

Non-negativity of a local classical solution to the Relativistic Boltzmann Equation without Angular Cut-off

Robert Strain (University of Pennsylvania)
with collaborator:
Jin Woo Jang (POSTECH).

10.1007/s00220-021-04101-2 and 10.1007/s40818-022-00137-2
and "In Preparation"

Banff International Research Station for Mathematical
Innovation and Discovery (BIRS),
Recent Progress in Kinetic and Integro-Differential Equations,
Monday, November 07, 2022.

The relativistic Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t F + \frac{p}{p^0} \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \geq 0$$

- $F = F(t, x, p)$: probability density in (position, velocity)
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$: domain in space
- $\frac{p}{p^0} \cdot \nabla_x F$: free transport term
- $p = (p^1, p^2, p^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $p^\mu = (p^0, p)$ where $p^0 = \sqrt{1 + |p|^2}$ is the energy of a relativistic particle with momentum p .
- $Q(F, F)$ is the, local in (t, x) , “collision operator”:

$$Q(f, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega \quad v_\phi \sigma(g, \theta) [f(p')h(q') - f(p)h(q)],$$

where $v_\phi = v_\phi(p, q)$ is the Møller velocity given by

$$v_\phi(p, q) = \sqrt{\left| \frac{p}{p^0} - \frac{q}{q^0} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{p}{p^0} \times \frac{q}{q^0} \right|^2} = \frac{g\sqrt{s}}{p^0 q^0}.$$

Quantities in the collision operator

The post-collisional momentum are written for $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$ as

$$p' = \frac{p+q}{2} + \frac{g}{2} \left(\omega + (\xi - 1)(p+q) \frac{(p+q) \cdot \omega}{|p+q|^2} \right),$$

$$q' = \frac{p+q}{2} - \frac{g}{2} \left(\omega + (\xi - 1)(p+q) \frac{(p+q) \cdot \omega}{|p+q|^2} \right).$$

where $p^0 = \sqrt{1 + |p|^2}$ and $q^0 = \sqrt{1 + |q|^2}$, $\xi = \frac{p^0 + q^0}{\sqrt{s}}$. The scattering angle, $\cos \theta$, is defined as

$$\cos \theta = 1 - 2 \frac{g(p, p')^2}{g(p, q)^2}.$$

Known to be a well defined angle. The relative momentum g is

$$g = g(p, q) = \sqrt{2(p^0 q^0 - p \cdot q - 1)} \geq 0.$$

And $s = g^2 + 4$.

Hypothesis on the collision kernel

$$\sigma(g, \theta) = \Phi(g)\sigma_0(\theta), \quad \Phi(g) \geq 0, \quad \sigma_0(\theta) \geq 0,$$

where

$$\Phi(g) = C_\Phi g^\rho, \quad C_\Phi > 0.$$

Additionally $\theta \mapsto \sigma_0(\theta)$ is **not locally integrable**:

$$\sigma_0(\theta) \approx \theta^{-2-\gamma}, \quad \gamma \in (0, 2), \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \pi/2].$$

We allow that

$$-\frac{3}{2} - \gamma < \rho < 2$$

where $\rho + \gamma \geq 0$ are called **hard potentials**,
and $\rho + \gamma < 0$ are called **soft potentials**.

- This full set of physical assumptions on the collision kernel proposed by Dudynski and Ekiel-Jezewska in 1988 in CMP.
- **This collision kernel makes the relativistic Boltzmann equation into a non-local fractional diffusion equation.**

Examples of relativistic kernels from physics literature

Møller Scattering: de Groot, van Leeuwen, van Weert (1980).

This is an approximation of electron-electron scattering.

$$\sigma = r_0^2 \frac{1}{u^2(u^2 - 1)^2} \frac{(2u^2 - 1)^2}{\sin^4\theta} - \frac{2u^4 - u^2 - 1/4}{\sin^2\theta} + \frac{1}{4}(u^2 - 1)^2,$$

where $u = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2mc}$ and $r_0 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi mc^2}$.

Israel, J. Math. Phys. (1963)

$$\sigma = \frac{m}{2g} b(\theta)$$

Other example kernels such as Short range interactions, Compton Scattering (de Groot, van Leeuwen, van Weert (1980)), and Neutrino Gas (Dijkstra, van Leeuwen (1978)).

Relativistic Maxwellian equilibrium: Jüttner Solutions

The equilibrium states are characterized as a particle distribution which maximizes the entropy subject to constant mass, momentum, and energy. They are given by

$$J(p) = \frac{e^{-\frac{cp^0}{k_B T}}}{4\pi ck_B T K_2\left(\frac{c^2}{k_B T}\right)},$$

where k_B is Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature, and K_2 stands for the Bessel function $K_2(z) = \frac{z^2}{2} \int_1^\infty dt e^{-zt} (t^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}$.

The Jüttner solution with normalized constants is

$$J(p) = \frac{e^{-p^0}}{4\pi}.$$

(short incomplete) list of Relativistic Boltzmann results

- Bichteler (1967 local wellposedness for a bounded cross-section)
- Dudyński and Ekiel-Jeżewska (1988-89 L^2 solutions to linearized equation)
- Glassey and Strauss (1993 smooth solutions on \mathbb{T}^3 for the hard potentials)
- Glassey and Strauss (1995 whole space result for hard potentials)
- Strain (2010 global existence and stability for soft potentials, \mathbb{T}^3)
- Strain (2010 global Newtonian limit near vacuum)
- Speck and Strain (2011, Hilbert expansion to relativistic fluids)
- Guo and Strain (2012 two-species Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann near eq.)
- Strain and Zhu (2012 soft potentials in \mathbb{R}^3)
- Lee and Rendall (2013 global existence for spatially homogeneous and hard potentials, both Minkowski and Robertson-Walker spacetime)
- Duan and Yu (2017 global existence and stretched exponential decay for soft potentials, \mathbb{T}^3)
- Wang (2018, initial smallness in $L_x^1 L_p^\infty$ and mass, energy, entropy)
- Nishimura (2018, initial smallness in $L_x^\infty L_{p,loc}^1$ and mass, energy, entropy)
- Bae, Jang, and Yun (2021, global wellposedness for quantum statistics)
- Jang and Strain (2022, global wellposedness **without angular cutoff**)

The (Newtonian) Boltzmann equation (1872)

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \geq 0$$

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$: domain in space
- $v \cdot \nabla_x F$: free transport term
- $Q(F, F)$: collision operator, local in (t, x) , quadratic operator

$$Q(F, G)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv_* \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\sigma B(v - v_*, \sigma) [F(v'_*)G(v') - F(v_*)G(v)].$$

- Pre-post collisional velocities (v, v_*) and (v', v'_*) satisfy

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma,$$

$$v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma.$$

Newtonian “cancellation lemma”

Collision kernel: $B(v - v_*, \sigma) \approx |v - v_*|^\alpha \theta^{-2-\nu}$ for $\alpha > -3$ and $\nu \in (0, 2)$. Alexandre-Desvillettes-Villani-Wennberg (2000):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\sigma B(v - v_*, \sigma) (F(v') - F(v)) = (F * S)(v_*)$$

$$S(z) = C_3 |z|^\alpha, \quad 0 < C_3 < \infty.$$

This holds for a general class of functions $F(v)$. This is based upon the **change of variables** $v' \rightarrow v$ with Jacobian determinant

$$\left| \frac{dv'}{dv} \right| = \frac{1}{4} (\cos(\theta/2))^2 \geq \frac{1}{8} > 0 \quad \left(0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$$

This was a big part of the foundation for estimates of the non-local fractional diffusion of the Newtonian Boltzmann equation.

Newtonian “cancellation lemma” in general

- Consider the difference

$$u = \vartheta v' + (1 - \vartheta) v, \quad \vartheta \in [0, 1].$$

- The change of variable $u \rightarrow v$ has Jacobian determinant:

$$\left| \frac{du}{dv} \right| = \left(1 - \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right)^2 \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right) + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \cos \theta \right\} \geq \left(1 - \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right)^3 > 0,$$

since $\cos \theta \geq 0$ on $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$.

- Various versions of this change of variables has served as the foundation for virtually all estimates of the non-local fractional diffusion for the Newtonian Boltzmann equation.
- We show in the special relativistic situation that this analogous change of variables is generally not well defined.

Relativistic Carleman representation

For $G = G(p, q, p', q')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dq}{q^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dq'}{q'^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp'}{p'^0} s\sigma(g, \theta) \delta^{(4)}(p'^\mu + q'^\mu - p^\mu - q^\mu) G \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp'}{p'^0} \int_{E_{p'-p}^q} \frac{d\pi_q}{8\bar{g}q^0} s\sigma(g, \theta) G, \end{aligned}$$

where G has a sufficient vanishing condition so that the integrals are well-defined. Here $E_{p'-p}^q$ is the two-dimensional hypersurface for relativistic collisions which is defined as

$$E_{p'-p}^q = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (p'^\mu - p^\mu)(p_\mu + q_\mu) = 0\}.$$

And the measure is defined by

$$d\pi_q = dq u(p^0 + q^0 - p'^0) \delta\left(\frac{\bar{g}}{2} + \frac{q^\mu(p_\mu - p'_\mu)}{\bar{g}}\right).$$

Here $u(x) = 0$ if $x < 0$, and $u(x) = 1$ if $x \geq 0$. Also $\bar{g} = g(p, p')$ measures the difference between p and p' .

Proof of the lack of a relativistic “cancellation lemma”

Formally write down the following relativistic quantity:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega v_{\phi} \sigma(g, \theta) (J(q) - J(q')) = \tilde{\zeta}_1^B(p) - \tilde{\zeta}_2^B(p).$$

Here $\tilde{\zeta}_1^B(p)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_2^B(p)$ are written in a relativistic Carleman representation. Recall the relativistic Maxwellian (a Schwartz function) is

$$J(p) = \frac{e^{-p^0}}{4\pi}.$$

Merely assuming the collision kernel: $\sigma(g, \theta) = \text{constant}$. Then

$$\tilde{\zeta}_1^B(p) < \infty, \quad \text{but} \quad \tilde{\zeta}_2^B(p) = \infty.$$

We conclude that there is no such “cancellation lemma” for the relativistic Boltzmann equation, and this statement is independent of the coordinates chosen. (Jang and S, 2022, Ann. PDE, [10.1007/s40818-022-00137-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40818-022-00137-2))

Linearization of relativistic Boltzmann equation

We consider the time evolution of perturbations

$$F(t, x, p) = J(p) + \sqrt{J(p)}f(t, x, p).$$

The perturbation $f = f(t, x, p)$ evolves via the equation

$$\partial_t f + \frac{p}{p^0} \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf = \Gamma(f, f),$$

where the non-linear collision operator is

$$\Gamma(f, h) = J^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q(\sqrt{J}f, \sqrt{J}h),$$

and the linearized collision operator is given by

$$Lf = -\Gamma(f, \sqrt{J}) - \Gamma(\sqrt{J}, f).$$

Global wellposedness nearby equilibrium without cutoff

Theorem (Jang and S, Ann. PDE (2022))

Fix $N \geq 2$, which represents the total number of *spatial* derivatives. Fix $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Choose

$$f_0 = f_0(x, p) \in H_l^N(\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$$

for any fixed $l \geq 0$ which satisfies the conservation laws.

There is a small $\eta_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\|f_0\|_{H_l^N(\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \eta_0,$$

then there exists a unique global solution, $f(t, x, p)$, to the relativistic Boltzmann equation which satisfies

$$f(t, x, p) \in L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H_l^N(\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L_t^2((0, \infty); L_{l, N}^{\rho, \gamma}(\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Question.

Non-negativity of F ?

Non-negativity and local wellposedness theorems

Theorem (Jang and S, Non-negativity)

Fix an integer $N \geq 2$. Let $F = J + \sqrt{J}f$ be a solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation under the non-cutoff hypothesis with initial condition $\|f_0\|_{H_x^N L_p^2}$ that is sufficiently small. (Such a solution exists locally-in-time by the theorem below.) Suppose $F_0 = J + \sqrt{J}f_0 \geq 0$ initially on $\mathbb{T}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_p^3$. Then, we have $F \geq 0$ on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_p^3$.

Theorem (Jang and S, Local wellposedness)

For any sufficiently small $M_0 > 0$, there exists a time $T_0 = T_0(M_0) > 0$ and $M_1 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0\|_{H_x^N L_p^2}^2 \leq M_1$, then there exists a unique solution $F = J + \sqrt{J}f$ to the relativistic Boltzmann equation on $[0, T_0) \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \mathcal{M}(f(t)) \leq M_0,$$

where the energy norm is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}(f(t)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f(t)\|_{H_x^N L_p^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{H_x^N L_p^{\gamma, \gamma}}^2.$$

Sequence of approximated solutions

- For the proofs of **both** non-negativity and local-wellposedness, we consider the solution to the Boltzmann equation as a limit of approximate solutions $\{F^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F^{n+1} + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x F^{n+1} = Q(F^n, F^{n+1}), \\ F^0(t, x, p) = J(p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{4\pi} e^{-p^0}, \\ F^{n+1}|_{t=0} = F_0 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

- This construction of the sequence of approximated solutions is **slightly different** from that of Jang-S (Ann. PDE, 2022), and we need a proof for the local existence again using this sequence.
- Want to emphasize that a lot of computations that are “trivial” in the Newtonian case become very serious challenging algebraic and conceptual difficulties in the special relativistic situation.

For the proof of non-negativity

- Fix any $\lambda > 0$. Taking a sufficiently large $\kappa > 0$ such that $\frac{\lambda}{2\kappa} > T$, we define for $n \geq 0$

$$h^n(t, x, p) = J_\kappa^{-1} F^n(t, x, p),$$

with

$$J_\kappa = J_\kappa(t, p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-(\lambda - \kappa t)p^0}.$$

- Then a sequence of approximated solutions $\{h^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\partial_t h^{n+1} + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x h^{n+1} + \kappa p^0 h^{n+1} = \Gamma^\kappa(h^n, h^{n+1})$$

where

$$\Gamma^\kappa(f, h) = J_\kappa(t)^{-1} Q(J_\kappa(t)f, J_\kappa(t)h).$$

- This uses the maximum principle approach of Alexandre, Morimoto, Ukai, Xu and Yang from (ARMA, 2010)

Main strategy for the proof of non-negativity

- Consider the convex function

$$\beta(s) = \frac{1}{2}(s_-)^2 = \frac{1}{2}s(s_-),$$

with $s_- = \min\{s, 0\}$.

- Our goal is to prove $\beta(h_-^{n+1}) = 0$ under the following induction hypothesis:

- ① Suppose that there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| e^{\lambda p^0} F^n(t, x, p) \right\|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_x^3; L^2(\mathbb{R}_p^3))} \leq M,$$

where $M > 0$ is independent of n .

- ② Suppose that $F^n \geq 0$.

L^2 -type energy inequality for h_-^{n+1}

Obtain with algebraic weight function $\varphi(x)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \beta(h^{n+1}) \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp + \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} p^0 \beta(h^{n+1}) \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Gamma^\kappa(h^n, h_-^{n+1}) h_-^{n+1} \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega v_\theta \sigma(g, \theta) J_\kappa(t, q) h_-^{n+1}(p) \varphi(x)^{-2} \\ & \quad \times (h^n(q') h_+^{n+1}(p') - h^n(q) h_+^{n+1}(p)) \\ & \quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \beta(h^{n+1}) \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp \\ & = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \beta(h^{n+1}) \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp. \end{aligned}$$

Further decompositions

\mathcal{A}_1 can further be decomposed into $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2$

$$\mathcal{B}_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega v_{\vartheta} \sigma(g, \theta) J_{\kappa}(t, q) h^n(q) h_{\varphi}^{n+1}(p) \\ \times (h_{\varphi}^{n+1}(p') - h_{\varphi}^{n+1}(p)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega v_{\vartheta} \sigma(g, \theta) (J_{\kappa}(t, q') - J_{\kappa}(t, q)) \\ \times h^n(q) h_{\varphi}^{n+1}(p) h_{\varphi}^{n+1}(p').$$

New representation and decomposition of \mathcal{B}_1

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_1 = & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega v_\theta \sigma(g, \theta) J_\kappa(t, q) h^n(q) \frac{\tilde{s}\Phi(\tilde{g})\tilde{g}^4}{s\Phi(g)g^4} \\
 & \quad \times (h_\varphi^{n+1}(p') - h_\varphi^{n+1}(p))^2 \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega v_\theta \sigma(g, \theta) J_\kappa(t, q) h^n(q) \\
 & \quad \times \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{s}\Phi(\tilde{g})\tilde{g}^4}{s\Phi(g)g^4} \right) |h_\varphi^{n+1}(p)|^2 \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega v_\theta \sigma(g, \theta) J_\kappa(t, q) h^n(q) \\
 & \quad \times \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{s}\Phi(\tilde{g})\tilde{g}^4}{s\Phi(g)g^4} \right) h_\varphi^{n+1}(p) h_\varphi^{n+1}(p') \\
 & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}_{1,a} + \mathcal{B}_{1,b} + \mathcal{B}_{1,c}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\mathcal{B}_{1,a}$ is non-positive.
- $\left(1 - \frac{\tilde{s}\Phi(\tilde{g})\tilde{g}^4}{s\Phi(g)g^4} \right) \geq 0$ always pointwise.
- Thus $\mathcal{B}_{1,b} \leq 0$ since $|h_\varphi^{n+1}(p)|^2 \geq 0$ and by assumption $h^n \geq 0$.

Main estimates

The goal is to prove the following inequalities:

- $\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_{1,a}, \mathcal{B}_{1,b} \leq 0$.
- $\mathcal{B}_{1,c} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \|h_\varphi^{n+1}\|_{L^2_{\frac{\rho+\gamma}{2}}}^2 \|h^n\|_{L^2}$.
- For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1 - \gamma)$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \|h_\varphi^{n+1}\|_{L^2_{\frac{\rho+\gamma+\epsilon}{2}}}^2 \|h^n\|_{L^2_{\frac{\rho+\gamma}{2}}}.$$

Final step

- The previous inequalities will lead us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \beta(h^{n+1}) \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp \\ + \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} p^0 \beta(h^{n+1}) \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp \\ \lesssim C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \beta(h^{n+1}) \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C now depends on M, δ, ρ, γ , and ϵ , if we choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small.

- Then by the Grönwall inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \beta(h^{n+1}) \varphi(x)^{-2} dx dp \leq 0,$$

since $\beta(h_0^{n+1}) = 0$.

- Thus $\beta(h^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(h_-^{n+1})^2 = 0$ which implies $h^{n+1} \geq 0$.

Key methods

- Derive the Carleman dual representation for \mathcal{B}_1 .
- Obtain the following equivalent *center-of-momentum* representation

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega$$
$$v_\emptyset \sigma(g, \theta) J_\kappa(t, q) h^n(q) h_\varphi^{n+1}(p')$$
$$\times \left[\frac{\tilde{s}\Phi(\tilde{g})\tilde{g}^4}{s\Phi(g)g^4} (h(p) - h_\varphi^{n+1}(p')) + h_\varphi^{n+1}(p) \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{s}\Phi(\tilde{g})\tilde{g}^4}{s\Phi(g)g^4} \right) \right].$$

- Sum this representation with the original representation.
- Use the dyadic decomposition of the region near the angular singularity $\bar{g} \approx 0$ for both \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 .

Non-negativity lemma

Lemma

Let $\{F^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of the approximated solutions. Suppose that there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| e^{\lambda p^0} F^n(t, x, p) \right\|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_x^3; L^2(\mathbb{R}_p^3))} \leq M,$$

where $M > 0$ is independent of n . Suppose that $F^n \geq 0$. Then, we have $F^{n+1} \geq 0$ on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_p^3$ if $F^{n+1}(0, x, v) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{T}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_p^3$.

- This lemma implies our non-negativity theorem as long as the solution F in the strong pointwise limit $F^n \rightarrow F$ exists.

Local existence and uniqueness of such a solution F

- Further consider the perturbation around the relativistic Maxwellian J as $F^n = J + \sqrt{J}f^n$
- Obtain the following linearized system for f^n (slightly different from that of Jang-Strain (Ann. PDE, 2022)):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f^{n+1} + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x f^{n+1} = \Gamma(f^n, \sqrt{J}) + \Gamma(\sqrt{J}, f^{n+1}) + \Gamma(f^n, f^{n+1}), \\ f^0(t, x, p) = 0, \\ f^{n+1}|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases}$$

- Operators are defined as:

$$\Gamma(f, h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J^{-1/2} Q(\sqrt{J}f, \sqrt{J}h).$$

$$\mathcal{K}f = \zeta_{\mathcal{K}}(p)f - \Gamma(f, \sqrt{J}),$$

$$\mathcal{N}f = -\Gamma(\sqrt{J}, f) - \zeta_{\mathcal{K}}(p)f$$

$$= \zeta(p)f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega v_{\theta} \sigma(g, \omega) (f(p') - f(p)) \sqrt{J(q')} \sqrt{J(q)}.$$

- Then the weights satisfy the following asymptotics; for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \gamma/2)$, there exists a finite constant $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that we have

$$|\zeta_{\mathcal{K}}(p)| \lesssim C_{\varepsilon} (p^0)^{\frac{\rho}{2} + \varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(p) \approx (p^0)^{\frac{\rho + \gamma}{2}}.$$

Preliminary estimates for the operators $\Gamma, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{N}$

Lemma (Jang-S (Ann. PDE, 2022))

Suppose that $|\alpha| \leq N$ with $N \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$. Then we have the estimate

$$|\langle w^{2l} \partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, h), \partial^\alpha \eta \rangle| \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^N L_p^2} \|h\|_{H_x^N L_p^{\rho, \gamma}} \|\partial^\alpha \eta\|_{L_x^2 L_p^{\rho, \gamma}},$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the L^2 inner product in both x and p .

Lemma (Jang-S (Ann. PDE, 2022))

For any fixed $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have that

$$|\langle \Gamma(f, \sqrt{J}), h \rangle| \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{p, \frac{p}{2} - \epsilon}^2} \|h\|_{L_p^{\rho, \gamma}},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the L^2 inner product in p .

Preliminary estimates for the operators $\Gamma, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{N}$

Lemma (Jang-S (Ann. PDE, 2022))

We have the uniform coercive lower bound estimate:

$$\langle \mathcal{N}f, f \rangle \gtrsim \|f\|_{L^p}^{2, \gamma},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the L^2 inner product in p .

A direct consequence:

Corollary

We have the uniform coercive lower bound estimate. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\gamma}{2})$, there exists a finite constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\langle \mathcal{N}f + \zeta \mathcal{K}f, f \rangle \gtrsim \|f\|_{L^p}^{2, \gamma} - C_\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2}^{2, \frac{\rho}{2} + \varepsilon}.$$

Main energy estimates for the local wellposedness

Lemma

Let $\{f^n\}$ be the sequence of iterated approximate solutions for the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation. Then there exists a short time $T > 0$ such that for $\|f_0\|_{H_x^N L_p^2}^2$ sufficiently small, there exist uniform-in- n constants $C_0 > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{M}(f^n(t)) \leq 2C_0 \|f_0\|_{H_x^N L_p^2}^2 e^{\beta T},$$

where the energy norm is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}(f(t)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f(t)\|_{H_x^N L_p^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{H_x^N L_p^{\rho, \gamma}}^2.$$

- This uniform bound and compactness will establish the local existence of a strong solution.
- The uniqueness also follows, since the strong limit solves the same linearized Boltzmann equation as Jang-Strain, Ann. PDE (2022).

Thank you!



James Chapman, Jin Woo Jang, and Robert M. Strain.

On the determinant problem for the relativistic Boltzmann equation. Comm. Math. Phys. (2021), pages 1913–1943, arXiv:2006.02540, doi:10.1007/s00220-021-04101-2.



Jin Woo Jang and Robert M. Strain.

Asymptotic stability of the relativistic Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off. Ann. PDE (2022), 167 pages, arXiv:2103.15885, doi:10.1007/s40818-022-00137-2.



Jin Woo Jang and Robert M. Strain.

Local wellposedness and non-negativity of solutions to the Relativistic Boltzmann Equation without Angular Cut-off. 28 pages, in preparation.