

Mass-Stationarity, Shift-Coupling, and Brownian Motion

Hermann Thorisson, University of Iceland

Based on

Invariant transports of stationary random measures and mass-stationarity. *Annals of Probab* 2009. Joint with Günter Last

and

What is typical? **SØREN** Festschrift 2011. Joint with Günter Last

and

Unbiased shifts of Brownian motion. *Annals of Probab* 2014.
Joint with Günter Last and Peter Mörters

Mandelbrot's Intuition

At the end of the 20th century, David Vere-Jones pointed out to me that Mandelbrot had in the early 80s suggested that the zero-set of **two-sided** standard Brownian motion $B = (B_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ should have a property similar to the one I was considering at that time for point processes on \mathbb{R}^d . Mandelbrot's intuitive idea was that B should **look the same from all its zeros**. Note that this idea has a well-known formalisation for a two-sided simple symmetric random walk on the integers.

In my case, the intuitive idea was that a Palm version of a stationary point process in \mathbb{R}^d should **look the same from all its points**. This informal property has a well-known formalisation when $d = 1$. For $d > 1$, I had formalised this idea with an intuitively acceptable property that I named **point-stationarity**. In my 2000-book I suggested that the zero set of B might have that same property. It turns out my idea needed a modification. Also there is a simpler formalization that is basically obvious.

Mass-Stationarity

Setting: Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ support the random elements below.

Let G be a locally compact second countable topological group with left-invariant Haar measure λ .

Let ξ be a random measure on G .

Let X be a random element in a space on which G acts.

Write θ_t for the shift map placing a new origin at $t \in G$.

E.g. $X = (X_s)_{s \in G}$ a shift-measurable r.f. and $\theta_t X = (X_{ts})_{s \in G}$.

Definition

The pair (X, ξ) is called **mass-stationary** if for all bounded λ -continuity sets $C \subseteq G$ of positive λ -measure

$$\theta_{V_C}(X, \xi, U_C^{-1}) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi, U_C^{-1})$$

where U_C is such that $\mathbb{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$

and V_C is such that $\mathbb{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid \theta_{U_C} C)$.



Mass-Stationarity in the case when G is compact

Definition (from previous slide)

The pair (X, ξ) is called **mass-stationary** if for all bounded λ -continuity sets $C \subseteq G$ of positive λ -measure

$$\theta_{V_C}(X, \xi, U_C^{-1}) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi, U_C^{-1})$$

where U_C is such that $\mathbb{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$

and V_C is such that $\mathbb{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid \theta_{U_C} C)$.

Note that when G be **compact** then $\mathbb{P}(V_G \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \xi(\cdot \mid G)$.

Theorem

Let G be **compact** and S be a random element in G such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \xi(\cdot \mid G).$$

Then

$$(X, \xi) \text{ mass-stationary} \iff \theta_S(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$$

Mass-stationarity and preserving shifts π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(\mathbf{s}) = \pi(\theta_{\mathbf{s}}\xi)\mathbf{s}, \quad \mathbf{s} \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\Rightarrow \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Mass-stationarity and preserving shifts π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(\mathbf{s}) = \pi(\theta_{\mathbf{s}}\xi)\mathbf{s}, \quad \mathbf{s} \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\Rightarrow \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let G be Abelian and ξ a simple point process. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Mass-stationarity and preserving shifts π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(\mathbf{s}) = \pi(\theta_{\mathbf{s}}\xi)\mathbf{s}, \quad \mathbf{s} \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\Rightarrow \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let G be Abelian and ξ a simple point process. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ be diffuse. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Mass-stationarity when $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ is diffuse

Theorem (from previous slide): Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ diffuse. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

The following shifts π_r move an amount r forward in the mass

$$\pi_r(\xi) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \xi([0, t]) = r\}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easy to show that these shifts are preserving.

Mass-stationarity when $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ is diffuse

Theorem (from previous slide): Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ diffuse. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

The following shifts π_r move an amount r forward in the mass

$$\pi_r(\xi) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \xi([0, t]) = r\}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easy to show that these shifts are preserving.

Moreover, the following holds:

Theorem: Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ be diffuse. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall r \in \mathbb{R}: \theta_{\pi_r(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Mandelbrot was right about the zeros of $B = (B_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$

Recall the shifts $\pi_r(\xi) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \xi([0, t]) = r\}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, and

Theorem : Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ be diffuse. Then

$$(X, \xi) \text{ mass-stationary} \iff \forall r \in \mathbb{R}: \theta_{\pi_r(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$$

Now let ℓ^0 be **local time at zero**.

This random measure represents the zeros of B and is **diffuse**.

Moreover, with $T_r = \pi_r(\xi)$ the following holds:

Theorem: (B, ℓ^0) is mass-stationary, that is,

$\theta_{T_r} B = (B_{T_r+t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a **two-sided** Brownian motion for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus: when traveling in time according to the clock of local time at zero you always see globally a **two-sided** Brownian motion.

Mass-Stationarity and Shift-Coupling when $G = \mathbb{R}$

Now let ξ and η be random measures such that $\theta_t \xi = f(\theta_t X)$ and $\theta_t \eta = g(\theta_t X)$ for some measurable f and g and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let π be a measurable map taking X to a location $\pi(X)$ in \mathbb{R} . Say that τ_π **balances** ξ and η if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \eta$.

Let X' be a random element in the same space as X . Put $\xi' = f(X')$ and $\eta' = g(X')$.

Theorem: Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ (for simplicity).

Let (X, ξ) and (X', η') both be **mass-stationary**. Let

$$0 < \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\pi_1(X)} \xi([t, t+1]) dt \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\pi_1(X')} \eta'([t, t+1]) dt \right] < \infty.$$

Let X and X' have the same trivial distribution on **invariant sets**.

Then $\theta_{\pi(X)} X \stackrel{D}{=} X' \iff \tau_\pi$ **balances** ξ and η

Remark

$\theta_{\pi(X)} X \stackrel{D}{=} X'$ means $T = \pi(X)$ is shift-coupling time for X and X' .



Unbiased shifts of two-sided Brownian motion B

Definition: Let $B = (B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a standard Brownian motion.

An **unbiased shift** of B is a random time T in \mathbb{R} such that:

- $T = \pi(B)$ for some measurable map π ,
- $(B_{T+t} - B_T)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a standard Brownian motion,
- $(B_{T+t} - B_T)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is independent of B_T .

Remark

Thus, $T = \pi(B)$ is an unbiased shift **if and only if** $\theta_T B = (B_{T+t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a two-sided standard Brownian motion **not necessarily** taking the value 0 at time 0 .

That is, $\theta_T B \stackrel{D}{=} B'$ where $B' = B'_0 + B$ with B'_0 distributed as B_T and **independent** of B .

Thus T is a shift-coupling time for B and B' .

Note that $T = \pi_r(X)$ is an unbiased shift with $B_T = 0$.

Examples of times T that are NOT unbiased

Example

If $T \geq 0$ is a stopping time, then $(B_{T+t} - B_T)_{t \geq 0}$ is a **one-sided** Brownian motion **independent** of B_T . However, the example

$$T := \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t = y\} = \text{hitting time of a non-zero state } y$$

shows $(B_{T+t} - B_T)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ need **not** be **two-sided** Brownian motion.

Example

Consider a deterministic $T = t_0$.

Then $\tilde{B} := (B_{t_0+t} - B_{t_0})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a **two-sided** Brownian motion.

However, it is **not** independent of B_{t_0} since $B_{t_0} = -\tilde{B}_{-t_0}$.

Remark

We **might** see later that an unbiased shift need **not** be a stopping time, even when it is nonnegative.

Mass-Stat. and Shift-Coupl. when $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ diffuse

Recall: $\theta_t \xi = f(\theta_t X)$, $\theta_t \eta = g(\theta_t X)$, $\xi' = f(X')$, $\eta' = g(X')$.

Theorem (from some slides ago): Let $G = \mathbb{R}$.

Let (X, ξ) and (X', η') both be **mass-stationary**. Let

$$0 < \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\pi_1(X)} \xi([t, t+1]) dt \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\pi_1(X')} \eta'([t, t+1]) dt \right] < \infty.$$

Let X and X' have the same trivial distribution on **invariant sets**.

Then $\theta_{\pi(X)} X \stackrel{D}{=} X' \iff \tau_\pi$ balances ξ and η

Theorem

In addition to the conditions in the above theorem, let ξ and η be **diffuse** and **orthogonal**. Then the map π defined by

$$\pi(X) := \inf\{t > 0: \xi([0, t]) = \eta([0, t])\}$$

is such that the induced allocation rule

$$\tau_\pi(s) := \inf\{t > s: \xi([s, t]) = \eta([s, t])\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

balances ξ and η .

Existence of unbiased shifts of B

Let ν be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} . Let B'_0 be a random variable with distribution ν and independent of B . Define a **standard Brownian motion with distribution ν at 0** by

$$B^\nu = B'_0 + B.$$

Let ℓ^x be local time of B at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and set $\ell^\nu = \int \ell^x \nu(dx)$. These random measures are **diffuse**.

Theorem

The pair (B^ν, ℓ^ν) is **mass-stationary** and has the same trivial distribution as (B, ℓ^0) on **invariant sets**. Further,

$$0 < \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\pi_1(B^\nu)} \ell^\nu([t, t+1]) dt \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\pi_1(B)} \ell^0([t, t+1]) dt \right] < \infty.$$

Due to this and the previous slide we now obtain the following.

Theorem

If $\nu\{0\} = 0$ then $T^\nu := \inf\{t > 0 : \ell^0([0, t]) = \ell^\nu([0, t])\}$ is an **unbiased shift** and B_T has distribution ν (that is, T **imbeds** ν).

The Brownian Bridge

Jim Pitman and Wenpin Tang have just shown in their paper
The Slepian zero set, and Brownian bridge
embedded in Brownian motion by a spacetime shift,
<http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.0040>

that the Slepian process $(B_{t+1} - B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$
has its own 'local time at zero' γ .

Note that $(B_{t+1} - B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is stationary.

This implies that $((B_{t+1} - B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \lambda)$ is mass-stationary,
here λ is Lebesgue measure.

This also implies that γ does not have where 0 in its support.

The Brownian Bridge

Jim Pitman and Wenpin Tang have just shown in their paper

The Slepian zero set, and Brownian bridge
embedded in Brownian motion by a spacetime shift,
<http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.0040>

that the Slepian process $(B_{t+1} - B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$
has its own 'local time at zero' γ .

Note that $(B_{t+1} - B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is stationary.

This implies that $((B_{t+1} - B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \lambda)$ is mass-stationary,
here λ is Lebesgue measure.

This also implies that γ does not have where 0 in its support.

Theorem

Set
$$T = \inf\{t > 0: \gamma([0, t]) = t\}.$$

Then $(B_{T+u} - B_T)_{0 \leq u \leq 1}$ is a standard Brownian Bridge.

The Brownian Bridge

Note that $(B_{t+1} - B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is **stationary**.

This implies that $((B_{t+1} - B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \lambda)$ is **mass-stationary**, here λ is Lebesgue measure.

This also implies that γ does **not** have where 0 in its support.

Theorem

Set
$$T = \inf\{t > 0: \gamma([0, t]) = t\}.$$

Then $(B_{T+u} - B_T)_{0 \leq u \leq 1}$ is a **standard Brownian Bridge**.

Outline of proof: Set $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ where $X_t = (B_{t+u} - B_t)_{0 \leq u \leq 1}$.

Note that X is **stationary** so (X, λ) is **mass-stationary**.

The **Palm version** (X', γ') of X w.r.t. γ is **mass-stationary**.

Moreover, X'_0 is a **standard Brownian Bridge**.

The conditions of the **shift-coupling theorem** are satisfied.

Thus $(B_{T+u} - B_T)_{0 \leq u \leq 1} \stackrel{D}{=} X'_0$. □

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

PETER

CONGRATULATIONS

FOR NOT BEEING 60!

PETER

CONGRATULATIONS

FOR NOT BEEING 60!