

① Casselman's b-day ← say happy b-day!

Beyond endoscopy and boundary terms w/
a view towards nonabelian + rare formulae

§0 BE + PS

$F = \#$ field,

$G \subset \text{red } F\text{-gp.}$

$\tau: {}^L G \rightarrow GL(V_r)$ a rep["]

Langlands Beyond Endoscopy proposal For $f \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_F))$

Study $\sum_{\pi} \text{Res}_{S, \pi} L(s, \pi, \tau) \text{tr } \tau(f) \quad (*)$

L cusp. rep["] of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$

Why? Poles correspond to parameters

$\mathbb{A}_F \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow {}^L G$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}$ fixes a vector in V_r .

+ $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}$ is "almost" a Langlands dual of F -gp H .

try to compute w/ a suitable trace formula over all
such H & establish cases of Langlands functoriality.

Poisson-Scammon conjecture

Brauer - Kishida, Ngô, L-Lafforgue Schollard (for spherical)

To \mathbb{X} , associate M_r , a red. monoid w'

$$M_r^* = G.$$

- (2) There should exist $S(M_r(\mathbb{A}_F)) = \bigoplus S(M_s(F_v)) \subset C^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_F))$
+ a FT $\tilde{\gamma}: S(M_r(\mathbb{A}_F)) \rightarrow S(M_r(\mathbb{A}_F))$
st. (1) $\sum_{\gamma \in G(F)} f(\gamma) + * = \sum_{\gamma \in G(F)} \tilde{\gamma}(\gamma)(\gamma) + *$
(2) $\tilde{\gamma}$ is twisted equiv under $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$
(3) for $f = f_s b^s \in S(M_r(\mathbb{A}_F))$
 \vdash basic f
- $$\pi(f_s b^s) = \pi_s(f_s) L(\pi^s, r) \pi(\mathbf{1}_{G(\mathbb{A}_F)})$$

If true, can follow Godement-Jacquet argument
to prove anal eqⁿ of $L(s, \pi, \tau)$

Varying r and applying converse theory
obtain much of Langlands functoriality.

Idea of Ngô: Integrate the PS conjecture to study BE.

For $f \in S(M_r(\mathbb{A}_F))$, $f = f_s b^s$

$$\sum_{\gamma \in G(F)} f(g_1 \gamma g_2) = \sum_{\pi} L(\pi^s, r) K_{\pi(f_s \mathbf{1}_{G(\mathbb{A}_F)})}(g_1, g_2)$$

Integrating over the diagonal & taking a residue,
obtain $*$.

In order for this to be helpful, need a geometric understanding
of the residue.

③ Residues should corresp. to the "boundary terms" * in the PS formula.

Eg: $M_{\text{st}; GL_n \rightarrow GL_n} = M_{n,n}$, boundary terms are

$$M - GL_n$$

Cybersecurity in the public sector: the role of the state in protecting its citizens

However, in the case where M_{var} is non-smooth,

boundary terms are not simply f_3 on $M_r - G$.

(example later)

§1 The Rankin-Selley monoid

Ramkin - Salkley manifold

Take $\text{S} \otimes G \rightarrow G_{\text{L}_4}$, tensor product

Take $\tau: G \rightarrow GL_4$, tensor product
 $V_y(R) = M_{\tau}(R) = \{(X_1, X_2) \in gl_2(R)^2 \mid \det X_1 = \det X_2\}$

This is (a) Rankin - Selberg record

Have a tower of quad spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{est of det} &\rightarrow \cancel{V_4 \oplus G_a} \\
 \text{det} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_4 = gl_2^{(2)} \\ V_3 = gl_2 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} < gl_2 \\ V_2 = gl_2 \\ V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

④ Using this tower we can give a PS formula with (some) boundary terms

$$x_i = \text{zero law of } \partial\Omega \setminus V_i$$

Have Schwartz space

$$S(X_i(A_F)) = S(V_i(A_F) \oplus A_F^2)_{SL_2(A_F)}$$

with standard

$$\gamma_{x_i}: S(X_i(A_F)) \rightarrow S(X_i(A_F))$$

and

$$f_1 \otimes f_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} f_1 \otimes \begin{cases} f_2 \\ \text{standard } SL_2^* \text{-equiv. FT} \end{cases}$$

$$d: S(X_i(A_F)) \rightarrow S(X_{i+1}(A_F))$$

$$f_i \xrightarrow{\quad} \gamma_i(\xi \mapsto f(\xi, 0, 0))$$

For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$

$$Z(\cdot, z): S(V_i(A_F) \oplus A_F^2) \xrightarrow{\quad} \int e^{H_F(g)(2-i-z)} f(g) f(0, 0, 1) dg$$

$$f \mapsto \int_{N_2(A_F) \backslash SL_2(A_F)} \dots$$

$$\textcircled{I}: S(V_i(A_F) \oplus A_F^2) \xrightarrow{\quad} C^\infty(X^*(A_F))$$

integrate over SL_2

$$f_1 \otimes f_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} \left(\int_{N_2(A_F) \backslash SL_2(A_F)} e(g) f_1(\xi) f_2(g + (0, 1)) dg \right)$$

⑤ Theorem Let $f \in S(\chi_a(\mathbb{A}_F))$. Assume

$$d_3 \circ d_4(f) = d_3 \circ d_4(\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}(f)) = 0. \text{ Then}$$

$$Z_{\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}}(f, -2) + Z_{\tilde{\chi}_{x_3}}(d_4(f), -1) + \sum_{\xi \in X_4^0(F)} I(f)(\xi) + \sum_{\xi \in X_3^0(F)} I(d_4(f))(\xi)$$

$$= Z_{\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}}(\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}(f), -2) + Z_{\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}}(d_4(\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}(f)), -1) \\ + \sum_{\xi \in X_4^0(F)} I(\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}(f))(\xi) + \sum_{\xi \in X_3^0(F)} I(d_4(\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}(f)))\xi,$$

Rev.: Works for all quadratic spaces of even dim
Obtained while working w/ Kuykendall in response to
his questions.

(6)
Cor: Under the same assumptions as above, + ~~R(f)~~ $R(f)$ here cusp vinyl,

$$\sum_{\pi} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} L^s(s, \pi, f) K_{\pi(I_2(f))} \prod_{G/F} (\tilde{I}_1, \tilde{I}_2) \\ = \sum_{\xi \in X_3^0(F)} \left(Z_{\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}}(d_3(\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}(f)), -1) + \sum_{\xi \in X_3^0(F)} I(d_4(\tilde{\chi}_{x_4}(f))) \right) \xi$$

cusp. auto. up⁺ of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ $\subset GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \times GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$

⑥ §2 Nonabelian Tate formulae Assume F/k Galois

$\langle \gamma, \sigma \rangle = \text{Gal}(F/k)$, e.g. any simple

The π on $G(A_F) \subset GL_2(A_F)$ not contributes above at gp-

$\pi \cong \pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^\vee$ where π_0 on $GL_2(A_F)$.

$$\sum_{\pi_0} \text{Res}_{s=1} L^s(s, \pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^\vee) K_\pi(g, g_2, g_3, g_4)$$
$$= * + c_F \sum_{\xi \in X_3(F)} I(d_q(\gamma_x((g_1, g_2) \# (g_3, g_4)))(\xi))$$

(Can integrate over $\{(g, \sigma(g)), (h, \sigma(h))\}$)

to obtain a geometric expression for
the set of cusp. auto repⁿ of $GL_2(A_F)$ invariant

under $\langle \gamma, \sigma \rangle$

Will Ropes make the expression precise this spring?
With Tanya and Kary.

Hope: can relate to a corresp. expression over k
q study nonabelian b. class-

Simpler, more accessible: Study # of rep's fixed by
 $\text{Gal}(F/k)$, already unknown.

⑦ Rem: Even when we know the analytic cast of

$L(s, \pi, \epsilon)$, this shows the BS conjecture gives new information. Could be transformative.

Interestingly, possibly accessible case:

$$U_{P_{m,n}} \xrightarrow{\text{SL}_{m+n}/U_{m,n}^{\text{op}}} \text{RS moduli for } G_{l,m} \times G_{l,n}$$

(see J. Wey for the geometry).

§3 Sketch of the proof

$$\text{Have: } \mathfrak{I}_2, S(V_i(A_F) \oplus A_F^{(2)}) \rightarrow S(V_i(A_F) \oplus A_F^{(2)})$$

FT in 2nd variable of A_F .

Intertwines Weil on $V_i \oplus G_a^{(2)}$ &
Weil \otimes St on \mathfrak{I}_i

Let

$$\Theta_f(g) = \sum_{\xi \in V_i \oplus G_a^{(2)}(F)} \ell(g)f(\xi)$$

$$\text{Have } \Theta_{\mathfrak{I}_2^{-1}(f)} = \Theta_{\mathfrak{I}_2^{-1}(\tilde{f} \times_y f)} \text{ by}$$

PS.

$$\textcircled{S} \quad \text{OTOH} \quad \Theta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^{-1}(f)}(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in V_i(F) \otimes F^\times} \ell(\gamma s^\vee(g)) f(\gamma)$$

by PS.

$$\text{So if } \Theta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^{-1}(f)} \in L^1([SL_2])$$

$$\text{then } \int_{[SL_2]} \Theta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^{-1}(f)}(g) dg = \int_{[SL_2]} \Theta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^{-1}(\tilde{f}_\chi(f))}(g) dg$$

implies the theorem.

If not, replace $\Theta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^{-1}(f)}$ w/ its Arthur truncation

Separation terms appropriately yields the formula w/

boundary terms \square