



Towards precise walking strides segmentation from accelerometry data in free-living: ADEPT method and further developments

Marta Karas Advised by Ciprian Crainiceanu, Jacek Urbanek

BIRS: Use of Wearable and Implantable Devices in Health Research Feb 25, 2020

Wearable and Implantable Technology (WIT) group



Ciprian Crainiceanu, Jacek Urbanek



Vadim Zipunnikov

John Muschelli







Lacev Etzkorn

Marta Karas



Debangan Dey







Eriia Cui



Jennifer Xu









Outline

1. ADEPT method for pattern segmentation, with application to walking strides



2. Towards walking segmentation in free-living: digital biomarkers of pain/stiffness in arthritis patients



Subj id=44, Age=31, Sex=Female, Wear on dominant=yea, worn %: 83.3 Distribution of the second of th

Raw accelerometry data

Macro-scale – summarized data (e.g. 1 min intervals)

• Activity counts, number of steps etc.



Micro-scale – raw accelerometry data (10Hz+)



Raw accelerometry data of walking



Axis - x - y - z



ADEPT method for automatic pattern segmentation from acc. time-series

Adaptive Empirical Pattern Transformation (ADEPT) method optimized to segment walking strides from acc vector magnitude time-series:

- 1. Use stride pattern template:
 - o scale (stretch/shrink),
 - translate (move)
- 2. Identify scale and time where template has the **highest similarity** (correlation, covariance) to accelerometry time-series



$$W_{\Psi}(s,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \Psi\left(\frac{t-\tau}{s}\right) \mathrm{d}t.$$



* Karas, M., Straczkiewicz, M., Fadel, W., Harezlak, J., Crainiceanu, C., Urbanek, J.K. Adaptive empirical pattern transformation (ADEPT) with application to walking stride segmentation. Biostatistics, 2019.

Accelerometry vector magnitude



300

400 500



ADEPT method for automatic segmentation of walking strides: strengths

• Uses data [r] vector magnitude for pattern-matching

Method is invariant to sensor rotation (does not rely on device axes orientation relative to human body)

 In pattern matching, considers simultaneously: (a) various pattern width values, (b) multiple stride patterns,

Accounts for that different people walk differently and with various pace

• Uses maxima-fine tuning step in the algorithm

Algorithm returns precise location of start/end of a walking stride

ADEPT method: application examples

Context:

- Supervised walking, semi-supervised walking, free-living walking [work in progress]
- Clinical intervention, clinical trial, epidemiological study

Derive measurements of walking/gait:

- Cadence/walking stride duration
- Functional data analysis of subject-specific stride pattern
- Diurnal patterns of walking
- Variability of those parameters within a trial

Use to:

- Characterize/differentiate individuals in population
- Characterize *changes* within those parameters for individual over time



Adaptive empirical pattern transformation (ADEPT) with application to walking stride segmentation

Marta Karas ख़, Marcin Strączkiewicz, William Fadel, Jaroslaw Harezlak, Ciprian M Crainiceanu, Jacek K Urbanek

Biostatistics, kxz033, https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxz033 Published: 23 September 2019 Article history ▼

- Method application to segment walking strides from continuous walking from
 32 healthy adults at 4 locations: wrist, hip and both ankles
- Highest grade of results reproducibility (R)
 - All data and code available, results 100% reproducible



ADEPT method: software

R package adept implements ADEPT method

- CRAN index, GitHub repo
- Vignette 1: Introduction to adept package
- Vignette 2: Walking strides segmentation with adept
- Selected in Top new R packages in May 2019

Also:

- Language-agnostic pseudocode provided [link]
- Implemented on GPU for superior speed performance

May 2019: "Top 40" New CRAN Packages



Two hundred twenty-two new packages made it to CRAN in May, and it was more of an effort than usual to select the "Top 40". Nevertheless, here they are in nine categories, Computational Methods, Data, Machine Learning, Mathematics, Medicine, Science, Statistics. Utilities and Visualization.

Medicine

adept v1.1.2: Provides functions for analyzing high-density data from walking strides collected from a wearable accelerometer worn during continuous walking activity. There is an Introduction and a vignette on walking stride segmentation.



Digital biomarkers of pain/stiffness in arthritis patients from wrist-collected accelerometry data

- Arthritis swelling and tenderness of one or more of your joints; main symptoms are joint pain and stiffness, traditionally measured with questionnaires
- Issue: in drug development, we want to have pain and stiffness being **objectively measured**



Image source: https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/arthritis/symptoms-c auses/

Digital biomarkers of pain/stiffness in arthritis patients from wrist-collected accelerometry data

- Arthritis swelling and tenderness of one or more of your joints; main symptoms are joint pain and stiffness, traditionally measured with questionnaires
- Issue: in drug development, we want to have pain and stiffness being **objectively measured**

Previous work (observational study):

- 5x sit-to-stand exercise time is associated with self-reported pain and stiffness scores
 - Used wrist-worn sensor raw data to measure 5x sit-to-stand time

dıgıtal bı⊛markers	DOWNLOAD FULLTEXT PDF
	Open occess
Research Report - Research Article	

Observational Study of a Wearable Sensor and Smartphone Application Supporting Unsupervised Exercises to Assess Pain and Stiffness

Perraudin C.G.M.^a · Illiano V.P.^a · Calvo F.^a · O'Hare E.^a · Donnelly S.C.^b · Mullan R.H.^b · Sander O.^a · Caulfield B.^c · Dorn J.F.^a

Digital biomarkers of pain/stiffness in arthritis patients from wrist-collected accelerometry data

- Arthritis swelling and tenderness of one or more of your joints; main symptoms are joint pain and stiffness, traditionally measured with questionnaires
- Issue: in drug development, we want to have pain and stiffness being **objectively measured**

Previous work (observational study):

- 5x sit-to-stand exercise time is associated with self-reported pain and stiffness scores
 - Used wrist-worn sensor raw data to measure 5x sit-to-stand time

-----Digital download fulltext pdf Di@ITHAFKErS Open access Research Report - Research Article

Observational Study of a Wearable Sensor and Smartphone Application Supporting Unsupervised Exercises to Assess Pain and Stiffness

 $\label{eq:constraint} Perraudin C.G.M.^a \cdot Illiano V.P.^a \cdot Calvo F.^a \cdot O'Hare E.^a \cdot Donnelly S.C.^b \cdot Mullan R.H.^b \cdot Sander O.^a \cdot Caulfield B.^c \cdot Dorn J.F.^a$

We propose:

- Segment walking strides from accelerometry data collected in free-living
- Use data features of walking strides (stride duration time, others) as pain/stiffness digital biomarker candidates

Segment walking in free-living: algorithm

1. Use ADEPT pattern-matching algorithm to identify individual walking strides pattern

2. Identify valid walking bouts in free-living data

3. Derive data features for each walking stride data segment



0.0 11:24:30 11:24:32 11:24:38

Apr 15, 2016

ADEPT* pattern-segmentation 3.0 2.0 MMM 0.0 11:24:30 Apr 15, 2016 Identified walking stride

(2 subsequent steps)

Walking bout:

- sequence of >= 3 walking strides
- subsequent strides must be "valid and similar to each other"



Describe each walking stride:

- 1. Duration time [s]
- 2. Vector magnitude peak-to-peak
- 3. Vector magnitude standard deviation
- 4. Vector magnitude count
- 5. Azimuth peak-to-peak
- Azimuth standard deviation 6.



1. Stride duration time [s]

Digital biomarkers of pain/stiffness in arthritis patients from wrist-collected accelerometry data: challenges

- Validation of method for walking segmentation from data collected at wrist in free-living:
 - No gold standard
 - No free-living raw accelerometry data with precise walking labels
- Statistical modeling of association between walking data features and self-reported scores of pain and stiffness
 - Account for context of walking?
 - Use walking information from morning only / whole day?
 - Use 1-point summary of per-day per-subject measurements, or use functional predictor?

Idea #1: Example: one study participant.

Subj id=44, Age=31, Sex=Female, Wear on dominant=yes, worn %: 83.3 Arthritis type=none, Arthritis years=0.0



Idea #2:

- Right upper figure: based on other Novartis study, data collected with accelerometry at belt buckle-worn sensor.
- Right lower figure: Presented study, data collected with accelerometry nondominant wristworn sensor.



Thank you!

