Quantum channels with (quantum) group symmetry Joint work with Sang-gyun Youn

Hun Hee Lee Seoul National University

CAHAS 2022

Quantum states, channels and symmetry

- \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}_A , \mathcal{H}_B : finite dimensional Hilbert spaces
 - A (quantum) state ρ on \mathcal{H} is a positive element in $B(\mathcal{H})$ with $Tr(\rho) = 1$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all states on \mathcal{H} .
 - A (quantum) channel Φ : B(H_A) → B(H_B) is a CPTP (completely positive, trace-preserving) map.
- Conservation of symmetry is a central theme in quantum theory, where group action (or representation) play an important role.
- For a fixed state ρ on H the set {U ∈ U(H) : UρU* = ρ} form a subgroup G of U(H), i.e. we get a unitary representation π : G → U(H).
- G: a **compact group** \Rightarrow rich finite dimensional representation theory!

Bipartite states and channels under symmetry

- For bipartite states acting on the composite system H_{AB} = H_A ⊗ H_B it is natural to consider "local" unitaries of the form U ⊗ V.
- $\begin{cases} \pi_A : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_A) \\ \pi_B : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_B) \end{cases}$ Unitary representations on a compact group G.
- (Def) A bipartite state ρ on \mathcal{H}_{AB} is called (π_A, π_B) -invariant if

$$[\pi_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\otimes\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)]\,\rho\,[\pi_{\mathcal{A}}(x)^*\otimes\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)^*]=\rho, \ \forall x\in \mathcal{G}.$$

In other words, ρ is invariant under the representation $\pi_A \otimes \pi_B$.

• (Def) A channel $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}_A) \to B(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is called (π_A, π_B) -covariant if

$$\Phi(\pi_A(x) \rho \, \pi_A(x)^*) = \pi_B(x) \Phi(\rho) \pi_B(x)^*, \ \forall x \in G, \ \forall \rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_A).$$

• The above concepts can obviously be extended to any operators on \mathcal{H}_{AB} and any linear maps from $B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ into $B(\mathcal{H}_B)$

The CJ-map and the state-channel duality

- (Notations)
 - $\mathcal{L}(A, B)$: linear maps from $B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ into $B(\mathcal{H}_B)$.
 - CP(A, B), CPTP(A, B): CP, CPTP maps from $B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ into $B(\mathcal{H}_B)$.
- (The **CJ-map**) $\mathcal{L}(A,B) \to B(\mathcal{H}_{AB}), \ \Phi \mapsto C_{\Phi} := \frac{1}{d_A} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_A} e_{ij} \otimes \Phi(e_{ij}).$
- (Choi-Jamiołkowski) For $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$

$$\Phi \in \mathit{CP}(A,B) \Leftrightarrow \mathit{C}_{\Phi} \in \mathit{B}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})_+.$$

• (State-channel duality) For $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$

 $\Phi \in \textit{CPTP}(A,B) \Leftrightarrow \textit{C}_{\Phi} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{AB}) \text{ with } \mathrm{Tr}_{B}(\textit{C}_{\Phi}) = \textit{id}_{A}/\textit{d}_{A}.$

- (**Prop**) $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ is (π_A, π_B) -covariant $\Leftrightarrow C_{\Phi}$ is $(\overline{\pi_A}, \pi_B)$ -invariant.
- Recall $\overline{\pi}$ is the **conjugate representation** given by $\overline{\pi}(x) = \pi(x^{-1})^T$, where X^T is the transpose of X.

Questions and previous works

- (**Q**) (1) Can we **characterize** invariant bipartite states/covariant channels? (2) Can we **describe QIT properties** of those states/channels **more effectively**?
- (Werner, Holevo, Vollbrecht, Keyl, \cdots)
 - ► U: the fundamental representation (identity map) of U(n)
 O: the fundamental representation of O(n)
 - (Werner states/Werner-Holevo channels)
 - ★ (U, U)-invariant states $\rho_{\lambda} = \lambda p_0 + (1 \lambda)p_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n), 0 \le \lambda \le 1$ for some projections p_0, p_1 on \mathbb{C}^{2n} . \Rightarrow a line-segment (or a 1-simplex)
 - ★ (\overline{U}, U) -covariant channels $\Phi_{\lambda} : B(\mathbb{C}^n) \to B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $C_{\Phi_{\lambda}} = \rho_{\lambda}$.
 - (Isotropic states/depolarizing channels)
 - ★ (\overline{U}, U) -invariant states $\rho_t = tq_0 + (1 t)q_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$, $0 \le t \le 1$ for some projections q_0, q_1 on \mathbb{C}^{2n} . \Rightarrow a line-segment (or a 1-simplex)
 - ★ (U, U)-covariant channels $\Psi_t : B(\mathbb{C}^n) \to B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $C_{\Psi_t} = \rho_t$ depolarizing channels.
 - (O, O)-inv. states (resp. (O, O)-cov. channels) form a **2-simplex**.

Previous works: continued

- (Al Nuwairan '14, SU(2) case) $\widehat{SU(2)} = \{\pi_n : n \ge 1, \text{ dim } \pi_n = n+1\}.$
 - A complete description of extreme points of the convex set of (π_n, π_m)-covariant channels.
 - Made connection to invariant states by Vollbrecht-Werner.
 - Serious representation theory beyond the fundamental ones is used.
- (Datta et al., '17, finite group case)
 - Finite group cases (e.g. S_n , n = 3, 4) have been examined.
 - Multiplicity free condition of tensor decomposition is used.
- (Def) We say that the irreducible decomposition $\pi_A \otimes \pi_B \cong \pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_n$ for two finite dimensional representations π_A, π_B of G is called **multiplicity free** if π_j and π_k are not equivalent for any $j \neq k$.

Main results and the Clebsch-Gordan channels

- (Thm) Let π_A, π_B be irreducible unitary representations of a cpt group G s.t. π_A ⊗ π_B is multiplicity-free. Then, the set of (π_A, π_B)-covariant channels is a simplex whose extreme points are exactly the Clebsch-Gordan channels.
- For $\overline{\pi_A} \otimes \pi_B \cong \pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_n$
 - \Rightarrow π_A is a subrepresentation of $\pi_B \otimes \overline{\pi_j}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$
 - \Rightarrow there is an isometry $V_j : \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}_j}$ such that

 $V_j^*[\pi_B(x)\otimes\overline{\pi_j}(x)]V_j=\pi_A(x),\ x\in G.$

(Def) The channel Φ_j ∈ CPTP(A, B) with V_j as the Stinespring isometry, i.e.

$$\Phi_j(X) := (Id \otimes \mathsf{Tr})(V_j X V_j^*), \ X \in B(\mathcal{H}_A)$$

is called the Clebsch-Gordan channels (shortly, CG-channels).

• (Brannan/Collins/L./Youn, CMP '20) *SU*(2)-CG-channels are not "coming from" known examples including quantum erasure, amplitude damping, dephasing and depolarizing channels.

Remarks on the proof

- (Step 1) We first focus on the $(\overline{\pi_A}, \pi_B)$ -invariant states acting on \mathcal{H}_{AB} and observe that they form a simplex with extreme points being orthogonal projections onto the subspaces appearing in the decomposition of $\overline{\pi_A} \otimes \pi_B$.
- (Step 2) We check that the mentioned projections are exactly the images of CG-channels through the CJ-map.
- (Our contributions) We highlighted the role of the CJ-map and the CG-channels.

When do we get the multiplicity-free condition?

• (SU(2) case) Recall $\widehat{SU(2)} = \{\pi_n : n \ge 1, \text{ dim } \pi_n = n+1\}.$

$$\pi_n \otimes \pi_m \cong \pi_{n+m} \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_{|n-m|}$$

is multiplicity-free! Note $\overline{\pi_n} \cong \pi_n$.

- (U(n), O(n) cases) U ⊗ U, U ⊗ U, O ⊗ O are multiplicity free. Note O = O.
- $(S_n \text{ case})$ Recall that the fundamental representation of S_n , i.e. permutation matrices decomposes into $(n) \oplus (n-1,1)$. The (n-1)-dimensional component (n-1,1) will be denoted by V.

$$V \otimes V \cong (n) \oplus (n-1,1) \oplus (n-2,2) \oplus (n-2,1,1)$$

is multiplicity free. Note $\overline{V} = V$.

- ► Thus, (V, V)-covariant channels form a 3-simplex.
- When we can specify the intertwining isometries, we can write down the corresponding CG-channels. For example, n = 4 case has been examined in the paper [LY].

The case of projective representations

- All the above results can be extended to projective representations.
- Recall that $\sigma: \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{T}$ is called a **2-cocycle** if

$$\sigma(s,t)\sigma(st,u) = \sigma(s,tu)\sigma(t,u), \ \sigma(s,e) = \sigma(e,t) = 1, \ s,t,u \in G.$$

We say a strongly continuous map $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a **projective** representation w.r.t. σ (shortly, σ -rep.) if

$$\pi(st) = \pi(s)\pi(t)\sigma(s,t), \ s,t \in G.$$

- F: finite abelian group, $G := F \times \widehat{F}$
 - $\sigma((x,\gamma),(y,\delta)) := \gamma(y).$
 - ► The unique σ -rep. $W : G \to \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(F))$ is given by $W(x, \gamma) := M_{\gamma} T_x$, where $T_x f(y) = f(y - x)$, $M_{\gamma} f(y) = \gamma(y) f(y)$, $f \in \ell^2(F)$.
- $\overline{W} \otimes W$: multiplicity-free with the associated CG-channels $\operatorname{Ad}_{W(s)}$, $s \in G$.
- (W, W)-covariant channels for G = Z_d, d ≥ 1 are called the Weyl covariant channels in the literature.

Applications (in progress): Degradability

- A channel Φ with its Stinespring representation
 Φ(X) = id ⊗ Tr(VXV*) has a complementary channel Φ^c given by
 Φ^c(X) = Tr ⊗ id(VXV*). We say that Φ is degradable if there is another channel Ψ such that Ψ ∘ Φ = Φ^c.
- (Remarks)
 - When a (π_A, π_B)-cov. channel Φ is degradable, we can take Ψ with a suitable covariance (with the multiplicity free assumption)!
 - Covariance is well-preserved by composition.
- (Thm) π₂: irreducible SU(2)-representation with dim= 3. There are only two degradable channels among (π₂, π₂)-covariant channels.
- We use composition rules of CG-channels and the above remarks for a systematic approach.

Applications (in progress): EBT and PPT

- Recall that a channel Φ is called **EBT** (entanglement breaking) and **PPT** if C_{Φ} is separable and PPT, respectively.
- (Recall)
 - Φ is EBT $\Leftrightarrow \Psi \circ \Phi$ is CP for any positive Ψ
 - Φ is PPT \Leftrightarrow $T \circ \Phi$ is CP, where T is the transpose map.
- (Rem)

- Covariance is actually a property of a linear map and positive covariance maps have similar structure (with the multiplicity free assumption)

- Sometimes taking a unitary conjugate after T can be covariant.
- (**Thm**) EBT = PPT among (π_2, π_2) -covariant channels.
- We use, again, composition rules of CG-channels and the above remarks for a systematic approach.

Quantum groups

- All the results in the above are true (except the projective representation case) for compact quantum groups of Kac-type.
- For non-Kac type quantum groups (e.g. $SU_q(2)$) we can adapt the **Heisenberg picture**, i.e. **UCP maps** for quantum channels. The Clebsch-Gordan maps still play an important role, but we need to use quantum trace instead of the usual trace.
- The usual Schrödinger picture is not suitable for non-Kac case since we can show that $SU_q(2)$ -covariant channels are rarely TP.

Thank you for your attention.