

# Singular coherent structures in 2D Euler equation and hydrodynamic limits

Joonhyun La

KIAS

*joonhyun@kias.re.kr*

July 25, 2023

# Singular solutions of 2D incompressible Euler equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla_x \omega &= 0, \\ u &= \nabla^\perp \Delta^{-1} \omega.\end{aligned}$$

- (Generalized) Yudovich solutions  $\omega \in L^\infty$ : globally well-posed.
- Diperna-Majda solutions  $\omega \in L^p$ : global existence.
- Weak solutions.

# Singular solutions of 2D incompressible Euler equations

- Q1. What can we say about the behavior of singular solutions?
  - Propagation of certain structures? Singular vortices?
- Q2. Can we derive *singular solutions* as limits?
  - Limits of smooth solutions/ vanishing viscosity limit/etc.
  - *Macroscopic limit* of solutions of Boltzmann equation.

# Transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla_x \theta = 0, \\ \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{Tr})$$

- Associated ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \phi(x, t) = u(\phi(x, t), t), \\ \phi(x, 0) = x. \end{cases}$$

# Transport equation

- Condition for uniqueness: Osgood.
- $L : (0, m_L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ : modulus of continuity.

$$|u(x, t) - u(y, t)| \leq \|u\|_L L(|x - y|),$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{M}(z) = \infty,$$

$$\mathcal{M}(z) := \int_z^{m_L} \frac{dr}{L(r)}.$$

- Osgood's lemma:  
$$-\mathcal{M}(|\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)|) \leq -\mathcal{M}(|x - y|) + \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_L ds.$$

# Transport equation

- $u$  Lipschitz:  $L(z) = z$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(z) = \log_+(1/z)$ .
- $u$  log-Lipschitz:  $L(z) = z \log(1/z)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(z) = \log \log_+(1/z)$ .
- $L(z) = z \log(1/z) \log_2(1/z) \cdots \log_n(1/z)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(z) = \log_{n+1}(1/z)$ .

# Transport equation

- For Osgood  $u$ , unique integrable solution to (Tr) (Ambrosio and Bernard 2008, Caravenna and Crippa 2021):

$$\theta(x, t) = \theta_0(\phi^{-1}(x, t)). \quad (\text{Flow})$$

- Not much quantitative information about  $\theta$ .  
(EX: Loss of regularity below Lipschitz)
- *Certain singular features propagate by Osgood vector fields.*

# Propagation of singular structures

## Theorem (Drivas, Elgindi, L. 2022)

Let  $L : (0, m_L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be Osgood (i.e.  $\mathcal{M}(0+) = \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(z) = \int_z^{m_L} \frac{dr}{L(r)}$ ),  $u$  div-free with modulus of continuity  $L$ . Define the seminorm by

$$[f]_{x,\gamma,L} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{y: 0 < |x-y| < r} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\mathcal{M}(|x-y|)^\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $\theta = \theta_0(\phi^{-1}(x, t))$  defined by (Flow) preserves the seminorm:

$$[\theta(t)]_{\phi(x,t),\gamma,L} = [\theta_0]_{x,\gamma,L}.$$

- $\gamma > 0$ : singularities,  $\gamma < 0$ : cusps.
- Chae and Jeong (2020): preservation of logarithmic cusps for Lipschitz drifts.

# Propagation of singular structures

- Certain singular structures keep their shape.

## Theorem (Drivas, Elgindi, L. 2022)

Let  $L$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  as before ( $L$  Osgood,  $\mathcal{M}(z) = \int_z \frac{dr}{L(r)}$ .) Let  $F$  be a smooth function with at most linear growth at infinity ( $\sup_{|z| \geq 1} |F'(z)| < \infty$ ). If  $\theta_0$  has the form

$$\theta_0(x) = F(\mathcal{M}(|x - x_0|)) + b_0, b_0 \in L^\infty$$

near  $x = x_0$ , then  $\theta(x, t)$  given by (Flow) has the form

$$\theta(x, t) = F(\mathcal{M}(|x - \phi(x_0, t)|)) + b, b \in L^\infty$$

near  $x = \phi(x_0, t)$ .

# Propagation of singular structures

- What kinds of shape can propagate?
- $\mathcal{M}(|x - x_0|)$ ,  $\sqrt{\mathcal{M}(|x - x_0|)}$ ,  $\log(\mathcal{M}(|x - x_0|))$ , etc.
- Pathological shape:  $F(z) = \sin(\lambda z)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  small.  $\theta(x, t)$  changes signs like Topologist's sine curve as  $x \rightarrow \phi(x_0, t)$  ( $t \leq T$ ).
- Even more singular (i.e. superlinear  $F$ )? It seems to be sharp: if  $F$  grows faster,  $b \notin L^\infty$ .

# Propagation of singular vortices in 2D Euler equations

- Application: 2D incompressible Euler, singular initial data.
- Singular vortex  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow u$  (Biot-Savart).  
BUT, modulus of continuity for  $u$  worse than  $L = -1/\mathcal{M}'$ .
- Cancellation from radial symmetry of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

# Propagation of singular vortices in 2D Euler equations

- $\omega = \mathcal{M}$  in *generalized Yudovich space*:  $\|\omega\|_{L^p}$  grows mildly in  $p$ .
- $\Theta : [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dp}{p\Theta(p)} = \infty$ .

$$Y_\Theta := \left\{ f \in \bigcap_{p \in [1, \infty)} L^p : \|f\|_{Y_\Theta} := \frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{\Theta(p)} < \infty \right\}.$$

- Modulus of continuity:

$$|u(x, t) - u(y, t)| \lesssim |x - y| \log(1/|x - y|) \Theta(\log(1/|x - y|)).$$

- Existence and uniqueness in  $Y_\Theta$  (Yudovich 1995, Serfati 1994.)

# Propagation of singular vortices in 2D Euler equations

- $L$ : Osgood,  $z \log(1/z) \lesssim L(z)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(z) = \int_z \frac{dr}{L(r)}$ .
- $\mathcal{M}(z) = \log \log_+(1/z), \log_3(1/z), \dots$ .
- $\omega = \mathcal{M}(|x - x_0|)$  propagates in 2D Euler equations.

## Theorem (Drivas, Elgindi, L. 2022)

Let  $\Theta(p) = \log_k(p)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,  $L, \mathcal{M}$  as above,  $b_0 \in Y_\Theta \cap L^1$ ,  
 $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; Y_\Theta \cap L^1)$ ,

$$\omega_0(x) = \mathcal{M}(|x|) + b_0(x).$$

Then there is  $b : L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; Y_\Theta \cap L^1)$ ,  $\phi_*(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\omega(x, t) = \mathcal{M}(|x - \phi_*(t)|) + b(x, t).$$

- Meaningful only when  $\mathcal{M}$  is more singular than  $b$ .

# Propagation of singular vortices in 2D Euler equations

## Sketch of the proof.

We find governing equation for  $\phi_*$  and  $b$ .

Ansatz: assume  $b$  and  $\phi_*$  as above.

$$\omega(x, t) = \omega_s(x, t) + b(x, t), \omega_s(x, t) = \mathcal{M}(|x - \phi_*(t)|).$$

$u_r := -\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-1}b$ ,  $u_s := -\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_s$ : Osgood.

Key observation:  $\omega_s$  radial,  $u_s$  circular, so  $u_s \cdot \nabla_x \omega_s = 0$ .

$$(\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x)\omega_s = (\partial_t + u_r \cdot \nabla_x)\omega_s = (\partial_t + u_r \cdot \nabla_x)(|x - \phi_*(t)|)\mathcal{M}'.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi_*(t) = u_r(\phi_*(t), t), \phi_*(0) = 0 \Rightarrow (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x)\omega_s = 0.$$

Then equation for  $b$  can be written. □

# Propagation of singular vortices in 2D Euler equations

- Remark 1. Multiple singular vortices.

$$\omega_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \mathcal{M}(|x - x_0^i|) + b_0(x).$$

Evolution of center excludes self-interaction.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \phi_j(t) = -\nabla_x^\perp (-\Delta)^{-1} \left[ \sum_{i \neq j} \gamma_i \mathcal{M}(|x - \phi_i(t)|) + b(x, t) \right] \circ \phi_j(t),$$
$$\phi_j(0) = x_0^j.$$

- cf. Vortex-wave system (point vortices + perturbation). Point vortices do NOT solve Euler since too singular (Schochet 1996), while the above are actual solutions.
- Remark 2. Is  $\log \log_+$  the most singular vortex? (Open).

# Propagation of possible nonuniqueness

- 2D Euler with  $\omega_0 \in L^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ .
- Diperna and Majda(1987): global existence.
- Vishik(2018): non-uniqueness with forcing.
- Let  $\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t)$  be two solutions from  $\omega_0 \in L^p$ .  
How different are they?
- Non-uniqueness “propagates” with speed  $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$  for  $p > 2$ .

## Theorem (Drivas, Elgindi, L. 2022)

- 1 Let  $u_1, u_2 \in C([0, T]; W^{1,p})$  be two distinct weak solutions to 2D velocity-Euler with  $u_1(0) = u_2(0)$ . Then  $u_1 - u_2$  cannot be smooth.
- 2 Let  $\omega_0 \in L^1 \cap L^p$ , smooth away from origin. Let  $\omega_0^\epsilon$  be regularized data, which are uniformly smooth away from  $B_1(0)$ , and let  $\omega^\epsilon$  be corresponding solution.

Let  $\omega_*$  be a subsequential limit of  $\omega^\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $\omega_*$  is a weak solution to 2D Euler equation, which is smooth outside of  $B_{1+Ct}(0)$  where  $C = \sup_\epsilon \|u^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}$ .

# Singular Euler solutions as limits

- Singular solutions: limit of regular solutions.
  - Limit of regular Euler solutions (e.g. Crippa, De Lellis 2008)
  - Vanishing viscosity limit (e.g. Constantin, Drivas, Elgindi 2020)
  - *Macroscopic* limits of smaller scale description of fluids?

# Singular Euler solutions as limits of Boltzmann

- Hilbert's sixth problem (1900): developing limiting processes between physical models of different scales.
- Ruling out small scale fluctuations by averaging.
- If fluids are not regular, the limiting process becomes nontrivial.

# Kinetic description: Boltzmann equation

- $\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F)$ .
- (Hard-sphere) Collision  $Q(F, F)(v)$

$$Q(F, G)(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |(v - v_*) \cdot \sigma| (F_{v'} G_{v_*'} - F_v G_{v_*}) dv_* d\sigma.$$

$(v', v_*') \rightarrow (v, v_*)$  after collision,  $\sigma$ : collision cross-section.

- (local) Maxwellian:  $R$  density,  $U$  velocity,  $\Theta$  temperature.

$$M_{R,U,\Theta}(v) = \frac{R}{(2\pi\Theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|v - U|^2}{2\Theta}\right).$$

# Non-dimensionalization

- Non-dimensionalize, take the limit.
- Two non-dimensional numbers
  - $St := \frac{\text{macroscopic length}}{\text{microscopic length}}$
  - $Kn := \frac{\text{mean free path length}}{\text{macroscopic length}}$ : frequency of collision.
- Non-dimensionalized Boltzmann equation:

$$St \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = \frac{1}{Kn} Q(F, F).$$

- $Ma := \frac{(\text{macroscopic}) \text{ velocity scale}}{(\text{microscopic}) \text{ velocity scale}} = St.$
- $\frac{1}{Re} = \frac{Kn}{Ma}$  (Von Karman).

# Hydrodynamic limit

- More collisions  $\text{Kn} \rightarrow 0$ : averages representative of the distribution (hydrodynamic regime).
- $\text{Ma} \ll 1$ : macroscopic velocity  $\ll$  particle velocity - incompressible regime.
- $\text{Ma} = \text{Kn} \rightarrow 0$ : incompressible Navier-Stokes.
- $\text{Kn} \ll \text{Ma} \rightarrow 0$ : *incompressible Euler*.

# Hydrodynamic limit

- $\varepsilon = \text{St} = \text{Ma} \rightarrow 0, \kappa = \kappa(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \rightarrow 0$  for

$$\varepsilon \partial_t F^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x F^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon \kappa} Q(F^\varepsilon, F^\varepsilon),$$

- Goal:  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v F^\varepsilon(x, t, v) dv \rightarrow u(x, t)$ .
- $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$  (symmetric in  $z$  direction).

# Hydrodynamic limits toward Euler equation

- Hilbert expansion: perturbative method.
- Singular limit ( $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ ): use the local Maxwellian  $\mu := M_{1,\varepsilon u,1}$
- $F^\varepsilon = \mu + \varepsilon f_R \sqrt{\mu} + (\text{correctors})$ .
- We ask  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_R = 0$ :  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int v F^\varepsilon = u + \int v f_R \sqrt{\mu} + \dots$ .
- Stability estimate of  $f_R$ .

# Hydrodynamic limits toward Euler equation

- Regularity requirements for  $u$ :
  - Relative entropy (Saint-Raymond 2003):  $\nabla_x u \in L_t^1 L_x^\infty$  needed,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int v F^\varepsilon \rightarrow u$  weakly.
  - $L^2$  stability of  $f_R$ :  $u \in L_t^2 H_x^k$  needed,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int v F^\varepsilon \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^2$ .
  - $H^k$  stability of  $f_R$ : higher regularity for  $u$  needed, stronger convergence.

- 1 Not enough regularity:  $\nabla_x u \notin L^\infty$ .
- 2 Singular structures only observable in stronger topology (e.g. interfaces in vortex patch)
- 3 Viscosity effect blurs singular structures.
- 4 Large perturbation(general data):  $f_R = o(1)$ , but as large as possible.

- Issues 3 and 4: Incompressibility - size  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ , Euler equation - size  $\varepsilon^0$ , viscosity term - size  $\kappa$ .
  - Need to suppress up to size  $\kappa$ : (i) put viscosity term in Euler ( $\kappa$ -NS), or (ii) further corrector expansions (but  $\kappa = \varepsilon$ : too singular).
  - $f_R = o(\kappa)$  optimal: comparable to viscosity effect.
- Issues 1 and 2: approximation of  $u$  by  $u^\beta$  (Euler solution with initial data  $u_0^\beta = u_0 \star \phi_\beta$ .)
  - $\phi_\beta \rightarrow_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \delta_0$ :  $\beta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ .
  - Perturbation around  $\mu^\beta = M_{1, \varepsilon u^\beta, 1}$ , stability  $u^\beta \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,p}$ ,  $p < \infty$ .
  - $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int F^\varepsilon v dv = u^\beta + o(1) \rightarrow u$ .
  - $u^\beta$  smooth,  $\beta$  can be adjusted: stability estimate for  $f_R$  in  $H_x^2 L_v^2$ .

- Issues 2 and 4: using strong topology gives a better scaling.
  - $f_R$  equation: partially coercive, but two problems (more than  $L^2$  required).
  - (i) perturbation around local Maxwellian - higher moment.
  - (ii) nonlinearity  $Q(f_R \mu^\beta, f_R \mu^\beta)$  - integral with rapidly decaying multiplier: only lacks integrability in  $x$ .
  - $H_x^2 L_v^2$  and interpolation  $L^\infty \subset H^2$  treats (ii). (i): small prefactor.
  - Scaling:  $f_R \sim o(\kappa)$ ,  $\partial_x f_R \sim o(\sqrt{\kappa})$ ,  $\partial_x^2 f_R \sim o(1)$ .
- Issues 2 and 3: new expansion designed.
  - Scales of various terms tractable as only one is (mostly) used.

## Theorem (Kim, L. 2022)

For a singular solution  $u$  of 2D Euler equation ( $\omega \in L^p$ ,  $\|\omega\|_{L^p} = \Theta(p)$ ), there exists a sequence of Boltzmann solutions

$$F^\varepsilon = \mu_\beta + O(\kappa\varepsilon)$$

such that  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int v F^\varepsilon dv = u^\beta + O(\kappa) \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Moreover,  $u^\beta$  solves Euler equation as well.

- EX:  $u$  vortex patch  $\rightarrow u^\beta$  smooth Euler, a patch with  $\beta$ -thick layer.