### Non-traditional costs and set dualities

Katarzyna Wyczesany

Tel Aviv University

joint work with S. Artstein-Avidan and S. Sadovsky

October 18, 2021

# Outline

#### 1 Introduction to optimal transport problem

- Cost induced transforms
- c-subgradient
- Geometric notion of optimality

#### 2 Existence of a potential

Transporting measuresCompatibility



#### Transport of measures

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$  be two probability measures.



T transports  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  if  $\mu(T^{-1}(A)) = \nu(A)$  for all  $\nu$ -measurable sets  $A \subset Y$ .

### Transport of measures

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$  be two probability measures.



T transports  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  if  $\mu(T^{-1}(A)) = \nu(A)$  for all  $\nu$ -measurable sets  $A \subset Y$ . We say that  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(X \times Y)$  is a **transport plan**,  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$ , if for any measurable sets  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset Y$  we have

$$\pi(A\times Y)=\mu(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(X\times B)=\nu(B)$$

## Kantorovich duality

Given a cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  one is interested in finding an **optimal plan**, that is the plan  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$  with infimal **total cost** 

$$C(\mu,\nu) = \inf \int_{X \times Y} c(x,y) d\pi(x,y)$$

# Kantorovich duality

Given a cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  one is interested in finding an **optimal plan**, that is the plan  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$  with infimal **total cost** 

$$C(\mu,\nu) = \inf \int_{X \times Y} c(x,y) d\pi(x,y)$$

#### Theorem

**Theorem (Kantorovich)** For a lower semicontinuous cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  and probability measures  $\mu, \nu$  we have

$$C(\mu,\nu) = \sup\left\{\int_X \varphi(x)d\mu(x) + \int_Y \psi(y)d\nu(y) : \varphi(x) + \psi(y) \le c(x,y)\right\},\$$

where  $\varphi \in L^1(X,\mu)$ ,  $\psi \in L^1(Y,\nu)$ .

**Definition.** A pair of functions  $\varphi, \psi$  is called *c*-admissible if for all x, y

 $\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \leq c(x,y)$ 

**Definition.** A pair of functions  $\varphi, \psi$  is called *c*-admissible if for all x, y

 $\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \le c(x,y)$ 

Definition. The c-transform of a function  $\varphi:X\to [-\infty,+\infty]$  is given by

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} \left( c(x, y) - \varphi(x) \right)$$

**Definition.** A pair of functions  $\varphi, \psi$  is called *c*-admissible if for all x, y

 $\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \le c(x, y)$ 

Definition. The c-transform of a function  $\varphi:X\to [-\infty,+\infty]$  is given by

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} \left( c(x, y) - \varphi(x) \right)$$

Note that:

- *c*-transform is order reversing
- $\bullet$  For any admissible pair  $(\varphi,\psi)$  we have  $\psi\leq\varphi^c$
- $\bullet\,$  Further, we have that  $\varphi \leq \varphi^{cc}$  and hence  $\varphi^{ccc} = \varphi^c$

**Definition.** A pair of functions  $\varphi, \psi$  is called *c*-admissible if for all x, y

 $\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \le c(x, y)$ 

Definition. The c-transform of a function  $\varphi:X\to [-\infty,+\infty]$  is given by

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} \left( c(x, y) - \varphi(x) \right)$$

Note that:

- *c*-transform is order reversing
- $\bullet$  For any admissible pair  $(\varphi,\psi)$  we have  $\psi\leq\varphi^c$
- $\bullet\,$  Further, we have that  $\varphi \leq \varphi^{cc}$  and hence  $\varphi^{ccc} = \varphi^c$

The c-class associated to a cost function c is the image of the c-transform.

The c-transform of a function  $\varphi:X\to [-\infty,+\infty]$  is given by

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} \left( c(x, y) - \varphi(x) \right)$$

For  $c(x,y) = |x-y|^2/2$  we have

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} (|x|^{2}/2 - \langle x, y \rangle + |y|^{2}/2 - \varphi(x))$$
  
=  $|y|^{2}/2 - \sup_{x} (\langle x, y \rangle - (|x|^{2}/2 - \varphi(x)))$ 

The c-transform of a function  $\varphi:X\to [-\infty,+\infty]$  is given by

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} \left( c(x, y) - \varphi(x) \right)$$

For  $c(x,y) = |x-y|^2/2$  we have

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} (|x|^{2}/2 - \langle x, y \rangle + |y|^{2}/2 - \varphi(x))$$
  
=  $|y|^{2}/2 - \sup_{x} (\langle x, y \rangle - (|x|^{2}/2 - \varphi(x)))$ 

Hence,

$$|y|^2/2 - \varphi^c(y) = \mathcal{L}\left(|x|^2/2 - \varphi(x)\right),\,$$

where we recall

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left( \langle x, y \rangle - \varphi(x) \right).$$

Katarzyna Wyczesany

The c-transform of a function  $\varphi:X\to [-\infty,+\infty]$  is given by

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} \left( c(x, y) - \varphi(x) \right)$$

For  $p(x,y)=-\ln(\langle x,y\rangle-1)_+$  we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi^p(y) &= \inf_x (-\ln(\langle x, y \rangle - 1)_+ - \varphi(x)) \\ &= -\ln\left(\sup_x \frac{(\langle x, y \rangle - 1)_+}{e^{-\varphi(x)}}\right) \end{split}$$

The c-transform of a function  $\varphi:X\to [-\infty,+\infty]$  is given by

$$\varphi^{c}(y) = \inf_{x} \left( c(x, y) - \varphi(x) \right)$$

For  $p(x,y) = -\ln(\langle x,y\rangle -1)_+$  we have

$$\varphi^{p}(y) = \inf_{x} (-\ln(\langle x, y \rangle - 1)_{+} - \varphi(x))$$
$$= -\ln\left(\sup_{x} \frac{(\langle x, y \rangle - 1)_{+}}{e^{-\varphi(x)}}\right)$$

Which we rewrite as

$$e^{-\varphi^{p}(y)} = \mathcal{A}\left(e^{-\varphi(\cdot)}\right)(y),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}\varphi(y) = \sup_{x} \frac{(\langle x, y \rangle - 1)_{+}}{\varphi(x)}.$$

### *c*-subgradient

For any admissible pair  $(\varphi,\varphi^c)$  and any transport plan  $\pi$  we obviously have

$$\sup\left(\int \varphi(x)d\mu(x) + \int \varphi^c(y)d\nu(y)\right) \le \inf \int c(x,y)d\pi(x,y)$$

To find and optimal plan we need "=".

### *c*-subgradient

For any admissible pair  $(\varphi,\varphi^c)$  and any transport plan  $\pi$  we obviously have

$$\sup\left(\int \varphi(x)d\mu(x) + \int \varphi^c(y)d\nu(y)\right) \le \inf \int c(x,y)d\pi(x,y)$$

To find and optimal plan we need "=".

**Definition.** Given a *c*-class function  $\varphi: X \to [-\infty, \infty]$  consider the set

$$\partial^c \varphi = \{(x,y): \varphi(x) + \varphi^c(y) = c(x,y) < \infty\} \subset X \times Y$$

We call the section  $\partial^c \varphi(x)$  the *c*-subgradient of  $\varphi$  at  $x \in X$ .

Analogously,  $\partial^c \varphi^c(y)$  denotes the *c*-subgradient of  $\varphi^c$  at  $y \in Y$ .

### *c*-cyclic monotonicity

**Definition.** The set  $G \subseteq X \times Y$  is called *c*-cyclically monotone if for any  $(x, y) \in G$  we have that  $c(x, y) < \infty$  and for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m \subset G$  we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( c(x_i, y_i) - c(x_{i+1}, y_i) \right) \le 0,$$

where we identify  $x_{m+1} = x_1$ .

### *c*-cyclic monotonicity

**Definition.** The set  $G \subseteq X \times Y$  is called *c*-cyclically monotone if for any  $(x, y) \in G$  we have that  $c(x, y) < \infty$  and for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m \subset G$  we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( c(x_i, y_i) - c(x_{i+1}, y_i) \right) \le 0,$$

where we identify  $x_{m+1} = x_1$ .

**Fact.** For any cost function c and any function  $\varphi$  in the c-class the set

$$\partial^c \varphi = \{ (x, \partial^c \varphi(x)) : x \in X \} \subset X \times Y$$

is *c*-cyclically monotone.

# Rockafellar-Rochet-Rüschendorf theorem

#### Theorem

Let X, Y be two arbitrary sets,  $c : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  a real-valued cost function and fix a set  $G \subset X \times Y$ . Then G is c-cyclically monotone if and only if there exists a c-class function  $\varphi : X \to [-\infty, +\infty]$  such that  $G \subset \partial^c \varphi$ .

Fix some element  $(x_0, y_0) \in G$  and define

$$\varphi(x) = \inf\{c(x, y_m) - c(x_0, y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m (c(x_i, y_{i-1}) - c(x_i, y_i))\}.$$

Here the infimum runs over all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and all *m*-tuples  $(x_i, y_i) \in G, i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Let  $p(x,y) = -\ln(\langle x,y\rangle - 1)_+$ . Then

$$G = \{(x, y) : \frac{3}{4} \le x < 1, \ y = 3 - 2x\} \cup \{(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{4})\}$$

is *p*-cyclically monotone but does not have a potential.



# S. Artstein-Avidan, S. Sadovsky, K. W.

#### Theorem

Let X, Y be two arbitrary sets and  $c : X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  an arbitrary cost function. For a given subset  $G \subset X \times Y$  there exists a *c*-class function  $\varphi : X \to [-\infty, \infty]$  such that  $G \subset \partial^c \varphi$  if and only if G is *c*-path-bounded.

# S. Artstein-Avidan, S. Sadovsky, K. W.

#### Theorem

Let X, Y be two arbitrary sets and  $c : X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  an arbitrary cost function. For a given subset  $G \subset X \times Y$  there exists a *c*-class function  $\varphi : X \to [-\infty, \infty]$  such that  $G \subset \partial^c \varphi$  if and only if G is *c*-path-bounded.

**Definition.** The set  $G \subset X \times Y$  is called *c*-**path-bounded** if it satisfies that for any  $(x_i, y_i), (x_j, y_j) \in G$  there exists some constant M = M(i, j)such that for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $\{(x_i, y_i) : 2 \leq i \leq m - 1\} \subset G$ , letting  $(x_i, y_i) = (x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_j, y_j) = (x_m, y_m)$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( c(x_i, y_i) - c(x_{i+1}, y_i) \right) \le M.$$

Note that the *c*-path-boundedness implies *c*-cyclic monotonicity. Indeed, if  $(x_i, y_i) = (x_j, y_j)$ , then if there is some path for which the sum is positive, one can duplicate it many times to get paths with arbitrarily large sums.

Note that the *c*-path-boundedness implies *c*-cyclic monotonicity. Indeed, if  $(x_i, y_i) = (x_j, y_j)$ , then if there is some path for which the sum is positive, one can duplicate it many times to get paths with arbitrarily large sums.

For a real-valued cost we also have that *c*-cyclic monotonicity implies *c*-path-boundedness: consider two points  $(x_1, y_1), (x_m, y_m) \in G$ , then for any path  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=2}^{m-1}$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( c(x_i, y_i) - c(x_{i+1}, y_i) \right) + c(x_m, y_m) - c(x_1, y_m) \le 0.$$

Note that the *c*-path-boundedness implies *c*-cyclic monotonicity. Indeed, if  $(x_i, y_i) = (x_j, y_j)$ , then if there is some path for which the sum is positive, one can duplicate it many times to get paths with arbitrarily large sums.

For a real-valued cost we also have that *c*-cyclic monotonicity implies *c*-path-boundedness: consider two points  $(x_1, y_1), (x_m, y_m) \in G$ , then for any path  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=2}^{m-1}$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( c(x_i, y_i) - c(x_{i+1}, y_i) \right) + c(x_m, y_m) - c(x_1, y_m) \le 0.$$

It is important to note that we relied heavily on the fact that  $c(x_1, y_m) < \infty$ , otherwise this upper bound might be infinite, and therefore meaningless.

Fix a cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ .

Fix a cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ .

Consider discrete probability measures  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{x_i}$ ,  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{y_i}$ .



Fix a cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ .

Consider discrete probability measures  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{x_i}$ ,  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{y_i}$ .



Fix a cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ .

Consider discrete probability measures  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{x_i}$ ,  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{y_i}$ .



Fix a cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ .

Consider discrete probability measures  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{x_i}$ ,  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{y_i}$ .

#### Theorem (Hall's Marriage Theorem)

A bipartite graph G with a vertex set  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , such that  $|V_1| = |V_2|$ , contains a complete matching if and only if G satisfies Hall's condition

 $|S| \leq |N_G(S)|$  for every  $S \subset V_1$ ,

where  $N_G(S) \subset V_2$  is the set of all neighbors of vertices in S.

Fix a cost function  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ .

Consider discrete probability measures  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{x_i}$ ,  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_{y_i}$ .

#### Theorem (Hall's Marriage Theorem)

A bipartite graph G with a vertex set  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , such that  $|V_1| = |V_2|$ , contains a complete matching if and only if G satisfies Hall's condition

 $|S| \leq |N_G(S)|$  for every  $S \subset V_1$ ,

where  $N_G(S) \subset V_2$  is the set of all neighbors of vertices in S.

The condition can be reformulated in terms of the measures, as

$$\mu(A) \leq \nu(\{y: \exists x \in A, \ c(x,y) < \infty\}) \ \text{ for all } A \subset X.$$

**Definition.** Let X, Y be measure spaces and  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be a measurable cost function. We say that two probability measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$  are *c*-compatible if for any measurable  $A \subset X$  it holds that

$$\mu(A) + \nu(\{y : \forall x \in A, \ c(x,y) = \infty\}) \le 1.$$

**Definition.** Let X, Y be measure spaces and  $c: X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be a measurable cost function. We say that two probability measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$  are *c*-compatible if for any measurable  $A \subset X$  it holds that

$$\mu(A) + \nu(\{y : \forall x \in A, \ c(x,y) = \infty\}) \le 1.$$

#### Lemma

Let X, Y be measure spaces and  $c : X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be a measurable cost function. Given  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ , assume there exists  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$  which is concentrated on

$$S = \{(x,y) \in X \times Y : c(x,y) < \infty\}.$$

Then  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are *c*-compatible.

# S. Artstein-Avidan, S. Sadovsky, K. W.

#### Theorem

Let X = Y be a Polish space, let  $c : X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be a **continuous** and symmetric cost function, essentially bounded from below with respect to probability measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ . Assume  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are strongly *c*-compatible, namely satisfy that for any measurable  $A \subset X$  we have

$$\mu(A) + \nu(\{y \in Y : \forall x \in A, \ c(x,y) = \infty\}) < 1.$$

If there exists some finite cost plan transporting  $\mu$  to  $\nu$ , then there exists a c-class function  $\varphi$  and an optimal transport plan  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$  concentrated on  $\partial^c \varphi$ .

# Cost duality for sets

#### Definition

Let X, Y be two sets and let  $c : X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ . Fix  $t \in (-\infty, \infty]$ (which will be omitted in the notation as it is a fixed parameter). For  $K \subset X$  define the c-dual set of K as

$$K^{c} = \bigcap_{x \in K} \{ y \in Y : c(x, y) \ge t \} = \{ y \in Y : c(x, y) \ge t, \ \forall x \in K \}.$$

# Cost duality for sets

#### Definition

Let X, Y be two sets and let  $c : X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ . Fix  $t \in (-\infty, \infty]$ (which will be omitted in the notation as it is a fixed parameter). For  $K \subset X$  define the c-dual set of K as

$$K^{c} = \bigcap_{x \in K} \{ y \in Y : c(x, y) \ge t \} = \{ y \in Y : c(x, y) \ge t, \ \forall x \in K \}.$$

#### Lemma

For every  $K, L \subset X$ , the following hold

- $M \subset (K^c)^c = K^{cc},$
- (i) if  $L \subset K$  then  $K^c \subset L^c$ ,
- $W^c = K^{ccc}.$

# Cost duality for sets

#### Definition

Let X, Y be two sets and let  $c : X \times Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ . Fix  $t \in (-\infty, \infty]$ (which will be omitted in the notation as it is a fixed parameter). For  $K \subset X$  define the c-dual set of K as

$$K^{c} = \bigcap_{x \in K} \{ y \in Y : c(x, y) \ge t \} = \{ y \in Y : c(x, y) \ge t, \ \forall x \in K \}.$$

#### Definition

Fix a cost function c. The c-class of sets consists of all closed sets  $K \subset X$  such that there exists some  $L \subset X$  with  $K = L^c$ .

For any set  $K \subset X$  we define its c-envelope as the set  $K^{cc}$ , which is the smallest c-class set containing K.

# S. Artstein-Avidan, S. Sadovsky, K. W.

#### Theorem

Let  $T : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  be an order-reversing quasi-involution, that is, for every  $K, L \subset X$  we have:

(i)  $K \subset TTK$ (ii) if  $K \subset L$  then  $TL \subset TK$ .

Then, there exists a cost function  $c: X \times X \to (-\infty, \infty]$  such that T is induced by c, that is for all  $K \subset X$  we have  $TK = K^c$ .

# Thank you for your attention!