alpha + \beta) = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta$.

Theorem (I.M. Isaacs, 1970) If a triplet (a, b, c) is sum-feasible and gcd(a, b) = 1 then c = ab.

As J. Browkin, B. Diviš and A. Schinzel remarked, the proof of Isaacs implies that if $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta$ then

$$\deg(\alpha + \beta) = \deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta.$$

Compositum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- ► *K*, *L* number fields,
- $\blacktriangleright [K:\mathbb{Q}] = a, [L:\mathbb{Q}] = b \text{ and } [KL:\mathbb{Q}] = c.$
- ▶ For example, (2,2,4) is compositum-feasible:

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \quad L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \quad KL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

- compositum-feasible \implies sum-feasible
- ▶ (4,4,6) is sum-feasible, but not compositum-feasible

Compositum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- ► K, L number fields,
- $\blacktriangleright [K:\mathbb{Q}] = a, [L:\mathbb{Q}] = b \text{ and } [KL:\mathbb{Q}] = c.$
- ▶ For example, (2,2,4) is compositum-feasible:

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \quad L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \quad KL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

- ► compositum-feasible ⇒ sum-feasible
- ▶ (4,4,6) is sum-feasible, but not compositum-feasible

Compositum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

•
$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = a$$
, $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = b$ and $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = c$.

▶ For example, (2,2,4) is compositum-feasible:

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \quad L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \quad KL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- compositum-feasible \implies sum-feasible
- ▶ (4,4,6) is sum-feasible, but not compositum-feasible

Compositum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

► K, L – number fields,

•
$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = a$$
, $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = b$ and $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = c$.

▶ For example, (2,2,4) is compositum-feasible:

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \ L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \ KL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- ▶ compositum-feasible ⇒ sum-feasible
- ▶ (4,4,6) is sum-feasible, but not compositum-feasible

Compositum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

► K, L – number fields,

•
$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = a$$
, $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = b$ and $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = c$.

▶ For example, (2,2,4) is compositum-feasible:

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \ L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \ KL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- compositum-feasible \implies sum-feasible
- ▶ (4,4,6) is sum-feasible, but not compositum-feasible

Compositum-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

► K, L – number fields,

•
$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = a$$
, $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = b$ and $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = c$.

▶ For example, (2,2,4) is compositum-feasible:

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \ L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \ KL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

- compositum-feasible \implies sum-feasible
- ▶ (4,4,6) is sum-feasible, but not compositum-feasible

Product-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- deg $\alpha = a$, deg $\beta = b$, deg $\gamma = c$,
- $\blacktriangleright \ \alpha\beta\gamma = 1.$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If the triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is sum-feasible then it is also product-feasible.

- ▶ (2,3,3) is product-feasible, but not sum-feasible
- ▶ compositum-feasible ⇒ sum-feasible ⇒ product-feasible

Product-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- $\blacktriangleright \ \deg \alpha = a, \ \deg \beta = b, \ \deg \gamma = c,$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \alpha\beta\gamma = 1.$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If the triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is sum-feasible then it is also product-feasible.

- ▶ (2,3,3) is product-feasible, but not sum-feasible
- ▶ compositum-feasible ⇒ sum-feasible ⇒ product-feasible

Product-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- $\deg \alpha = a$, $\deg \beta = b$, $\deg \gamma = c$,
- $\blacktriangleright \ \alpha\beta\gamma = 1.$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If the triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is sum-feasible then it is also product-feasible.

- ▶ (2,3,3) is product-feasible, but not sum-feasible
- ▶ compositum-feasible ⇒ sum-feasible ⇒ product-feasible

Product-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- $\deg \alpha = a$, $\deg \beta = b$, $\deg \gamma = c$,
- $\blacktriangleright \ \alpha\beta\gamma = 1.$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If the triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is sum-feasible then it is also product-feasible.

- ▶ (2,3,3) is product-feasible, but not sum-feasible
- ▶ compositum-feasible ⇒ sum-feasible ⇒ product-feasible

Product-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- $\deg \alpha = a$, $\deg \beta = b$, $\deg \gamma = c$,

$$\blacktriangleright \ \alpha\beta\gamma = 1.$$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If the triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is sum-feasible then it is also product-feasible.

- (2,3,3) is product-feasible, but not sum-feasible
- compositum-feasible \implies sum-feasible \implies product-feasible

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Product-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- $\deg \alpha = a$, $\deg \beta = b$, $\deg \gamma = c$,

$$\blacktriangleright \ \alpha\beta\gamma = 1.$$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If the triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is sum-feasible then it is also product-feasible.

- ▶ (2,3,3) is product-feasible, but not sum-feasible
- ▶ compositum-feasible ⇒ sum-feasible ⇒ product-feasible

Product-feasible triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

- α , β , γ algebraic numbers,
- $\deg \alpha = a$, $\deg \beta = b$, $\deg \gamma = c$,

$$\blacktriangleright \ \alpha\beta\gamma = 1.$$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If the triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is sum-feasible then it is also product-feasible.

- ▶ (2,3,3) is product-feasible, but not sum-feasible
- compositum-feasible \implies sum-feasible \implies product-feasible

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

All the triplets (a, b, c) of positive integers with $a \le b \le c$, $b \le 6$ that are sum-feasible are given in the following table.

$\mathbf{b} \setminus \mathbf{a}$	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1					
2	2	2, 4				
3	3	6	3, 6, 9			
4	4	4, 8	12	$4, 6, 8, \\12, 16$		
5	5	10	15	20	5, 10, 20, 25	
6	6	6, 12	6, 12, 18	6, 12, 24	30	6, <mark>8?</mark> ,9, 12,15,18, 24,30,36

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

All the triplets (a, b, c) of positive integers with $a \le b \le c$, $b \le 6$ that are sum-feasible are given in the following table.

$\mathbf{b} \setminus \mathbf{a}$	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1					
2	2	2, 4				
3	3	6	3, 6, 9			
4	4	4, 8	12	$4, 6, 8, \\12, 16$		
5	5	10	15	20	5, 10, 20, 25	
6	6	6, 12	6, 12, 18	6, 12, 24	30	6, <mark>8?</mark> ,9, 12,15,18, 24,30,36

All these triplets are compositum-feasible, except for (4, 4, 6), (4, 6, 6), (6, 6, 8), (6, 6, 9) and (6, 6, 15)

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, F. Luca²)

▶ (6,6,8) is not sum-feasible

• The set of all the sum-feasible triplets (a,7,c), $a \leq 7 \leq c$:

 $\{ (1,7,7), (2,7,14), (3,7,21), (4,7,28), (5,7,35), (6,7,42), (7,7,7), (7,7,14), (7,7,21), (7,7,28), (7,7,42), (7,7,49) \}$

- All these triplets are compositum-feasible, except for (4, 4, 6), (4, 6, 6), (6, 6, 8), (6, 6, 9) and (6, 6, 15)
- Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, F. Luca²)
 - ▶ (6,6,8) is not sum-feasible
 - The set of all the sum-feasible triplets (a, 7, c), $a \leq 7 \leq c$:

 $\{ (1,7,7), (2,7,14), (3,7,21), (4,7,28), (5,7,35), (6,7,42), (7,7,7), (7,7,14), (7,7,21), (7,7,28), (7,7,42), (7,7,49) \}$

²P. Drungilas, A. Dubickas, F. Luca, *On the degree of compositum of two number fields*, Math. Nachr. 286 (2-3) (2013) 171-180 $\rightarrow \langle a \rangle \langle a \rangle$

All these triplets are compositum-feasible, except for (4, 4, 6), (4, 6, 6), (6, 6, 8), (6, 6, 9) and (6, 6, 15)

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, F. Luca²)

- ▶ (6,6,8) is not sum-feasible
- The set of all the sum-feasible triplets (a, 7, c), $a \leq 7 \leq c$:

 $\{(1,7,7), (2,7,14), (3,7,21), (4,7,28), (5,7,35), (6,7,42), (7,7,7), (7,7,14), (7,7,21), (7,7,28), (7,7,42), (7,7,49)\}$

²P. Drungilas, A. Dubickas, F. Luca, *On the degree of compositum of two number fields*, Math. Nachr. 286 (2-3) (2013) 171-180 $\rightarrow \langle a \rangle \langle a \rangle$

Proposition (P. D., A. Dubickas, F. Luca)

A triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is compositum-feasible if and only if there exists an irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree c such that the Galois group G of its splitting field has two subgroups H_1 and H_2 such that $[G : H_1] = a$, $[G : H_2] = b$ and $[G : H_1 \cap H_2] = c$.

In principle, one can decide whether a given (a, b, c) is compositum-feasible by performing a finite computation

- difficult to use in practice, unless c is very small
- ▶ (7,7,28) is compositum-feasible; PSL(2,7)

Proposition (P. D., A. Dubickas, F. Luca)

A triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is compositum-feasible if and only if there exists an irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree c such that the Galois group G of its splitting field has two subgroups H_1 and H_2 such that $[G : H_1] = a$, $[G : H_2] = b$ and $[G : H_1 \cap H_2] = c$.

In principle, one can decide whether a given (a, b, c) is compositum-feasible by performing a finite computation

- difficult to use in practice, unless c is very small
- ▶ (7,7,28) is compositum-feasible; PSL(2,7)

Proposition (P. D., A. Dubickas, F. Luca)

A triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is compositum-feasible if and only if there exists an irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree c such that the Galois group G of its splitting field has two subgroups H_1 and H_2 such that $[G : H_1] = a$, $[G : H_2] = b$ and $[G : H_1 \cap H_2] = c$.

In principle, one can decide whether a given (a, b, c) is compositum-feasible by performing a finite computation

- difficult to use in practice, unless c is very small
- ▶ (7,7,28) is compositum-feasible; PSL(2,7)

Proposition (P. D., A. Dubickas, F. Luca)

A triplet $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is compositum-feasible if and only if there exists an irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree c such that the Galois group G of its splitting field has two subgroups H_1 and H_2 such that $[G : H_1] = a$, $[G : H_2] = b$ and $[G : H_1 \cap H_2] = c$.

In principle, one can decide whether a given (a, b, c) is compositum-feasible by performing a finite computation

- difficult to use in practice, unless c is very small
- ▶ (7,7,28) is compositum-feasible; PSL(2,7)

Exponent triangle inequality

Let p – prime number, n – a positive integer. Recall that the nonnegative integer ord_p(n) is defined by

$$p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(n)} \mid n \text{ and } p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(n)+1} \nmid n.$$

We say that a triplet (a, b, c) satisfies the exponent triangle inequality with respect to a prime number p if

 $\operatorname{ord}_p(a) + \operatorname{ord}_p(b) \ge \operatorname{ord}_p(c), \quad \operatorname{ord}_p(b) + \operatorname{ord}_p(c) \ge \operatorname{ord}_p(a) \quad \text{and}$

 $\operatorname{ord}_p(a) + \operatorname{ord}_p(c) \ge \operatorname{ord}_p(b).$

Exponent triangle inequality

Let p – prime number, n – a positive integer. Recall that the nonnegative integer ord_p(n) is defined by

$$p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(n)} \mid n \text{ and } p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(n)+1} \nmid n.$$

We say that a triplet (a, b, c) satisfies the exponent triangle inequality with respect to a prime number p if

 $\operatorname{ord}_p(a) + \operatorname{ord}_p(b) \ge \operatorname{ord}_p(c), \quad \operatorname{ord}_p(b) + \operatorname{ord}_p(c) \ge \operatorname{ord}_p(a) \text{ and}$ $\operatorname{ord}_p(a) + \operatorname{ord}_p(c) \ge \operatorname{ord}_p(b).$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

If a triplet of positive integers (a, b, c) satisfies the exponent triangle inequality with respect to every prime number then the triplet (a, b, c) is sum-feasible.

▶ the condition is not necessary: (3,3,6) is sum-feasible

•
$$(a,b,c):=\left(2^m+1,2^m+1,2^m(2^m+1)
ight)$$
 is sum-feasible and

$$\operatorname{ord}_2(c) - \operatorname{ord}_2(b) - \operatorname{ord}_2(a) = m$$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

If a triplet of positive integers (a, b, c) satisfies the exponent triangle inequality with respect to every prime number then the triplet (a, b, c) is sum-feasible.

▶ the condition is not necessary: (3, 3, 6) is sum-feasible

• $(a, b, c) := (2^m + 1, 2^m + 1, 2^m (2^m + 1))$ is sum-feasible and

 $\operatorname{ord}_2(c) - \operatorname{ord}_2(b) - \operatorname{ord}_2(a) = m$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

If a triplet of positive integers (a, b, c) satisfies the exponent triangle inequality with respect to every prime number then the triplet (a, b, c) is sum-feasible.

• the condition is not necessary: (3,3,6) is sum-feasible

•
$$(a, b, c) := (2^m + 1, 2^m + 1, 2^m (2^m + 1))$$
 is sum-feasible and
 $\operatorname{ord}_2(c) - \operatorname{ord}_2(b) - \operatorname{ord}_2(a) = m$

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

Suppose that positive integers a, b and c satisfy a | c, b | c and c |ab. Then the triplet (a, b, c) is compositum-feasible.

▶ the condition is not necessary: (3,3,6) is compositum-feasible

▶ for any (*a*, *b*, *c*) the triplet

$$(a(abc)^m, b(abc)^m, c(abc)^{m+1})$$

is compositum-feasible for every sufficiently large *m*

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

Suppose that positive integers a, b and c satisfy a | c, b | c and c |ab. Then the triplet (a, b, c) is compositum-feasible.

▶ the condition is not necessary: (3,3,6) is compositum-feasible

▶ for any (*a*, *b*, *c*) the triplet

$$(a(abc)^m, b(abc)^m, c(abc)^{m+1})$$

is compositum-feasible for every sufficiently large *m*

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

Suppose that positive integers a, b and c satisfy a | c, b | c and c |ab. Then the triplet (a, b, c) is compositum-feasible.

- the condition is not necessary: (3,3,6) is compositum-feasible
- ▶ for any (a, b, c) the triplet

$$\left(a(abc)^m, b(abc)^m, c(abc)^{m+1}\right)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

is compositum-feasible for every sufficiently large m

Theorem The triplet $(2, t, t) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is product-feasible if and only if $2 \mid t$ or $3 \mid t$.

Theorem

For every integer $\ell \ge 2$ and every prime number $p > \ell^2 - \ell + 1$ the triplet $(p, p, p(p - \ell))$ is not product-feasible.

(7, 7, 28) corresponds to the values p = 7 and $\ell = 3$ for which $p = \ell^2 - \ell + 1$.

Theorem

The triplet $(2, t, t) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is product-feasible if and only if $2 \mid t$ or $3 \mid t$.

Theorem

For every integer $\ell \ge 2$ and every prime number $p > \ell^2 - \ell + 1$ the triplet $(p, p, p(p - \ell))$ is not product-feasible.

(7, 7, 28) corresponds to the values p = 7 and $\ell = 3$ for which $p = \ell^2 - \ell + 1$.

Theorem

The triplet $(2, t, t) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is product-feasible if and only if $2 \mid t$ or $3 \mid t$.

Theorem

For every integer $\ell \ge 2$ and every prime number $p > \ell^2 - \ell + 1$ the triplet $(p, p, p(p - \ell))$ is not product-feasible.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

(7,7,28) corresponds to the values p=7 and $\ell=3$ for which $p=\ell^2-\ell+1.$

Conjecture (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth) If two triplets (a, b, c) and (a', b', c') are compositum-feasible then so is the triplet (aa', bb', cc').

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If every finite group occurs over $\mathbb Q$ as a Galois group then the Conjecture is true.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Conjecture (P. D., A. Dubickas, C. Smyth)

If two triplets (a, b, c) and (a', b', c') are compositum-feasible then so is the triplet (aa', bb', cc').

Theorem (P. D., A. Dubickas)

If every finite group occurs over $\mathbb Q$ as a Galois group then the Conjecture is true.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Consider $p(x) = x^7 - 7x + 3$

L splitting field of p(x) over \mathbb{Q}

Then $G := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to PSL(2,7) – the second nonabelian simple group of order 168.

There exist subgroups H_1 and H_2 of G such that

$$[G: H_1] = [G: H_2] = 7$$
 and $[G: H_1 \cap H_2] = 28$.

Consider $p(x) = x^7 - 7x + 3$

L splitting field of p(x) over \mathbb{Q}

Then $G := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to PSL(2,7) – the second nonabelian simple group of order 168.

There exist subgroups H_1 and H_2 of G such that

$$[G: H_1] = [G: H_2] = 7$$
 and $[G: H_1 \cap H_2] = 28$.

Consider $p(x) = x^7 - 7x + 3$

L splitting field of p(x) over \mathbb{Q}

Then $G := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to PSL(2,7) – the second nonabelian simple group of order 168.

There exist subgroups H_1 and H_2 of G such that

 $[G: H_1] = [G: H_2] = 7$ and $[G: H_1 \cap H_2] = 28$.

Consider $p(x) = x^7 - 7x + 3$

L splitting field of p(x) over \mathbb{Q}

Then $G := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to PSL(2,7) – the second nonabelian simple group of order 168.

There exist subgroups H_1 and H_2 of G such that

$$[G: H_1] = [G: H_2] = 7$$
 and $[G: H_1 \cap H_2] = 28$.

Then the fixed fields L^{H_1} , L^{H_2} and $L^{H_1 \cap H_2}$ have degrees 7, 7, 28, respectively. Since $L^{H_1 \cap H_2} = L^{H_1}L^{H_2}$, the triplet (7, 7, 28) is compositum-feasible.

Consider $p(x) = x^7 - 7x + 3$

L splitting field of p(x) over \mathbb{Q}

Then $G := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to PSL(2,7) – the second nonabelian simple group of order 168.

There exist subgroups H_1 and H_2 of G such that

$$[G: H_1] = [G: H_2] = 7$$
 and $[G: H_1 \cap H_2] = 28$.

Then the fixed fields L^{H_1} , L^{H_2} and $L^{H_1 \cap H_2}$ have degrees 7, 7, 28, respectively. Since $L^{H_1 \cap H_2} = L^{H_1}L^{H_2}$, the triplet (7, 7, 28) is compositum-feasible.

Consider $p(x) = x^7 - 7x + 3$

L splitting field of p(x) over \mathbb{Q}

Then $G := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to PSL(2,7) – the second nonabelian simple group of order 168.

There exist subgroups H_1 and H_2 of G such that

$$[G: H_1] = [G: H_2] = 7$$
 and $[G: H_1 \cap H_2] = 28$.

Thank you!

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)