Sumset estimates in convex geometry.

Artem Zvavitch

Kent State University & LAMA UMR 8050, Université Gustave Eiffel

BIRS Workshop "Interaction Between Partial Differential Equations and Convex Geometry"

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

• All of the sets we will consider will be convex.

▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ■ ● ● ● ●

- All of the sets we will consider will be convex.
- We will usually deal with convex bodies: i.e. convex, compact sets with non-empty interior.

ヨ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ → ��や

- All of the sets we will consider will be convex.
- We will usually deal with convex bodies: i.e. convex, compact sets with non-empty interior.
- We will denote by |K| volume of $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

▶ ★ Ξ ▶

э.

- All of the sets we will consider will be convex.
- We will usually deal with convex bodies: i.e. convex, compact sets with non-empty interior.
- We will denote by |K| volume of $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.
- We will often use notion of Minkowski sum: $K + L = \{x + y : x \in K \text{ and } y \in L\}.$

▲ 프 ▶ = 프

Artem Zvavitch Sumset estimates in convex geometry.

▶ ★ 콜 ▶ ★ 콜 ▶ ...

æ.

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures.

< 臣 > < 臣 > □

э

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful and quirky inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

돌에 송 돌에는

э

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful and quirky inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

医下颌 医下口

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful and quirky inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

This is a part of very rich theory of different links between theorems and ideas in Convex Geometry and Information theory. For example Bobkov, Madiman and Wang asked

A -compact, is it true that

$$\Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m}\Big| \leq \Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m+1}\Big|.$$

三下 人王下

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful and quirky inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

This is a part of very rich theory of different links between theorems and ideas in Convex Geometry and Information theory. For example Bobkov, Madiman and Wang asked

\boldsymbol{A} -compact, is it true that

$$\Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m}\Big| \leq \Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m+1}\Big|.$$

Or simply $\left|\frac{A+A}{2}\right| \leq \left|\frac{A+A+A}{3}\right|$.

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful and quirky inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

This is a part of very rich theory of different links between theorems and ideas in Convex Geometry and Information theory. For example Bobkov, Madiman and Wang asked

A -compact, is it true that

$$\Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m}\Big| \leq \Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m+1}\Big|.$$

Or simply $\left|\frac{A+A}{2}\right| \leq \left|\frac{A+A+A}{3}\right|$.

• Fradelizi, Madiman, Marsiglietti and Z.: BMW Conjecture is true, for *n* = 1 (the proof is adaptation of combinatorial results of Lev and Gyarmati-Matolcsi-Ruzsa).

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful and quirky inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

This is a part of very rich theory of different links between theorems and ideas in Convex Geometry and Information theory. For example Bobkov, Madiman and Wang asked

A -compact, is it true that

$$\Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m}\Big| \leq \Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m+1}\Big|.$$

Or simply $\left|\frac{A+A}{2}\right| \leq \left|\frac{A+A+A}{3}\right|$.

- Fradelizi, Madiman, Marsiglietti and Z.: BMW Conjecture is true, for *n* = 1 (the proof is adaptation of combinatorial results of Lev and Gyarmati-Matolcsi-Ruzsa).
- FMMZ: BMW Conjecture is not true for n = 12 (and higher) and m = 2.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful and quirky inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

This is a part of very rich theory of different links between theorems and ideas in Convex Geometry and Information theory. For example Bobkov, Madiman and Wang asked

\boldsymbol{A} -compact, is it true that

$$\Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m}\Big| \leq \Big|\frac{A+\cdots+A}{m+1}\Big|.$$

Or simply $\left|\frac{A+A}{2}\right| \leq \left|\frac{A+A+A}{3}\right|$.

- Fradelizi, Madiman, Marsiglietti and Z.: BMW Conjecture is true, for *n* = 1 (the proof is adaptation of combinatorial results of Lev and Gyarmati-Matolcsi-Ruzsa).
- FMMZ: BMW Conjecture is not true for n = 12 (and higher) and m = 2.
- Fradelizi, Lángi, A.Z. The conjecture is true for a compact star-shaped set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ when n = 2,3 for any *m* and $n \ge 4$ and *m* large enough (i.e. $m \ge (n-1)(n-2)$).

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1}\left|A+\sum_{i=1}^{m}B_i\right|\leq (1+m)^n\prod_{i=1}^{m}|A+B_i|.$$

< ∃⇒

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1}\left|A+\sum_{i=1}^{m}B_i\right|\leq (1+m)^n\prod_{i=1}^{m}|A+B_i|.$$

The above theorem can be also seen as inspired by facts from Additive Combinatorics! Inequalities of this type are well known for set cardinalities in the context of finite subsets of groups.

< ∃⇒

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1}\left|A+\sum_{i=1}^{m}B_{i}\right|\leq (1+m)^{n}\prod_{i=1}^{m}|A+B_{i}|.$$

The above theorem can be also seen as inspired by facts from Additive Combinatorics! Inequalities of this type are well known for set cardinalities in the context of finite subsets of groups. In fact, they are important inequalities in the field of additive combinatorics, where they are called Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequalities.

- < ⊒ >

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1}\left|A+\sum_{i=1}^{m}B_i\right|\leq (1+m)^n\prod_{i=1}^{m}|A+B_i|.$$

The above theorem can be also seen as inspired by facts from Additive Combinatorics! Inequalities of this type are well known for set cardinalities in the context of finite subsets of groups. In fact, they are important inequalities in the field of additive combinatorics, where they are called Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequalities. Just for illustration here one of the forms if A, B_1, \ldots, B_k are finite sets in a commutative group and $\#(A+B_i) = \alpha_i \#(A)$, for $1 \le i \le k$, then there exists a non-empty set $X \subset A$ such that

$$\#(X+B_1+\ldots+B_k)\leq \alpha_1\ldots\alpha_k\cdot\#(X).$$

< 国 > (4 国 >))

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

- < ⊒ >

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, B_2 be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A + B_1 + B_2||A| \le 3^n |A + B_1||A + B_2|.$$

< ∃ →

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, B_2 be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A + B_1 + B_2||A| \le 3^n |A + B_1||A + B_2|.$$

Is the constant 3^n sharp?

< ∃ >

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, B_2 be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A + B_1 + B_2||A| \le 3^n |A + B_1||A + B_2|.$$

Is the constant 3^n sharp? From Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality we really want it to be 1! We "pay" 3^n to use Bobkov-Madiman technique and to get it directly from what we know in information theory.

< 国 > < 国 >

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, B_2 be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A + B_1 + B_2||A| \le 3^n |A + B_1||A + B_2|.$$

Is the constant 3^n sharp? From Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality we really want it to be 1! We "pay" 3^n to use Bobkov-Madiman technique and to get it directly from what we know in information theory. There yet is another reason take - log:

$$\log |A + B_1 + B_2| + \log |A| \le n \log 3 + \log |A + B_1| + \log |A + B_2|.$$

The above would represent log-submodularity of volume, if we could remove the constant! (a + b + c) + (a + b + c

Around 2012 Bobkov and Madiman developed a technique for going from entropy to volume estimates, by using certain reverse Hölder inequalities that hold for convex measures. This technique allows to create a number of beautiful inequalities on sets and convex bodies. For example

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, \ldots, B_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A|^{m-1} |A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i| \le (1+m)^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} |A + B_i|.$$

Theorem (Bobkov-Madiman)

Let A and B_1, B_2 be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$|A + B_1 + B_2||A| \le 3^n |A + B_1||A + B_2|.$$

Is the constant 3^n sharp? From Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality we really want it to be 1! We "pay" 3^n to use Bobkov-Madiman technique and to get it directly from what we know in information theory. There yet is another reason take - log:

 $\log |A + B_1 + B_2| + \log |A| \le n \log 3 + \log |A + B_1| + \log |A + B_2|.$

The above would represent log-submodularity of volume, if we could remove the constant! Maybe we could do it for some interesting class of convex bodies???

S. Bobkov, M. Madiman (2012)

Let A, B, C be convex

 $|A||A+B+C| \le 3^n |A+B||A+C|$

₹ 9Q@

돌에 송 돌에는

S. Bobkov, M. Madiman (2012)

Let A, B, C be convex

$$|A||A+B+C| \le 3^n|A+B||A+C|$$

Define

$$c(A,B,C) = rac{|A||A+B+C|}{|A+B||A+C|} ext{ and } c_n = \sup_{A,B,C\subset\mathbb{R}^n} c(A,B,C).$$

문에 비용에 다

Ξ.

S. Bobkov, M. Madiman (2012)

Let A, B, C be convex

$$|A||A+B+C| \le 3^n|A+B||A+C|$$

Define

$$c(A,B,C) = \frac{|A||A+B+C|}{|A+B||A+C|} \text{ and } c_n = \sup_{A,B,C \subset \mathbb{R}^n} c(A,B,C).$$

T. Courtade's question (2016):

 $c(B_2^n,B,C) \leq 1, \text{ for any (convex) } B,C \text{ and Euclidean ball } B_2^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}.$

< 臣 > < 臣 > □

æ

S. Bobkov, M. Madiman (2012)

Let A, B, C be convex

$$|A||A+B+C| \le 3^n|A+B||A+C|$$

Define

$$c(A,B,C) = rac{|A||A+B+C|}{|A+B||A+C|}$$
 and $c_n = \sup_{A,B,C\subset\mathbb{R}^n} c(A,B,C).$

T. Courtade's question (2016):

 $c(B_2^n,B,C) \leq 1, \text{ for any (convex) } B,C \text{ and Euclidean ball } B_2^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}.$

M. Fradelizi, M. Madiman, A.Z. (2019+):

() $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 4/3$ and $c(3/2)^{n/4} \le c_n \le 2^{n-2}$. (you can do, asymptotically, a bit better then 2^{n-2})

< 臣 > < 臣 > □

э

S. Bobkov, M. Madiman (2012)

Let A, B, C be convex

$$|A||A+B+C| \le 3^n|A+B||A+C|$$

Define

$$c(A,B,C) = rac{|A||A+B+C|}{|A+B||A+C|}$$
 and $c_n = \sup_{A,B,C\subset\mathbb{R}^n} c(A,B,C).$

T. Courtade's question (2016):

 $c(B_2^n,B,C) \leq 1$, for any (convex) B,C and Euclidean ball $B_2^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$.

M. Fradelizi, M. Madiman, A.Z. (2019+):

- () $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 4/3$ and $c(3/2)^{n/4} \le c_n \le 2^{n-2}$. (you can do, asymptotically, a bit better then 2^{n-2})
- **2** $c(B_2^n, B, C) \le 1$, B is a zonoid (finite Minkowski sum of segments) and C -any convex set.

| ▲ 문 ▶ | ▲ 문 ▶ | - ' 문

S. Bobkov, M. Madiman (2012)

Let A, B, C be convex

$$|A||A+B+C| \le 3^n|A+B||A+C|$$

Define

$$c(A,B,C) = \frac{|A||A+B+C|}{|A+B||A+C|} \text{ and } c_n = \sup_{A,B,C \subset \mathbb{R}^n} c(A,B,C).$$

T. Courtade's question (2016):

 $c(B_2^n,B,C) \leq 1$, for any (convex) B,C and Euclidean ball $B_2^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$.

M. Fradelizi, M. Madiman, A.Z. (2019+):

- () $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 4/3$ and $c(3/2)^{n/4} \le c_n \le 2^{n-2}$. (you can do, asymptotically, a bit better then 2^{n-2})
- **2** $c(B_2^n, B, C) \le 1$, B is a zonoid (finite Minkowski sum of segments) and C -any convex set.
- **(**A, B, C) ≤ 1 if $A = \Delta$ and n = 2, 3, 4.

< 注▶ < 注▶ -

3

S. Bobkov, M. Madiman (2012)

Let A, B, C be convex

$$|A||A+B+C| \le 3^n|A+B||A+C|$$

Define

$$c(A,B,C) = rac{|A||A+B+C|}{|A+B||A+C|}$$
 and $c_n = \sup_{A,B,C\subset\mathbb{R}^n} c(A,B,C).$

T. Courtade's question (2016):

 $c(B_2^n,B,C) \leq 1, \text{ for any (convex) } B,C \text{ and Euclidean ball } B_2^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}.$

M. Fradelizi, M. Madiman, A.Z. (2019+):

- () $c_2 = 1, c_3 = 4/3$ and $c(3/2)^{n/4} \le c_n \le 2^{n-2}$. (you can do, asymptotically, a bit better then 2^{n-2})
- **2** $c(B_2^n, B, C) \le 1$, B is a zonoid (finite Minkowski sum of segments) and C -any convex set.
- $(A, B, C) \leq 1 \text{ if } A = \Delta \text{ and } n = 2, 3, 4.$

Note: the lower bound for c_n and some improvements of upper bound 3^n was also done by P. Nayar and T. Tkocz,

M. Fradelizi, M. Madiman, A.Z. (2019+)

Consider convex compact sets $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$, then

$$|A||A+B+C| \le 2^{n-2}|A+B||A+C|.$$

Idea of the proof: use mixed volumes!

▶ ★ 臣 ▶

K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_r be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $\overline{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \geq 0}$

Then $|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r|$ is a homogeneous polynomial (in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$) of degree n and

$$|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r| = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r=1}^r V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}) \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_n}$$

Then $V(K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n})$ is called the mixed volume of K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n} .

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 □ の Q @

K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_r be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $\overline{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \geq 0}$

Then $|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r|$ is a homogeneous polynomial (in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$) of degree n and

$$|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r| = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r=1}^r V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}) \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_n}.$$

Then $V(K_{i_1}, \ldots, K_{i_n})$ is called the mixed volume of K_{i_1}, \ldots, K_{i_n} .

They satisfy millions of great properties and inequalities, for example

* 注 * * 注 * … 注

K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_r be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $\overline{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \geq 0}$

Then $|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r|$ is a homogeneous polynomial (in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$) of degree n and

$$|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r| = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r=1}^r V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}) \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_n}$$

Then $V(K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n})$ is called the mixed volume of K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n} .

They satisfy millions of great properties and inequalities, for example

• $V(K,\ldots,K) = V_n(K)$.

* 注 * * 注 * … 注

K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_r be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $\overline{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \geq 0}$

Then $|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r|$ is a homogeneous polynomial (in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$) of degree n and

$$|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r| = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r=1}^r V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}) \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_n}$$

Then $V(K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n})$ is called the mixed volume of K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n} .

They satisfy millions of great properties and inequalities, for example

- $V(K,\ldots,K) = V_n(K)$.
- Mixed volume is symmetric in its arguments.

< 臣 → < 臣 → …

э

Main Definitions: Mixed Volume

K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_r be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \geq 0$

Then $|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r|$ is a homogeneous polynomial (in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$) of degree n and

$$|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r| = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r=1}^r V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}) \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_n}$$

Then $V(K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n})$ is called the mixed volume of K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n} .

They satisfy millions of great properties and inequalities, for example

- $V(K,\ldots,K) = V_n(K)$.
- Mixed volume is symmetric in its arguments.
- Mixed volume is multilinear $(\lambda, \mu \ge 0)$: $V(\lambda K + \mu L, K_2, ..., K_n) = \lambda V(K, K_2, ..., K_n) + \mu V(L, K_2, ..., K_n).$

< 注▶ < 注▶ -

Main Definitions: Mixed Volume

K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_r be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \geq 0$

Then $|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r|$ is a homogeneous polynomial (in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$) of degree n and

$$|\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r| = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r=1}^r V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}) \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_n}.$$

Then $V(K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n})$ is called the mixed volume of K_{i_1},\ldots,K_{i_n} .

They satisfy millions of great properties and inequalities, for example

- $V(K,\ldots,K) = V_n(K)$.
- Mixed volume is symmetric in its arguments.
- Mixed volume is multilinear $(\lambda, \mu \ge 0)$: $V(\lambda K + \mu L, K_2, ..., K_n) = \lambda V(K, K_2, ..., K_n) + \mu V(L, K_2, ..., K_n).$

We would need the following formula

$$|A+B| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} V(A[i], B[n-i]),$$

Here we use the notation

$$V(A[i], B[n-i]) = V(\underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{i-\text{times}}, \underbrace{B\dots, B}_{(n-i)-\text{times}}).$$

▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ...

Find c_n such that for any convex compact sets $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$: $|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|$.

◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○

э

Find c_n such that for any convex compact sets $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$: $|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|$.

$$|A||A+B+C| = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} |A|V(A[k], (B+C)[n-k])$$
$$|A+B| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} V(A[i], B[n-i]) \text{ and } |A+C| = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} V(A[j], C[n-j]).$$

注入 不注入

э

Find c_n such that for any convex compact sets $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$: $|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|$.

$$|A||A+B+C| = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} |A|V(A[k], (B+C)[n-k])$$
$$|A+B| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} V(A[i], B[n-i]) \text{ and } |A+C| = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} V(A[j], C[n-j])$$

Taking the product of the last two equations we will compare it with the first sum. We will do it term by term comparing terms i + j = n + k, i.e. the terms for which A has homogeneity n + k:

$$\binom{n}{k} |A| V(A[k], (B+C)[n-k]) \le c_n \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{n+k-j} V(A[j], B[n-j]) V(A[n+k-j], C[j-k])$$

医下 不正下

Find c_n such that for any convex compact sets $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$: $|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|$.

$$|A||A+B+C| = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} |A|V(A[k], (B+C)[n-k])$$
$$|A+B| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} V(A[i], B[n-i]) \text{ and } |A+C| = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} V(A[j], C[n-j])$$

Taking the product of the last two equations we will compare it with the first sum. We will do it term by term comparing terms i + j = n + k, i.e. the terms for which A has homogeneity n + k:

$$\binom{n}{k} |A| V(A[k], (B+C)[n-k]) \le c_n \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{n+k-j} V(A[j], B[n-j]) V(A[n+k-j], C[j-k])$$

or

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{m} |A| V(A[k], B[n-k-m], C[m]) \le c_n \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{m+k} \binom{n}{n-m} V(A[m+k], B[n-m-k]) V(A[n-m], C[m]).$$

E > < E >

Find c_n such that for any convex compact sets $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$: $|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|$.

$$|A||A+B+C| = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} |A|V(A[k], (B+C)[n-k])$$
$$|A+B| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} V(A[i], B[n-i]) \text{ and } |A+C| = \sum_{j=0}^{n} {n \choose j} V(A[j], C[n-j])$$

Taking the product of the last two equations we will compare it with the first sum. We will do it term by term comparing terms i + j = n + k, i.e. the terms for which A has homogeneity n + k:

$$\binom{n}{k} |A| V(A[k], (B+C)[n-k]) \le c_n \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{n+k-j} V(A[j], B[n-j]) V(A[n+k-j], C[j-k])$$

or

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{m} |A| V(A[k], B[n-k-m], C[m]) \le c_n \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{m+k} \binom{n}{n-m} V(A[m+k], B[n-m-k]) V(A[n-m], C[m]).$$

Again we can not do to much better than "term by term" comparison! I.e. comparing terms with fixed m. After simplifications we need to find c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m + j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}.$$

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

< ≣ >

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

There are some simple cases, for example if m = 0 or j = 0 (you get just an equality with $c_n = 1$).

- ∢ ≣ ▶

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

There are some simple cases, for example if m = 0 or j = 0 (you get just an equality with $c_n = 1$). But in general! It is super interesting.

- ∢ ≣ ▶

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

There are some simple cases, for example if m = 0 or j = 0 (you get just an equality with $c_n = 1$). But in general! It is super interesting. Take n = 2, i = j = 1

$$\frac{|A|}{2!}V(B,C) \leq c_2 V(A,B)V(A,C).$$

_ ∢ ⊒ →

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

There are some simple cases, for example if m = 0 or j = 0 (you get just an equality with $c_n = 1$). But in general! It is super interesting. Take n = 2, i = j = 1

$$\frac{|A|}{2!}V(B,C) \leq c_2 V(A,B)V(A,C).$$

Amazingly the above inequality is true with constant $c_2 = 1$.

프 🖌 🔺 프 🕨

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

There are some simple cases, for example if m = 0 or j = 0 (you get just an equality with $c_n = 1$). But in general! It is super interesting. Take n = 2, i = j = 1

$$\frac{|A|}{2!}V(B,C) \leq c_2 V(A,B)V(A,C).$$

Amazingly the above inequality is true with constant $c_2 = 1$. The above inequalities can be seen as versions of Bezout inequality from the algebraic geometry.

_ ∢ ⊒ ▶

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

There are some simple cases, for example if m = 0 or j = 0 (you get just an equality with $c_n = 1$). But in general! It is super interesting. Take n = 2, i = j = 1

$$\frac{|A|}{2!}V(B,C) \leq c_2 V(A,B)V(A,C).$$

Amazingly the above inequality is true with constant $c_2 = 1$. The above inequalities can be seen as versions of Bezout inequality from the algebraic geometry. A lot of work been done, including, A. Giannopoulos, M. Hartzoulaki & G. Paouris; M. Fradelizi, A. Giannopoulos & M. Meyer; D. Hug & R. Schneider; S. Artstein-Avidan, D. Florentin & Y. Ostrover; C. Saroglou, I. Soprunov & A.Z.; S. Brazitikos, A. Giannopoulos & D-M. Liakopoulos; Jian Xiao; K. Böröczky & D. Hug.

_ ∢ ⊒ →

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

There are some simple cases, for example if m = 0 or j = 0 (you get just an equality with $c_n = 1$). But in general! It is super interesting. Take n = 2, i = j = 1

$$\frac{|A|}{2!}V(B,C) \leq c_2 V(A,B)V(A,C).$$

Amazingly the above inequality is true with constant $c_2 = 1$. The above inequalities can be seen as versions of Bezout inequality from the algebraic geometry. A lot of work been done, including, A. Giannopoulos, M. Hartzoulaki & G. Paouris; M. Fradelizi, A. Giannopoulos & M. Meyer; D. Hug & R. Schneider; S. Artstein-Avidan, D. Florentin & Y. Ostrover; C. Saroglou, I. Soprunov & A.Z.; S. Brazitikos, A. Giannopoulos & D-M. Liakopoulos; Jian Xiao; K. Böröczky & D. Hug. But the best constants are known only in a very few particular cases. We use combination of known estimates to get $c_2 = 1$; $c_3 = 4/3$ and $c_n \leq 2^{n-2}$.

E > < E >

$$|A||A+B+C| \le c_n|A+B||A+C|.$$

It is enough to c_n such that for $m, j \ge 0$ and $m+j \le n$:

$$\frac{|A|}{n!} \frac{V(A[n-m-j], B[m], C[j])}{(n-m-j)!} \le c_n \frac{V(A[n-m], B[m])}{(n-m)!} \frac{V(A[n-j], C[j])}{(n-j)!}$$

There are some simple cases, for example if m = 0 or j = 0 (you get just an equality with $c_n = 1$). But in general! It is super interesting. Take n = 2, i = j = 1

$$\frac{|A|}{2!}V(B,C) \leq c_2 V(A,B)V(A,C).$$

Amazingly the above inequality is true with constant $c_2 = 1$. The above inequalities can be seen as versions of Bezout inequality from the algebraic geometry. A lot of work been done, including, A. Giannopoulos, M. Hartzoulaki & G. Paouris; M. Fradelizi, A. Giannopoulos & M. Meyer; D. Hug & R. Schneider; S. Artstein-Avidan, D. Florentin & Y. Ostrover; C. Saroglou, I. Soprunov & A.Z.; S. Brazitikos, A. Giannopoulos & D-M. Liakopoulos; Jian Xiao; K. Böröczky & D. Hug. But the best constants are known only in a very few particular cases. We use combination of known estimates to get $c_2 = 1$; $c_3 = 4/3$ and $c_n \le 2^{n-2}$. The constant in some of Bezout type inequalities is known when $A = \Delta$, this can be used got get constant one in this particular case in dimension 3 and 4. The connection to Bezout inequality suggests that there is much more going on!!

 $|A||A+B+C| \leq c_n|A+B||A+C|.$

◆ 문 ▶ ◆ 문 ▶ …

 $|A||A+B+C| \leq c_n|A+B||A+C|.$

Note: $c_{n+m} \ge c_n c_m$. Indeed, this follows immediately by considering direct product of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n .

돌에 송 돌에 ?

 $|A||A+B+C| \leq c_n|A+B||A+C|.$

Note: $c_{n+m} \ge c_n c_m$. Indeed, this follows immediately by considering direct product of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n .

Also Note if the inequality is true in a class of convex bodies closed by linear transformations, then

$$|P_{\{u,v\}^{\perp}}K||K| \leq c_n |P_{u^{\perp}}K||P_{v^{\perp}}K|,$$

where P_H is the orthogonal projection on H.

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

 $|A||A+B+C| \leq c_n|A+B||A+C|.$

Note: $c_{n+m} \ge c_n c_m$. Indeed, this follows immediately by considering direct product of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n .

Also Note if the inequality is true in a class of convex bodies closed by linear transformations, then

$$|P_{\{u,v\}^{\perp}}K||K| \leq c_n |P_{u^{\perp}}K||P_{v^{\perp}}K|,$$

where P_H is the orthogonal projection on H. Inequalities of this type were studied a lot! Giannopoulos, Hartzoulaki & Paouris proved

$$|P_{\{u,v\}^{\perp}}K||K| \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} |P_{u^{\perp}}K||P_{v^{\perp}}K|$$

医下颌 医下口

 $|A||A+B+C| \leq c_n|A+B||A+C|.$

Note: $c_{n+m} \ge c_n c_m$. Indeed, this follows immediately by considering direct product of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n .

Also Note if the inequality is true in a class of convex bodies closed by linear transformations, then

$$|P_{\{u,v\}^{\perp}}K||K| \leq c_n |P_{u^{\perp}}K||P_{v^{\perp}}K|,$$

where P_H is the orthogonal projection on H. Inequalities of this type were studied a lot! Giannopoulos, Hartzoulaki & Paouris proved

$$|P_{\{u,v\}^{\perp}}K||K| \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n}|P_{u^{\perp}}K||P_{v^{\perp}}K|$$

and Fradelizi, Giannopoulos & Meyer observed that the inequality is optimal in the class of convex bodies.

 $|A||A+B+C| \leq c_n|A+B||A+C|.$

Note: $c_{n+m} \ge c_n c_m$. Indeed, this follows immediately by considering direct product of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n .

Also Note if the inequality is true in a class of convex bodies closed by linear transformations, then

$$|P_{\{u,v\}^{\perp}}K||K| \leq c_n |P_{u^{\perp}}K||P_{v^{\perp}}K|,$$

where P_H is the orthogonal projection on H. Inequalities of this type were studied a lot! Giannopoulos, Hartzoulaki & Paouris proved

$$|P_{\{u,v\}^{\perp}}K||K| \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n}|P_{u^{\perp}}K||P_{v^{\perp}}K|$$

and Fradelizi, Giannopoulos & Meyer observed that the inequality is optimal in the class of convex bodies. Thus $c_n \ge 2 - \frac{2}{n}$ and, in particular, $c_3 \ge \frac{4}{3}$.

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

 $|A||A+B_1+B_2| \le |A+B_1||A+B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

э

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

$$|A||A+B_1+B_2| \le |A+B_1||A+B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$$

2 For every
$$A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

医下 不良下

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $|A||A + B_1 + B_2| \le |A + B_1||A + B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$
- **2** For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

Let $\mathcal L$ be a class of a compact convex sets in $\mathbb R^n$ preserved under a liner transformations. The following are equivalent.

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $|A||A+B_1+B_2| \le |A+B_1||A+B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$
- **2** For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

Let ${\cal L}$ be a class of a compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n preserved under a liner transformations. The following are equivalent.

 $|A||\partial(A+[0,u])| \le |\partial A||A+[0,u]| \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $|A||A+B_1+B_2| \le |A+B_1||A+B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$
- **2** For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

Let ${\cal L}$ be a class of a compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n preserved under a liner transformations. The following are equivalent.

- $(A | |\partial(A + [0, u])| \le |\partial A| |A + [0, u]| \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$
- $\textcircled{O} \quad \frac{|A|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}} \leq \frac{|\partial A|}{|\partial (P_{u^{\perp}}A)|}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in S^{n-1}.$

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $|A||A+B_1+B_2| \le |A+B_1||A+B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$
- **2** For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

Let ${\cal L}$ be a class of a compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n preserved under a liner transformations. The following are equivalent.

 $(A | |\partial (A + [0, u])| \le |\partial A| |A + [0, u]| \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$

$$\bigcirc \quad \frac{|A|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}} \leq \frac{|\partial A|}{|\partial (P_{u^{\perp}}A)|}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in S^{n-1}.$$

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \bullet & |A||P_{[u,v]^{\perp}}A|_{n-2}\sqrt{1-\langle u,v\rangle^2} \leq |P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}|P_{v^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u, v \in S^{n-1}. \end{array}$

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $|A||A+B_1+B_2| \le |A+B_1||A+B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$
- **2** For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

Let ${\cal L}$ be a class of a compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n preserved under a liner transformations. The following are equivalent.

 $|A||\partial(A+[0,u])| \le |\partial A||A+[0,u]| \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$

$$② \quad \frac{|A|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}} \leq \frac{|\partial A|}{|\partial (P_{u^{\perp}}A)|}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in S^{n-1}.$$

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \bullet & |A||P_{[u,v]^{\perp}}A|_{n-2}\sqrt{1-\langle u,v\rangle^2} \leq |P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}|P_{v^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u, v \in S^{n-1}. \end{array}$

③
$$|A + [0, u] + [0, v]||A| ≤ |A + [0, u]||A + [0, v]|$$
 for any $A ∈ L$ and any $u, v ∈ \mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $|A||A+B_1+B_2| \le |A+B_1||A+B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$
- **2** For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

Let ${\cal L}$ be a class of a compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n preserved under a liner transformations. The following are equivalent.

$$\textcircled{ } |A| = \frac{|\partial A|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}} \leq \frac{|\partial A|}{|\partial (P_{u^{\perp}}A)|}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in S^{n-1}.$$

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \bullet & |A||P_{[u,v]^{\perp}}A|_{n-2}\sqrt{1-\langle u,v\rangle^2} \leq |P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}|P_{v^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u, v \in S^{n-1}. \end{array}$

③
$$|A + [0, u] + [0, v]||A| ≤ |A + [0, u]||A + [0, v]|$$
 for any $A ∈ L$ and any $u, v ∈ \mathbb{R}^n$.

③ $|A|V(A[n-2], Z_1, Z_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], Z_1)V(A[n-1], Z_2)$, for any A ∈ L and any Z_1, Z_2 zonoids.

Consider a collection \mathcal{K}' of compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n stable by sums and dilation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $|A||A+B_1+B_2| \le |A+B_1||A+B_2|, \text{ for every } A, B_1, B_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{K}'.$
- **2** For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

Let ${\cal L}$ be a class of a compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n preserved under a liner transformations. The following are equivalent.

 $|A||\partial(A+[0,u])| \le |\partial A||A+[0,u]| \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$

$$\textcircled{ } |A| = \frac{|\partial A|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}} \leq \frac{|\partial A|}{|\partial (P_{u^{\perp}}A)|}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u \in S^{n-1}.$$

 $\begin{array}{|l|l|} \bullet & |A||P_{[u,v]^{\perp}}A|_{n-2}\sqrt{1-\langle u,v\rangle^2} \leq |P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}|P_{v^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and any } u, v \in S^{n-1}. \end{array}$

④
$$|A + [0, u] + [0, v]||A| ≤ |A + [0, u]||A + [0, v]|$$
 for any $A ∈ L$ and any $u, v ∈ \mathbb{R}^n$.

- **③** $|A|V(A[n-2], Z_1, Z_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], Z_1)V(A[n-1], Z_2)$, for any A ∈ L and any Z_1, Z_2 zonoids.
- O Define P(t) = |A+t([0,u]+[0,v])|, then P(t), as a polynomial on ℝ, has only real roots, for any A ∈ L and any u, v ∈ ℝⁿ.

Let \mathcal{Z} be the class of zonoids in \mathbb{R}^n , then

① For every A, B_1, B_2 in \mathcal{Z}

$$|A + B_1 + B_2||A| \le |A + B_1||A + B_2|.$$

2 For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$|A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \le \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

 $|A||\partial(A+[0,u])| \le |\partial A||A+[0,u]| \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and any } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$

$$\bullet \ \frac{|A|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}} \leq \frac{|\partial A|}{|\partial (P_{u^{\perp}}A)|}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and any } u \in S^{n-1}.$$

- $\textbf{0} \quad |A||P_{[u,v]^{\perp}}A|_{n-2}\sqrt{1-\langle u,v\rangle^2} \leq |P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}|P_{v^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and any } u, v \in S^{n-1}.$
- Define P(t) = |A + t([0, u] + [0, v])|, then P(t), as a polynomial on \mathbb{R} , has only real roots, for any $A \in \mathcal{Z}$ and any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

医下颌 医下颌

Let \mathcal{Z} be the class of zonoids in \mathbb{R}^n , then

① For every A, B_1, B_2 in \mathcal{Z}

$$|A + B_1 + B_2||A| \le |A + B_1||A + B_2|.$$

2 For every $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$A|V(A[n-2], B_1, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{n-1}V(A[n-1], B_1)V(A[n-1], B_2).$$

 $|A||\partial(A+[0,u])| \le |\partial A||A+[0,u]| \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and any } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$

$$\bullet \ \frac{|A|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}} \leq \frac{|\partial A|}{|\partial (P_{u^{\perp}}A)|}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and any } u \in S^{n-1}.$$

- $\textbf{0} \quad |A||P_{[u,v]^{\perp}}A|_{n-2}\sqrt{1-\langle u,v\rangle^2} \leq |P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}|P_{v^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}, \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and any } u, v \in S^{n-1}.$
- Define P(t) = |A + t([0, u] + [0, v])|, then P(t), as a polynomial on \mathbb{R} , has only real roots, for any $A \in \mathcal{Z}$ and any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

() For every zonoids A and B in \mathbb{R}^n and every $u \in S^{n-1}$, one has

$$\frac{|A+B|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}(A+B)|_{n-1}} \geq \frac{|A|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}A|_{n-1}} + \frac{|B|}{|P_{u^{\perp}}B|_{n-1}}.$$

▶ < Ξ ▶</p>