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## Exponential modalities

Linear logic treats logical statements as resources which cannot be duplicated or destroyed

Linear logic accommodates non-linear types using exponential modalities
Two exponential modalities in linear logic:
! read as the 'bang' / 'of course' and ? read as the 'why not' / 'whimper'
For any resource $A$,
!A refers to an infinite supply of the resource $A$
?A represents the notion of infinite demand.

! A can be duplicated and destroyed.
! is used a de facto structure to model arbitrary dimensional spaces such as Bosonic Fock spaces in Physics.

## Complimentarity in quantum mechanics

A quantum observable refers to a measurable property of quantum system.

A pair of quantum obsevables are complimentary if measuring one observable increases uncertanity regarding the value of the other.

Example: position and momentum of an electron

## Question

Is there a connection between exponential modalities of linear logic and complimentary observables of quantum mechanics?

## Question

Is there a connection between exponential modalities of linear logic and complimentary observables of quantum mechanics?

## YES!!!

## Mathematical framework

## Categorical semantics of linear logic

## Linearly distributive categories (LDC) ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
\left(\mathbb{X}, \otimes, \top, a_{\otimes}, u_{\otimes}^{L}, u_{\otimes}^{R}\right) \quad\left(\mathbb{X}, \oplus, \perp, a_{\oplus}, u_{\oplus}^{L}, u_{\oplus}^{R}\right)
$$

linked by linear distributors: $\partial_{L}: A \otimes(B \oplus C) \rightarrow(A \otimes B) \oplus C$
Monoidal categories: LDCs in which $\otimes=\oplus$
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## Categorical semantics of! and ?

In a (!, ?)-LDC ${ }^{2}$
-! is a monoidal coalgebra comodality

- $(!, \delta:!\Rightarrow!!, \varepsilon:!\Rightarrow \mathbb{I})$ is a monoidal comonad
- For each $A,\left(!A, \Delta_{A}, e_{A}\right)$ is a cocommutative comonoid
- ! is a comonoidal algebra modality
- (?, $\mu: ? ? \Rightarrow$ ?, $\eta: \mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$ ?) is a comonoidal monad
- For each $A,\left(? A, \nabla_{A}, u_{A}\right)$ is a commutative monoid
- (!, ?) is a linear functor
- The pairs $(\delta, \mu),(\varepsilon, \eta),(\Delta, \nabla)$ are linear transformations

Examples: Category of finiteness relations, category of finiteness matrices over a commutative rig
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## Compact $\dagger$-linear logic: $\dagger$-monoidal categories

$\dagger$-monoidal categories: Monoidal categories with $\dagger: \mathbb{X}$ op $\rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ such that

- $A^{\dagger}=A$
- $f^{\dagger \dagger}=f$
- $(f \otimes g)^{\dagger}=f^{\dagger} \otimes g^{\dagger}$
- All basic natural isomorphisms are unitary (i.e., $a_{\otimes}^{\dagger}=a_{\otimes}^{-1}$ )

Examples: Category of Hilbert spaces and linear maps, category of sets and relations

Non-compact $\dagger$-linear logic ...

## Non-compact $\dagger$-linear logic: Mixed unitary categories

Mixed Unitary Category:


Examples:

- Complex finite dimensional matrices embedded into finiteness matrices
- Finite relations embedded into finiteness relations
- A'canonical' MUC can be constructed from any $\dagger$-isomix category: the category of pre-unitary objects embed into the $\dagger$-isomix category


## ! and ? in $\dagger$-linear logic

In a (!, ?)-dagger-LDC
-! is a monoidal coalgebra comodality

- $(!, \delta:!\Rightarrow!!, \varepsilon:!\Rightarrow \mathbb{I})$ is a comonad
- For each $A,\left(!, \Delta_{A}, e_{A}\right)$ is a cocommutative comonoid
- ! is a comonoidal algebra modality
- (? $A, \mu: ? ? \Rightarrow ?, \eta: \mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$ ?) is a monad
- For each $A,\left(? A, \nabla_{A}, u_{A}\right)$ is a commutative monoid
- (!, ?) is a dagger linear functor
- The pairs $(\delta, \mu),(\varepsilon, \eta),(\Delta, \nabla)$ are dagger linear transformations

Examples: Category of finiteness relations, category of finiteness matrices over a commutative rig

## A very rough plan

Step 1: Formulate measurements in MUCs
Step 2: Formulate complimentary systems in MUCs
Step 3: Prove the connection between exponential modalities and complimentary observables

## Step 1: Mesurement in MUCs

## Demolition measurement

In a $\dagger$-monoidal category, a demolition measurement ${ }^{3}$ on an object $A$ is retract from $A$ to a special commutative $\dagger$-Frobenius algebra, $E$.

$$
A \underset{r^{\dagger}}{\stackrel{r}{\rightleftarrows}} E \text { such that } r^{\dagger} r=1_{E}
$$

$E$ represents a quantum observable.
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Binary idempotent (any category): $A \underset{{ }_{v}}{\stackrel{u}{\longleftrightarrow}} B$ such that:

splitting $e_{A}:=u \stackrel{u}{v}$ and $e_{B}:=v u$ gives isǒmorphic objects
$\dagger$-binary idempotent: ( $\dagger$-LDC) $A \underset{v}{\stackrel{u}{\rightleftarrows}} A^{\dagger}$ such that $i u^{\dagger}=u \quad v i=v^{\dagger}$
Observation: $\left(e_{A}\right)^{\dagger}=v^{\dagger} u^{\dagger}==v i u^{\dagger}=v u=e_{A^{\dagger}}$

## Compaction $=$ splitting coring $\dagger$-binary idempotents

## Theorem:

In a $\dagger$-isomix category, $U$ is the canonical compaction of an object $A$,

$$
\Uparrow
$$

$U$ is given by splitting a coring* $\dagger$-binary idempotent on $A$.

* coring if and only if the idempotent split through the core


## Step 2: Formulate complimentary systems in MUCs

## Complimentary systems in $\dagger$-monoidal categories

In a $\dagger$-monoidal category, a quantum observable is given by a special commutative $\dagger$-Frobenius algebra $(A, \zeta,, \uparrow)$ also known as a classical structure ${ }^{4}$.

(a)

(b) $\mathrm{o}_{0}=9^{\dagger}$



Two $\dagger$-Frobenius algebras $(A, \not, \uparrow, \uparrow),(A, \not, \uparrow, \uparrow)$, on an object are complimentary ${ }^{5}$ if they interact to produce two Hopf algebras.
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## Linear monoids

Linear monoids generalize Frobenius algebras to LDCs.
In a symmetric LDC, a linear monoid, $A \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} B$, contains a:

- a monoid $(A, \zeta: A \otimes A \rightarrow A, \circ: \top \rightarrow A)$
- a dual for $A,(\eta, \varepsilon): A+B$


## Linear monoids

Linear monoids generalize Frobenius algebras to LDCs.
In a symmetric LDC, a linear monoid, $A \stackrel{\circ}{-} B$, contains a:

- a monoid $(A, Y: A \otimes A \rightarrow A, \uparrow: \top \rightarrow A)$
- a dual for $A,(\eta, \varepsilon): A+B$
together producing a comonoid $(B$, 人 : $B \rightarrow B \oplus B, \downarrow: B \rightarrow \perp)$


A self linear monoid is a linear monoid, $A \stackrel{ }{\circ}^{\circ} B$, with $A \simeq B$

## Linear monoids generalize Frobenius algebras

An object which is a Frobenius algebra is always a self-dual whereas a linear monoid has a monoid and a comonoid on distinct dual objects

A morphism of a Frobenius algebra is an isomorphism where as a morphism of linear monoid is more general.

## Proposition:

In a monoidal category, a Frobenius algebra is precisely a self linear monoid $A \stackrel{\circ}{+} B,(\alpha: A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B)$ satisfying the equation:


## Alternate characterization of linear monoids

A linear monoid, $A \stackrel{\circ}{+} B$, consists of a $\otimes$-monoid, $(A, Y, \rho)$, and a $\oplus$-comonoid, $(B, \stackrel{\alpha}{\infty}, \downarrow)$ and:

- monoid actions:

$$
A \otimes B \rightarrow B ; p: B \otimes A \rightarrow A
$$

- comonoid coactions:

satisfying certain equations. The Frobenius equation is given as follows:



## Linear bialgebras

## Linear monoid

a $\otimes$-monoid and a dual:
$(A, \zeta: A \otimes A \rightarrow A, \circ: \top \rightarrow A)$
$(\eta, \varepsilon): A+B$

## Linear comonoid

$(A, A: A \rightarrow A \otimes A, d: A \rightarrow \perp)$
$(\eta, \varepsilon): A+B$

Linear bialgebras

- a linear monoid $(A, \zeta, \uparrow) ;(\eta, \varepsilon): A+B$
- a linear comonoid $(A, A, \delta) ;\left(\eta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right): A+B$
such that $(A, Y, \uparrow, A, \downarrow)$ is a $\otimes$-bialgebra; $(B, Y, Y, \underset{,}{\propto}, \downarrow)$ is a $\oplus$-bialgebra
A self-linear bialgebra is a linear bialgebra where $A \simeq B$


## Complimentary systems

A complimentary system in an isomix category a self-linear bialagebra, $A$, such that:

$$
\text { [comp.1] } \bigcup_{0}=\underbrace{}_{0}=i \quad \text { [comp.2] } \sum_{0}=Y Y
$$

Lemma: If $A$ is a complimentary system, then $A$ is a $\otimes$-Hopf and $\oplus$-Hopf.

Step 3: Proving the connection between exponential modalities and complimentary systems

## Main result

## Theorem:

In a (!, ?)-isomix category with free exponential modalities, every complimentary system arises as a splitting of a binary idempotent on the linear bialgebra induced on the exponential modalities.
(The proof uses a series of results)
The structures and results discussed extend directly to $\dagger$-linear bilagebras in $\dagger$-LDCs with free exponential modalities due to the $\dagger$-linearity of (!, ?), $(\eta, \varepsilon),(\Delta, \nabla)$, and $(\llcorner,\lceil )$.

## Future work

Examples in physics...
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