C*-envelopes and Nuclearity related properties of Operator Systems

PREETI

MATA SUNDRI COLLEGE FOR WOMEN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, INDIA

Women in Operator Algebras III Banff International Research Station for Mathematical Innovation and Discovery (BIRS) Banff, Alberta, CANADA

JUNE 25-30, 2023



Abstract operator space

A normed space V with a sequence of norm $\|\cdot\|_n : M_n(V) \to [0,\infty) : n \in \mathbb{N}$ (known as *matrix norm*) is said to be an *abstract operator space*; if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$||v \oplus w||_{n+m} \le \max\{||v||_n, ||w||_m\}, v \in M_n(V) \text{ and } w \in M_m(V);$$

$$\|\alpha \mathbf{v}\beta\|_n \leq \|\alpha\| \|\mathbf{v}\|_n \|\beta\| \ \forall \ \alpha \in M_{m,n}, \ \beta \in M_{m,n}, \ \mathbf{v} \in M_n(V).$$

• $\phi: V \to W$ between operator spaces V and W is said to be completely bounded (abbreviated as c.b.) if

$$\|\phi\|_{cb} := \sup\{\|\phi_n\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty,$$

where $\phi_n: M_n(V) \to M_n(W)$ is defined by

$$\phi_n((x_{ij})) = (\phi(x_{ij})) \text{ for all } (x_{ij}) \in M_n(V).$$

• ϕ is a complete isometry if each ϕ_n is so

Ruan(1988)

If V is an abstract operator space , then V is completely isometrically isomorphic to a linear subspace $B(\mathcal{H})$ for some \mathcal{H} .

As in the case of operator spaces, one can consider an abstract definition independent of associated Hilbert space

Definition

An Abstract operator system is a triple $\{V, \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e\}$, where V is a complex *-vector space, $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a matrix ordering on V, and $e \in V_h$ is an Archimedean matrix order unit.

As in the case of operator spaces, one can consider an abstract definition independent of associated Hilbert space

Definition

An Abstract operator system is a triple $\{V, \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e\}$, where V is a complex *-vector space, $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a matrix ordering on V, and $e \in V_h$ is an Archimedean matrix order unit.

•
$$C_n \subset M_n(V)_h$$
 is a cone

•
$$\mathcal{C}_n \cap (-\mathcal{C}_n) = \{0\}$$

•
$$A \in M_{m,n} \Longrightarrow AC_nA^* \subset C_m$$
.

•
$$e_n := diag(e, e, \dots, e) \in M_n(V)_h$$
 satisfies:

$$x\in M_n(V)_h \Longrightarrow re_n-x\in \mathcal{C}_n$$
 for some $r>0,$ and

$$te_n + x \in \mathcal{C}_n$$
 for all $t > 0 \Longrightarrow x \in \mathcal{C}_n$

• Let S and T be operator systems. A linear map $\phi : S \to T$ is said to be **completely positive** provided

 $(\phi(s_{ij})) \in M_n(\mathcal{T})^+$ for all $(s_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+$

• Let S and T be operator systems. A linear map $\phi : S \to T$ is said to be **completely positive** provided

 $(\phi(s_{ij})) \in M_n(\mathcal{T})^+$ for all $(s_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+$

Theorem (Choi-Effros(1977))

If $(V, \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e)$ is an abstract operator system, then there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , a concrete operator system $S \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$, and a complete order isomorphism $\phi : V \to S$ with $\phi(e) = I$.

Thus one can identify abstract and concrete operator systems and refer to them simply as operator systems.

$\mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{S})$: $\mathrm{C}^*\text{-envelope}$ of \mathcal{S} (Arveson 1969, Hamana 1979)

The C*-envelope $C_e^*(S)$ is the C*-algebra generated by an isomorphic copy of S that enjoys the following universal "minimality" property: For every isomorphic copy $\phi(S)$ of S, there is a unique surjective unital *-homomorphism $\pi : C^*(\phi(S)) \to C_e^*(S)$ such that $\pi(\phi(s)) = s$ for every s in S, i.e. the following diagram commutes:



$\mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{S})$: $\mathrm{C}^*\text{-envelope}$ of \mathcal{S} (Arveson 1969, Hamana 1979)

The C*-envelope $C_e^*(S)$ is the C*-algebra generated by an isomorphic copy of S that enjoys the following universal "minimality" property: For every isomorphic copy $\phi(S)$ of S, there is a unique surjective unital *-homomorphism $\pi : C^*(\phi(S)) \to C_e^*(S)$ such that $\pi(\phi(s)) = s$ for every s in S, i.e. the following diagram commutes:



 $C_u^*(S)$: Universal C*-cover of S (Kirchberg-Wasserman 1998) The maximal C*-cover generated by S.

Given operator system $(S, \{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e_1)$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \{\mathcal{Q}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e_2)$, an **operator system structure** on $S \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is a family $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of cones, where $\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq M_n(S \otimes \mathcal{T})$, satisfying :

- **(T1)** $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}, \{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e_1 \otimes e_2)$ is an operator system,
- **(T2)** $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{Q}_m \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{nm}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- **(T3)** If $\phi : S \to \mathbb{M}_n$ and $\psi : T \to \mathbb{M}_m$ are unital completely positive maps, then $\phi \otimes \psi : S \otimes T \to \mathbb{M}_{mn}$ is a unital completely positive map.

By an operator system tensor product we mean a mapping $\tau : \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$, such that for every pair of operator systems S and \mathcal{T} , $\tau(S, \mathcal{T})$ is an operator system structure on $S \otimes \mathcal{T}$, denoted $S \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{T}$.

Motivated by the Choi-Effros' characterization:

KPTT 2011

A lattice of functorial partially ordered tensor products were introduced:

 $\min \le e \le er, el \le c \le max.$

Motivated by the Choi-Effros' characterization:

KPTT 2011

A lattice of functorial partially ordered tensor products were introduced:

 $\min \le e \le er, el \le c \le max.$

- minimal (min): $S \otimes_{\min} T \subset B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes_{C^*-\min} B(\mathcal{K})$
- maximal (max): $S \otimes_{\max} T \subset A \otimes_{C^*-\max} B$
- maximal commuting (c): $S \otimes_{c} T \subset C^*_u(S) \otimes_{max} C^*_u(T)$
- enveloping (e): $S \otimes_{e} T \subset I(S) \otimes_{max} I(T)$
- left enveloping (el): $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{e} \mathcal{T} \subset I(\mathcal{S}) \otimes_{max} \mathcal{T}$
- right enveloping (er): $S \otimes_{e} T \subset S \otimes_{max} I(T)$.

ess-tensor product (FKPT 2014)

$$\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathrm{ess}} \mathcal{T} \subset \mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{S}) \otimes_{\mathrm{max}} \mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{T})$$

```
ess-tensor product (FKPT 2014)
```

```
\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathrm{ess}} \mathcal{T} \subset \mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{S}) \otimes_{\mathsf{max}} \mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{T})
```

Proposition (GL 16) For any two unital C^* -algebras A and B, we have

 $A \otimes_{\mathrm{ess}} B = A \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} B = A \otimes_{\mathrm{max}} B.$

```
ess-tensor product (FKPT 2014)
```

```
\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathrm{ess}} \mathcal{T} \subset \mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{S}) \otimes_{\mathrm{max}} \mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{T})
```

Proposition (GL 16)

For any two unital C^* -algebras A and B, we have

$$A \otimes_{\mathrm{ess}} B = A \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} B = A \otimes_{\mathrm{max}} B.$$

Proposition (GL 16)

ess is symmetric non-functorial, and hence is different from all known tensor products.

(α,β) -nuclear (KPTT 2013)

The identity map between $S \otimes_{\alpha} T$ and $S \otimes_{\beta} T$ is a complete order isomorphism for every operator system T.

Unlike C*-algebra there are several notions of nuclearity.

Properties	Operator systems	Equivalent Nuclearity	
Exactness	K-P-T-T 2013	(min,el)-nuclear	
osLLP	K-P-T-T 2013	(min,er)-nuclear	
DCEP	K-P-T-T 2013	(el,c)-nuclear	
WEP	K-P-T-T 2013 - Han 2011	(el,max)-nuclear	
C^* -nuclearity	Kavruk 2014	(min,c)-nuclearity	
CPFP	Han-Paulsen 2011	(min,max)-nuclear	

Table: Structural properties and equivalent nuclearities for operator systems

Properties	C*-algebra	Operator spaces	Operator systems
Exactness	Kirchberg 1978	Pisier 1995	KPTT 2013
Local Lifitng Property	Kirchberg 1993	Kye-Ruan 1999	KPTT 2013
WEP	Lance 1982	Pisier 2003	KPTT 2013- Han 2011
DCEP	Arveson 1969	Paulsen 2011	KPTT 2013
CPFP	Choi-Effros 1975- Kirchberg 1977	Kirchberg 1995	Han-Paulsen 2011
Nuclearity	Takesaki 1964-Lance 1973	Does not ex- end	Kavruk 2014

Operator system from discrete group (FKPT 2014)

Given a countable discrete group G and generating set \mathfrak{u} of G,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{u}) := \operatorname{span}\{1, u, u^* : u \in \mathfrak{u}\} \subset \mathrm{C}^*(G),$$

where $C^*(G)$ is the full group C^* -algebra of the group G and $u \in G$ is identified with $\delta_u \in C^*(G)$.

Operator system from discrete group (FKPT 2014)

Given a countable discrete group G and generating set \mathfrak{u} of G,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{u}) := \operatorname{span}\{1, u, u^* : u \in \mathfrak{u}\} \subset \mathrm{C}^*(G),$$

where $C^*(G)$ is the full group C^* -algebra of the group G and $u \in G$ is identified with $\delta_u \in C^*(G)$.

For \mathbb{F}_n , the free group with *n*-generators $\rightsquigarrow S(\mathfrak{u})$ is a (2n + 1)-dimensional operator system independent of the generating set \mathfrak{u} $\rightsquigarrow S_n \subset C^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$. In general, such independence is not expected.

Lance 1973

For a discrete group G, $C^*(G)$ is nuclear $\iff G$ is amenable.

Lance 1973

For a discrete group G, $C^*(G)$ is nuclear $\iff G$ is amenable.

Question: : What kind of nuclearity is observed by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{u})$ if G is amenable?

 $\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{C}^*_{e}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{u})) = \mathrm{C}^*(\mathsf{G}) \text{ ! (Kavruk, 2014)}$

 $\label{eq:Question:} \textbf{Question:}: What kind of nuclearity is observed by an operator system <math display="inline">\mathcal{S}$ if its $\mathrm{C}^*\text{-envelope }\mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{S})$ is nuclear?

Question: : What kind of nuclearity is observed by an operator system S if its C*-envelope $C_e^*(S)$ is nuclear?

• Kirchberg and Wassermann 1998 gave an example of a (min, max)-nuclear operator system whose C*-envelope is non-nuclear.

Question: : What kind of nuclearity is observed by an operator system S if its C*-envelope $C_e^*(S)$ is nuclear?

- Kirchberg and Wassermann 1998 gave an example of a (min, max)-nuclear operator system whose C*-envelope is non-nuclear.
- The other direction is equally mysterious.

Theorem (GL 16)

 $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{u})$ is (min, ess) nuclear $\iff G$ is amenable.

Theorem (GL 16)

 $S(\mathfrak{u})$ is (min, ess) nuclear $\iff G$ is amenable.

The exhaustive list of nuclear group operator systems.

Theorem (GL 16)

 \mathfrak{u} be a minimal generating set of a finitely generated group G. $S(\mathfrak{u})$ is (\min, \max) -nuclear if and only if $|G| \leq 3$.

Graph operator systems (KPTT 2011)

Given a finite graph G with n-vertices,

 $\mathcal{S}_{G} = \operatorname{span}\{\{E_{i,j}: (i,j) \in G\} \cup \{E_{i,i}: 1 \le i \le n\}\} \subseteq M_{n}(\mathbb{C}),$

where $\{E_{i,j}\}$ is the standard system of matrix units in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and (i,j) denotes (an unordered) edge in G.

Graph operator systems (KPTT 2011)

Given a finite graph G with n-vertices,

 $\mathcal{S}_{G} = \operatorname{span}\{\{E_{i,j}: (i,j) \in G\} \cup \{E_{i,i}: 1 \le i \le n\}\} \subseteq M_{n}(\mathbb{C}),$

where $\{E_{i,j}\}$ is the standard system of matrix units in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and (i,j) denotes (an unordered) edge in G.

Theorem (GL 16)

 S_G is (min, max)-nuclear if and only if each component of G is complete.

A unital separable C*-algebra A is exact if and only if it admits a unital embedding into \mathcal{O}_2 .

A unital separable C*-algebra A is exact if and only if it admits a unital embedding into \mathcal{O}_2 .

Question: Are separable exact operator system embeddable in \mathcal{O}_2 ?

A unital separable C*-algebra A is exact if and only if it admits a unital embedding into \mathcal{O}_2 .

Question: Are separable exact operator system embeddable in \mathcal{O}_2 ?

Answer NO!

Kirchberg-Wasserman (1998) and Lupini (2014) constructed examples that are exact but non-embeddable in \mathcal{O}_2 .

A unital separable C*-algebra A is exact if and only if it admits a unital embedding into \mathcal{O}_2 .

Question: Are separable exact operator system embeddable in \mathcal{O}_2 ?

Answer NO!

Kirchberg-Wasserman (1998) and Lupini (2014) constructed examples that are exact but non-embeddable in O_2 .

Question: Under what conditions operator systems are embeddable in $\mathcal{O}_2?$

PZ 2016

For the generators s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n $(n \ge 2)$ of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n and identity *I*, the Cuntz operator system

 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_n} := span\{I, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n, s_1^*, s_2^*, \cdots, s_n^*\} \subset \mathcal{O}_n.$

PZ 2016

For the generators s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n $(n \ge 2)$ of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n and identity *I*, the Cuntz operator system

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_n} := span\{I, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n, s_1^*, s_2^*, \cdots, s_n^*\} \subset \mathcal{O}_n.$$

• For
$$s_1, s_2, \cdots$$
 in $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} \rightsquigarrow$
 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\infty}} = span\{I, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_1^*, s_2^*, \cdots\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\infty}.$

PZ 2016

For the generators s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n $(n \ge 2)$ of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n and identity *I*, the Cuntz operator system

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_n} := span\{I, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n, s_1^*, s_2^*, \cdots, s_n^*\} \subset \mathcal{O}_n.$$

• For
$$s_1, s_2, \cdots$$
 in $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} \rightsquigarrow S_{\mathcal{O}_{\infty}} = span\{I, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_1^*, s_2^*, \cdots\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\infty}.$

• If an operator system S has a simple C*-cover (A, i) then $A \cong C_e^*(S)$.

Theorem (LK 17)

Let S be a separable operator system with C^* -envelope. Then there exists a unital complete order embedding of S into \mathcal{O}_2 if and only if $C^*_e(S)$ is exact.

Theorem (LK 17)

Let S be a separable operator system with C^* -envelope. Then there exists a unital complete order embedding of S into \mathcal{O}_2 if and only if $C^*_e(S)$ is exact.

Corollary (LK 17)

For any simple, unital, separable and nuclear C*-algebra A, we have $C_e^*(A \otimes_{\min=\max} S_{\mathcal{O}_2}) \cong \mathcal{O}_2$.

Theorem (LK 17)

Let S be a separable operator system with C^* -envelope. Then there exists a unital complete order embedding of S into \mathcal{O}_2 if and only if $C^*_e(S)$ is exact.

Corollary (LK 17)

For any simple, unital, separable and nuclear C*-algebra A, we have $C_e^*(A \otimes_{\min=\max} S_{\mathcal{O}_2}) \cong \mathcal{O}_2$.

(LK 17)

For any unital, simple, nuclear, separable and purely infinite C*-algebra A, $C_e^*(A \otimes_{\min=\max} S_{\infty}) \cong A$.

Theorem (KL 18)

Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing collection of operator systems such that that the complete order embedding $S_i \subset S_{i+1}$ extends to a *-homomorphism, then we have

$$\mathrm{C}_{e}^{*}(\lim_{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{S}_{i})=\lim_{\longrightarrow}\mathrm{C}_{e}^{*}(\mathcal{S}_{i}).$$

Theorem (KL 18)

Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing collection of operator systems such that that the complete order embedding $S_i \subset S_{i+1}$ extends to a *-homomorphism, then we have

$$\mathrm{C}^*_e(\lim_{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{S}_i) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{C}^*_e(\mathcal{S}_i).$$

And, moreover if each S_i is separable, exact and contains enough unitaries of $C_e^*(S_i)$, then $\lim_{k \to \infty} S_i$ embeds into \mathcal{O}_2 .

Theorem (LKR 18)

Let $(S, \{M_n(S)^+\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e_S)$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \{M_n(\mathcal{T})^+\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e_T)$ be operator systems. The family $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined as

 $\mathcal{C}_n = \{ \alpha \otimes_{\lambda_j} (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_m) \alpha^* \mid \mathbf{v}_t \in M_j(V_t)^+, \alpha \in M_{n,\tau(j)}, j \in \mathbb{N}, t = 1, 2, \cdots, n \}$

satisfying (O1) - (O3), is a matrix ordering on $S \otimes T$ with order unit $e_S \otimes e_T$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ fulfills conditions (O1) – (O3), and let

$$\mathcal{C}_n^{\lambda} := \{ P \in M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}) \ | \ r(e_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{T}})_n + P \in \mathcal{C}_n, \ \text{ for all } r > 0 \}$$

be the Archimedeanization of the matrix ordering C_n for all $n \ge 1$. We call the operator system $(S \otimes T, \{C_n^\lambda\}_{n=1}^\infty, e_S \otimes e_T)$ the λ - operator system tensor product of S and T and denote it by $S \otimes_{\lambda} T$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ fulfills conditions (O1) – (O3), and let

 $\mathcal{C}_n^{\lambda} := \{ P \in M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}) \ | \ r(e_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{T}})_n + P \in \mathcal{C}_n, \ \text{for all } r > 0 \}$

be the Archimedeanization of the matrix ordering C_n for all $n \ge 1$. We call the operator system $(S \otimes T, \{C_n^\lambda\}_{n=1}^\infty, e_S \otimes e_T)$ the λ - operator system tensor product of S and T and denote it by $S \otimes_{\lambda} T$.

Theorem (LKR 18)

The mapping $\lambda : \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$ sending $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ to $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\lambda} \mathcal{T}$ is a functorial operator system tensor product.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ fulfills conditions (O1) – (O3), and let

 $\mathcal{C}_n^{\lambda} := \{ P \in M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}) \ | \ r(e_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{T}})_n + P \in \mathcal{C}_n, \ \text{for all } r > 0 \}$

be the Archimedeanization of the matrix ordering C_n for all $n \ge 1$. We call the operator system $(S \otimes T, \{C_n^\lambda\}_{n=1}^\infty, e_S \otimes e_T)$ the λ - operator system tensor product of S and T and denote it by $S \otimes_{\lambda} T$.

Theorem (LKR 18)

The mapping $\lambda : \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$ sending $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ to $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\lambda} \mathcal{T}$ is a functorial operator system tensor product.

Theorem (AKL 18)

$$\lim_{\longrightarrow} (\mathcal{S}_k \otimes_{\lambda} \mathcal{T}) \stackrel{c.o.i.}{\cong} (\lim_{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{S}_k) \otimes_{\lambda} \mathcal{T}.$$

Thank you for your kind attention!

- [Ki 95] Kirchberg. On subalgebras of the CAR-algebra. J. Funct. Anal., 129(1), (1995)
- [KW 98] Kirchberg and Wassermann. C*-algebras generated by operator systems. J. Funct. Anal., 155(2), (1998).
- [KPTT 11] Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, and Tomforde, Tensor products of operator systems, J. Funct. Anal., 261 (2011).
- [KPTT 13] Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, and Tomforde, *Quotients, exactness* and nuclearity in the operator systems category, Adv. Math. 235 (2013).
- [FKPT 14] Farenick, Kavruk, Paulsen and Todorov. Operator systems from discrete groups. Comm. Math. Phys. 329(1), (2014).
 - [DW 14] Defant and Wiesner. *Polynomials in operator space theory.* J. Funct. Anal. (2014)

Selected References II:

- [Ka 14] Kavruk. Nuclearity related properties in operator systems. J. Operator Theory (2014).
- [PZ 16] Paulsen and Zheng. Tensor products of the operator system generated by the Cuntz isometries. J. Operator Theory (2016).
- [GL 16] Gupta and Luthra. Operator system nuclearity via C*-envelopes. J. Aust. Math. Soc., 101(3),356-375 (2016).
- [LK 17] Luthra and Kumar. Embeddings and C*-envelopes of exact operator systems. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 96(2),274-285 (2017).
- **[LKR 18]** Luthra, Kumar and Rajpal. *Polynomials in operator space theory: matrix ordering and algebraic aspects.* Positivity, 22(2),629-652 (2018).
 - [KL 18] Kumar and Luthra. Nuclearity properties and C*-envelopes of operator system inductive limits. TKorean Math. Soc., 55(5):1045-1061, 2018, Korean Mathematical Society.
- [AKL 18] Antony, Kumar and Luthra. Operator space tensor product and inductive limits. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications,470(1): 235-250, 2019.