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## Sketch to 3D



## Outline
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## Previous approaches
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Sketch2Model: View-Aware 3D Modeling from Single Free-Hand Sketches
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Sketch2Mesh: Reconstructing and Editing 3D Shapes from Sketches


## Sketch-A-Shape

- No paired data 3D-sketches
- Pre-trained large models
- Preserve stylistic details
- Several possible 3D representation



## Overview



## Discrete Autoencoder



CAN BE ANYTHING

Aaron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. Neural discrete representation learning. (2017)
Xu, Xiang, et al. SkexGen: Autoregressive generation of CAD construction sequences with disentangled codebooks. (2022)
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## Results: implicit



## Results: CAD



## Datasets

- Training datasets: ShapeNet, DeepCAD
- Evaluation sketch datasets



## Quantitative evaluation

- Human perceptual evaluation
- Comparison with supervised methods
- SketchSampler
- Sketch2Model



ShapeNet-Sketch 3D ground truth


TU-Berlin


ImageNet-Sketch


QuickDraw

## Human evaluation



| Dataset | \% correctly identified |
| :--- | :---: |
| All | $71.1 \%$ |
| TU-Berlin | $74.9 \%$ |
| ShapeNet-Sketch | $73.1 \%$ |
| ImageNet-Sketch | $68.1 \%$ |
| QuickDraw | $67.9 \%$ |

Which of the 3 D models on the right hand side best matches the sketch on the left hand side?

## Comparisons

| Method | Type | IOU $\uparrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sketch2Mesh [7] | Supervised | 0.195 |
| Sketch2Model [15] | Supervised | 0.205 |
| Sketch2Point [13] | Supervised | 0.163 |
| SketchSampler [6] | Supervised | 0.244 |
| ours | Zero-shot | 0.292 |


| Method | QD-Acc $\uparrow$ | TU-Acc $\uparrow$ | SS-Acc $\uparrow$ | IS-Acc $\uparrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point $\cdot$ E | 12.6 | 40.1 | 43.2 | 18.9 |
| S2M | 27.4 | 19.8 | 26.0 | 12.0 |
| Ours | $\mathbf{5 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 2}$ |



## Why does this work?

- Pre-trained model semantic understanding
- Local grid features
- Size
- Training dataset

| Resolution | CFG | Network | Dataset | QD-Acc $\uparrow$ | TU-Acc $\uparrow$ | SS-Acc $\uparrow$ | IS-Acc $\uparrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \times 512$ | $\times$ | B-32 [57] | OpenAI [57] | 36.65 | 61.14 | 62.86 | 55.96 |
| $50 \times 768$ | $\times$ | B-32 [57] | OpenAI [57] | 37.85 | 63.25 | 63.78 | 52.79 |
| $50 \times 768$ | $\checkmark$ | B-32 [57] | OpenAI [57] | 38.86 | 65.86 | 67.36 | 49.19 |
| $197 \times 768$ | $\checkmark$ | B-16 [57] | OpenAI [57] | 38.47 | 71.66 | 70.72 | 61.10 |
| $257 \times 1024$ | $\checkmark$ | L-14 [57] | OpenAI [57] | $\mathbf{5 5 . 4 5}$ | 77.15 | $\mathbf{7 4 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 0 6}$ |
| $144 \times 3072$ | $\checkmark$ | RN50x16[57] | OpenAI [57] | 34.61 | 70.81 | 58.82 | 59.00 |
| $196 \times 4096$ | $\checkmark$ | RN50x64 [57] | OpenAI [57] | 46.93 | 73.79 | 59.41 | 64.19 |
| $257 \times 1024$ | $\checkmark$ | Open-L-14 [27] | LAION-2B [64] | 54.63 | $\mathbf{7 7 . 6 0}$ | 69.03 | 68.35 |
| $256 \times 1024$ | $\checkmark$ | DINO-L-14 [53] | DINOv2 [53] | 39.73 | 71.12 | 72.10 | 55.94 |
| $197 \times 1024$ | $\checkmark$ | MAE-L [22] | ImageNet [11] | 19.31 | 30.52 | 38.79 | 26.65 |
| $257 \times 1280$ | $\checkmark$ | MAE-H [22] | ImageNet [11] | 18.70 | 31.63 | 37.47 | 31.42 |

## Why does this work?

- Pre-trained model semantic understanding
- Local grid features
- Size
- Training dataset
- Rendering from several points of view
- Data augmentation


## Conclusion $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ Future work

- 3D generative model conditioned on local features can do sketch to 3D
- Different abstraction
- Multiple 3D representation
- More data to be able to generate almost everything


