# Free Complementation of Certain Subalgebras of $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$ via Conditional Transport of Measure

David A. Jekel

University of California, Los Angeles

Banff Oct. 1, 2019

A non-commutative law is a trace on  $C([-R, R])^{*d}$  (for some R).

A non-commutative law is a trace on  $C([-R, R])^{*d}$  (for some R).

 $\Sigma_{d,R}$  denotes this trace space with weak-\* topology.

A non-commutative law is a trace on  $C([-R, R])^{*d}$  (for some R).

 $\Sigma_{d,R}$  denotes this trace space with weak-\* topology.

Equivalently, an element of  $\Sigma_{d,R}$  is a unital, positive, tracial map  $\mu: \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \ldots, X_d \rangle \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$|\mu(X_{i_1}\ldots X_{i_n})|\leq R^n.$$

This encodes the *non-commutative moments* of some *tuple of non-commutative random variables*.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Tracial non-commutative laws  $\leftrightarrow$  finite  $W^*$ -algebras with preferred trace and generators (up to isomorphism).

- $\rightarrow$  GNS construction.
- $\leftarrow$  evaluate moments of your generators.

### von Neumann Algebras

### Question

When is  $W^*(\mu) \cong W^*(\nu)$ ?

A D > A A > A > A

When is  $W^*(\mu) \cong W^*(\nu)$ ?

*Commutative case:* Any two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  with no atoms produce isomorphic tracial  $W^*$ -algebras  $(L^{\infty}(\mu), \mu) \cong (L^{\infty}(\nu), \nu)$ .

When is  $W^*(\mu) \cong W^*(\nu)$ ?

*Commutative case:* Any two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  with no atoms produce isomorphic tracial  $W^*$ -algebras  $(L^{\infty}(\mu), \mu) \cong (L^{\infty}(\nu), \nu)$ .

Non-commutative case:

• There are *many* non-isomorphic II<sub>1</sub> factors (Murray-von Neumann, McDuff, ...).

When is  $W^*(\mu) \cong W^*(\nu)$ ?

*Commutative case:* Any two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  with no atoms produce isomorphic tracial  $W^*$ -algebras  $(L^{\infty}(\mu), \mu) \cong (L^{\infty}(\nu), \nu)$ .

#### Non-commutative case:

- There are *many* non-isomorphic II<sub>1</sub> factors (Murray-von Neumann, McDuff, . . . ).
- We don't know whether  $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$  and  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$  are isomorphic for  $n \neq m$ .

When is  $W^*(\mu) \cong W^*(\nu)$ ?

*Commutative case:* Any two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  with no atoms produce isomorphic tracial  $W^*$ -algebras  $(L^{\infty}(\mu), \mu) \cong (L^{\infty}(\nu), \nu)$ .

#### Non-commutative case:

- There are *many* non-isomorphic II<sub>1</sub> factors (Murray-von Neumann, McDuff, . . . ).
- We don't know whether  $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$  and  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$  are isomorphic for  $n \neq m$ .
- Even after imposing some regularity conditions on the laws (e.g. finite free entropy), we don't necessarily get isomorphic *W*\*-algebras (example of Nate Brown of a semicircular perturbation of generators of a property (T) factor).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Consider probability measures  $\mu^{(N)}$  on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})^d_{sa}$ .

Consider probability measures  $\mu^{(N)}$  on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})^d_{sa}$ .

Let  $X^{(N)}$  be the associated random variable (tuple of self-adjoint random matrices).

Consider probability measures  $\mu^{(N)}$  on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})^d_{sa}$ .

Let  $X^{(N)}$  be the associated random variable (tuple of self-adjoint random matrices).

Then we get a random non-commutative law  $\lambda_{X^{(N)}}$  by evaluating the non-commutative law of  $X^{(N)}$  as an element of  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$  with the canonical (normalized) trace  $\tau_N$ .

Consider probability measures  $\mu^{(N)}$  on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})^d_{sa}$ .

Let  $X^{(N)}$  be the associated random variable (tuple of self-adjoint random matrices).

Then we get a random non-commutative law  $\lambda_{X^{(N)}}$  by evaluating the non-commutative law of  $X^{(N)}$  as an element of  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$  with the canonical (normalized) trace  $\tau_N$ .

Does  $\lambda_{X^{(N)}}$  converge in probability to some  $\mu \in \Sigma_{d,R}$ ?

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

#### Example

Let  $X^{(N)}$  be Gaussian, with probability density  $\sim \exp(-N^2 \sum_i \tau_N(x_i^2))$ .

Then  $\lambda_{X^{(N)}}$  converges in probability to the law of  $(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$ , where are *freely independent semicirculars*,

that is,  $S_j$  has semicircular spectral density  $(1/2\pi)\sqrt{4-x^2} dx$  on [-2,2]and  $W^*(S_1,\ldots,S_d) = W^*(S_1)*\cdots*W^*(S_d) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_d).$ 

#### Example

Let  $X^{(N)}$  be Gaussian, with probability density  $\sim \exp(-N^2 \sum_i \tau_N(x_i^2))$ .

Then  $\lambda_{\chi(N)}$  converges in probability to the law of  $(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$ , where are *freely independent semicirculars*,

that is,  $S_j$  has semicircular spectral density  $(1/2\pi)\sqrt{4-x^2} dx$  on [-2,2]and  $W^*(S_1,\ldots,S_d) = W^*(S_1)*\cdots*W^*(S_d) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_d).$ 

#### Theorem (Voiculescu 1998)

If  $X_1^{(N)}, \ldots, X_d^{(N)}$  are independent random matrices (bounded in operator norm), their distribution is unitarily invariant, and the spectral distribution of each  $X_j^{(N)}$  converges, then the NC law of  $X_1^{(N)}, \ldots, X_d^{(N)}$  converges and they become freely independent in the limit.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Convex and Semi-concave Potentials

Generalizing the Gaussian case, we can consider the random matrix density  $\exp(-N^2 V^{(N)}(x))$ , where  $V^{(N)}(x)$  defined by adding (and/or multiplying!) traces of non-commutative polynomials.

### Convex and Semi-concave Potentials

Generalizing the Gaussian case, we can consider the random matrix density  $\exp(-N^2 V^{(N)}(x))$ , where  $V^{(N)}(x)$  defined by adding (and/or multiplying!) traces of non-commutative polynomials.

Theorem (J. 2018, cf. Guionnet & Maurel-Segala 2006, Guionnet & Shlyakhtenko 2009, Guionnet & Shlyakhtenko & Dabrowski 2016)

Let 0 < c < C. Suppose that  $V^{(N)} : M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies that  $V^{(N)}(x) - (c/2) ||x||_2^2$  is convex and  $V^{(N)}(x) - (C/2) ||x||_2^2$  is semi-concave. Suppose that  $DV^{(N)}$  is well-approximated by trace polynomials (\*). Then the NC law of  $X^{(N)}$  converge in probability to some non-commutative law, called a free Gibbs law for  $V^{(N)}$ .

### Convex and Semi-concave Potentials

Generalizing the Gaussian case, we can consider the random matrix density  $\exp(-N^2 V^{(N)}(x))$ , where  $V^{(N)}(x)$  defined by adding (and/or multiplying!) traces of non-commutative polynomials.

Theorem (J. 2018, cf. Guionnet & Maurel-Segala 2006, Guionnet & Shlyakhtenko 2009, Guionnet & Shlyakhtenko & Dabrowski 2016)

Let 0 < c < C. Suppose that  $V^{(N)} : M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies that  $V^{(N)}(x) - (c/2) ||x||_2^2$  is convex and  $V^{(N)}(x) - (C/2) ||x||_2^2$  is semi-concave. Suppose that  $DV^{(N)}$  is well-approximated by trace polynomials (\*). Then the NC law of  $X^{(N)}$  converge in probability to some non-commutative law, called a free Gibbs law for  $V^{(N)}$ .

- Trace polynomials are functions like  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 + \tau(x_2)x_1x_2 + 3\tau(x_2x_3)1 - \tau(x_1x_3x_2)\tau(x_3)x_3x_2.$
- We want the approximation to occur uniformly on each operator norm ball, with the error measured in ||·||<sub>2</sub> with respect to τ<sub>N</sub>.

#### Examples

This theorem covers the following cases:

- If  $V^{(N)}$  is a small perturbation of the quadratic  $||x||_2^2$  by some trace polynomial or analytic function.
- This includes generators of *q*-Gaussian algebras for *q* small (Dabrowski 2010, Guionnet & Shlyakhtenko 2014).
- Given free semicirculars  $(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$  and self-adjoint NC polynomials  $p_1, \ldots, p_d$ , the law of  $S + \epsilon p(S)$  will be such such a free Gibbs law for  $\epsilon$  small enough (depending on the first and second derivatives of p).

The associated von Neumann algebra  $W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  (the Gaussian case).

• Classically, if a measure  $\mu$  has a smooth enough density, you can construct a function f by solving some PDE, such that  $f_*\mu =$  Gaussian (see e.g. Otto-Villani 2000).

The associated von Neumann algebra  $W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  (the Gaussian case).

- Classically, if a measure  $\mu$  has a smooth enough density, you can construct a function f by solving some PDE, such that  $f_*\mu =$  Gaussian (see e.g. Otto-Villani 2000).
- Do this for each  $\mu^{(N)}$  and get some  $f^{(N)}$ .

The associated von Neumann algebra  $W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  (the Gaussian case).

- Classically, if a measure  $\mu$  has a smooth enough density, you can construct a function f by solving some PDE, such that  $f_*\mu =$  Gaussian (see e.g. Otto-Villani 2000).
- Do this for each  $\mu^{(N)}$  and get some  $f^{(N)}$ .
- Argue that f<sup>(N)</sup> is well-approximated by trace polynomials and has a well-defined large-N limit f (in some appropriate space of functions).

The associated von Neumann algebra  $W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  (the Gaussian case).

- Classically, if a measure  $\mu$  has a smooth enough density, you can construct a function f by solving some PDE, such that  $f_*\mu =$  Gaussian (see e.g. Otto-Villani 2000).
- Do this for each  $\mu^{(N)}$  and get some  $f^{(N)}$ .
- Argue that f<sup>(N)</sup> is well-approximated by trace polynomials and has a well-defined large-N limit f (in some appropriate space of functions).
- Same for inverse function of  $f^{(N)}$ .

The associated von Neumann algebra  $W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  (the Gaussian case).

- Classically, if a measure  $\mu$  has a smooth enough density, you can construct a function f by solving some PDE, such that  $f_*\mu =$  Gaussian (see e.g. Otto-Villani 2000).
- Do this for each  $\mu^{(N)}$  and get some  $f^{(N)}$ .
- Argue that f<sup>(N)</sup> is well-approximated by trace polynomials and has a well-defined large-N limit f (in some appropriate space of functions).
- Same for inverse function of  $f^{(N)}$ .
- Then (S<sub>1</sub>,..., S<sub>d</sub>) := f(X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>d</sub>) are free semi-circular generators, so W<sup>\*</sup>(X) ≅ L(𝔽<sub>d</sub>).

ヘロト ヘ節ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

### Theorem (J. 2019)

There is an isomorphism  $\phi : W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_d) \to W^*(S_1, \ldots, S_d) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  such that

$$\phi(W^*(X_1,...,X_k)) = W^*(S_1,...,S_k)$$
 for each  $k = 1,...,d$ .

In particular,  $W^*(X_1)$  is conjugate to the generator MASA in  $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$ . So for instance, it is maximal abelian, maximal amenable (due to Popa 1983), freely complemented, etc.

This result applies to all the examples listed earlier. In particular, if  $(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$  are semicircular, then  $S_1 + \epsilon p(S)$  generates a freely complemented MASA for  $\epsilon$  small enough (*p* self-adjoint).

This result applies to all the examples listed earlier. In particular, if  $(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$  are semicircular, then  $S_1 + \epsilon p(S)$  generates a freely complemented MASA for  $\epsilon$  small enough (*p* self-adjoint).

#### Question

Under what conditions on self-adjoint p will  $p(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$  generate a freely complemented MASA? Is there some sense in which this is true "generically"?

This result applies to all the examples listed earlier. In particular, if  $(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$  are semicircular, then  $S_1 + \epsilon p(S)$  generates a freely complemented MASA for  $\epsilon$  small enough (*p* self-adjoint).

#### Question

Under what conditions on self-adjoint p will  $p(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$  generate a freely complemented MASA? Is there some sense in which this is true "generically"?

### Question (Hayes, Peterson-Thom, Popa)

If  $N \subseteq L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  is maximal amenable, then is  $L^2(L(\mathbb{F}_d)) \ominus L^2(N)$  a coarse *N*-*N*-bimodule? Of course, this would be true if it is freely complemented.

This result applies to all the examples listed earlier. In particular, if  $(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$  are semicircular, then  $S_1 + \epsilon p(S)$  generates a freely complemented MASA for  $\epsilon$  small enough (*p* self-adjoint).

#### Question

Under what conditions on self-adjoint p will  $p(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$  generate a freely complemented MASA? Is there some sense in which this is true "generically"?

### Question (Hayes, Peterson-Thom, Popa)

If  $N \subseteq L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  is maximal amenable, then is  $L^2(L(\mathbb{F}_d)) \ominus L^2(N)$  a coarse *N-N*-bimodule? Of course, this would be true if it is freely complemented.

### Question (Popa and others?)

What  $W^*$ -algebras can embed into  $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$ ? Does  $L(\mathbb{F}_d)$  contain any II<sub>1</sub> factors not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}$  or  $L(\mathbb{F}_t)$  (interpolated free group factors)?

By iteration, the previous theorem can be reduced to the following:

#### Theorem

Let  $V^{(N)}(x, y)$  be a sequence of nice convex potentials as above with  $x \in M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^d$  and  $y \in M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^{d'}$ . Let  $W^*(X, Y)$  be the corresponding  $W^*$ -algebra of the limiting free Gibbs law. Then  $W^*(X, Y) \cong W^*(S) * W^*(Y)$ .

- Let  $(X^{(N)}, Y^{(N)})$  be the corresponding random variables.
- $X^{(N)}$  has a nice conditional probability distribution given  $Y^{(N)} = y$ , denoted by  $\mu_y^{(N)}$ . It is given by  $V^{(N)}(\cdot, y)$ .

- Let  $(X^{(N)}, Y^{(N)})$  be the corresponding random variables.
- $X^{(N)}$  has a nice conditional probability distribution given  $Y^{(N)} = y$ , denoted by  $\mu_y^{(N)}$ . It is given by  $V^{(N)}(\cdot, y)$ .
- Construct  $f^{(N)}(x, y)$  such that  $f^{(N)}(\cdot, y)$  pushes forward  $\mu_y^{(N)}$  to Gaussian.
- Patching together the fibers,  $(f^{(N)}(X^{(N)}, Y^{(N)}), Y^{(N)})$  has the same law as  $(S^{(N)}, Y^{(N)})$ , where  $S^{(N)}$  is an independent Gaussian.

- Let  $(X^{(N)}, Y^{(N)})$  be the corresponding random variables.
- $X^{(N)}$  has a nice conditional probability distribution given  $Y^{(N)} = y$ , denoted by  $\mu_y^{(N)}$ . It is given by  $V^{(N)}(\cdot, y)$ .
- Construct  $f^{(N)}(x, y)$  such that  $f^{(N)}(\cdot, y)$  pushes forward  $\mu_y^{(N)}$  to Gaussian.
- Patching together the fibers,  $(f^{(N)}(X^{(N)}, Y^{(N)}), Y^{(N)})$  has the same law as  $(S^{(N)}, Y^{(N)})$ , where  $S^{(N)}$  is an independent Gaussian.
- Show that f<sup>(N)</sup>(x, y) is a nice function of (x, y) jointly, is well-approximated by trace polynomials, has a large N limit f.

- Let  $(X^{(N)}, Y^{(N)})$  be the corresponding random variables.
- $X^{(N)}$  has a nice conditional probability distribution given  $Y^{(N)} = y$ , denoted by  $\mu_y^{(N)}$ . It is given by  $V^{(N)}(\cdot, y)$ .
- Construct  $f^{(N)}(x, y)$  such that  $f^{(N)}(\cdot, y)$  pushes forward  $\mu_y^{(N)}$  to Gaussian.
- Patching together the fibers,  $(f^{(N)}(X^{(N)}, Y^{(N)}), Y^{(N)})$  has the same law as  $(S^{(N)}, Y^{(N)})$ , where  $S^{(N)}$  is an independent Gaussian.
- Show that f<sup>(N)</sup>(x, y) is a nice function of (x, y) jointly, is well-approximated by trace polynomials, has a large N limit f.
- In the large N limit,  $S^{(N)}$  and  $Y^{(N)}$  become freely independent.
- So  $W^*(X, Y) = W^*(f(X, Y), Y) \cong W^*(S, Y) = W^*(S) * W^*(Y)$ .

• I need a dimension-independent formulation of the PDE's for constructing  $f^{(N)}$ . (Don't like the  $N^2$  hanging around in the exponent.)

- I need a dimension-independent formulation of the PDE's for constructing  $f^{(N)}$ . (Don't like the  $N^2$  hanging around in the exponent.)
- To show  $f^{(N)}$  is approximated by trace polynomials, I argue that  $f^{(N)}$  can be obtained from  $DV^{(N)}$  by iterating some pretty explicit operations which will preserve this approximation property (e.g. heat semigroup, composition, limits).

- I need a dimension-independent formulation of the PDE's for constructing  $f^{(N)}$ . (Don't like the  $N^2$  hanging around in the exponent.)
- To show  $f^{(N)}$  is approximated by trace polynomials, I argue that  $f^{(N)}$  can be obtained from  $DV^{(N)}$  by iterating some pretty explicit operations which will preserve this approximation property (e.g. heat semigroup, composition, limits).
- To get convergence of this iteration scheme, I use some dimension-independent regularity of the solutions to the PDE that relies on the convexity and semi-concavity of  $V^{(N)}$ .

- I need a dimension-independent formulation of the PDE's for constructing  $f^{(N)}$ . (Don't like the  $N^2$  hanging around in the exponent.)
- To show  $f^{(N)}$  is approximated by trace polynomials, I argue that  $f^{(N)}$  can be obtained from  $DV^{(N)}$  by iterating some pretty explicit operations which will preserve this approximation property (e.g. heat semigroup, composition, limits).
- To get convergence of this iteration scheme, I use some dimension-independent regularity of the solutions to the PDE that relies on the convexity and semi-concavity of V<sup>(N)</sup>.
- Finally, to understand the large *N* limit, we need an appropriate space of functions . . .

Consider functions  $(\mathcal{R}^{\omega})_{sa}^{d} \to L^{2}(\mathcal{R}^{\omega})$  that are bounded on operator norm balls, equipped with the family of seminorms

$$||f||_{u,R} = \sup_{||x||_{\infty} \leq R} ||f(x)||_{2}.$$

This is a Fréchet space.

Consider functions  $(\mathcal{R}^{\omega})_{sa}^{d} \to L^{2}(\mathcal{R}^{\omega})$  that are bounded on operator norm balls, equipped with the family of seminorms

$$||f||_{u,R} = \sup_{||x||_{\infty} \leq R} ||f(x)||_{2}.$$

This is a Fréchet space.

Every trace polynomial f in d-variables defines such a function. Take the closure of these functions in the above Fréchet space and call it  $\overline{\text{TrP}}_{d}^{1}$ .

## Lemma

It makes sense to evaluate  $f \in \overline{\operatorname{TrP}}_d^1$  on a self-adjoint tuple in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , provided  $\mathcal{M}$  embeds into  $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}$ . This evaluation produces an element of  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , and it is independent of the choice of embedding.

# Lemma

It makes sense to evaluate  $f \in \overline{\operatorname{TrP}}_d^1$  on a self-adjoint tuple in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , provided  $\mathcal{M}$  embeds into  $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}$ . This evaluation produces an element of  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , and it is independent of the choice of embedding.

### Lemma

If  $\mathcal{M} = W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ , then every element of  $\mathcal{M}$  can be realized as  $f(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  for such an f (not unique). We can arrange that f is uniformly bounded in operator norm, and uniformly continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

# Lemma

It makes sense to evaluate  $f \in \overline{\operatorname{TrP}}_d^1$  on a self-adjoint tuple in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , provided  $\mathcal{M}$  embeds into  $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}$ . This evaluation produces an element of  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , and it is independent of the choice of embedding.

### Lemma

If  $\mathcal{M} = W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ , then every element of  $\mathcal{M}$  can be realized as  $f(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  for such an f (not unique). We can arrange that f is uniformly bounded in operator norm, and uniformly continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

Note: This f makes sense to evaluate on any tuple of self-adjoints in  $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}$ , not just the original  $(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  or those coming from  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular, we can still evaluate f on perturbations of X by something outside of  $\mathcal{M}$ , or on tuples of matrices.

Properties:

 Self-adjoint tuples of functions in TrP<sup>1</sup><sub>d</sub> are closed under composition, provided the outer function is ||·||<sub>2</sub>-uniformly continuous. Properties:

- Self-adjoint tuples of functions in TrP<sup>1</sup><sub>d</sub> are closed under composition, provided the outer function is ||·||<sub>2</sub>-uniformly continuous.
- These functions are closed under (the large N limit) of convolution with the Gaussian density.

Properties:

- Self-adjoint tuples of functions in TrP<sup>1</sup><sub>d</sub> are closed under composition, provided the outer function is ||·||<sub>2</sub>-uniformly continuous.
- These functions are closed under (the large N limit) of convolution with the Gaussian density.
- They are closed under certain algebraic operations.

The transport maps in the theorems above are tuples of functions in this space, which are in fact Lipschitz in  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

The transport maps in the theorems above are tuples of functions in this space, which are in fact Lipschitz in  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

The large-*N* limit of functions on matrices is captured by the notion of asymptotic approximation: If  $f^{(N)}$  is a function on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})^d_{sa}$  and  $f \in \overline{\mathrm{TrP}}^1_m$ , we say that  $f^{(N)} \rightsquigarrow f$  if

$$\forall R > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^d \\ \|x\|_{\infty} \le R}} \|f^{(N)}(x) - f(x)\|_2 = 0.$$

The transport maps in the theorems above are tuples of functions in this space, which are in fact Lipschitz in  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

The large-*N* limit of functions on matrices is captured by the notion of asymptotic approximation: If  $f^{(N)}$  is a function on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})^d_{sa}$  and  $f \in \overline{\mathrm{TrP}}^1_m$ , we say that  $f^{(N)} \rightsquigarrow f$  if

$$\forall R > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in M_N(\mathbb{C})_{s_a}^d \\ \|x\|_{\infty} \le R}} \|f^{(N)}(x) - f(x)\|_2 = 0.$$

This asymptotic approximation relation respects all the operations on the previous slide. These operations are used to "build" the solutions to some PDE.

Thanks to the organizers for allowing me to give a talk!

Thank you for your attention!