Topics in the Calculus of Variations: Recent Advances and New Trends Banff, May 21 – 25

> Janusz Ginster Center for Nonlinear Analysis Carnegie Mellon University

Joint work with Irene Fonseca, Giovanni Leoni, Ethan O'Brien, and Stephan Wojtowytsch.

Carnegie Mellon University

Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Results for Straight Dislocation Lines
- 3. Results for Curved Dislocation Lines in Three Dimensions
 - 3.1 Asymptotics for the Energy
 - 3.2 Forces on the Dislocation Line
- 4. Future Work on the Dynamics

Introduction

Dislocations are crystallographic defects.





Figure: Sketch of an edge dislocation in a cubic lattice.

Introduction

Dislocations are crystallographic defects.





Figure: Sketch of an edge dislocation in a cubic lattice.

- The defect is concentrated on lines.
- The vector $b \in \mathcal{B}$ which characterizes the defect is called Burgers vector.



The Continuous Theory

In the continuous theory, one models dislocations as singularities of the elastic strain $\beta:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$:

 $\operatorname{curl}\beta = b \otimes \tau \, d\mathcal{H}^1_{|\gamma},$

where the γ is the dislocation curve, τ its tangent and b is the Burgers vector.



Figure: Sketch of an edge dislocation (left) and a screw dislocation (right) in a deformed cylinder. The dislocation line is the dashed, red line oriented downwards. The Burgers vector is drawn in blue.

Roadmap

- Understand the dynamics of curved dislocation lines.
- As a first step, study the asymptotic behavior of the induced elastic energy.
- Obtain the force as the variation of the effective energy.
- In a third step, we would like to solve the corresponding PDE (future work).



The Energy

For $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, a fixed Burgers vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and a regular, closed curve $\gamma : [0, L] \to \Omega$, we define the corresponding dislocation density as

$$\mu = \mathbf{b} \otimes \tau \mathcal{H}^1_{|\gamma|}$$

where τ is the tangent of γ .

Moreover, we define the set of corresponding admissible strains to be

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = \left\{ \beta \in L^{1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}) : \operatorname{curl} \beta = \mu \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \right\}.$$

The elastic energy is then

$$E_{\varepsilon}(\mu) = \inf_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}(\mu)} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}\beta : \beta \, dx.$$

Here, $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3}$ is an isotropic elastic tensor i.e., $\mathcal{C}A = 2\mu A_{sym} + \lambda \operatorname{trace}(A) \operatorname{Id}$ where μ, λ are such that \mathcal{C} is positive definite on symmetric matrices.



The Energy II

Conti, Garroni, Ortiz '15: There exists a unique $K \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3 \smallsetminus \gamma)$ such that

 $\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{C} K = 0, \\ \operatorname{curl} K = \mu_{\gamma}. \end{cases}$

We use this solution to rewrite

$$E_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\gamma}) = \int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} K : K \, dx + \inf_{u \in H^{1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3})} I_{\varepsilon}(u),$$

where

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} C \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u \cdot (C K \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} u \cdot (C K \nu_{\varepsilon}) \, d\mathcal{H}^2.$$



- cylindrical symmetry,
- straight, parallel dislocation edge/screw dislocations,
- reduction to an orthogonal slice,
- in-plane/out-of-plane components of the elastic strain satisfy eta satisfy

$$\operatorname{curl} \beta = \sum_{k} b_k \delta_{x_k}$$

where b_k is an admissible Burger's vector.

Carnegie Mellon University

Results for Straight Parallel Dislocations

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{k} B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} \frac{1}{2} C \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\partial \tilde{\Omega}} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1} - \sum_{k} \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu_{\varepsilon}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1}$$

Cermelli, Leoni '05 in the edge case and Blass, Morandotti '14 in the screw case:

• Existence of minimizers u_{ε} for I_{ε} for fixed ε .

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{k} B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} \frac{1}{2} C \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\partial \tilde{\Omega}} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1} - \sum_{k} \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu_{\varepsilon}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1}$$

Cermelli, Leoni '05 in the edge case and Blass, Morandotti '14 in the screw case:

- Existence of minimizers u_{ε} for I_{ε} for fixed ε .
- Strong Convergence of u_{ε} in $H^1_{loc}(\Omega\smallsetminus\gamma)$ to a minimizer of

$$I_0(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} C \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^1.$$

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{k} B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} \frac{1}{2} C \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\partial \tilde{\Omega}} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1} - \sum_{k} \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu_{\varepsilon}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1}$$

Cermelli, Leoni '05 in the edge case and Blass, Morandotti '14 in the screw case:

- Existence of minimizers u_{ε} for I_{ε} for fixed ε .
- Strong Convergence of u_{ε} in $H^1_{loc}(\Omega \smallsetminus \gamma)$ to a minimizer of

$$I_0(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u \cdot (\mathcal{C} \mathcal{K} \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^1.$$

• This leads to

$$\begin{split} E_{\varepsilon}(\mu) &= \int_{\Omega \smallsetminus \bigcup_k B_{\varepsilon}(x_k)} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{K} \, dx + I_0(u) + c + O(\varepsilon) \\ &= |\log \varepsilon| \sum_k \psi(b_k) + \mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_N) + c + O(\varepsilon). \end{split}$$

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{k} B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} \frac{1}{2} C \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\partial \tilde{\Omega}} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1} - \sum_{k} \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} u \cdot (C \kappa \nu_{\varepsilon}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1}$$

Cermelli, Leoni '05 in the edge case and Blass, Morandotti '14 in the screw case:

- Existence of minimizers u_{ε} for I_{ε} for fixed ε .
- Strong Convergence of u_{ε} in $H^1_{loc}(\Omega \smallsetminus \gamma)$ to a minimizer of

$$I_0(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} C \nabla u : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u \cdot (C K \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^1.$$

• This leads to

$$E_{\varepsilon}(\mu) = \int_{\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k} B_{\varepsilon}(x_{k})} \frac{1}{2} CK : K \, dx + I_{0}(u) + c + O(\varepsilon)$$

= $|\log \varepsilon| \sum_{k} \psi(b_{k}) + F(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) + c + O(\varepsilon).$

• The force on the k-th dislocation is then given by (edge case)

$$\nabla_{x_k} F(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = -\int_{\partial B_r(x_k)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}\beta_0 : \beta_0\right) \mathbf{Id} - \beta_0^T \mathcal{C}\beta_0 \right] \nu \, d\mathcal{H}^1,$$

where $\beta_0 = K + \nabla u_0$ and $0 < r < \frac{1}{4} \min_{k \neq j} |x_k - x_j|$.

In the screw case it simplifies even more.

Results for Curved Dislocation Lines in Three Dimensions

First we consider the toy case C = Id.

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u \cdot K \nu \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} u \cdot K \nu_{\varepsilon} \, d\mathcal{H}^2,$$

where K solves

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} K = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{curl} K = \mu_\gamma \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Existence of a minimizer u_{ε} for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ is simple.

Theorem

Let u_{ε} be the minimizers for I_{ε} . Then there exists a a function $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon} \to u_0$ in $H^1_{loc}(\Omega \smallsetminus \gamma)$ and

 $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}I_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})\to I_0(u_0),$

where $I_0(u_0) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u_0 \cdot K \nu d\mathcal{H}^2$. Moreover, u_0 minimizes I_0 .



Results for Curved Dislocation Lines in Three Dimensions

Sketch of proof:

• Standard estimates

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega\smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)}|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2}-\int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)}u_{\varepsilon}\cdot K\nu_{\varepsilon}\,d\mathcal{H}^{2}-\int_{\partial\Omega}u_{\varepsilon}\cdot K\nu\,d\mathcal{H}^{2}\\ \geq &\int_{\Omega\smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)}|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2}-C_{\varepsilon}\|K\nu_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma))}\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega\smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma))}-C\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega\smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma))}\|K\nu\|_{L^{2}(\partial\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

- By regularity of γ we can choose C_{ε} independent from ε .
- Typically $K \sim \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(x,\gamma)}$ but we can show $\|K\nu_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma))} \to 0$.
- Extend u_{ε} to Ω . Again constant does not depend on ε . \Rightarrow Boundedness of extended u_{ε} .
- Hence, there exists $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ and a subsequence such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_0$ in H^1 .
- Lower semi-continuity: $\liminf_{\varepsilon} I_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \ge I_0(u_0)$.
- Also, $I_0(u_0) \leftarrow I_{\varepsilon}(u_0) \ge I_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})$.
- This shows also $\int_{\Omega \times B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \to \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx$ which implies the strong convergence in $H^1_{loc}(\Omega \times \gamma)$.

Hence, the key is: $||K\nu_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{2}(\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma))} \to 0.$



Asymptotics for the Strain

Question: How does $||K\nu_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{2}(\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma))}$ behave?

We know that

 $\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} K = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{curl} K = \mu_\gamma \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$

As div μ_{γ} = 0, we have

$$\mathcal{K} = \operatorname{curl}(-\Delta)^{-1}\mu_{\gamma} = -b \otimes \int_{\gamma} \frac{x - y}{4\pi |x - y|^3} \times \tau(y) \, d\mathcal{H}^1(y).$$

Theorem

Let γ be a $C^{2,\alpha}$ curve. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\|\gamma\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}) > 0$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $x \in \partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)$ it holds

$$\mathcal{K}(x) = -b \otimes \bigg(\frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon} \tau(\pi(x)) \times \nu_{\varepsilon}(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} |\log(\varepsilon)| \tau(\pi(x)) \times H(\pi(x)) + O(1) \bigg).$$

Here, $\pi(x)$ is the point on γ closest to x, $\nu_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is the outer normal to $\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)$, and H is the curvature of γ . The O(1)-term is uniformly bounded for all γ such that $\|\gamma\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq M$. In particular,

$$\|K\nu_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma))} \lesssim |\log \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0.$$

Now, we derive the force on the dislocation as the variation with respect to the curve of the effective energy

$$F_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\gamma}) = \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{2} |K_{\gamma}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{\gamma}|^2 dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u_{\gamma} \cdot K_{\gamma} \nu d\mathcal{H}^2.$$



Now, we derive the force on the dislocation as the variation with respect to the curve of the effective energy $% \left({{{\mathbf{r}}_{i}}} \right)$

$$F_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\gamma}) = \int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{2} |K_{\gamma}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{\gamma}|^2 dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u_{\gamma} \cdot K_{\gamma} \nu d\mathcal{H}^2.$$

Lemma

Let $\gamma \in C^{2,\alpha}([0,L];\Omega)$ be a closed curve and $\varphi \in C^{2,\alpha}([0,L];\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the functions $K : (-\delta, \delta) \times \Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \to \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}, (t,x) \to K_{\gamma+t\varphi}(x)$ and $u : (-\delta, \delta) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3, (t,x) \to u_{\gamma+t\varphi}(x)$ are smooth. Moreover, it holds

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} K_{\gamma+t\varphi}(x) = -b \otimes \nabla_x \underbrace{\int_{\gamma} \left[\left(-\frac{x-y}{4\pi |x-y|^3} \times \tau(y) \right) \cdot \varphi(y) \right] d\mathcal{H}^1(y)}_{=:w_{\gamma}}.$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \left(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}u_{\gamma+t\varphi}\right) = 0 \text{ in }\Omega, \\ \nabla \left(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}u_{\gamma+t\varphi}\right)\nu = -\left(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}K_t\right)\nu \text{ on }\partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

Under the same assumptions.

$$\frac{dF_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\gamma+t\varphi})}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} = -\int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{2} |K_{\gamma}|^{2} \varphi \cdot \nu + w_{\gamma} b \cdot (K_{\gamma} + \nabla u_{\gamma})\nu - u_{\gamma} \cdot \dot{K}_{\gamma} \nu d\mathcal{H}^{2}$$
$$= \int_{\gamma} \left(-|b|^{2} \frac{|\log \varepsilon|}{2\pi} H + O(1)\right) \cdot \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{1}.$$

Again, the term O(1) is uniformly bounded as long as $\|\gamma\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}$ is uniformly bounded. This result is consistent with the fact that one can also use the asymptotics for K_{γ} to show that

$$F_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\gamma}) = |b|^2 \frac{|\log \varepsilon|}{2\pi} \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma) + O(1).$$

The corresponding results for the isotropic elasticity $CA = 2\mu A_{sym} + \lambda trace(A) Id$ is:

Theorem

Under the same assumptions as before,

$$\frac{dF_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\gamma+t\varphi})}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} = -\int_{\gamma} \left|\log\varepsilon\right| \left(\psi(\tau)H\cdot\varphi + \nabla\psi(\tau)\cdot H(\tau\cdot\varphi) + \nabla^{2}\psi H\cdot\varphi\right) + O(1)\varphi,$$

where $\psi(\tau) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} (b \cdot \tau)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\mu + \lambda} |b - (b \cdot \tau)\tau|^2$ is the line tension energy density per unit dislocation.

To prove this we need again an asymptotic formula for the solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{K}_{\gamma} &= 0, \\ \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{K}_{\gamma} &= \mu_{\gamma}. \end{aligned}
ight.$$

It can formally be written as

$$K_{\gamma} = \underbrace{\tilde{K}_{\gamma}}_{=\text{solution for } C = ld} + N_{ijkl} * (\mu_{\gamma})_{kl},$$

where $N_{ijkl} = -(\partial_j \partial_k \partial_p \varepsilon_{ilp} + \frac{\lambda}{2\mu + \lambda} \partial_j \partial_i \partial_p \varepsilon_{klp}) \frac{|x|}{8\pi}$.

Theorem

For a closed curve $\gamma \in C^{2,\alpha}$, it holds for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $x \in \partial B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}_{\gamma}(x) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \bigg(\frac{b \cdot \nu}{4\pi} \left(\frac{4\mu + 3\lambda}{2\mu + \lambda} \nu \otimes (\tau \times \nu) + \frac{\lambda}{2\mu + \lambda} (\tau \times \nu) \otimes \nu \right) \\ &+ \frac{b \cdot (\tau \times \nu)}{4\pi} \frac{2\mu}{2\mu + \lambda} \left((\tau \times \nu) \otimes (\tau \times \nu) + \nu \otimes \nu \right) + \frac{b \cdot \tau}{2\pi} \tau \otimes (\tau \times \nu) + W \bigg) \\ &+ |\log \varepsilon| \bigg(\frac{1}{4\pi} b \otimes (\tau \times H) - \frac{3(b \cdot \tau)}{8\pi} (H \times \tau) \otimes \tau + \frac{1}{4\pi} (H \times b) \otimes \tau + \frac{1}{8\pi} (\tau \times b) \otimes H \\ &- \frac{1}{8\pi} (\tau \times H) \otimes b + \frac{\lambda}{2\mu + \lambda} \bigg(- \frac{3(b \cdot (H \times \tau)}{8\pi} \tau \otimes \tau + \frac{1}{8\pi} (b \times \tau) \otimes H \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi} H \otimes (b \times \tau) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \tau \otimes (b \times H) + \frac{1}{4\pi} (b \times H) \otimes \tau + \frac{(\tau \times b) \cdot H}{8\pi} \delta_{ij} \bigg) \bigg) \\ &+ O(1). \end{split}$$

This also shows that (c.f. also Conti, Garroni, Ortiz '15)

$$F_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\gamma}) = |\log \varepsilon| \int_{\gamma} \psi(\tau) \, d\mathcal{H}^1 + O(1),$$

where $\psi(\tau) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} (b \cdot \tau)^2 + \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\mu + \lambda} |b - (b \cdot \tau)\tau|^2$ which is consistent with

$$\left. \frac{dF_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\gamma+t\varphi})}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \approx \left| \log \varepsilon \right| \frac{d\int_{\gamma+t\varphi} \psi(\tau) \, d\mathcal{H}^1}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$$

Carnegie Mellon University

Future Work on the Dynamics

• Again, first C = Id, no restriction on the movement of the line, and rescale time by $|\log \varepsilon|$:

$$\gamma' = \frac{|b|^2}{2\pi}H + O(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}).$$

- Abstract existence result for curve shortening flow in arbitrary dimensions available, Gage, Hamilton '86.
- Understand regularity of the $O(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})\text{-term}$ and use a fixed point argument to obtain existence.
- Study the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- Dislocations cannot move in any direction.
- More realistic dynamics:

 $\gamma' = m(b,\tau)H,$

where $m(b, \tau)$ = projection into the plane spanned by b and τ (if $b \parallel \tau$).

• Replace *H* by the variation of the anisotropic line tension energy.

Future Work on the Dynamics

• Again, first C = Id, no restriction on the movement of the line, and rescale time by $|\log \varepsilon|$:

$$\gamma' = \frac{|b|^2}{2\pi}H + O(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}).$$

- Abstract existence result for curve shortening flow in arbitrary dimensions available, Gage, Hamilton '86.
- Understand regularity of the $O(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})\text{-term}$ and use a fixed point argument to obtain existence.
- Study the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- Dislocations cannot move in any direction.
- More realistic dynamics:

$$\gamma' = m(b,\tau)H,$$

where $m(b, \tau)$ = projection into the plane spanned by b and τ (if $b \parallel \tau$).

• Replace H by the variation of the anisotropic line tension energy.

Thank you for your attention!

