

Knot Floer homology (Ozsvath-Szabo, Rasmussen)

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K \subset Y^3 \\ \text{nullhomologous} \\ \text{knot} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} \text{ CFK}^-(Y, K) \\ \text{bigraded chain cpx} \\ \text{over } \mathbb{F}[U, V] =: \mathbb{R} \\ (\text{up to chain htpy equiv}) \\ \textcircled{2} \text{ Isomorphism } f: \text{HF}^-(Y) \rightarrow \text{HF}^-(Y) \\ \text{"flip map"} \end{array} \right\}$ $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$

- computed from doubly pointed Heegaard diagram
- forgetting either basepoint gives Heegaard diag. for Y
- sequence of Heegaard moves taking one basepoint to the other gives rise to "flip map"

Goal: Interpret the data on the right as a geometric object

Thm (H.) $\text{CFK}^-(Y, K)$ w/ flip map f can be represented by $(\Gamma, \underline{b})$ where

- Γ is a (bigraded) immersed multicurve in the marked torus
- $\underline{b}$ is a bounding cochain

Remarks:

- marked torus $= T^2$ with one marked point $=: T$ and chosen basis $\{\mu, \lambda\}$ for $H_1(T^2)$
- Alexander grading specifies a lift of Γ to the cylinder $\bar{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ (with noted points at $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$)
 $\bar{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$
we will generally work in $\bar{T}$
- Maslov grading - standard notion of grading on immersed Lagrangian
- $\underline{b}$ is a linear combination (over $\mathbb{F}$) of $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{self intersection pts of } \Gamma \\ \cup \\ \text{a chosen pt on each component of } \Gamma \end{array} \right\}$ satisfying a "no monogons" condition
- We may assume:
 - Γ is in minimal position, except $\ncong$ immersed annuli
 - $\underline{b}$ contains no points of negative index
 - The only zero index points in $\underline{b}$ are of the following form

(Note: index 0 part of $\underline{b}$)
 $\downarrow$
local system on each curve

- With these assumptions
 - Γ is an invariant of (Y, K) up to homotopy
 - index 0 part of $\underline{b}$ is an invariant
 - $\underline{b}$ only invariant up to some equivalence

$\underline{Q}$: "normal form" for $\underline{b}$

Thm 1' Any chain htpy equiv. class of bigraded complex over $\mathbb{R}$ can be represented by $b_1(\Gamma, \underline{b})$ where

- Γ is a collection of immersed curves/arcs in strip $S = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}$ (marked points at $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$) w/ $\partial\Gamma \subset \partial S$
- $\underline{b}$ is a bounding cochain

Example

$\partial b = U + Vc$

To recover complex from curves:

- add basepoints left/right of each marked point
- take Floer homology with vertical line μ through marked points

For CFK, we can identify sides of S to get $\bar{T}$ (flip map tells us how to match up loose ends)

Thm 2 (Surgery formula)

$\text{HF}^-(Y_{p/q}(K))$ is isomorphic to the Floer homology (in the marked torus T) of $(\Gamma, \underline{b})$ with a line of slope p/q

Context

If we restrict to $Y=S^3$ and consider the $UV=0$ quotient of CFK^- , the analogous statements follow from earlier work with Rasmussen and Watson

$\text{CFK}^-(S^3, K)|_{UV=0} \xleftrightarrow{\text{Lipschitz-Ozsvath-Thurston}} \widehat{\text{CFD}}(S^3, \text{nbld}(K))$

chain cpx over $\hat{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R}/_{UV=0}$ type D structure over torus algebra

$\nwarrow$ KWZ $\nearrow$ HRW

$\searrow$ $\hat{\text{HF}}(S^3, \text{nbld}(K))$ immersed curves with local systems in punctured torus

- The HRW arrow is a special case of a more general correspondence.

Thm: (Haiden-Katzarkov-Kontsevich)

For S a "surface with marked boundary"

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Type D structures} \\ \text{over } A(S) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{immersed curves in } S \\ \text{with local systems} \end{array} \right\}$

" $\text{Fuk}(S)$ algebra associated to

- HRW gave a more constructive proof, but restricted to compact part of $\text{Fuk}(S)$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
- Kotelskiy-Watson-Zibrowius extended this constructive proof to recover result above

$\text{CFK}^-(Y, K) \dashrightarrow \text{bordered HF}^-$

bigraded cpx over $\mathbb{R}$ $\searrow$ $(\Gamma, \underline{b})$ immersed curves with bounding cochain in marked torus

Conjecture: $(\Gamma, \underline{b})$ also represents bordered HF^- of $Y \setminus K$ and arbitrary pairing given by Floer homology in T

Take away:

- difference between "minus" and "hat" invariants is difference between Floer homology of marked vs. punctured surface
- Extra info contained in "minus" is bounding cochain

Immersed curves and bounding cochains

Consider Floer homology of two curves

$\partial(x) = y$ $\xrightarrow{\text{homotopy}}$ $\partial(x) = 0$

$\partial(x) = 0$ $\xrightarrow{\text{homotopy}}$ $\partial(x) = z$

Problem: monogons are bad!

Solution 1: Don't allow monogons

Def An immersed curve is unobstructed if it bounds no monogons

This works fine in punctured torus...

can homotope away monogons unless they enclose puncture

But these count in marked torus can't remove these

Better: require signed count of monogons $= 0$

Solution 2:

$\textcircled{a}$ add bounding cochain $\underline{b} \in \text{CF}^{\text{nbld}}(L, L)$

generated by $\begin{cases} \text{self int. pts of } \Gamma \\ \text{one point per component} \end{cases}$

$\textcircled{b}$ modify the Floer differential

"allow left turns at int. pts. in $\underline{b}$ "

- ∂ counts $(K+2)$ -gons with K corners in $\underline{b}$

- Equivalently, replace Γ with immersed train track

$\begin{array}{c} \text{point in } \underline{b} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{homotopy}} \begin{array}{c} \text{point in } \underline{b} \end{array}$

Def $\underline{b}$ is a bounding cochain if the (modified) signed count of monogons is zero.

Note: turning arcs at pt in $\underline{b}$ weighted by coeff.

also weighted by power of U (power determined by gradings)

Examples

$T_{2,3}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{one component of } T_{2,3} \# -T_{2,3} \end{array}$

gray dots $\in \underline{b}$ weighted by UV

not from knot

Aside: For $K \subset S^3$, the multicurve $\Gamma = \{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ intersects $x = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ exactly once we assume this happens on γ_0

- γ_0 is a concordance invariant
- other invariants $(\tau, \varepsilon, \phi_i, \dots)$ easily extracted from γ_0

Applications

$\textcircled{1}$ Often there is only one choice of $\underline{b}$ given Γ

$\Rightarrow \text{CFK}^-$ determined by $\text{CFK}|_{UV=0}$

computable

eg. If Γ embedded (L-space knots, cables of L-space knots)

Claim We can choose $\underline{b}$ to avoid any points on a simple figure 8

Corollary: If one component of Γ is embedded and rest are figure eights, CFK^- is determined by $\text{CFK}|_{UV=0}$

$\hookrightarrow$ eg. $\begin{cases} \text{thin knots} \\ \text{all but one prime knot with } \leq 15 \text{ crossings} \end{cases}$

$\textcircled{2}$ Obstructing cosmetic surgeries

Cosmetic surgery conjecture: For $K \subset S^3$ nontrivial

if $S_r^-(K) = S_{r'}^-(K)$ then $r = r'$

Same results: (suppose $r \neq r'$)

$H_1 \Rightarrow$ same numerator $r = \frac{p}{q}, r' = \frac{p}{q'}$ ($p > 0$)

(Ozsvath-Szabo + Wu): q and q' have opposite sign

$\nwarrow$ used rk $\hat{\text{HF}}$

(Ni-Wu): $\begin{cases} \text{used d-invs} \\ \begin{cases} \tau(K) = 0 \\ q' = -q \\ q^2 = -1 \pmod{p} \end{cases} \end{cases} \leftarrow \text{in fact, } \gamma_0 \text{ trivial}$

Thm (H.) If $S_p^-(K) \cong S_{-q}^-(K)$ then

- $p = 1$ or $p = 2$
- If $p = 2$, then $q = 1$ and $g(K) = 2$
- If $p = 1$, then $q \leq \frac{t + 2g}{g - 1}$

where t is Heegaard Floer thickness of K

- other constraints on CFK

Cor: The conjecture holds for

- thin knots with $g \neq 2$
- all knots ≤ 16 crossings

Also holds for

- 2-bridge knots (Ichihara-Jung-Matsumoto-Saito)
- non-prime knots (Tao)

Idea of proof

Let Γ be curves corresponding to $\text{CFK}(K)$

May assume γ_0 is horizontal

All other curves in nbhd of μ assume vertical outside nbhd of marked points

$\exists$ obvious map $\Gamma \cap h_{\ell} \rightarrow \Gamma \cap h_{\ell}$

This gives (ungraded) isomorphism $\phi: \hat{\text{HF}}(S_{p/q}^-(K)) \rightarrow \hat{\text{HF}}(S_{-q/p}^-(K))$

Q: What does ϕ do to gradings?

Key Lemma: For $x \neq x_0$, $gr(\phi(x)) - gr(x)$

$gr(\phi(x)) - gr(x) = 1 - 2(\# \text{ punctures in shaded triangle})$

Key observation: grading change is

$\begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x \text{ on vertical segment at height } 0 \\ \leq -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Thm (Wong): Conjecture holds if $g(K) = 1$

reproof: If $g = 1$, all vertical segments at height 0 $\Rightarrow$ net increase in gradings under ϕ

on the other hand, must have at least half of all vertical segments at height 0

Large $g(K)$ and/or $q \Rightarrow \exists$ generator with large grading drop

$\Rightarrow \exists$ large chain of generators with ± 1 grading increase

$\Rightarrow$ large thickness