Asymptotic and Non-Asymptotic Fundamental Limits for Quantum Communication

Marco Tomamichel

School of Physics, The University of Sydney

joint work with M. Wilde, A. Winter, M. Berta and J. Renes arXiv: 1406.2946 and arXiv: 1504.04617





ARC CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE FOR ENGINEERED QUANTUM SYSTEMS

Quantum Coding: Channels

• Quantum channel: completely positive trace-preserving linear map $\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B}$ from (states on) *A* to (states on) *B*.

Assume A and B are finite-dimensional.

• The channel is memoryless:



Quantum Coding: Encoder and Decoder

• Entanglement transmission code (for $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$):

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{d_n, \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{D}_n\}.$$

1 code size d_n :

- M, M', M'' of dimension d_n .
- maximally entangled state

$$|\phi
angle_{MM'} = rac{1}{\sqrt{d_n}}\sum_{i=1}^{d_n}|i
angle_M\otimes|i
angle_{M'}$$
 .

- **2** encoder \mathcal{E}_n : quantum channel from M' to A^n .
- **3** decoder \mathcal{D}_n : quantum channel from B^n to M''.



Quantum Coding: Encoder and Decoder

• Entanglement transmission code (for $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$):

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{d_n, \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{D}_n\}.$$

code size d_n:

- M, M', M'' of dimension d_n .
- maximally entangled state

$$|\phi
angle_{MM'} = rac{1}{\sqrt{d_n}}\sum_{i=1}^{d_n}|i
angle_M\otimes|i
angle_{M'}$$

- **2** encoder \mathcal{E}_n : quantum channel from M' to A^n .
- **3** decoder \mathcal{D}_n : quantum channel from B^n to M''.



Quantum Coding: Encoder and Decoder

• Entanglement transmission code (for $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$):

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{ d_n, \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{D}_n \}.$$

1 code size d_n :

- M, M', M'' of dimension d_n .
- maximally entangled state

$$|\phi
angle_{MM'} = rac{1}{\sqrt{d_n}}\sum_{i=1}^{d_n}|i
angle_M\otimes|i
angle_{M'}$$

- **2** encoder \mathcal{E}_n : quantum channel from M' to A^n .
- **3** decoder \mathcal{D}_n : quantum channel from B^n to M''.



Quantum Coding: Entanglement Fidelity



• Fidelity with maximally entangled state:

$$F(\mathcal{C}_n, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}) = \operatorname{tr}\left((\mathcal{D}_n \circ \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n} \circ \mathcal{E}_n)(\phi_{MM'})\phi_{MM''}\right)$$

Quantum Capacity

• A triple (R, n, ε) is achievable on \mathcal{N} if $\exists C_n$ with

$$\frac{1}{n}\log d_n \geq R$$
, and $F(\mathcal{C}_n \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}) \geq 1-\varepsilon$.

• Boundary of (non-asymptotic) achievable region:

$$\hat{R}(n; \varepsilon, \mathcal{N}) := \max \{ R : (R, n, \varepsilon) \text{ is achievable on } \mathcal{N} \}.$$

• The quantum capacity, Q(N), is the rate at which qubits can be transmitted with fidelity approaching one asymptotically.

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{Q}_arepsilon(\mathcal{N}) := \lim_{n o \infty} \hat{R}(n;arepsilon,\mathcal{N}), \qquad arepsilon \in (0,1) \ & \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{N}) := \lim_{arepsilon o 0} \mathcal{Q}_arepsilon(\mathcal{N}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Quantum Capacity Theorem

 Barnum, Nielsen and Schumacher (1996-2000) as well as Lloyd, Shor and Devetak (1997-2005) established

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\mathcal{N}) &= \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ell} I_c(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes \ell}), & \text{where} \\ I_c(\mathcal{N}) &= \max_{\rho_A} \left\{ -H(A|B)_{\omega} \right\}, & \omega_{AB} = \mathcal{N}_{A' \to B}(\psi_{A'A}^{\rho}) \end{aligned}$$

- This result is unsatisfactory for many reasons:
 - 1 It is not a single-letter formula.
 - **2** The limit $\ell \to \infty$ is necessary in general (Cubitt *et al.*'14).
 - 3 It cannot be calculated except for e.g. degradable channels which satisfy I_c(N^{⊗n}) = nI_c(N).
 - **4** We do not know anything about $Q_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N})$.

Capacity and Strong Converse

• What we would like to know:



State of the Art

- Until this work, the strong converse property could only be established for some channels with trivial capacity.
- Morgan and Winter showed that *degradable quantum channels* satisfy a "pretty strong" converse:

$$Q_arepsilon(\mathcal{N})=Q(\mathcal{N}) \hspace{1em} ext{for all} \hspace{1em} arepsilon\in \left(0,rac{1}{2}
ight)$$

(Extending their proof to all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ appears difficult.)

- Strong converse rates are known, for example the entanglement-assisted capacity established via channel simulation (Bennett *et al.*)
- However, they are not tight except for trivial channels.

A lot of (fundamental) work still needs to be done!

Result 1: Rains Entropy is Strong Converse Rate

• The Rains relative entropy of the channel is defined as

$$R(\mathcal{N}) := \max_{\rho_A} \min_{\sigma_{AB} \in \operatorname{Rains}(A:B)} D\big(\mathcal{N}_{A' \to B}(\psi^{\rho}_{A'A}) \,\big\|\, \sigma_{AB}\big) \,.$$

• A state $\sigma_{AB} \in \text{Rains}(A : B)$ (cf. Rains'99) satisfies

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{AB}\sigma_{AB}
ight)\leqrac{1}{d}\quad orall\ \mathrm{maximally\ entangled}\ \phi_{AB}.$$

Theorem

For any channel N, communication at a rate exceeding R(N) implies (exponentially) vanishing fidelity.

• Key Idea: Consider correlations σ_{AB} that are useless for quantum communication. Classically:

$$C(W) = \max_{P_X} \min_{Q_X, Q_Y} D(P_X \times W_{Y|X} \| Q_X \times Q_Y).$$

Step 1: Arimoto-Type (One-Shot) Converse Bound

- Following Sharma–Warsi'13 . . .
- Consider $C = \{d, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}\}$ for \mathcal{N} with $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N}) \ge 1 \varepsilon$.
- Test if a state is $\phi_{MM''}$, or not:

 $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = p |0\rangle \langle 0| + (1-p) |1\rangle \langle 1|, \quad p = \operatorname{tr}(\phi_{MM^{\prime\prime}} \cdot).$

• Let $\rho_{AM} = \mathcal{E}(\phi_{MM'})$. Due to data-processing, we have

$$egin{aligned} \widetilde{D}_lpha(\mathcal{N}(
ho_{AM})\|\sigma_{BM}) &\geq D_lphaig(\mathcal{T}\circ\mathcal{D}\circ\mathcal{N}(
ho_{AM})\|\mathcal{T}\circ\mathcal{D}(\sigma_{RB})ig) \ &\geq \log d + rac{lpha}{lpha-1}\log(1-arepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

for Rényi divergence with $\alpha>1$

• Sandwiched Rényi divergence (Lennert et al., Wilde et al.'13):

$$\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(\sigma^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha} \right).$$

Step 2: Asymptotics

• Minimizing $\sigma_{AB} \in \text{Rains}(A : B)$ and optimizing over codes:

Lemma

We have the following one-shot converse:

$$\hat{R}(1;\varepsilon,\mathcal{N}) \leq \max_{\rho_A} \min_{\sigma_{AB}} \widetilde{D}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}_{A' \to B}(\psi^{\rho}_{AA'}) \| \sigma_{AB}) + \frac{\alpha \log \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}{\alpha - 1}$$

This yields an upper bound on the ε-capacity:

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{\max_{\substack{\rho_{A^n} \ \sigma_{A^n B^n}}} \widetilde{D}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}(\psi_{A^n A'^n}^{\rho}) \| \sigma_{A^n B^n})}_{\widetilde{R}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n})}$$

• It remains to show that $\widetilde{R}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N})$ satisfies an asymptotic sub-additivity property, i.e. $\widetilde{R}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}) \leq n\widetilde{R}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) + o(n)$.

Step 3: Covariant Channels and Permutations

• Covariance group of the channel \mathcal{N} : Group G with unitary representations U_A and V_B such that

 $\mathcal{N}_{A
ightarrow B}(U_A(g)(\,\cdot\,)U_A^\dagger(g)) = V_B(g)\mathcal{N}_{A
ightarrow B}(\,\cdot\,)V_B^\dagger(g) \quad orall g \in G$

Lemma (Channel Covariance)

Let G be a covariance group of \mathcal{N} . Then,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{lpha}(\mathcal{N}) = \max_{\widetilde{
ho}_{A}} \min_{\sigma_{AB}} \widetilde{D}_{lpha} ig(\mathcal{N}_{A'
ightarrow B}(\psi^{\widetilde{
ho}}_{AA'}) \, ig\| \, \sigma_{AB} ig)$$

where $\bar{\rho}_A = U_A(g)\bar{\rho}_A U_A^{\dagger}(g)$, i.e. $\bar{\rho}_A$ is invariant under G.

- Covariance group of $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$ always contains permutations S_n .
- Thus, we can restrict the optimization in *R
 _α(N^{⊗n})* to permutation invariant states *ρ
 _{Aⁿ}*.

Step 4: Asymptotic Sub-Additivity

• Employ the fact that $\psi^{\bar{
ho}}_{A^nA'^n}$ is in the symmetric subspace:

$$\psi_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'}^{\bar{\rho}} \leq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}^n\mathcal{R}^n}^{\mathrm{symm}} \leq n^{|\mathcal{A}|^2} \int \mathrm{d}\mu(\theta) \, \theta_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{R}}^{\otimes n} \, .$$

- The quantum way to restrict to product states in the converse.
- This allows us to show (skipping a few technical steps) that

$$\widetilde{R}_{lpha}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}) \leq n\widetilde{R}_{lpha}(\mathcal{N}) + O(\log(n)).$$

- Hence, $Q_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}) \leq \widetilde{R}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N})$ for all $\alpha > 1$.
- And, thus, by continuity as $\alpha \to 1$, we find $Q_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}) \leq R(\mathcal{N})$.
- A more detailed analysis reveals that the fidelity converges exponentially fast to 0 for any d > R(N).

Result 2: Dephasing Channels Satisfy Strong Converse

• For all quantum channels we thus have

$$I_{ ext{c}}(\mathcal{N}) \leq Q(\mathcal{N}) \leq Q_{arepsilon}(\mathcal{N}) \leq R(\mathcal{N})$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem

For generalized dephasing channels \mathcal{Z} , we have $I_c(\mathcal{Z}) = R(\mathcal{Z})$.

- The inequalities collapse and $Q_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{Z}) = Q(\mathcal{Z})$.
- Includes qubit dephasing channel:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}:
ho \mapsto (1-\lambda)
ho + \lambda Z
ho Z.$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a} & \mathsf{c} \\ \mathsf{c}^{\dagger} & \mathsf{b} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a} & (1-2\lambda)\mathsf{c} \\ (1-2\lambda)\mathsf{c}^{\dagger} & \mathsf{b} \end{pmatrix}$

Result 3: Second Order Converse

Theorem

If the covariance group of \mathcal{N} is irreducible on A, then

$$\hat{R}(n; \varepsilon, \mathcal{N}) \leq R(\mathcal{N}) + \sqrt{rac{V(\mathcal{N})}{n}} \Phi^{-1}(\varepsilon) + O\left(rac{\log n}{n}\right)$$

Moreover, equality holds if \mathcal{N} is also dephasing.

• $V(\mathcal{N})$ is (Rains) quantum channel dispersion. Here,

$$R(\mathcal{N}) = \min_{\sigma_{AB} \in \text{Rains}(A:B)} D(\mathcal{N}_{A' \to B}(\phi_{A'A}^{\rho}) \| \sigma_{AB}),$$
$$V(\mathcal{N}) = V(\mathcal{N}_{A' \to B}(\phi_{A'A}^{\rho}) \| \sigma_{AB}^{*}).$$

with $V(\rho \| \sigma) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\rho(\log \rho - \log \sigma)^2 \right) - D(\rho \| \sigma)^2.$

• Φ is cumulative (normal) Gaussian distribution function.

Non-Asymptotical Achievable Region for Qubit Dephasing



- Dephasing channel: $\gamma = 0.1$ and fixed fidelity $1 \varepsilon = 95\%$.
- Corresponds to classical binary symmetric channel.

Qubit Depolarizing Channel



- Depolarizing channel: $\rho \mapsto (1 \alpha)\rho + \frac{\alpha}{3}(X\rho X + Y\rho Y + Z\rho Z)$.
- Exact outer bound for $\alpha = 0.0825$ and $\varepsilon = 5.5\%$.