

# A transcendental dynamical degree

Jeffrey Diller

University of Notre Dame

BIRS Algebraic Dynamics, Difference and Differential Equations  
November 17, 2021

Joint work with Jason Bell, Mattias Jonsson, and Holly Krieger

# Degrees of one variable rational maps

Let  $f(z) = P(z)/Q(z)$  be a rational map of a complex variable  $z$ .

- The *degree* of  $f$  is the integer  $\deg f := \max\{\deg P, \deg Q\}$ ;
- Alternatively,  $\deg(f) = \#f^{-1}(z)$ , for any point  $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$  in the Riemann sphere.
- Hence  $\deg(f^n) = (\deg f)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

# Rational maps of more variables

A rational map  $f : \mathbb{P}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  is one given (in affine coordinates) by  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ , where each component  $f_j$  is rational in  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ .

- Alternatively,  $f$  is given in homogeneous coordinates by  $f = [F_0, \dots, F_k]$  where  $F_j$  are homogeneous polynomials in  $x_0, \dots, x_k$  with  $\deg F_j$  independent of  $j$ .
- Set  $\deg(f) := \deg F_j =$  the (first) degree of  $f$ .
- Warning 1:  $\deg(f)$  is not the topological degree of  $f$ . Instead  $\deg(f) = \deg f^{-1}(H_1)$ , where  $H_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^k$  is a hyperplane.
- Warning 2: unlike  $k = 1$ , rational maps need not be open or even well-defined everywhere when  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $I(f)$  denote the (codimension  $\geq 2$ ) 'indeterminate set' of  $f$ .

# The first dynamical degree

## Proposition

If  $f : \mathbb{P}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  is rational, then  $\deg(f^{n+m}) \leq \deg(f^m) \deg(f^n)$ .  
Hence the **first dynamical degree**

$$\lambda(f) := \lim \deg(f^n)^{1/n} \in [1, \deg(f)].$$

exists.

Strict inequality can hold in this proposition and  $\lambda(f)$  need *not* be an integer.

## Problem

How do we compute  $\lambda(f)$ ? What are its possible values?

# Why care?

$\lambda(f)$  is a measure of the complexity of iterates of  $f$ . If  $p \in \mathbb{P}^k$  is a rational point, i.e. in homogeneous coordinates  $p = [x_0, x_1, x_2]$ , where  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  have no common prime factors, and we let  $\|p\| := \max |x_j|$  then

$$\lambda_{arith}(f, p) := \limsup (\log \|f^n(p)\|)^{1/n} \leq \lambda(f).$$

Might hope that equality holds for typical  $p$  and  $f$ .

This idea is sometimes used in e.g.s to compute  $\lambda(f)$ .

$\lambda(f)$  and other dynamical degrees also control the topological entropy of  $f$ .

## Bonus slide: Results from smooth dynamics

Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^\infty$  map on a compact smooth manifold. Topological entropy  $h_{top}(f) \geq$  logarithm of:

- (Misiurewicz-Przytycki) the topological degree  $d_{top}(f)$  of  $f$ .
- (Manning) the spectral radius  $\rho(f_*)$  of  $f_* : H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ .
- (Yomdin) the spectral radius of  $f_* : H_*(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_*(M, \mathbb{R})$  acting on *all* homology groups of  $M$ .

In any case,  $e^{h_{top}(f)}$  is bounded below by the magnitude of an eigenvalue of an integer matrix.

## Bonus slide: All the dynamical degrees

The  $j$ th degree of a rational map  $f : \mathbb{P}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  is the quantity

$$d_j(f) := (f^{-1}(H_j) \cdot H_{n-j}),$$

where  $H_j \subset \mathbb{P}^k$  is a general codimension  $j$  subspace. The  $j$ th dynamical degree is  $\lambda_j(f) := \lim d_j(f^n)^{1/n}$ .

**Theorem (Gromov, Dinh-Sibony)**

$$h_{top}(f) \leq \log \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \lambda_j(f).$$

Remark: equality is known to hold in many cases.

*Endomorphisms:*  $f : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  with  $I(f) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\lambda(f) = \deg(f)$ .

*Monomial maps:* (Lin, Favre-Wulcan)  $x \mapsto x^A := (x^{A_1}, \dots, x^{A_k})$  where  $A \in \text{Mat}_{k \times k}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a matrix with rows  $A_j$ . Then

$$\lambda = \rho(A),$$

where  $\rho(A) = |\text{leading eigenvalue of } A|$  is the spectral radius.

*Plane polynomial maps:* (Favre-Jonsson)  $f = (f_1, f_2)$ , where  $f_j$  are polynomials. Then  $\lambda(f)$  is a quadratic integer. Recently generalized to higher dimensions by Dang-Favre.

*Plane birational maps:* (D-Favre)  $f$  is invertible. Then  $\lambda(f)$  is an algebraic integer.



# More about dynamical degrees

Let  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  be obtained by blowing up. Can lift  $f : \mathbb{P}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  to get  $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ . Say  $f$  is *algebraically stable* on  $X$  if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,

$$(f^*)^n = (f^n)^* : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X).$$

## Proposition

*If  $f$  is algebraically stable on  $X$ , then  $\lambda = \rho(f^*)$ .*

## Proposition (Fornæss-Sibony)

*When  $k = 2$ ,  $f$  fails to be algebraically stable on  $X$  iff there exists a complex curve  $C \subset X$  such that  $f^n(C) \in I(f)$  for some  $n > 0$ .*

# Blowing up your problems

## Theorem (D-Favre)

*If  $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is birational, then there exists a blowup  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $f : X \dashrightarrow X$  is algebraically stable.*

$\exists$  more recent proofs by Lonjou-Urech and Birkett.

## Theorem (Bonifant-Fornæss)

*The set of all possible first dynamical degrees  $\lambda$  is **countable**.*

# Monomial maps again

## Theorem (Favre)

If  $A \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$  has eigenvalues  $\zeta, \bar{\zeta} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\frac{\arg \zeta}{2\pi} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $x \mapsto x^A$  is not algebraically stable on any blowup  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ .

Idea of the proof:  $x \mapsto x^A$  restricts to an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ . Suffices to consider 'toric' blowups  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ .

'Poles'  $C_\sigma \subset X \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$  are indexed by rational rays  $\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and map forward according to

$$C_\sigma \mapsto C_{A\sigma}.$$

.

## ... plus an involution

All monomial maps preserve the rational two form  $\frac{dx_1 \wedge dx_2}{x_1 x_2}$ .  
Converse isn't true. E.g. the involution

$$g : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \left( x_1 \frac{x_1 - x_2 - 1}{1 - x_1 - x_2}, x_2 \frac{x_2 - x_1 - 1}{1 - x_1 - x_2} \right)$$

### Theorem (D-Lin)

*If  $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  preserves  $\frac{dx_1 \wedge dx_2}{x_1 x_2}$ , then there exists a homogeneous, piecewise linear covering  $A_f : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  such that if  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is toric and  $C_\sigma \subset X$  is a pole, then  $f(C_\sigma) = C_{A_f(\sigma)}$ .*

Can check  $A_g = \text{id}$ . Hence for  $f(x) := g(x^A)$ , we have  $A_f = A$ ; thus  $f$  is 'unstabilizable' whenever  $x^A$  is.

# A power series formula

## Theorem (Bell-D-Jonsson)

Let  $\zeta$  be a Gaussian integer with  $\frac{\arg \zeta}{2\pi} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \zeta & -\operatorname{Im} \zeta \\ \operatorname{Im} \zeta & \operatorname{Re} \zeta \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $f(x) := g(x^A)$ . Then  $t = \lambda(f)^{-1}$  is the unique positive solution of

$$\Delta(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \deg(x^{A^n}) t^n = 1.$$

Hence  $\lambda(f) > \rho(A)$  is no longer an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

## Proposition

In the theorem,

$$\deg(x^{A^n}) = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \operatorname{Re} \gamma \zeta^n,$$

where  $\Gamma = \{-2, \pm 2i, 1 + \pm 2i\}$  is independent of  $A$ .

# The transcendence result

## Theorem (Bell-D-Jonsson)

*If  $t > 0$  is within the radius of convergence of  $\Delta(t)$ , then  $\Delta(t)$  and  $t$  can't both be algebraic.*

## Corollary

*$f = g(x^A)$  has transcendental first dynamical degree.*

Note that  $f$  is *not* invertible; its topological degree is  $|\det A| \neq 1$ .

# Proof of transcendence

For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\gamma(j) \in \Gamma$  be the element that maximizes  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \zeta^j$ . If  $\zeta^n$  is nearly real, then  $\gamma(j)$  is nearly  $n$ -periodic.

For real  $t \in (0, |\zeta|^{-1})$  write  $\alpha = t\zeta^{-1}$ . Then  $\Delta(\alpha) = \operatorname{Re} \Phi(\alpha)$ , where

$$\Phi(z) = \sum \gamma(j) z^j$$

is very well-approximated by  $\Phi_n(z) = (1 - z^n)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma(j) z^j$ .  
Indeed

$$|1 - z^n|^2 \operatorname{Re}(\Phi(z) - \Phi_n(z)) = \sum_{j>n} (\gamma(j) - \gamma(j-n)) z^j,$$

where most  $j > n$  are  $n$ -regular, i.e.  $\gamma(j) = \gamma(j-n)$ .

## Lemma

*Suppose that the continued fraction expansion of  $\frac{\arg \zeta}{2\pi}$  has unbounded coefficients. Then for any  $C > 1$ , there exist arbitrarily large  $n > 0$  such that all  $j \in (n, Cn]$  are  $n$ -regular. So*

$$0 < |1 - \alpha^n|^2 \operatorname{Re}(\Phi(\alpha) - \Phi_n(\alpha)) \lesssim \alpha^{Cn}$$

*Now assume to get a contradiction that  $\alpha$  and  $\Delta(\alpha) = \operatorname{Re} \Phi(\alpha)$  lie in a number field  $K \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  (also assumed to contain e.g.  $\Gamma$ ).*



## Theorem (Evertse. Sort of.)

Fix a finite subset  $\Gamma \subset K$ , positive integers  $\ell, D$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$  are polynomials in  $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}$  with coefficients in  $\Gamma$  and total degree  $D = \sum \deg x_j$ , then there exist  $B', r > 0$  such that

$$|x_1 + \dots + x_\ell| \geq B' r^{D+\epsilon} \max |x_j|$$

*provided no subsum on the left side vanishes*

Applying the theorem with  $\ell = 2$ ,  $x_1 = |1 - \alpha^{2n}| \operatorname{Re} \Phi(\alpha)$ ,  $x_2 = |1 - \alpha^n|^2 \operatorname{Re} \Phi_n(\alpha)$ , we get

$$|1 - \alpha|^2 \operatorname{Re}(\Phi(\alpha) - \Phi_n(\alpha)) \gtrsim r^{2n+\epsilon}.$$

which contradicts the previous estimate when  $C$  is large.

QED, except  $\arg \zeta / 2\pi$  might (for all we know) be of bounded type.

# Bellon-Viallet conjecture fails

## Theorem (Bell-D-Jonsson-Krieger)

*For all  $k \geq 3$ , there exist  $A \in SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$  and a birational involution  $g_k : \mathbb{P}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  such that  $\lambda(g_k(x^A))$  is transcendental.*

As before:

- $g(x^A)$  is now birational.
- Get a power series formula for  $\lambda$  similar to the one in the  $k = 2$  theorem.
- Again use Evertse's Theorem, continued fraction arguments etc to prove transcendence.

The matrix  $A$  is *much* harder to pin down. One needs

- (to deal with bounded type issues) no 'angular resonances' among roots of the characteristic polynomial for  $A$ ;
- and 'discordance' which requires replacing initial choice of  $A \in SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$  by a conjugate.
- (for the power series formula)  $A$ -orbits of finitely many integer vectors avoid finitely many rational hyperplanes, which requires replacing  $A$  by a power.

At least when  $k = 3$ , we can use Mathematica to verify all of these things for a particular matrix  $A$  with entries bounded by 20.

# Further questions

- Just what does the set of all possible dynamical degrees look like?
- Do the 'arithmetic degree's of these rational maps equal the first dynamical degrees? I.e. can they also be transcendental?
- Are there Gaussian integers with irrational arguments of unbounded type?
- Can we somehow circumscribe rational maps that can't be stabilized by e.g. blowing up?

**Thanks to the organizers and to BIRS and thanks for your attention!**