Real Algebraic Geometry via Operator Theory

Michael Dritschel

6 August 2021

Oaxaca (virtually)

The connections between real algebraic geometry and operator theory are manifold.

- The connections between real algebraic geometry and operator theory are manifold.
- I will concentrate on those areas I know best, and then only briefly mention others.

- The connections between real algebraic geometry and operator theory are manifold.
- I will concentrate on those areas I know best, and then only briefly mention others.
- ▶ In particular, I'll talk a bit about Toeplitz and Hankel operators.

- The connections between real algebraic geometry and operator theory are manifold.
- I will concentrate on those areas I know best, and then only briefly mention others.
- ▶ In particular, I'll talk a bit about Toeplitz and Hankel operators.
- ▶ I will also look at restrictions (via Schur complements) and extensions.

- The connections between real algebraic geometry and operator theory are manifold.
- I will concentrate on those areas I know best, and then only briefly mention others.
- ▶ In particular, I'll talk a bit about Toeplitz and Hankel operators.
- ▶ I will also look at restrictions (via Schur complements) and extensions.
- Another important tool is the use of GNS type constructions and Hahn-Banach separation.

- The connections between real algebraic geometry and operator theory are manifold.
- I will concentrate on those areas I know best, and then only briefly mention others.
- ▶ In particular, I'll talk a bit about Toeplitz and Hankel operators.
- ▶ I will also look at restrictions (via Schur complements) and extensions.
- Another important tool is the use of GNS type constructions and Hahn-Banach separation.
- Various techniques from non-commutative analysis will also be discussed.

We begin with a result that can be handled in a purely analytic fashion.

Theorem 1 ((Scalar) Fejér-Riesz Theorem).

Let $Q(\theta) = \sum_{-n}^{n} Q_k e^{ik\theta}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{C} such that $Q(\theta) \ge 0$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then $Q(\theta) = F(e^{i\theta})^* F(e^{i\theta})$ for all θ , where $F(z) = \sum_{0}^{n} F_k z^k$ is an outer function on the unit disk with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . We begin with a result that can be handled in a purely analytic fashion.

Theorem 1 ((Scalar) Fejér-Riesz Theorem).

Let $Q(\theta) = \sum_{-n}^{n} Q_k e^{ik\theta}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{C} such that $Q(\theta) \ge 0$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then $Q(\theta) = F(e^{i\theta})^* F(e^{i\theta})$ for all θ , where $F(z) = \sum_{0}^{n} F_k z^k$ is an outer function on the unit disk with coefficients in \mathbb{C} .

Recall that a bounded analytic function F is *outer* if the closure of the range of F maps $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. (This is one of several equivalent characterizations.)

We begin with a result that can be handled in a purely analytic fashion.

Theorem 1 ((Scalar) Fejér-Riesz Theorem).

Let $Q(\theta) = \sum_{-n}^{n} Q_k e^{ik\theta}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{C} such that $Q(\theta) \ge 0$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then $Q(\theta) = F(e^{i\theta})^* F(e^{i\theta})$ for all θ , where $F(z) = \sum_{0}^{n} F_k z^k$ is an outer function on the unit disk with coefficients in \mathbb{C} .

Recall that a bounded analytic function F is *outer* if the closure of the range of F maps $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. (This is one of several equivalent characterizations.)

For a proof, see Riesz and Sz.-Nagy. There were various generalizations to matrix valued polynomials in the 60s, culminating in an operator version due to Marvin Rosenblum.

Theorem 2 (Operator Fejér-Riesz Theorem).

Let $Q(\theta) = \sum_{n=n}^{n} Q_k e^{ik\theta}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $Q(\theta) \ge 0$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then $Q(\theta) = F(e^{i\theta})^* F(e^{i\theta})$ for all θ , where $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{n} F_k z^k$ is an operator-valued outer function on the unit disk with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

Here, a bounded analytic function F is *outer* if the closure of the range of F as a multiplication operator on $H^2_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{D})$ is $H^2_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{D})$ for some subspace \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{H} .

Trigonometric polynomials and Toeplitz operators

To the trigonometric polynomial

$$Q(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{-n}^{n} A_k e^{ik\theta}$$

associate the Toeplitz operator

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_{-1} & \cdots & A_{-n} & 0 & \cdots \\ A_1 & A_0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ A_n & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

To the trigonometric polynomial

$$Q(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{-n}^{n} A_k e^{ik\theta}$$

associate the Toeplitz operator

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_{-1} & \cdots & A_{-n} & 0 & \cdots \\ A_1 & A_0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ A_n & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The polynomial Q is (strictly) positive if and only if the associated Toeplitz operator is (strictly) positive.

Let $Q \ge 0$, T the associated Toeplitz operator. Choose any factorization $T = F^*F$. Since T is Toeplitz, $T = S^*TS$; that is, $F^*F = (FS)^*(FS)$. So there is an isometry W such that FS = WF.

Let $Q \ge 0$, T the associated Toeplitz operator. Choose any factorization $T = F^*F$. Since T is Toeplitz, $T = S^*TS$; that is, $F^*F = (FS)^*(FS)$. So there is an isometry W such that FS = WF.

 $W = V \oplus U$, V a shift on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \bigoplus_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}$, U unitary on \mathcal{N} , $\overline{\operatorname{ran}} F = \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ (Wold decomposition). Now an easy argument using the fact that TS^n is analytic (so commutes with S) and that $U\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$ allows one to conclude that $\mathcal{N} = \{0\}$, and so W = V.

Let $Q \ge 0$, T the associated Toeplitz operator. Choose any factorization $T = F^*F$. Since T is Toeplitz, $T = S^*TS$; that is, $F^*F = (FS)^*(FS)$. So there is an isometry W such that FS = WF.

 $W = V \oplus U$, V a shift on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \bigoplus_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}$, U unitary on \mathcal{N} , $\overline{\operatorname{ran}} F = \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ (Wold decomposition). Now an easy argument using the fact that TS^n is analytic (so commutes with S) and that $U\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$ allows one to conclude that $\mathcal{N} = \{0\}$, and so W = V.

Another elementary argument also shows that $\dim \mathcal{L} \leq \dim \mathcal{H}$, and that we may take F to be analytic on \mathcal{H} with dense range in $H^2(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq H^2(\mathcal{H})$, and that $\deg F = n$; that is, Q has an outer factorization.

Definition 3.

Suppose

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$$

The Schur complement supported at \mathcal{H} in \tilde{A} (write M) is the largest positive operator X such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A - X & B^* \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

in the sense that if Y is any other operator such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A - Y & B^* \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \ge 0,$$

then $M \geq Y$.

There are various ways to calculate the Schur complement X.

There are various ways to calculate the Schur complement X. For example, if C is invertible, then $X = A - B^* C^{-1} B$. There are various ways to calculate the Schur complement X. For example, if C is invertible, then $X = A - B^*C^{-1}B$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B^* C^{-1/2} & C^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & C^{-1/2} B \\ 0 & C^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are various ways to calculate the Schur complement X. For example, if C is invertible, then $X = A - B^*C^{-1}B$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B^* C^{-1/2} & C^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & C^{-1/2} B \\ 0 & C^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This relates to the realizations that Mike Jury spoke about.

In the single variable case, Schur complements can also be used to get the outer factorization of a non-negative trigonometric polynomial.

- In the single variable case, Schur complements can also be used to get the outer factorization of a non-negative trigonometric polynomial.
- In two or more variables, trigonometric polynomials are associated to Toeplitz matrices of Toeplitz matrices.

- In the single variable case, Schur complements can also be used to get the outer factorization of a non-negative trigonometric polynomial.
- In two or more variables, trigonometric polynomials are associated to Toeplitz matrices of Toeplitz matrices.
- These Schur complement techniques can be extended to (approximately) factor trigonometric polynomials in several variables, with bounds on the number and degrees of the polynomials in the factorization.

- In the single variable case, Schur complements can also be used to get the outer factorization of a non-negative trigonometric polynomial.
- In two or more variables, trigonometric polynomials are associated to Toeplitz matrices of Toeplitz matrices.
- These Schur complement techniques can be extended to (approximately) factor trigonometric polynomials in several variables, with bounds on the number and degrees of the polynomials in the factorization.
- In particular, it can be shown that every strictly positive polynomial can be factored.

- In the single variable case, Schur complements can also be used to get the outer factorization of a non-negative trigonometric polynomial.
- In two or more variables, trigonometric polynomials are associated to Toeplitz matrices of Toeplitz matrices.
- These Schur complement techniques can be extended to (approximately) factor trigonometric polynomials in several variables, with bounds on the number and degrees of the polynomials in the factorization.
- In particular, it can be shown that every strictly positive polynomial can be factored.
- Using a Cayley transform, it is possible to say something about rational factorization of operator valued polynomials which are positive over Rⁿ.

- In the single variable case, Schur complements can also be used to get the outer factorization of a non-negative trigonometric polynomial.
- In two or more variables, trigonometric polynomials are associated to Toeplitz matrices of Toeplitz matrices.
- These Schur complement techniques can be extended to (approximately) factor trigonometric polynomials in several variables, with bounds on the number and degrees of the polynomials in the factorization.
- In particular, it can be shown that every strictly positive polynomial can be factored.
- Using a Cayley transform, it is possible to say something about rational factorization of operator valued polynomials which are positive over Rⁿ.
- In the scalar case, this is just a special case of either Schmüdgen's theorem or Putinar's theorem.

Schmüdgen's theorem and Putinar's theorem

A semialgebraic set K_S is one described by a finite collection S of polynomial inequalities, p_j(x) ≥ 0.

Schmüdgen's theorem and Putinar's theorem

- A semialgebraic set K_S is one described by a finite collection S of polynomial inequalities, $p_j(x) \ge 0$.
- ► The quadratic module M_S associated to K_S consists of the finite sums $\sum_j \sum_{\ell} f_{j,\ell}^*(x) p(x) f_{j,\ell}(x)$, where the $f_{j,\ell}$ s are polynomials.

- A semialgebraic set K_S is one described by a finite collection S of polynomial inequalities, p_j(x) ≥ 0.
- ► The quadratic module M_S associated to K_S consists of the finite sums $\sum_j \sum_{\ell} f_{j,\ell}^*(x) p(x) f_{j,\ell}(x)$, where the $f_{j,\ell}$ s are polynomials.
- For the *preordering* T_S associated to K_S , replace p_j s by products of p_j s. Obviously, all preorderings are quadratic modules.

- A semialgebraic set K_S is one described by a finite collection S of polynomial inequalities, p_j(x) ≥ 0.
- ► The quadratic module M_S associated to K_S consists of the finite sums $\sum_j \sum_{\ell} f_{j,\ell}^*(x) p(x) f_{j,\ell}(x)$, where the $f_{j,\ell}$ s are polynomials.
- ▶ For the preordering T_S associated to K_S, replace p_js by products of p_js. Obviously, all preorderings are quadratic modules.
- M_S is archimedean if for some N > 0, $N \sum_i |x_i|^2 \in M_S$.

- A semialgebraic set K_S is one described by a finite collection S of polynomial inequalities, p_j(x) ≥ 0.
- ► The quadratic module M_S associated to K_S consists of the finite sums $\sum_j \sum_{\ell} f_{j,\ell}^*(x) p(x) f_{j,\ell}(x)$, where the $f_{j,\ell}$ s are polynomials.
- For the *preordering* T_S associated to K_S , replace p_j s by products of p_j s. Obviously, all preorderings are quadratic modules.
- M_S is archimedean if for some N > 0, $N \sum_i |x_i|^2 \in M_S$.

Theorem 4 (Schmüdgen's theorem).

Let K_S be a compact semialgebraic set over \mathbb{R}^d . Any polynomial which is strictly positive over K_S is in the preordering T_S .

- A semialgebraic set K_S is one described by a finite collection S of polynomial inequalities, p_j(x) ≥ 0.
- ► The quadratic module M_S associated to K_S consists of the finite sums $\sum_j \sum_{\ell} f_{j,\ell}^*(x) p(x) f_{j,\ell}(x)$, where the $f_{j,\ell}$ s are polynomials.
- For the *preordering* T_S associated to K_S , replace p_j s by products of p_j s. Obviously, all preorderings are quadratic modules.
- M_S is archimedean if for some N > 0, $N \sum_i |x_i|^2 \in M_S$.

Theorem 4 (Schmüdgen's theorem).

Let K_S be a compact semialgebraic set over \mathbb{R}^d . Any polynomial which is strictly positive over K_S is in the preordering T_S .

Theorem 5 (Putinar's theorem).

Let K_S be a semialgebraic set over \mathbb{R}^d and suppose that M_S is archimedian. Then any polynomial which is strictly positive over K_S is in the quadratic module M_S .

The last two theorems rely at a crucial step on Krivine's theorem, which has no known analytic proof.

The last two theorems rely at a crucial step on Krivine's theorem, which has no known analytic proof.

Theorem 6 (Krivine's Striktpositivstellensatz).

For every $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. f(x) > 0 for every $x \in K_S$, and
- 2. there exist $t, u \in T_S$ such that (1+t)f = 1+u.

Define $M_r(\mathbb{R}[X]) = M_r(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[X]$; that is $r \times r$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{R}[X]$, equivalently, polynomials with coefficients in $M_r(\mathbb{R})$. Set $M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])^2 = \sum F_j^t F_j$, a finite sum with $F_j \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])$.
Define $M_r(\mathbb{R}[X]) = M_r(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[X]$; that is $r \times r$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{R}[X]$, equivalently, polynomials with coefficients in $M_r(\mathbb{R})$. Set $M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])^2 = \sum F_j^t F_j$, a finite sum with $F_j \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])$.

Analogously, we take

$$M_S^r = \{\sum_j P_j g_j : P_j \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])^2\}$$

and $T_S^r = M_{\hat{S}}^r$.

Define $M_r(\mathbb{R}[X]) = M_r(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[X]$; that is $r \times r$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{R}[X]$, equivalently, polynomials with coefficients in $M_r(\mathbb{R})$. Set $M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])^2 = \sum F_j^t F_j$, a finite sum with $F_j \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])$.

Analogously, we take

$$M_S^r = \{\sum_j P_j g_j : P_j \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])^2\}$$

and $T_S^r = M_{\hat{S}}^r$.

Theorem 7 (Cimprič's Striktpositivstellensatz).

For every $F = F^t \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])$, the following are equivalent: 1. F(x) > 0 for every $x \in K_S$, and

2. there exist $t \in T_S$, $U \in T_S^r$ such that $(1+t)F = 1_r + U$.

Define $M_r(\mathbb{R}[X]) = M_r(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[X]$; that is $r \times r$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{R}[X]$, equivalently, polynomials with coefficients in $M_r(\mathbb{R})$. Set $M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])^2 = \sum F_j^t F_j$, a finite sum with $F_j \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])$.

Analogously, we take

$$M_S^r = \{\sum_j P_j g_j : P_j \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])^2\}$$

and $T_S^r = M_{\hat{S}}^r$.

Theorem 7 (Cimprič's Striktpositivstellensatz).

For every $F = F^t \in M_r(\mathbb{R}[X])$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. F(x) > 0 for every $x \in K_S$, and
- 2. there exist $t \in T_S$, $U \in T_S^r$ such that $(1+t)F = 1_r + U$.

The difficult direction is (2) implies (1). This is done by induction on r and relies on the scalar version of Krivine's theorem (hence there is a non-analytic component).

The idea is to write

$$0 < F = \begin{pmatrix} f & G^T \\ G & H \end{pmatrix},$$

where f > 0 is scalar valued and $H \in M_{r-1}(\mathbb{R}[X])$. The Schur complement of H is $\tilde{H} = H - G(1/f)G^*$ and

$$F = K^T \begin{pmatrix} f & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{H} \end{pmatrix} K,$$

where

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -(1/f)G^T \\ 0 & 1_{r-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clever algebraic manipulations then gets rid of the denominators.

A "trigonometric polynomial" in $\mathcal{C}\otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is formally a finite sum over G of the form $P = \sum_g g \otimes P_g$ where $P_g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all g. The "analytic polynomials" \mathcal{A} are those trigonometric polynomials where each g is in S.

A "trigonometric polynomial" in $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is formally a finite sum over G of the form $P = \sum_g g \otimes P_g$ where $P_g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all g. The "analytic polynomials" \mathcal{A} are those trigonometric polynomials where each g is in S.

A trigonometric polynomial P is selfadjoint if for all g, $P_{g^*} = P_g^*$.

A "trigonometric polynomial" in $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is formally a finite sum over G of the form $P = \sum_g g \otimes P_g$ where $P_g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all g. The "analytic polynomials" \mathcal{A} are those trigonometric polynomials where each g is in S.

A trigonometric polynomial P is selfadjoint if for all g, $P_{g^*} = P_g^*$.

A selfadjoint polynomial P is *positive / strictly positive* if for every irreducible unital *-representation π of G, the extension of π to the algebra $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by tensoring with the identity representation on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (again called π), satisfies $\pi(P) \geq 0 / \pi(P) > 0$; where $\pi(P) > 0$ means there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ independent of π such that $\pi(P - \epsilon(1 \otimes 1)) \geq 0$.

A "trigonometric polynomial" in $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is formally a finite sum over G of the form $P = \sum_g g \otimes P_g$ where $P_g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all g. The "analytic polynomials" \mathcal{A} are those trigonometric polynomials where each g is in S.

A trigonometric polynomial P is selfadjoint if for all g, $P_{g^*} = P_g^*$.

A selfadjoint polynomial P is *positive / strictly positive* if for every irreducible unital *-representation π of G, the extension of π to the algebra $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by tensoring with the identity representation on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (again called π), satisfies $\pi(P) \geq 0 / \pi(P) > 0$; where $\pi(P) > 0$ means there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ independent of π such that $\pi(P - \epsilon(1 \otimes 1)) \geq 0$.

Letting Ω represent the set of such irreducible representations, define $\hat{P}(\pi)=\pi(P)$, and in this way think of Ω as a sort of noncommutative space on which our polynomial is defined. The Gel'fand-Raĭkov theorem ensures the existence of sufficiently many irreducible representations to separate G.

Any real polynomial is the sum of terms of the form $1 \otimes A$ or $w_2^* w_1 \otimes B + w_1^* w_2 \otimes B^*$, where $w_1, w_2 \in S$ and A is selfadjoint. The first of these is obviously the difference of squares. Using $w^* w = 1$ for any $w \in G$, we also have

 $w_2^* w_1 \otimes B + w_1^* w_2 \otimes B^* = (w_1 \otimes B + w_2 \otimes 1)^* (w_1 \otimes B + w_2 \otimes 1) - 1 \otimes (1 + B^*B).$ Hence $H_h = C - C.$

Any real polynomial is the sum of terms of the form $1 \otimes A$ or $w_2^* w_1 \otimes B + w_1^* w_2 \otimes B^*$, where $w_1, w_2 \in S$ and A is selfadjoint. The first of these is obviously the difference of squares. Using $w^* w = 1$ for any $w \in G$, we also have

 $w_2^* w_1 \otimes B + w_1^* w_2 \otimes B^* = (w_1 \otimes B + w_2 \otimes 1)^* (w_1 \otimes B + w_2 \otimes 1) - 1 \otimes (1 + B^* B).$ Hence $H_h = C - C.$

The square of an analytic polynomial Q is the hereditary trigonometric polynomial Q^*Q .

Any real polynomial is the sum of terms of the form $1 \otimes A$ or $w_2^* w_1 \otimes B + w_1^* w_2 \otimes B^*$, where $w_1, w_2 \in S$ and A is selfadjoint. The first of these is obviously the difference of squares. Using $w^* w = 1$ for any $w \in G$, we also have

 $w_{2}^{*}w_{1} \otimes B + w_{1}^{*}w_{2} \otimes B^{*} = (w_{1} \otimes B + w_{2} \otimes 1)^{*}(w_{1} \otimes B + w_{2} \otimes 1) - 1 \otimes (1 + B^{*}B).$ Hence $H_{b} = C - C$.

The square of an analytic polynomial Q is the hereditary trigonometric polynomial Q^*Q .

Sums of squares are obviously positive. In analogy with the multivariable Fejér-Riesz theorem, that strictly positive trigonometric polynomials are sums of squares.

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $w_1, w_2 \in S$,

$$0 \le (w_1 \otimes A + w_2 \otimes B)^* (w_1 \otimes A + w_2 \otimes B)$$

$$\le (w_1 \otimes A + w_2 \otimes B)^* (w_1 \otimes A + w_2 \otimes B)$$

$$+ (w_1 \otimes A - w_2 \otimes B)^* (w_1 \otimes A - w_2 \otimes B)$$

$$= 2(1 \otimes A^*A + 1 \otimes B^*B)$$

$$\le (\|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2)(1 \otimes 1).$$

Applied iteratively this shows that the order is archimedean.

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $w_1, w_2 \in S$,

$$0 \le (w_1 \otimes A + w_2 \otimes B)^* (w_1 \otimes A + w_2 \otimes B)$$

$$\le (w_1 \otimes A + w_2 \otimes B)^* (w_1 \otimes A + w_2 \otimes B)$$

$$+ (w_1 \otimes A - w_2 \otimes B)^* (w_1 \otimes A - w_2 \otimes B)$$

$$= 2(1 \otimes A^*A + 1 \otimes B^*B)$$

$$\le (||A||^2 + ||B||^2)(1 \otimes 1).$$

Applied iteratively this shows that the order is archimedean.

Theorem 8 (A noncommutative FR theorem).

Let G be a finitely generated discrete group, P a strictly positive trigonometric polynomial in $C \otimes B(H)$. Then P is a sum of squares of analytic polynomials.

Some observations:

- ▶ $H = \mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{A}$, with positive cone *C* of sums of squares, and $H_h = C C$ (ie, *C* is full in *H*).
- On $H_n := H \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$, set $C_n := C \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})^+$. The same statements hold for H_n and C_n . Also $(1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1_n$ is the order unit, and for $c \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$, $c^*C_n c \subseteq C_m$. That is, we have a *matrix order* on H.
- For each n and $P \in H_n$, we define a norm by

$$\|P\|_n = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \begin{pmatrix} t(1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1_n & P \\ P^* & t(1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1_n \end{pmatrix} \in C_{2n} \right\}.$$

Complete the H_n s (write \overline{H}_n) with respect to these norms and take each \overline{C}_n to be the closure of C_n in this norm.

The Choi-Effros theorem then gives that H with this matrix order structure is an operator space, and so is completely isometrically order isomorphic to a concrete operator system (that is, a norm closed selfadjoint unital subspace of B(K) for some Hilbert space K).

- ▶ *H* therefore generates a *-algebra, which can be completed to a C^* -algebra, denoted by $C^*(H)$, identified with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. This is a subalgebra of $C^*(G)$, which we can also then identify with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.
- For any unital representation π of G extended to $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and any $a \in G$, $\pi(1 \otimes a)$ is unitary. Also, the representation is automatically completely contractive.
- Suppose $H \ni P > 0$. So $P \epsilon 1 \ge 0$. Assume $P \epsilon 1 \notin C$. There is a linear functional $\lambda \ne 0$ on H such that $\lambda(C) \ge 0$, $\lambda(1) = 1$ and $\lambda(P) < 0$.
- ▶ λ extends to a positive linear functional on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ so is continuous (Kreĭn's theorem = 1-d Arveson extension theorem). By the Stinespring representation theorem, it is the compression of an essential, completely contractive unital representation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.
- Since π compresses to λ , $\pi(P) \not\geq 0$.

- As noted, restricting to $C^*(G)$, π induces a unitary representation of G via $\pi(a_i) = \pi(a_i \otimes 1)$.
- ► The (irreducible) representations of G are in bijective correspondence with the essential unital *-representations of $C^*(G)$, so there is an irreducible unitary representation π' of G such that the corresponding representation of $C^*(G)$ has the property that $\pi'(P) \geq 0$, giving a contradiction.
- Taking G to be the commutative free semigroup on n generators gives the multivariable Fejér-Riesz theorem mentioned earlier, since irreducible representations of an abelian group are one dimensional.

The hereditary words H are those of the form $v^{-1}w$ for $v, w \in S$. The set of all words of length n in S are indicated by S^n , and H^n denotes the hereditary words where $v, w \in S^n$.

The hereditary words H are those of the form $v^{-1}w$ for $v, w \in S$. The set of all words of length n in S are indicated by S^n , and H^n denotes the hereditary words where $v, w \in S^n$.

Let $U = (U_1, \ldots, U_d)$ be a *d*-tuple of unitary operators. For a word $w = g_{j_1} \cdots g_{j_k}$, write U_w for $U_{j_1} \cdots U_{j_k}$. If $h = v^{-1}w \in H^n$, then $U^h = (U^v)^* U^w$.

The hereditary words H are those of the form $v^{-1}w$ for $v, w \in S$. The set of all words of length n in S are indicated by S^n , and H^n denotes the hereditary words where $v, w \in S^n$.

Let $U = (U_1, \ldots, U_d)$ be a *d*-tuple of unitary operators. For a word $w = g_{j_1} \cdots g_{j_k}$, write U_w for $U_{j_1} \cdots U_{j_k}$. If $h = v^{-1}w \in H^n$, then $U^h = (U^v)^* U^w$.

As before, we write C for the algebraic group algebra. Trigonometric and analytic polynomials in $C \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are defined as above, and such a polynomial $F = \sum h_k \otimes A_k$ is said to be positive if $F(U) \ge 0$ for all *d*-tuples of unitaries U.

Theorem 9 (McCullough's NC FR theorem).

Let $F \in C \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive trigonometric polynomial of degree n in d freely noncommuting variables. Set $r = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d^{j}$. Then there are r or fewer analytic polynomials B_{j} such that $F = \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}^{*}B_{j}$.

Theorem 9 (McCullough's NC FR theorem).

Let $F \in C \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive trigonometric polynomial of degree n in d freely noncommuting variables. Set $r = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d^{j}$. Then there are r or fewer analytic polynomials B_{j} such that $F = \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}^{*}B_{j}$.

When d = 1, the usual Fejér-Riesz theorem is recovered using Buerling's theorem.

Define $\mathcal{L}(S^n)$ to be the bounded operators on the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis indexed by S^n . An operator T in this space is *Toeplitz* if $T_{v,w}$ depends only on $v^{-1}w$. Note that $T_{g_iv,g_jw} = T_{v,w}$.

The Toeplitz operators in $\mathcal{L}(S^n)$ are denoted \mathcal{T}^n , and those with entries in M_k are $M_k \otimes \mathcal{T}^n$. \mathcal{T}^n is an operator space in $\mathcal{L}(S^n)$.

Suppose $t: H^n \to M_k$. We get a Toeplitz operator $T \in M_k \otimes \mathcal{T}^n$ with

$$\langle T(x \otimes w), y \otimes v \rangle = \langle t(v^{-1}w)x, y \rangle$$

Define $\mathcal{L}(S^n)$ to be the bounded operators on the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis indexed by S^n . An operator T in this space is *Toeplitz* if $T_{v,w}$ depends only on $v^{-1}w$. Note that $T_{g_iv,g_iw} = T_{v,w}$.

The Toeplitz operators in $\mathcal{L}(S^n)$ are denoted \mathcal{T}^n , and those with entries in M_k are $M_k \otimes \mathcal{T}^n$. \mathcal{T}^n is an operator space in $\mathcal{L}(S^n)$.

Suppose $t: H^n \to M_k$. We get a Toeplitz operator $T \in M_k \otimes \mathcal{T}^n$ with

$$\langle T(x \otimes w), y \otimes v \rangle = \langle t(v^{-1}w)x, y \rangle$$

A version of the Caratheodory interpolation theorem allows one to extend $T \in M_k \otimes \mathcal{T}^n$ to $T' \in M_k \otimes \mathcal{T}^{n+1}$ (so $T'_{v,w} = T_{v,w}$ for all $v, w \in S^n$).

Continuing in this fashion, we get a positive kernel Q on a Hilbert space spanned by the elements of S tensored with \mathbb{C}^k .

McCullough's free NC version of the FR theorem

From here, the proof has a familiar GNS or Stinespring flavor to it.

From here, the proof has a familiar GNS or Stinespring flavor to it.

Define an inner product

$$\left\langle \sum x_w \otimes w, \sum y_v \otimes v \right\rangle = \sum \left\langle Q_{v,w} x_w, y_v \right\rangle,$$

then mod out null vectors and complete to a Hilbert space \mathcal{M} . The left regular representation of the algebraic semigroup algebra maps the generators to isometries, which can then be extended to unitaries.

Now define $V: \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathcal{K}$ by $Vx = x \otimes e$. The map

$$(1_k \otimes \varphi)(T) = (V \otimes 1_k)^* (\sum U^h \otimes A_h)(V \otimes 1_k) \ge 0$$

on \mathcal{T}^n is completely positive.

From here, the proof has a familiar GNS or Stinespring flavor to it.

Define an inner product

$$\left\langle \sum x_w \otimes w, \sum y_v \otimes v \right\rangle = \sum \left\langle Q_{v,w} x_w, y_v \right\rangle,$$

then mod out null vectors and complete to a Hilbert space \mathcal{M} . The left regular representation of the algebraic semigroup algebra maps the generators to isometries, which can then be extended to unitaries.

Now define $V : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathcal{K}$ by $Vx = x \otimes e$. The map

$$(1_k \otimes \varphi)(T) = (V \otimes 1_k)^* (\sum U^h \otimes A_h)(V \otimes 1_k) \ge 0$$

on \mathcal{T}^n is completely positive.

To finish the proof, the Arveson extension theorem is then used to extend φ to

$$\tilde{\varphi}: M_r \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \quad r = \sum_0^n d^j.$$

Theorem 10 (McCullough).

Suppose that for all d-tuples S of bounded selfadjoint operators,

$$A(S) = \sum_{w \in S^{2d}} S^w \otimes A_w \ge 0.$$

Then there exists at most $r = \sum_{0}^{n} d^{j}$ functions $B_{j} = \sum_{w} w \otimes B_{w}$, such that $A(S) = B(S)^{*}B(S)$.

Theorem 10 (McCullough).

Suppose that for all d-tuples S of bounded selfadjoint operators,

$$A(S) = \sum_{w \in S^{2d}} S^w \otimes A_w \ge 0.$$

Then there exists at most $r = \sum_{0}^{n} d^{j}$ functions $B_{j} = \sum_{w} w \otimes B_{w}$, such that $A(S) = B(S)^{*}B(S)$.

The proof is very similar to the last, but now we work with (noncommutative) Hankel operators. The Caratheodory extension theorem is replaced by an NC flat extension theorem (\sim Curto and Fialkow), and the left regular representation sends the generators to bounded selfadjoint operators.

Suppose now instead that $\{x_j\}$ are the generators of S and $\{y_j\}$ are formally the adjoints of these. We consider words w which are mixtures of these letters. The algebra \mathcal{A} consists of finite sums of the form $\sum p_w w$, with an obvious involution.

Let \mathcal{A}_d be the subspace of all polynomials of degree at most d (so consisting of words of length at most d), and let $N(d) = \dim A_d$. Given a d-tuple $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{N(d)})$, we get a representation of the algebra by sending x_j to X_j and y_j to X_j^* . We say that p is positive if for all such d-tuples, $p(X) \geq 0$.

Suppose now instead that $\{x_j\}$ are the generators of S and $\{y_j\}$ are formally the adjoints of these. We consider words w which are mixtures of these letters. The algebra \mathcal{A} consists of finite sums of the form $\sum p_w w$, with an obvious involution.

Let \mathcal{A}_d be the subspace of all polynomials of degree at most d (so consisting of words of length at most d), and let $N(d) = \dim A_d$. Given a d-tuple $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{N(d)})$, we get a representation of the algebra by sending x_j to X_j and y_j to X_j^* . We say that p is positive if for all such d-tuples, $p(X) \geq 0$.

Theorem 11 (Helton's theorem).

Given $p \in A_d$, $p \ge 0$, there are at most N(d) elements $r_j \in A$ such that $p = \sum_j r_j^* r_j$.

Suppose now instead that $\{x_j\}$ are the generators of S and $\{y_j\}$ are formally the adjoints of these. We consider words w which are mixtures of these letters. The algebra \mathcal{A} consists of finite sums of the form $\sum p_w w$, with an obvious involution.

Let \mathcal{A}_d be the subspace of all polynomials of degree at most d (so consisting of words of length at most d), and let $N(d) = \dim A_d$. Given a d-tuple $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{N(d)})$, we get a representation of the algebra by sending x_j to X_j and y_j to X_j^* . We say that p is positive if for all such d-tuples, $p(X) \geq 0$.

Theorem 11 (Helton's theorem).

Given $p \in A_d$, $p \ge 0$, there are at most N(d) elements $r_j \in A$ such that $p = \sum_j r_j^* r_j$.

The proof also uses Caratheodory's theorem to bound the number of terms in the sum, and a Hahn-Banach separation argument.

The setup is as in Helton's theorem: we consider now matrix valued polynomials in freely nc variables x_1, \ldots, x_d with involution defined as above, and evaluations are on *d*-tuples X of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices for all n.

The setup is as in Helton's theorem: we consider now matrix valued polynomials in freely nc variables x_1, \ldots, x_d with involution defined as above, and evaluations are on *d*-tuples X of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices for all n.

For p a free symmetric polynomial, assuming p(0) > 0, $\mathcal{D}_p(n)$ denotes the *d*-tuples of $n \times n$ matrices X such that p(X) > 0, \mathcal{D}_p the sequence of these. Say that \mathcal{D}_p is convex if each $\mathcal{D}_p(n)$ is convex.
The setup is as in Helton's theorem: we consider now matrix valued polynomials in freely nc variables x_1, \ldots, x_d with involution defined as above, and evaluations are on *d*-tuples X of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices for all n.

For p a free symmetric polynomial, assuming p(0) > 0, $\mathcal{D}_p(n)$ denotes the *d*-tuples of $n \times n$ matrices X such that p(X) > 0, \mathcal{D}_p the sequence of these. Say that \mathcal{D}_p is convex if each $\mathcal{D}_p(n)$ is convex.

The special case when p = L is linear and p(0) = I, L(X) > 0 is referred to as a linear matrix inequality or LMI.

The setup is as in Helton's theorem: we consider now matrix valued polynomials in freely nc variables x_1, \ldots, x_d with involution defined as above, and evaluations are on *d*-tuples X of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices for all n.

For p a free symmetric polynomial, assuming p(0) > 0, $\mathcal{D}_p(n)$ denotes the *d*-tuples of $n \times n$ matrices X such that p(X) > 0, \mathcal{D}_p the sequence of these. Say that \mathcal{D}_p is convex if each $\mathcal{D}_p(n)$ is convex.

The special case when p = L is linear and p(0) = I, L(X) > 0 is referred to as a linear matrix inequality or LMI.

Theorem 12 (Helton-McCullough theorem).

For p fee symmetric and D_p convex, there is an ℓ and affine linear L with $L(0) = I_\ell$ such that $D_p = D_L$.

The setup is as in Helton's theorem: we consider now matrix valued polynomials in freely nc variables x_1, \ldots, x_d with involution defined as above, and evaluations are on *d*-tuples X of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices for all n.

For p a free symmetric polynomial, assuming p(0) > 0, $\mathcal{D}_p(n)$ denotes the *d*-tuples of $n \times n$ matrices X such that p(X) > 0, \mathcal{D}_p the sequence of these. Say that \mathcal{D}_p is convex if each $\mathcal{D}_p(n)$ is convex.

The special case when p = L is linear and p(0) = I, L(X) > 0 is referred to as a linear matrix inequality or LMI.

Theorem 12 (Helton-McCullough theorem).

For p fee symmetric and D_p convex, there is an ℓ and affine linear L with $L(0) = I_\ell$ such that $D_p = D_L$.

This has the feel of an nc Kreĭn-Milman theorem. The main tool here is a version of a matricial HB theorem of Effros and Winkler.

Under the same conditions as on the last slide, say that \mathcal{D}_p is *bounded* if there exists C > 0 such that for each $X \in \mathcal{D}_p$, $C - X_j^* X_j \ge 0$.

Under the same conditions as on the last slide, say that \mathcal{D}_p is bounded if there exists C > 0 such that for each $X \in \mathcal{D}_p$, $C - X_i^* X_j \ge 0$.

In the last theorem, Helton and McCullough also assume boundedness of \mathcal{D}_p , but according to Kriel, this is not needed.

Under the same conditions as on the last slide, say that \mathcal{D}_p is *bounded* if there exists C > 0 such that for each $X \in \mathcal{D}_p$, $C - X_i^* X_j \ge 0$.

In the last theorem, Helton and McCullough also assume boundedness of \mathcal{D}_p , but according to Kriel, this is not needed.

Theorem 13 (Helton-McCullough Striktpositivstellensatz).

For p free symmetric and D_p bounded, if a polynomial q > 0 on D_p , then there are polynomials p_j , s_j , r_k , $t_{m,\ell}$ such that

$$q = \sum_{1}^{N} s_{j}^{*} p_{j} s_{j} + \sum_{1}^{M} r_{k}^{*} r_{k} + \sum_{m,\ell} t_{m,\ell}^{*} (1 - x_{m}^{2}) t_{m,\ell}.$$

Under the same conditions as on the last slide, say that \mathcal{D}_p is *bounded* if there exists C > 0 such that for each $X \in \mathcal{D}_p$, $C - X_i^* X_j \ge 0$.

In the last theorem, Helton and McCullough also assume boundedness of \mathcal{D}_p , but according to Kriel, this is not needed.

Theorem 13 (Helton-McCullough Striktpositivstellensatz).

For p free symmetric and D_p bounded, if a polynomial q > 0 on D_p , then there are polynomials p_j , s_j , r_k , $t_{m,\ell}$ such that

$$q = \sum_{1}^{N} s_{j}^{*} p_{j} s_{j} + \sum_{1}^{M} r_{k}^{*} r_{k} + \sum_{m,\ell} t_{m,\ell}^{*} (1 - x_{m}^{2}) t_{m,\ell}.$$

This is an analogue of Putinar's theorem from the commutative setting. As expected, a Hahn-Banach and a GNS construction is used. Convexity is not assumed and strict positivity is essential in general.

A Positivestellensatz for nc polynomials

If in addition, \mathcal{D}_p is assumed to be convex, though not necessarily bounded, a stronger result is possible.

If in addition, D_p is assumed to be convex, though not necessarily bounded, a stronger result is possible.

Theorem 14 (Helton-Klep-McCullough Positivstellensatz).

For p free symmetric and D_p convex, if a polynomial $q \ge 0$ is concave on D_p , then there are polynomials s_j , r_k , such that

$$q = \sum_{1}^{N} s_{j}^{*} p s_{j} + \sum_{1}^{M} r_{k}^{*} r_{k}.$$

If in addition, D_p is assumed to be convex, though not necessarily bounded, a stronger result is possible.

Theorem 14 (Helton-Klep-McCullough Positivstellensatz).

For p free symmetric and D_p convex, if a polynomial $q \ge 0$ is concave on D_p , then there are polynomials s_j , r_k , such that

$$q = \sum_{1}^{N} s_{j}^{*} p s_{j} + \sum_{1}^{M} r_{k}^{*} r_{k}.$$

The special case when p = 1 gives Helton's theorem. limits on the numbers and degrees of polynomials can be found in terms of the degree of q.

If in addition, D_p is assumed to be convex, though not necessarily bounded, a stronger result is possible.

Theorem 14 (Helton-Klep-McCullough Positivstellensatz).

For p free symmetric and D_p convex, if a polynomial $q \ge 0$ is concave on D_p , then there are polynomials s_j , r_k , such that

$$q = \sum_{1}^{N} s_{j}^{*} p s_{j} + \sum_{1}^{M} r_{k}^{*} r_{k}.$$

The special case when p = 1 gives Helton's theorem. limits on the numbers and degrees of polynomials can be found in terms of the degree of q.

The polynomial q is concave if -q is convex; that is,

$$q(tX + (1-t)Y) \ge tq(X) + (1-t)q(Y).$$

If q is scalar valued and q(0) = 1, the first Helton-McCullough theorem implies that concave q has the form $q(x) = 1 - \Lambda(x) - s^*(x)s(x)$, Λ a homogeneous linear polynomial and s a linear vector valued polynomial.

$$f = x_2 x_1^2 x_2 - \operatorname{tr}(x_1 x_2) x_1^3.$$

$$f = x_2 x_1^2 x_2 - \operatorname{tr}(x_1 x_2) x_1^3.$$

A *pure trace polynomial* is a trace polynomial is one that has only traces, and no free variables. So for example, tr(f).

$$f = x_2 x_1^2 x_2 - \operatorname{tr}(x_1 x_2) x_1^3.$$

A pure trace polynomial is a trace polynomial is one that has only traces, and no free variables. So for example, tr(f).

Observe that while $tr(x_1x_2) = tr(x_2x_1)$, $tr(x_1x_2x_3x_4) \neq tr(x_1x_2x_4x_3)$, so there is still a level of noncommutativity even for pure trace polynomials.

$$f = x_2 x_1^2 x_2 - \operatorname{tr}(x_1 x_2) x_1^3.$$

A pure trace polynomial is a trace polynomial is one that has only traces, and no free variables. So for example, tr(f).

Observe that while $tr(x_1x_2) = tr(x_2x_1)$, $tr(x_1x_2x_3x_4) \neq tr(x_1x_2x_4x_3)$, so there is still a level of noncommutativity even for pure trace polynomials.

Klep, Magron, and Volčič have proved various theorems related to those of Helton and McCullough for trace and pure trace polynomials.

$$f = x_2 x_1^2 x_2 - \operatorname{tr}(x_1 x_2) x_1^3.$$

A pure trace polynomial is a trace polynomial is one that has only traces, and no free variables. So for example, tr(f).

Observe that while $tr(x_1x_2) = tr(x_2x_1)$, $tr(x_1x_2x_3x_4) \neq tr(x_1x_2x_4x_3)$, so there is still a level of noncommutativity even for pure trace polynomials.

Klep, Magron, and Volčič have proved various theorems related to those of Helton and McCullough for trace and pure trace polynomials.

Klep and Schweighöfer found a connection between Positivstellensätze on classes of trace polynomials and the Connes embedding conjecture, which was recently proved to fail.

Thanks!

A A

and provide the second second

In Arrest das Transporter

The End?