Open problem: Stability of solitons in the 1D Dirac model

Atanas Stefanov, University of Alabama - Birmingham

Mathematical aspects of the Physics with Non-self-Adjoint Operators Banff Research Station July 12th, 2022

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The stability of solitons all Dirac models is wide open.

ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

The stability of solitons all Dirac models is wide open. In its simplest, 1D Soler version is

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = \partial_x v + (1 - (|u|^2 - |v|^2)^k)u\\ iv_t = -\partial_x u - (1 - (|u|^2 - |v|^2)^k)v \end{cases}$$
(1)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

ъ

The stability of solitons all Dirac models is wide open. In its simplest, 1D Soler version is

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = \partial_x v + (1 - (|u|^2 - |v|^2)^k)u\\ iv_t = -\partial_x u - (1 - (|u|^2 - |v|^2)^k)v \end{cases}$$
(1)

Solitons are special solutions in the form

 $\left(egin{array}{c} u \ v \end{array}
ight)=e^{-i\omega t}\left(egin{array}{c} \phi \ \psi \end{array}
ight), |\omega|<$ 1, which satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \omega\phi = \partial_x\psi + (1 - (\phi^2 - \psi^2)^k)\phi\\ \omega\psi = -\partial_x\phi - (1 - (\phi^2 - \psi^2)^k)\psi \end{cases}$$
(2)

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

With $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \omega^2}$, the exact solutions are localized and (Lee et. al. in 1975; Chugunova-Pelinovsky'06, Cooper et. al'10)

$$\phi(x) = \cosh(k\sigma x) \sqrt{\frac{1+\omega}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}} \left[\frac{(k+1)\sigma^2}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2k}}$$

$$\psi(x) = \sinh(k\sigma x) \sqrt{\frac{1-\omega}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}} \left[\frac{(k+1)\sigma^2}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2k}}$$

・ロット (雪) () () () ()

÷.

With $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \omega^2}$, the exact solutions are localized and (Lee et. al. in 1975; Chugunova-Pelinovsky'06, Cooper et. al'10)

$$\phi(x) = \cosh(k\sigma x) \sqrt{\frac{1+\omega}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}} \left[\frac{(k+1)\sigma^2}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2k}}$$

$$\psi(x) = \sinh(k\sigma x) \sqrt{\frac{1-\omega}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}} \left[\frac{(k+1)\sigma^2}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2k}}$$

Open problems: Uniqueness?

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

With $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \omega^2}$, the exact solutions are localized and (Lee et. al. in 1975; Chugunova-Pelinovsky'06, Cooper et. al'10)

$$\phi(x) = \cosh(k\sigma x) \sqrt{\frac{1+\omega}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}} \left[\frac{(k+1)\sigma^2}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2k}}$$

$$\psi(x) = \sinh(k\sigma x) \sqrt{\frac{1-\omega}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}} \left[\frac{(k+1)\sigma^2}{1+\omega\cosh(2k\sigma x)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2k}}$$

Open problems: Uniqueness? Non-existence of the waves for $|\omega| > 1$?

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Linearized problem

For the simplest case k = 1, linearization leads to the following **Hamiltonian** eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{+} z_{1} = -\lambda z_{2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{-} z_{2} = \lambda z_{1} \end{cases}$$

$$(3)$$

where

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Linearized problem

For the simplest case k = 1, linearization leads to the following **Hamiltonian** eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{+} z_{1} = -\lambda z_{2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{-} z_{2} = \lambda z_{1} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where

$$egin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{-} = \left(egin{array}{cc} 1-\omega-(\phi^2-\psi^2) & \partial_{\mathbf{x}} \ -\partial_{\mathbf{x}} & -1+(\phi^2-\psi^2)-\omega \end{array}
ight) \ \mathcal{L}_{+} = \mathcal{L}_{-} - 2 \left(egin{array}{cc} \phi^2 & -\phi\psi \ -\phi\psi & \psi^2 \end{array}
ight) \end{cases}$$

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

ъ

Spectral stability means $\mathcal{L}_+z_1 = -\lambda z_2$, $\mathcal{L}_-z_2 = \lambda z_1$ has no solutions for $\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0$.

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Spectral stability means $\mathcal{L}_+z_1 = -\lambda z_2$, $\mathcal{L}_-z_2 = \lambda z_1$ has no solutions for $\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0$. Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-}\mathcal{L}_{+}z = -\lambda^{2}z \tag{4}$$

has no solutions.



(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

Spectral stability means $\mathcal{L}_+ z_1 = -\lambda z_2$, $\mathcal{L}_- z_2 = \lambda z_1$ has no solutions for $\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0$. Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-}\mathcal{L}_{+}z = -\lambda^{2}z \tag{4}$$

has no solutions.

Open problem: Prove spectral stability for ALL waves.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

E DQC

Spectral stability means $\mathcal{L}_+ z_1 = -\lambda z_2$, $\mathcal{L}_- z_2 = \lambda z_1$ has no solutions for $\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0$. Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-}\mathcal{L}_{+}z = -\lambda^{2}z \tag{4}$$

has no solutions.

Open problem: Prove spectral stability for ALL waves. **Main issue:** \mathcal{L}_{\pm} have spectrum at both $\pm \infty$.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Spectral stability means $\mathcal{L}_+ z_1 = -\lambda z_2$, $\mathcal{L}_- z_2 = \lambda z_1$ has no solutions for $\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0$. Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-}\mathcal{L}_{+}z = -\lambda^{2}z \tag{4}$$

has no solutions.

Open problem: Prove spectral stability for ALL waves. **Main issue:** \mathcal{L}_{\pm} have spectrum at both $\pm \infty$.

Why NLS is better?

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Spectral stability means $\mathcal{L}_+ z_1 = -\lambda z_2$, $\mathcal{L}_- z_2 = \lambda z_1$ has no solutions for $\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0$. Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-}\mathcal{L}_{+}z = -\lambda^{2}z \tag{4}$$

has no solutions.

Open problem: Prove spectral stability for ALL waves. **Main issue:** \mathcal{L}_{\pm} have spectrum at both $\pm \infty$.

Why NLS is better?

Since $\mathcal{L}_{-} \geq 0, z \perp \textit{Ker}[\mathcal{L}_{-}]$, (4) is transformed into

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{-}}\mathcal{L}_{+}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{-}}\mathbf{w} = -\lambda^{2}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} = \mathcal{L}_{-}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{z}.$$

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Spectral stability means $\mathcal{L}_+ z_1 = -\lambda z_2$, $\mathcal{L}_- z_2 = \lambda z_1$ has no solutions for $\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0$. Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-}\mathcal{L}_{+}z = -\lambda^{2}z \tag{4}$$

has no solutions.

Open problem: Prove spectral stability for ALL waves. **Main issue:** \mathcal{L}_{\pm} have spectrum at both $\pm \infty$.

Why NLS is better?

Since $\mathcal{L}_{-} \geq 0, z \perp \textit{Ker}[\mathcal{L}_{-}]$, (4) is transformed into

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{-}}\mathcal{L}_{+}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{-}}\mathbf{w} = -\lambda^{2}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} = \mathcal{L}_{-}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{z}.$$

But this is self-adjoint problem, so $-\lambda^2$ is real.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ○ ○ ○

Spectral stability means $\mathcal{L}_+ z_1 = -\lambda z_2$, $\mathcal{L}_- z_2 = \lambda z_1$ has no solutions for $\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0$. Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{-}\mathcal{L}_{+}z = -\lambda^{2}z \tag{4}$$

has no solutions.

Open problem: Prove spectral stability for ALL waves. **Main issue:** \mathcal{L}_{\pm} have spectrum at both $\pm \infty$.

Why NLS is better?

Since $\mathcal{L}_{-} \geq 0, z \perp \textit{Ker}[\mathcal{L}_{-}]$, (4) is transformed into

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{-}}\mathcal{L}_{+}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{-}}\mathbf{w}=-\lambda^{2}\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}=\mathcal{L}_{-}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{z}.$$

But this is self-adjoint problem, so $-\lambda^2$ is real. A huge step. **Open problem:** Can one at least prove that λ^2 in (4) is real (and not $\lambda = a + ib : a, b \neq 0$).

Thank you for your attention!

Atanas G. Stefanov, UAB Open problem: Stability of solitons in the 1D Dirac model

э