# The diamagnetic inequality for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator

Tom ter Elst

University of Auckland

Joint work with El Maati Ouhabaz

13-7-2022

## The diamagnetic inequality for Laplacian

Let  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$  with  $a_k \in L_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Set  $H(\vec{a}) = (\nabla - i\vec{a})^*(\nabla - i\vec{a})$ .

Then

$$|e^{-tH(\vec{a})}f| \le e^{t\Delta}|f|$$

for all t > 0 and  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The same result holds in presence of a real-valued potential V, i.e., with operators  $H(\vec{a}) + V$  and  $-\Delta + V$ .

# The setting (1)

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  bounded open with Lipschitz boundary  $\Gamma$ . Let  $c_{kl}, b_k, c_k, a_0 \in L_\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  for all  $k, l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Ellipticity condition: there exists a  $\mu > 0$  such that

Re 
$$\sum_{k,l=1}^{d} c_{kl}(x) \, \xi_k \, \overline{\xi_l} \ge \mu \, |\xi|^2$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

# The setting (2)

Consider form  $\mathfrak{a} \colon W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\mathfrak{a}(u,v) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{d} \int_{\Omega} c_{kl} \left( \partial_{l} u \right) \overline{\partial_{k} v} + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\Omega} \left( b_{k} u \overline{\partial_{k} v} \right) + c_{k} \left( \partial_{k} u \right) \overline{v} + \int_{\Omega} a_{0} u \overline{v}.$$

Define  $\mathcal{A}\colon W^{1,2}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,2}(\Omega)$  by

$$\langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle_{W^{-1,2}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} = \mathfrak{a}(u, v).$$

Let  $\psi \in L_2(\Gamma)$  and  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  with  $Au \in L_2(\Omega)$ .

Definition: u has weak conormal derivative  $\psi$  if

$$\mathfrak{a}(u,v) - (\mathcal{A}u,v)_{L_2(\Omega)} = (\psi,\operatorname{Tr} v)_{L_2(\Gamma)}$$
 for all  $v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

Notation  $\partial_{\nu}^{\mathfrak{a}} u = \psi$ .

# The Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator ${\cal N}$

Assumption: 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue.

Definition: A function  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is called  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic if

$$\mathfrak{a}(u,v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

For all  $\varphi \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  there is a unique  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that  $\operatorname{Tr} u = \varphi$ .

**IF** u has a weak conormal derivative, then we say

$$\varphi \in D(\mathcal{N}) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}\varphi = \partial_{\nu}^{\mathfrak{a}} u.$$

The operator  $-\mathcal{N}$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup.

## Two form methods

Define 
$$\mathfrak{b} \colon H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}$$
 by

$$\mathfrak{b}(\varphi,\xi) := \mathfrak{a}(u,v),$$

where  $u,v\in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic with  $\mathrm{Tr}\, u=\varphi$  and  $\mathrm{Tr}\, v=\xi$ , respectively.

Then  $\mathfrak b$  is a densely defined continuous elliptic form and  $\mathcal N$  is the associated operator.

The operator  $\mathcal N$  is the operator associated with  $\mathfrak b$  in the following sense: Let  $\varphi,\psi\in L_2(\Gamma)$ . Then  $\varphi\in D(\mathcal N)$  and  $\mathcal N\varphi=\psi$  if and only if  $\varphi\in D(\mathfrak b)$  and

$$\mathfrak{b}(\varphi,\xi) = (\psi,\xi)_{L_2(\Gamma)}$$
 for all  $\xi \in D(\mathfrak{b})$ .

## Second form method

Let V and H be Hilbert spaces.

Let  $\mathfrak{a} \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous sesquilinear form.

Let  $j \colon V \to H$  be a continuous operator with dense range.

Suppose  $\mathfrak a$  is j-elliptic, that is, there are  $\mu>0$  and  $\omega\in\mathbb R$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \mathfrak{a}(u, u) + \omega \| \mathbf{j}(u) \|_H^2 \ge \mu \| u \|_V^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in V.$$

The operator A associated with  $(\mathfrak{a},j)$  is defined as follows: Let  $x,f\in H$ . Then  $x\in D(A)$  and Ax=f if and only if there exists a  $u\in V$  such that j(u)=x and

$$\mathfrak{a}(u,v)=(f,\mathbf{j}(v))_H$$
 for all  $v\in V$ .

Theorem (Arendt-tE). The operator A is well defined and -A is the generator of a holomorphic  $C_0$ -semigroup in H.

In our case, if  $\mathfrak a$  is  $\operatorname{Tr}$ -elliptic, then the operator  $\mathcal N$  is the operator associated with  $(\mathfrak a,\operatorname{Tr})$ .

# The magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator $\mathcal{N}(\vec{a})$

Let  $\vec{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_d)$  with  $a_k \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Set

$$D_k := \partial_k - ia_k$$

Consider form  $\mathfrak{a}(\vec{a}) \colon W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\mathfrak{a}(\vec{a})(u,v) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{d} \int_{\Omega} c_{kl} (D_{l}u) \, \overline{D_{k}v} + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\Omega} \left( b_{k} \, u \, \overline{D_{k}v} \right) + c_{k} (D_{k}u) \, \overline{v} + \int_{\Omega} a_{0} \, u \, \overline{v}.$$

Assumption: 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue.

Define similarly that an element of  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is  $\mathcal{A}(\vec{a})$ -harmonic and the magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator  $\mathcal{N}(\vec{a})$ .

Formally, if  $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{N}(\vec{a}))$  and  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is  $\mathcal{A}(\vec{a})$ -harmonic with trace  $\operatorname{Tr} u = \varphi$ , then

$$\mathcal{N}(\vec{a})\varphi = \partial_{\nu}^{\mathfrak{a}(\vec{a})} u = \sum_{k,l=1}^{d} \nu_k \operatorname{Tr}(c_{kl} \, \partial_l u) - i \sum_{k,l=1}^{d} \nu_k \operatorname{Tr}(c_{kl} \, a_l \, u) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \nu_k \operatorname{Tr}(b_k \, u)$$

## The diamagnetic inequality

Let  $T_{\vec{a}}=(T_{\vec{a}}(t))_{t>0}$  and  $T=(T(t))_{t>0}$  be the semigroups generated by  $-\mathcal{N}(\vec{a})$  and  $-\mathcal{N}$  on  $L_2(\Gamma)$ , respectively.

Theorem (tE–Ouhabaz). Suppose  $\mathfrak a$  is accretive and there exist  $\mu, \omega > 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \mathfrak{a}(u, u) + \omega \|\operatorname{Tr} u\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 \ge \mu \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2$$
 for all  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

Then

$$|T_{\vec{a}}(t)\varphi| \le T(t)|\varphi|$$

for all t > 0 and  $\varphi \in L_2(\Gamma)$ .

#### Kernel bounds

Suppose  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^{1+\kappa}$  for some  $\kappa > 0$ .

Suppose also that  $c_{kl}=c_{lk}\in C^{\kappa}(\Omega,\mathbb{R})$ ,  $b_k=c_k=0$  and  $a_k\in L_{\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{R})$  for all  $k,l\in\{1,\ldots,d\}$ .

Suppose  $a_0 \geq 0$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ .

Then  $T_{\vec{a}}$  has a kernel  $K_{\vec{a}}$  and there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$|K_{\vec{a}}(t,z,w)| \le \frac{c (t \wedge 1)^{-(d-1)} e^{-\lambda_1 t}}{\left(1 + \frac{|z-w|}{t}\right)^d}$$

for all  $z,w\in\Gamma$  and t>0, where  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the operator  $\mathcal{N}(\vec{a})$ .

## Hölder continuous kernel bounds

Same assumptions. In addition suppose that  $d \geq 3$ .

Then for all  $\varepsilon, \tau' \in (0,1)$ ,  $\tau > 0$  there exist  $c, \nu > 0$  such that

$$|K_{\vec{a}}(t,z,w) - K_{\vec{a}}(t,z',w')| \le c (t \wedge 1)^{-(d-1)} \left( \frac{|z-z'| + |w-w'|}{t + |z-w|} \right)^{\nu} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|z-w|}{t}\right)^{d-\varepsilon}} (1+t)^{\nu} e^{-\lambda_1 t}$$

for all  $z, w, z', w' \in \Gamma$  and t > 0 with  $|z - z'| + |w - w'| \le \tau \, t + \tau' \, |z - w|$ .

## Sketch of proof

The diamagnetic inequality is obtained by proving the invariance of the closed convex set

$$\{(\varphi, \psi) \in L_2(\Gamma) \times L_2(\Gamma) : |\varphi| \le \psi\}$$

for the semigroup

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} T_{\vec{a}}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & T(t) \end{array}\right)_{t>0}.$$

#### Invariance of closed convex sets

Let V and  $\widetilde{H}$  be Hilbert spaces with V densely and continuously embedded in  $\widetilde{H}.$ 

Let  $\mathfrak{a} \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous accretive sesquilinear form.

Suppose  $\mathfrak a$  is elliptic, that is *i*-elliptic, where *i* is the inclusion map.

Let  $\widetilde{S}$  be the associated semigroup.

Let  $\widetilde{C}\subset\widetilde{H}$  be a non-empty closed convex set and let  $\widetilde{P}\colon\widetilde{H}\to\widetilde{C}$  be the projection.

Theorem (Ouhabaz). The following are equivalent.

- $lackbox{$\widetilde{C}$}$  is invariant under  $\widetilde{S}$ , that is  $\widetilde{S}_t\widetilde{C}\subset\widetilde{C}$  for all t>0.
- $\widetilde{P}V \subset V$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\mathfrak{a}(\widetilde{P}u, u \widetilde{P}u) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in V$ .
- $\widetilde{P}V \subset V$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\mathfrak{a}(u, u \widetilde{P}u) > 0$  for all  $u \in V$ .

## Invariance of closed convex sets

Let V and H be Hilbert spaces.

Let  $\mathfrak{a} \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous sesquilinear form.

Let  $j \colon V \to H$  be a continuous operator with dense range.

Suppose  $\mathfrak{a}$  is j-elliptic and accretive.

Let S be the semigroup associated with  $(\mathfrak{a}, j)$ .

Let  $C \subset H$  be a non-empty closed convex set and let  $P \colon H \to C$  be the projection.

Theorem (Arendt-tE). The following are equivalent.

- lue C is invariant under S, that is  $S_tC\subset C$  for all t>0.
- For all  $u \in V$  there exists a  $w \in V$  such that P(j(u)) = j(w) and  $\operatorname{Re} \mathfrak{a}(w, u w) \geq 0$ .
- For all  $u \in V$  there exists a  $w \in V$  such that P(j(u)) = j(w) and  $\operatorname{Re} \mathfrak{a}(u, u w) \geq 0$ .

#### Invariance of closed convex sets

Proposition. Let  $C \subset H$  be a non-empty closed convex set and let  $P \colon H \to C$  be the projection.

Let  $\widetilde{C}\subset\widetilde{H}$  be a non-empty closed convex set and let  $\widetilde{P}\colon\widetilde{H}\to\widetilde{C}$  be the projection.

Suppose  $\mathfrak{a}$  is j-elliptic and accretive.

Suppose  $\widetilde{C}$  is invariant under the semigroup  $\widetilde{S}$  and

$$P\circ j=j\circ \widetilde{P}\quad \text{on }V.$$

Then C is invariant under the semigroup S.

#### Our situation

```
\begin{array}{l} V=W^{1,2}(\Omega).\\ H=L_2(\Gamma).\\ \widetilde{H}=L_2(\Omega).\\ j=\mathrm{Tr}:W^{1,2}(\Omega)\to L_2(\Gamma).\\ S \text{ semigroup generated by Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.}\\ \widetilde{S} \text{ semigroup on }L_2(\Omega) \text{ with Neumann boundary conditions.} \end{array}
```

We need in addition to prove a diamagnetic inequality for differential operators in divergence form with lower-order terms and Neumann boundary conditions on  $\Omega$ .

The latter was done by Hundertmark and Simon for the Laplacian.

## References

A.F.M. ter Elst and E.M. Ouhabaz

The diamagnetic inequality for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.

Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. (2022).

In press.

W. Arendt and A.F.M. ter Elst

Sectorial forms and degenerate differential operators.

J. Operator Theory **67** (2012), 33–72.

D. Hundertmark and B. Simon

A diamagnetic inequality for semigroup differences.

J. Reine Angew. Math. 571 (2004), 107-130.

E.M. Ouhabaz

Invariance of closed convex sets and domination criteria for semigroups. *Potential Anal.* **5** (1996), 611–625.