The Optimal Design of Wall-Bounded Heat Transport

lan Tobasco (Michigan)

Joint work with Charlie Doering (Michigan)

Banff COV Workshop

Funding from NSF gratefully acknowledged

May 21, 2018

I.T. & C. Doering, Optimal wall-to-wall transport by incompressible flows, PRL '17 I.T. & C. Doering, On the optimal design of wall-to-wall heat transport, submitted Andre Souza, I.T., & C. Doering, Optimal 2D wall-to-wall transport — numerics, in prep

1/28

Heat transport in a fluid with velocity $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ occurs by two mechanisms:



advection at rate ||u||/L



Together, they determine $T(\mathbf{x}, t) =$ temperature through

$$\partial_t T + \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{u} T - \kappa \nabla T) = 0$$

We recognize the *heat flux*

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{u} \, \mathbf{T} - \kappa \nabla \mathbf{T}$$

Heat transport in a fluid with velocity $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ occurs by two mechanisms:







Together, they determine $T(\mathbf{x}, t) =$ temperature through

$$\partial_t T + \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{u} T - \kappa \nabla T) = 0$$

The Péclet number

$$Pe = \frac{\text{rate of advection}}{\text{rate of diffusion}} = \frac{||\mathbf{u}||/L}{\kappa/L^2} \gg 1$$

Heat transport in a fluid layer

$$T = 0, \quad u = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T &= \Delta T \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} &= -\nabla p + \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f} \\ \text{div } \mathbf{u} &= 0 \end{aligned} \qquad \mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} u + \hat{\mathbf{j}} v + \hat{\mathbf{k}} w \\ \mathbf{J} &= \mathbf{u} T - \nabla T \end{aligned}$$

 $T = 1, \quad u = 0$

Question: Which forces f produce the largest transport of heat,

$$\max_{\mathbf{f}} \langle \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle ?$$

Notation for averaging:

$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \limsup_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau |\text{fluid layer}|} \int_0^\tau \int_{\text{fluid layer}} \cdot d\mathbf{x} dt$$

Heat transport in a fluid layer

$$T = 0, \quad u = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T &= \Delta T \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} &= -\nabla p + \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f} \\ \text{div } \mathbf{u} &= 0 \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} u + \hat{\mathbf{j}} v + \hat{\mathbf{k}} w \\ \mathbf{J} &= \mathbf{u} T - \nabla T \end{aligned}$$

$$T = 1, \quad u = 0$$

Question: Which forces f produce the largest transport of heat,

$$\max_{\mathbf{f}} \langle \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle ?$$

Notation for averaging:

$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \limsup_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau |\text{fluid layer}|} \int_0^\tau \int_{\text{fluid layer}} \cdot d\mathbf{x} dt$$

4/28

To flow \geq not to flow

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\frac{\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T}{\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}}$$

$$div \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} u + \hat{\mathbf{j}} v + \hat{\mathbf{k}} w$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{u} T - \nabla T$$

The Nusselt number is defined as enhancement of heat transport

$$Nu(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{\text{total vertical heat flux}}{\text{conductive vertical heat flux}} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle -\nabla T \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \ge 1$$

We seek to maximize it... the answer is $+\infty$ w/o constraints

To flow \geq not to flow

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} u + \hat{\mathbf{j}} v + \hat{\mathbf{k}} w$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{u} T - \nabla T$$

The Nusselt number is defined as enhancement of heat transport

$$Nu(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{\text{total vertical heat flux}}{\text{conductive vertical heat flux}} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle -\nabla T \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \ge 1$$

We seek to maximize it... the answer is $+\infty$ w/o constraints

Enstrophy budget

_

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla \rho + \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$T = 1, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

A natural constraint is on the **power** expended to sustain fluid flow

From the momentum eqn.,

$$\langle \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \rangle = \left\langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \right\rangle$$

average power expended = average "enstrophy"

The wall-to-wall optimal transport problem

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{i}}u + \hat{\mathbf{j}}v + \hat{\mathbf{k}}w$$
$$\mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{i}}u + \hat{\mathbf{j}}v + \hat{\mathbf{k}}w$$
$$\mathbf{N}u = \langle \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$$
$$T = 1, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

<u>Problem</u>: Maximize the wall-to-wall heat transport *Nu* amongst all incompressible flows sat. a given enstrophy budget,

$$\max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\\\langle|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2\rangle^{1/2}=Pe\\\mathrm{b.c.s}}} Nu(\mathbf{u})$$

What do optimizers look like?

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$T = 1, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\operatorname{max}_{u(\mathbf{x},t)} Nu(\mathbf{u})$$

$$\operatorname{max}_{(|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2)^{1/2} = Pe}_{b.c.s}$$







8/28

 $^1\mathrm{A}$. Souza, PhD thesis 2016

What do optimizers look like?

K.E. budget enstrophy budget enstrophy budget $\langle |\mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} = Pe$ $\langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} = Pe$ $\langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} = Pe$ stress-free b.c. stress-free b.c. no-slip b.c. $\partial_z u = w = 0$ $\partial_z u = w = 0$ u = w = 01 1 2 $Nu \sim Pe^{0.58}$ $Nu \sim Pe^{0.54}$ $\max Nu \sim Pe$ $I_{bulk} \sim Pe^{-0.37}$ $I_{bulk} \sim Pe^{-0.36}$ $I_{bulk} \sim Pe^{-1/2}$

¹P. Hassanzadeh, G. P. Chini, & C. R. Doering, JFM 2014

²A. Souza, PhD thesis 2016

<ロト < 副ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 王国 - 의식은 9/28

What must optimizers obey?

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$div \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$T = 1, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
Theorem (Souza & Doering, '16)

$$\max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\\\langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2\rangle^{1/2}=Pe\\b.c.s}} Nu(\mathbf{u}) \leq C P e^{2/3}$$

 \exists multiple proofs:

-

- ▶ a modification of the "background method" (C. Doering & P. Constantin, Phys Rev E '96)
- ► an elementary "conservation law" argument (C. Seis, JFM '15)

So what's the optimal rate?

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$T = 1, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\operatorname{max}_{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)}_{\langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} = Pe}_{\text{b.c.s}}$$



 $Nu \sim Pe^{1/2} \qquad Nu \sim Pe^{0.54}$ $Pe = 4 \times 10^2 \qquad 5 \times 10^3 \qquad 1.3 \times 10^4 \qquad 4 \times 10^4$

... ??

Main result

Theorem (T. & Doering, '17)

Up to logarithmic corrections, the optimal rate of heat transport satisfies

$$\begin{array}{c} \max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\\ \langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2\rangle^{1/2}=Pe}\\ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega}=\mathbf{0} \end{array} Nu(\mathbf{u}) \sim Pe^{2/3} \quad as \ Pe \to \infty.$$

More precisely, there exist constants C, C' depending only on the domain such that

$$C\frac{Pe^{2/3}}{\log^{4/3} Pe} \leq \max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\\\langle|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2\rangle^{1/2} = Pe\\\mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{0}}} Nu(\mathbf{u}) \leq C' Pe^{2/3}$$

for $Pe \gg 1$.

What do our flows look like?



Streamlines refine self-similarly from bulk to boundary layer In the *k*th stage of refinement,

$$\Psi(x,z) = f\left(\frac{z-z_k}{\delta_k}\right) \cdot l_k \Psi\left(\frac{x}{l_k}\right) + g\left(\frac{z-z_k}{\delta_k}\right) \cdot l_{k+1} \Psi\left(\frac{x}{l_{k+1}}\right)$$

 $\mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} \boldsymbol{\psi}$

 $egin{aligned} & I_k \lesssim \delta_k \ & I_{bl} \sim \delta_{bl} \end{aligned}$

What do our flows look like?



$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} \boldsymbol{\psi}$$

$$\ell(z)$$



Horizontal lengthscales satisfy

$$I_{bl} \sim rac{\log^{1/3} Pe}{Pe^{2/3}} \qquad I_{bulk} \sim rac{\log^{1/6} Pe}{Pe^{1/3}}$$

and

$$\ell(z) \sim \frac{\log^{1/6} Pe}{Pe^{1/3}} (1-z)^{1/2}$$

▶ 14/28

Brief sketch of the proof

▲□▶ ▲ @▶ ▲ @▶ ▲ @> ● @ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ 15/28

Main challenges

As $Pe \rightarrow \infty$, our designs feature

- increasingly fine lengthscales
- an increasing number of distinct lengthscales

Simplify by taking u(x) indpt. of time (and why should time-dependence help?)



<u>Main goals</u>: Motivate our "branched" flow designs, and estimate their heat transport Nu in the advection-dominated limit $Pe \rightarrow \infty$.

<u>Punchline</u>: The analysis of optimal heat transport is analogous to pattern formation in micromagnetics, elasticity theory, etc.

Main challenges

As $Pe \rightarrow \infty$, our designs feature

- increasingly fine lengthscales
- an increasing number of distinct lengthscales

Simplify by taking u(x) indpt. of time (and why should time-dependence help?)



<u>Main goals</u>: Motivate our "branched" flow designs, and estimate their heat transport Nu in the advection-dominated limit $Pe \rightarrow \infty$.

<u>Punchline</u>: The analysis of optimal heat transport is analogous to pattern formation in micromagnetics, elasticity theory, etc.

Step 1: Obtain a general variational principle for heat transport

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ = うへで 17/28

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$
$$\mathbf{div} \ \mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} u + \hat{\mathbf{j}} v + \hat{\mathbf{k}} w$$
$$Nu = \langle \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$$
$$T = 1, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

Lemma

There exist dual variational principles for heat transport by a steady divergence-free flow.

$$Nu(\mathbf{u}) - 1 = \min_{\eta:\eta|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \int |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\nabla\Delta^{-1}(-w + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\eta)|^2$$
$$= \max_{\xi:\xi|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \int 2w\xi - |\nabla\Delta^{-1}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\xi|^2 - |\nabla\xi|^2$$

▲□▶ ▲@▶ ▲ 글▶ ▲ 글▶ 글|= 의 ۹ ℃ 18/28

$$T = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$
$$\mathbf{div} \ \mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} u + \hat{\mathbf{j}} v + \hat{\mathbf{k}} w$$
$$Nu = \langle \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$$
$$T = 1, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

Lemma

There exist dual variational principles for heat transport by a steady divergence-free flow.

$$Nu(\mathbf{u}) - 1 = \min_{\eta:\eta|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \oint |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\nabla\Delta^{-1}(-w + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\eta)|^2$$
$$= \max_{\xi:\xi|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \oint 2w\xi - |\nabla\Delta^{-1}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\xi|^2 - |\nabla\xi|^2$$

Step 2: Recognize optimal heat transport as "energy-driven pattern formation"

... what plays the role of "free energy"?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ 필말 '오오얀 19/28

A useful change of variables

Consider the general class of steady wall-to-wall problems,

 $\max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\\ |\mathbf{u}||=Pe\\ \text{b.c.s}}} Nu(\mathbf{u})$

where, e.g.,

$$\begin{split} ||\mathbf{u}||^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \quad \text{in the energy-constrained case} \\ ||\mathbf{u}||^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \quad \text{in the enstrophy-constrained case} \end{split}$$

Now we know the variational principle

$$\max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\\ ||\mathbf{u}|| = Pe\\ \text{b.c.s}}} Nu(\mathbf{u}) = 1 + \max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}), \xi(\mathbf{x})\\ ||\mathbf{u}|| = Pe\\ \text{b.c.s}}} \left\{ \int 2w\xi - |\nabla\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\xi|^2 - |\nabla\xi|^2 \right\}$$

A useful change of variables

Consider the general class of steady wall-to-wall problems,

 $\max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\\ ||\mathbf{u}||=Pe\\ \text{b.c.s}}} Nu(\mathbf{u})$

where, e.g.,

$$\begin{split} ||\mathbf{u}||^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \quad \text{in the energy-constrained case} \\ ||\mathbf{u}||^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \quad \text{in the enstrophy-constrained case} \end{split}$$

Now we know the variational principle

$$\max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\\ ||\mathbf{u}|| = Pe\\ \text{b.c.s}}} Nu(\mathbf{u}) = 1 + \max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}), \xi(\mathbf{x})\\ ||\mathbf{u}|| = Pe\\ \text{b.c.s}}} \left\{ \int 2w\xi - |\nabla\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\xi|^2 - |\nabla\xi|^2 \right\}$$

A useful change of variables

Application: The enstrophy-constrained wall-to-wall problem

$$\max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\\ f_{\Omega} | \nabla \mathbf{u} |^2 = Pe^2\\ \mathbf{u} |_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{0}}} Nu(\mathbf{u})$$

is equivalent to solving

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}),\xi(\mathbf{x})\\f_{\Omega} w\xi=1\\\mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega}=\mathbf{0},\xi|_{\partial\Omega}=0}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\Delta^{-1} \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{u}\xi|^{2} + \frac{1}{Pe^{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\mathbf{u}|^{2} \cdot \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\xi|^{2}$$



 $Nu \sim Pe^{1/2}$

Step 3: The heat transport of branched flow designs

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Recall: Our main result states that

$$\begin{array}{c} \max\limits_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\\ f_{\Omega} \mid \nabla \mathbf{u} \mid^2 = P e^2\\ \mathbf{u} \mid_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{0}} N u(\mathbf{u}) \sim P e^{2/3} \quad \text{up to logs} \end{array}$$



We just showed: It is equivalent to prove

$$\min_{\substack{\textbf{u}(\textbf{x}), \xi(\textbf{x}) \\ f_{\Omega} w \xi = 1 \\ \textbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \textbf{0}, \xi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} \text{div} \, \textbf{u}\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{Pe^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \textbf{u}|^2 \cdot \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \xi|^2 \sim \frac{1}{Pe^{2/3}}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 볼▶ ▲ 볼▶ 볼| 볼 · 의 ۹ (P 23/28)



$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} \boldsymbol{\psi}$$

$$\xi = w$$

 $egin{aligned} & I_k \lesssim \delta_k \ & I_{bl} \sim \delta_{bl} \end{aligned}$



Claim: Constructions such as above can be made to satisfy

the "net flux" constraint
$$\int_\Omega w \xi = 1$$

and to achieve

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{Pe^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \cdot \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \xi|^2 \lesssim \frac{\log^{4/3} Pe}{Pe^{2/3}}$$



$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &=
abla^ot\mathbf{y} \ \boldsymbol{\xi} &= w \ \ell(z) \end{aligned}$$



where $\ell = \ell(z) =$ horizontal lengthscale



$$\min_{\substack{\ell(z)\\\ell(z_{bulk})=l_{bulk}\\\ell(z_{bl})=l_{bl}}} \left\{ I_{bl} + \int_{z_{bulk}}^{z_{bl}} (\ell')^2 dz + \frac{1}{Pe^2} \left(\frac{1}{I_{bulk}^2} + \int_{z_{bulk}}^{z_{bl}} \frac{1}{\ell^2} dz + \frac{1}{I_{bl}} \right)^2 \right\} \sim \frac{\log^{4/3} Pe}{Pe^{2/3}}$$

$$\ell(z) \sim \frac{\log^{1/6} Pe}{Pe^{1/3}} (1-z)^{1/2}$$

$$I_{bulk} \sim \frac{\log^{1/6} Pe}{Pe^{1/3}} \qquad I_{bl} \sim \frac{\log^{1/3} Pe}{Pe^{2/3}}$$

Concluding remarks

- For enstrophy-constrained transport max $Nu \sim Pe^{2/3}$ up to logs
- Extensive 2D numerics finds $N\mu \sim Pe^{0.54} \approx Pe^{6/11}$
- Proof combines
 - 1. The old *a priori* upper bound max $Nu \leq Pe^{2/3}$
 - 2. A new functional analytic framework for optimal heat transport
 - 3. A new branching construction achieving $Nu \ge Pe^{2/3-}$
- We were inspired by the analysis of branching in materials science, e.g., micromagnetics





Other examples of branching flows in fluid dynamics?

An old scientific question...

Does nature achieve optimal transport?



Fround 3. Qualitative electeh of the boundary-layer region of the vector field yielding maximum transport of momentum.

F. H. Busse, *Bounds for turbulent shear flow*, JFM '70



S. Motoki, G. Kawahara, & M. Shimizu, *Maximal heat transfer between two parallel plates*, arxiv 1801.04588

Thanks for listening

▲ □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

Lemma

For divergence-free $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}\mathsf{u}(\mathbf{u}) - 1 &= \min_{\eta:\eta\mid_{\partial\Omega}=0} \oint |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\nabla\Delta^{-1}(-w + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\eta)|^2 \\ &= \max_{\xi:\xi\mid_{\partial\Omega}=0} \oint 2w\xi - |\nabla\Delta^{-1}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\xi|^2 - |\nabla\xi|^2 \end{split}$$

Proof: Let $T = 1 - z + \theta$ and consider

$$\pm \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta_{\pm} = \Delta \theta_{\pm} + w$$

In the new variables

$$\xi = rac{1}{2}(heta_+ + heta_-)$$
 and $\eta = rac{1}{2}(heta_+ - heta_-)$

these become

Lemma

For divergence-free $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$,

$$Nu(\mathbf{u}) - 1 = \min_{\eta:\eta|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \oint |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\nabla\Delta^{-1}(-w + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\eta)|^2$$
$$= \max_{\xi:\xi|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \oint 2w\xi - |\nabla\Delta^{-1}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\xi|^2 - |\nabla\xi|^2$$

<u>Proof</u>: Let $T = 1 - z + \theta$ and consider

$$\pm \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta_{\pm} = \Delta \theta_{\pm} + w$$

In the new variables

$$\xi = rac{1}{2}(heta_+ + heta_-)$$
 and $\eta = rac{1}{2}(heta_+ - heta_-)$

these become

Equivalently,

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \eta = \Delta \eta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1} w$$
$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \xi = \Delta \xi + w$$

and these are symmetric!

They express optimality for the dual variational principles

$$\min_{\eta:\eta\mid_{\partial\Omega}=0} \int |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\nabla\Delta^{-1}(-w + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\eta)|^2$$
$$\max_{\xi:\xi\mid_{\partial\Omega}=0} \int 2w\xi - |\nabla\Delta^{-1}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\xi|^2 - |\nabla\xi|^2$$

After i.b.p., one finds that the optimal values $= Nu(\mathbf{u}) - 1$.

<ロ > < 回 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 王 = の Q @ 3/10

Turbulent heat transport Rayleigh-Bénard Convection

Rayleigh-Bénard Convection

$$T = 0$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\frac{1}{P_r} (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \Delta u + \hat{\mathbf{k}} RaT$$

$$div \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$U = \hat{\mathbf{i}} u + \hat{\mathbf{j}} v + \hat{\mathbf{k}} w$$

$$Nu = 1 + \langle Tw \rangle$$

$$T = 1$$

Question: What is the dependence of

Nu = Nu(Pr, Ra)

<ロ > < 回 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三日 の Q O 5/10

in the turbulent regime, $Ra \gg 1$?

Scaling laws vs. bounds T = 0

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$
$$\frac{1}{Pr} (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \Delta u + \hat{\mathbf{k}} RaT$$
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{\hat{i}}u + \mathbf{\hat{j}}v + \mathbf{\hat{k}}w$$
$$Nu = 1 + \langle Tw \rangle$$

$$T = 1$$

Scaling law predictions:

Whitehead & Doering, '11

 $Nu \sim Ra^{1/3}$ Malkus. '54 $N_{\prime\prime} \sim Pr^{1/2}Ra^{1/2}$ Kraichnan '62, Spiegel '71 "ultimate scaling" Rigorous bounds: Howard. '63 $Nu \leq Ra^{1/2}$ with stat. hypotheses $Nu \leq Ra^{1/2}$ Doering & Constantin, '96 fully rigorous, 3D $Nu \lesssim Ra^{5/12}$ 2D + stress-free b.c.

6/10

$$T = 0$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\frac{1}{Pr} (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \Delta u + \hat{\mathbf{k}} R_{\theta} T$$

$$div \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$T = 1$$

$$u = \hat{\mathbf{i}} u + \hat{\mathbf{j}} v + \hat{\mathbf{k}} w$$

$$Nu = 1 + \langle Tw \rangle$$

Wall-to-wall transport gives new bounds on RBC:1

The momentum eqn. implies

$$\left< |
abla \mathbf{u}|^2 \right> = Ra \cdot \left< Tw \right> = Ra \cdot (Nu - 1)$$

¹P. Hassanzadeh, G. Chini, C. Doering JFM 2014 \rightarrow (=) (=) (=) 2014 \rightarrow (=) (=) 2014 \rightarrow (=)

$$T = 0$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$T = 1$$

$$F(Pe) = \max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) \\ \langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} = Pe \\ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{0}}} Nu(\mathbf{u})$$

Choosing Pe by

$$Pe^2 = Ra \cdot (Nu - 1),$$

one concludes for RBC

$$Nu \leq F(Ra \cdot (Nu-1))$$

E.g.,

$$Nu \lesssim \frac{Pe^{2/3}}{\log^{\alpha} Pe}$$
 for wall-to-wall $\implies Nu \lesssim \frac{Ra^{1/2}}{\log^{3\alpha/2} Ra}$ for RBC

$$T = 0$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$T = 1$$

$$F(Pe) = \max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) \\ \langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} = Pe \\ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{0}}} Nu(\mathbf{u})$$

Choosing Pe by

$$Pe^2 = Ra \cdot (Nu - 1),$$

one concludes for RBC

$$Nu \leq F(Ra \cdot (Nu-1))$$

E.g.,

$$Nu \lesssim \frac{Pe^{2/3}}{\log^{\alpha} Pe}$$
 for wall-to-wall $\implies Nu \lesssim \frac{Ra^{1/2}}{\log^{3\alpha/2} Ra}$ for RBC

$$T = 0$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$T = 1$$

$$F(Pe) = \max_{\substack{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) \\ \langle |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} = Pe} \\ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$F(Pe) \gtrsim rac{Pe^{2/3}}{\log^{4/3} Pe}$$

limits improvements to

$$Nu \lesssim Ra^{1/2}$$

by this method to *logarithmic corrections*

Regarding 2D RBC

$$T = 0$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \Delta T$$
$$\frac{1}{Pr} (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \Delta u + \hat{\mathbf{k}} RaT$$
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{\hat{i}} u + \mathbf{\hat{j}} v + \mathbf{\hat{k}} w$$
$$Nu = 1 + \langle Tw \rangle$$

T = 1

The Whitehead-Doering bound states

 $Nu \lesssim Ra^{5/12} \ll Ra^{1/2}$ in 2D w/ stress-free b.c.

Our result is that

max
$$Nu \sim Ra^{1/2}$$
 up to logs

Thus: 2D RBC achieves strongly sub-optimal heat transport