Controllability of infinite dimensional quantum systems based on Quantum Graphs.

Juan Manuel Pérez-Pardo

uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid Departamento de Matemáticas

Mathematical Aspects of the Physics with non-self-Adjoint Operators Joint work with A. Balmaseda and D. Lonigro BIRS July 11-15 2022

- The Control Problem in Quantum Mechanics
- Time dependent Boundary Conditions and the Schrödinger Equation
- Controllability on Quantum Graphs



Quantum Computation and Quantum Algorithms

A simplified scheme of a quantum computer



- $|\Psi\rangle$ input state; $|\Phi\rangle$ output state; U is a unitary operator in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.
- Building a Quantum Computer
 Design a system capable of implementing any possible unitary operator
- This problem is equivalent to simultaneously control the evolution of n linearly independent states. Fixing n orthonormal states as input and other n as output.

J.M. Pérez-Pardo

Universal set of gates



- Any unitary might be obtained by combining elements of this finite set.
- A drawback of this approach is that the number of elemental operations grows exponentially with n.
- In practice one works with more energy levels (auxiliary levels). They are used to mediate the interactions and control errors.

BIRS 2022

Quantum Control in Infinite Dimensions



- One considers dynamics in the complete Hilbert space.
- This can be done even if one wants to codify information in a finite dimensional subspace.
- This is a systematic way of handling with the auxiliary levels.
- Opens the possibility of a new type of control. We will use the space of self-adjoint extensions as space of controls.

BIRS 2022

Quantum Control I

Consider the Schrödinger equation:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(t) = (H_0 + u(t)H_1)\Phi(t)$$

We want to steer the state of the system:

- From an initial state Ψ_0 at $t = t_0$
- To a target state Ψ_T at a later time t = T

Does it exist a function $u \colon [t_0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:

- $\Phi(t) = U(t, t_0)\Psi_0$ solves the Schrödinger equation
- $\Phi(0) = \Psi_0$ and $\Phi(T) = U(T, t_0)\Psi_0 = \Psi_T$

J.M. Pérez-Pardo

- The classic theory of control has been applied successfully to finite dimensional quantum systems.
- The success in the development of recent quantum technologies is a proof of this.
- This can be used even for infinite dimensional systems. What is the main idea?
 - Pick a suitable basis $\{\Phi_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$
 - $\langle \Phi_n, H(c(t))\Phi_m \rangle = H_{nm}(c(t))$
 - Consider the truncated Schrödinger eq.:

$$i\dot{x}_n = \sum_{m=1}^N H_{nm}\left(c(t)\right) x_m$$

BIRS 2022

Quantum Control on Infinite Dimensions

- Results of control on finite dimensions cannot be applied directly to infinite dimension.
- The notion of controllability introduced in the previous slides is not appropriate for infinite dimensions
 - One can find examples where all the finite dimensional truncations are controllable but the infinite dimensional system is not, for instance the Harmonic oscillator.
 - This is reasonable. Suppose that the target state Ψ_T expressed in the basis $\{\Phi_n\}$ has countably many non-zero coefficients. Then $\|\Psi_T \Psi_T^N\| > 0$ for any N.

BIRS 2022

• Approximate Controllability: $\|\Psi_T - \Phi(T)\| < \epsilon$

From finite dimensional to infinite dimensional

Finite dimensional

$$i\dot{x} = (H_0^N + u(t)H_1^N)x$$

 $x(T_N) = U_u^N(T_N, t_0)x_0$

Infinite dimensional

$$i\dot{\Psi} = (H_0 + u(t)H_1)\Psi$$

 $\Psi(T_N) = U_u(T_N, t_0)\Psi_0$

BIRS 2022

From the initial and target states Ψ_0 , Ψ_t one can choose approximations x_0 and x_t such that

$$\|x_0 - \Psi_0\| < \epsilon \qquad \|x_t - \Psi_t\| < \epsilon$$

The finite dimensional control will be a solution of the infinite dimensional control problem one only if

$$\|U_u^N(T_N, t_0) - U_u(T_N, t_0)\| \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0$$

The Control Problem in Quantum Mechanics

- Time dependent Boundary Conditions and the Schrödinger Equation
- Controllability on Quantum Graphs



Operators and Quadratic Forms

Semibounded self-adjoint operator $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Semibounded Hermitean}\\ \text{Quadratic Form}\\ Q:\mathfrak{Q}\times\mathfrak{Q}\to\mathbb{C}\\ Q(\Phi,\Psi)=\langle\Phi,T\Psi\rangle \end{array}$$

- We say that \mathfrak{Q} is the form domain of the operator T.
- For Hamiltonians with constant form domain one can define the weak Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \Psi, \Phi(t) \rangle = Q_t(\Psi, \Phi(t))$$

$$\Phi(t), \Psi \in \mathfrak{Q}$$

BIRS 2022

The domain of the operator might depend on time while the form domain doesn't.

Some particular boundary conditions on $\mathcal{L}^2([0, 2\pi])$

Quasi-periodic Boundary Conditions:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{H}^2 \middle| \begin{array}{c} \phi(0) = e^{i2\pi\alpha}\phi(2\pi) \\ \phi'(0) = e^{i2\pi\alpha}\phi'(2\pi) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\alpha} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{H}^1 \middle| \quad \phi(0) = e^{i2\pi\alpha} \phi(2\pi) \quad \right\}$$

Delta like Boundary Conditions:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\delta} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{H}^2 \middle| \begin{array}{c} \phi(0) = \phi(2\pi) \\ \phi'(0) - \phi'(2\pi) = \delta\phi(0) \end{array} \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{Q}_{\delta} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{H}^1 \middle| \begin{array}{c} \phi(0) = \phi(2\pi) \end{array} \right\}$$

Quasi-Delta Boundary Conditions:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\delta} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{H}^2 \middle| \begin{array}{c} \phi(0) = e^{i2\pi\alpha}\phi(2\pi) \\ \phi'(0) - e^{i2\pi\alpha}\phi'(2\pi) = \delta\phi(0) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\alpha,\delta} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{H}^1 \middle| \quad \phi(0) = e^{i2\pi\alpha} \phi(2\pi) \right\}$$

J.M. Pérez-Pardo

Stability

An important property that we were able to prove is the stability of the dynamics under perturbations/deformations of the Hamiltonian:

Theorem [Balmaseda, Lonigro, PP]:

Let $\{H_n(t)\}_{n=1,2}$ be two time-dependent Hamiltonians with constant form domain \mathcal{H}_+ that satisfy the conditions of Kisyński's Theorem and [a certain uniform bound on their derivatives]. Then the following inequality holds:

$$||U_1(t,s) - U_2(t,s)||_{+,-} \le L \int_s^t ||H_1(\tau) - H_2(\tau)||_{+,-} \mathrm{d}\tau,$$

where the constant L is independent of t and s.

The norm $\|\cdot\|_{+-}$ is the norm of linear operators $L : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathcal{H}_-$, where \mathcal{H}_- is the canonical dual space of \mathcal{H}_+ .

Improves previous results of B. Simon (1971) and A. Sloan (1981).

J.M. Pérez-Pardo

The Control Problem in Quantum Mechanics

- Time dependent Boundary Conditions and the Schrödinger Equation
- Controllability on Quantum Graphs



Varying Quasiperiodic Boundary Conditions

$$H_0 = -\frac{\mathsf{d}^2}{\mathsf{d}x^2} \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{H}^2 \middle| \begin{array}{c} \phi(0) = e^{i2\pi\alpha}\phi(2\pi) \\ \phi'(0) = e^{i2\pi\alpha}\phi'(2\pi) \end{array} \right\}$$

- This is a family of self-adjoint operators depending on α
- We want to consider $\alpha(t)$ the control parameter. These Hamiltonians do not have constant form domain.
- One can tackle with these systems by the unitary transformation $T(t): \Phi(x) \mapsto \exp(-ix\alpha(t))\Phi(x)$
- Assuming that the parameter α depends smoothly with time this is equivalent to:

$$H(t) = \left[i\frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}x} - \alpha(t)\right]^2 + \dot{\alpha}(t)x$$

 $\mathcal{D}_0 =$ "Periodic Boundary Conditions"

BIRS 2022

Laplacians on Quantum Graphs I

- Consider a planar Graph (V, E) and associate to each edge e a Hilbert space H_e = L²([0, l_e])
- Take $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{e \in E} \mathcal{H}_e$ and $\Delta = \bigoplus \Delta_e$ densely defined in it.
- The structure of the graph arises when one selects the boundary conditions.
- At each vertex we choose quasi- δ -boundary conditions:

$$\exp(-i\chi_{e_i,v})\Phi_e(v) = \Phi_{e_0}(v)$$
 $i = 1, ..., n-1$

$$\sum_{e} \exp(i\chi_{e_i,v}) \dot{\varphi}_e = \delta_v \Phi_{e_0}(v)$$



J.M. Pérez-Pardo

Laplacians on Quantum Graphs II

- Laplacian with quasi-delta boundary conditions does not have constant form domain.
- There exist also time-dependent unitary maps that transform these Laplacians:

$$\Delta_{e} \rightsquigarrow \left[i \frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}x} - \alpha(t, x) \right]^{2} + \frac{d}{dt} \Theta(t, x) \qquad \alpha(t, x) = \mathsf{d}\Theta(t, x)$$
$$\Phi_{e}(v) = \Phi_{e_{0}}(v)$$
$$\sum_{e} \dot{\varphi}_{\alpha, e} = \delta_{v} \Phi_{e_{0}}(v) \qquad \dot{\varphi}_{\alpha, e} = \frac{d}{d\vec{n}} \Phi(v) + \alpha_{\vec{n}(t, v)}$$

■ The magnetic potential depends on time ⇒ Domains are time dependent.

BIRS 2022

The form domain is constant.

Laplacians on Thick Quantum Graphs

- Instead of associating an interval to each edge e one can associate a Riemannian manifold Ω_e .
- Magnetic Laplacians can be defined in an analogous way.
- There is a generalisation of the quasi-δ-type boundary conditions to Thick Quantum Graphs [Balmaseda, Lonigro, PP].



BIRS 2022

Controllability on Quantum Graphs

Theorem [Balmaseda, Lonigro, PP]:

Let $u \in C_p^1(\mathbb{R})$, piecewise differentiable, and consider $\chi_{v,e}(t) := u(t)\chi_{v,e}$. Let H(t) be the time-dependent Hamiltonian defined by the Laplacian on a thick Quantum Graph (V, E) with quasi-delta boundary conditions. Then, the linear system defined by H(t) is approximately controllable w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_{-}$.

Sketch of the proof:

$$[id_x - \alpha(t, x)]^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\Theta(t, x)$$

 $\mathbf{d}\Theta(t,x) = \alpha(t,x)$

BIRS 2022

Auxiliary System

$$\left[id_x - \alpha_0\right]^2 + u(t)\Theta(x)$$

Construct a differentiable magnetic potential such that $\alpha(t, x) \simeq \alpha_0$ and $\alpha'(t, x) = u'(t) d\Theta(x)$

Use stability to prove convergence

Controllability of a particle by moving walls

Free particle in a cavity $[d(t) - l(t)/2, d(t) + l(t)/2] = \Omega(t)$

$$\Delta, \ \mathcal{D}(\Delta) = \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega(t)) \cap \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega(t))$$

 Using a time dependent unitary operator one can transform this problem into the following [Martino, Anza, et. al. J. of Phys. A 46(36), 2013]

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{l(t)^2} \Delta_{\text{Dir}} - \frac{\dot{l}(t)}{l(t)} x \circ p - \frac{\dot{d}(t)}{l(t)} p \qquad \qquad \Omega = [0,$$

Theorem [Balmaseda, Lonigro, PP]:

- $d(t) = d_0 + \delta f(t) \qquad \quad l(t) = l_0 + \lambda f(t) \qquad \quad f \in C_p^1(\mathbb{R})$
- If $\delta \neq 0$ then the system is approximately controllable w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_{-}$.
- If $\delta = 0$ then the reduced subsystems with well defined parity are approximately controllable w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_{-}$.

J.M. Pérez-Pardo

THANKS!

- A. Balmaseda, D. Lonigro, PP. Quantum controllability on graphlike manifolds through magnetic potentials and boundary conditions. arXiv: 2108.00495.
- A. Balmaseda, D. Lonigro, PP. On the Schrödinger Equation for Time-Dependent Hamiltonians with a Constant Form Domain. Mathematics 2022 (10), 218. (2022)
- A. Balmaseda, F. di Cosmo, PP. On Z-Invariant Self-Adjoint Extensions of the Laplacian on Quantum Circuits. Symmetry 11 (8), 1047. (2019)
- A. Ibort, F. Lledó, PP. Self-Adjoint Extensions of the Laplace-Beltrami Operator and unitaries at the boundary. J. Funct. Anal 268, 634-670. (2015)

BIRS 2022