# Identification of dynamic panel logit models with fixed effects

Jiaying Gu

University of Toronto

# IASM-BIRS Workshop December 11-15, 2023

#### Joint work with Christopher Dobronyi and Kyoo il Kim

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• Dynamic panel logit models with fixed effects:

$$Y_{it} = 1\{\alpha_i + \beta Y_{it-1} + \gamma' X_{it} \ge \epsilon_{it}\}, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

where  $\epsilon_{it}$  are iid Logit error and  $\alpha_i$  is a scalar random variable with unknown distribution  $Q(\cdot|\mathbf{x}, y_0)$ .

- This is a workhorse model in industrial organization in Economics, often used to analyze consumer purchase decisions, firm entry/exit decisions, or binary longitudinal data in general.
- Data:  $y_{it}$  and  $x_{it}$  for  $t = 0, \ldots, T$ .
- Parameter of interest:  $\theta = \{\beta, \gamma\}$ , distribution Q or some functionals of Q.
- We may also introduce more lags (e.g. AR(2) model)

$$Y_{it} = 1\{\alpha_i + \beta_1 Y_{it-1} + \beta_2 Y_{it-2} + \gamma' X_{it} \ge \epsilon_{it}\}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Key challanges:

- Incidental parameter problem due to the presence of  $\alpha_i$ : if we include individual dummies,  $\beta$  will be inconsistently estimated.
- Functional of Q: Q is not point identified due to binary nature of  $Y_{it}$ .

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

≡ nar

Chamberlain (1985): (without x) Point identification of β and use conditional MLE with sufficient statistics S(y<sub>i</sub>) = {y<sub>i0</sub>, ∑<sup>T−1</sup><sub>t=1</sub> y<sub>it</sub>, y<sub>iT</sub>},

$$P(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{y}_{i0},\beta) = \underbrace{P(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{y}_i),\beta)}_{\text{free from }\alpha_i} \int P(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{y}_i)|\beta,\alpha_i) dQ(\alpha_i|y_{i0})$$

- Honoré and Kyriazidou (2000): extends sufficient statistics idea to allow covariates under some assumptions on x [i.e., x<sub>2</sub> = x<sub>3</sub> for T = 3]
- Sufficient Statistics method fails to identify  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  for AR(2) model.
- If the panel length is very short (i.e. T = 2), sufficient statistics also fails to identify  $\beta$ .

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

- In this paper, we conduct an identification analysis for both parameters and Q.
- We show that the identification problem has a connection to the *truncated moment problem* in mathematics [dates back to Chebyshev 1874].
- Truncated moment problem: Given the first *K* raw moments of a random variable *X*, to characterize the set of probability measure that *X* can have: existence and uniqueness.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Using this connection, we show two types of results:

- Identified set for structural parameters  $\theta$  is characterized by a set of moment equality and inequality conditions.
- The number of moment equality conditions may be substantially more than those found by sufficient statistics approach.
  - e.g. moment equality conditions available for AR(2) model, more moment conditions available for AR(1) model with x.
- The inequality conditions can sharpen the identified set for  $\theta$  when they are not point identified by moment equality conditions alone (i.e. AR(1) model with time trend)
- Identified set of the latent distribution Q is characterized by a finite vector of *generalized moments*, and the number of moments grows linearly in T.
- Provide sufficient conditions on point identification of functionals of Q.

We then provide estimation and inference method with these new identification results.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# Roadmap

- A simple example with T = 2.
- Identification of  $\theta$  and Q for AR(1) model with general T.
- Identification of a class of functionals of Q.
- Examples: time trend
- Empirical Illustration

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ →

#### Motivation

#### Identification Analysis

• Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the set containing all choice histories  $y^1, \ldots, y^J$  with  $J = 2^T$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_{j} = \mathbb{P}((Y_{1} \dots Y_{T}) = \mathbf{y}^{j} | Y_{0} = y_{0}, X = \mathbf{x}, \alpha)$$
$$= \mathcal{L}_{j}(\alpha, \theta, \mathbf{x}, y_{0}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta y_{t-1} + \gamma x_{t})^{y_{t}}}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta y_{t-1} + \gamma x_{t})}$$

- Denote the probability vector  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\boldsymbol{x}} = (\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_J)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$  the vector that stacks  $\mathcal{L}_j$ .
- Let  $A = \exp(\alpha)$  with distribution  $Q(A|y_0, \mathbf{x})$  supported on  $\mathcal{A} = [0, \infty)$ .
- Define the set of probability measures with support  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\mathcal{Q}(\theta, y_0, \mathbf{x}) = \{ Q : \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{x}} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(A, \theta, \mathbf{x}, y_0) dQ \}$$

Definition (Identified Set): The identified set of  $\theta$  is

$$\Theta^* = \{\theta : \mathcal{Q}(\theta, y_0, \boldsymbol{x}) \neq \emptyset, \text{for all } \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

<ロ> <回> <回> <回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

- Consider T = 2, no covariates and fix  $y_0 = 0$ .
- We have  $2^T = 4$  distinct elements in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and

$$\mathcal{L}(A,\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}((0,0)|A,\beta)\\ \mathbb{P}((1,0)|A,\beta)\\ \mathbb{P}((0,1)|A,\beta)\\ \mathbb{P}((1,1)|A,\beta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(1+A)^2}\\ \frac{A}{1+A}\frac{1}{1+AB} \\ \frac{A}{(1+A)^2}\\ \frac{A}{1+A}\frac{AB}{1+AB} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{g(A,\beta)} \begin{pmatrix} (1+AB)\\ A(1+A)\\ A(1+AB)\\ A^2B(1+A) \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $B = \exp(\beta)$  and  $g(A, \beta) = (1 + AB)(1 + A)^2$ .

• The right hand side consists polynomials of A up to degree 2T - 1 = 3.

くぼう くほう くほう

In particular, for any  $(\beta, Q)$ 

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(A,\beta) dQ(A) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} G(\beta) \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ A\\ A^2\\ A^3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{g(A,\beta)} dQ(A) = G(\beta) \int_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ A\\ A^2\\ A^3 \end{pmatrix} d\bar{Q}(A \mid \beta)$$

with

$$G(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & B \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $d\bar{Q}(A \mid \beta) = \frac{1}{g(A,\beta)} dQ(A)$ .

- Q is a probability measure on  $\mathcal A$  and  $1/g(A,\beta)\in (0,1]$  for all  $A\in \mathcal A$ ,
- $\overline{Q}$  is a non-negative Borel measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

▲御▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 二臣 … 釣�?

• Identifying condition: 
$$\beta \in \Theta^* \Leftrightarrow \exists Q : \mathcal{P} = G(\beta) \int_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ A \\ A^2 \\ A^3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{g(A,\beta)} dQ(A).$$

• Given  $G(\beta)$  full rank,  $\beta \in \Theta^* \Leftrightarrow \exists \overline{Q}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{r}(\beta) := \boldsymbol{G}(\beta)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & A^2 & A^3 \end{pmatrix}' d \bar{\boldsymbol{Q}}(A \mid \beta)$$

 β ∈ Θ\* ⇔ the observed vector r(β) is a truncated moment sequence of some non-negative measure.

Definition (Moment Space): The moment space of any non-negative Borel measure  $\mu$  on  ${\cal A}$  is:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{K}+1} : \text{ there exists } \mu \text{ such that } r_k = \int_{\mathcal{A}} A^k d\mu(A), \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, \mathcal{K} \right\}$$

In this simple T = 2 example:  $\Theta^* = \{\beta : \mathbf{r}(\beta) \in \mathcal{M}_3\}.$ 

・ロト ・ 一 ・ モート ・ モー・ うらつ

#### Moment Space

Moment space has unique geometric structure:

- $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a closed convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{K}+1}$ .
- The moment space  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}}$  does not take up the entire  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{K}+1}$  since moments have dependency:
  - Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:  $\mathbb{E}[A^2] \ge \mathbb{E}[A]^2$
  - More generally Hölder's inequlity has to hold.
- This implies:  $r(\beta) \in \mathcal{M}_3$  provides nontrivial constraints on  $\beta$ .
- Using the result of Karlin and Studden (1966), the restricitons boils down to nonnegativity of two matrices (Hankel matrices):

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_0(\beta) & r_1(\beta) \\ r_1(\beta) & r_2(\beta) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r_1(\beta) & r_2(\beta) \\ r_2(\beta) & r_3(\beta) \end{pmatrix}$$

・ロト ・ 一 ・ モート ・ モー・ うらつ

- Non-negativity of square matrices ⇔ non-negativity of all principal minors: this gives us moment inequalities for β.
- $\beta \in \Theta^* \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{r}(\beta) \ge 0$ ,  $r_0(\beta)r_2(\beta) r_1(\beta)^2 \ge 0$  and  $r_1(\beta)r_3(\beta) r_2(\beta)^2 \ge 0$ .
- For T = 2 and no x:  $\mathcal{P} := (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3) = \mathbb{P}(Y = y | Y_0 = 0)$ :

$$\boldsymbol{r}(\beta) := \begin{pmatrix} r_0(\beta) \\ r_1(\beta) \\ r_2(\beta) \\ r_3(\beta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_0 - \frac{B^2}{B-1}p_1 + \frac{B}{B-1}p_2 \\ \frac{Bp_1 - p_2}{B-1} \\ \frac{p_2 - p_1}{B-1} \\ \frac{p_1 - p_2}{B-1} + \frac{p_3}{B} \end{pmatrix}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ― 臣 … のへの

#### Motivation

# Illustration: T = 2, no x, $y_0 = 0$

- DGP:  $\alpha_i \sim \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1$ , we vary  $\exp(\beta_0)$  from 0.01 to 2.
- Left: identified set for  $exp(\beta)$



What does the analysis say about identification of distribution Q and its functionals?

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### Identified set for Q

Recall

$$\mathbf{r}(eta) = G(eta)^{-1} \mathbf{\mathcal{P}} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & A^2 & A^3 \end{pmatrix}' \frac{1}{g(A, eta)} dQ$$

• The identified set of the distribution Q is characterized by the generalized moments:

$$\mathcal{Q}(\beta, y_0) = \left\{ Q: \mathbf{r}(\beta) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & A^2 & A^3 \end{pmatrix}' \frac{1}{g(A, \beta)} dQ \right\}$$

for each  $\beta \in \Theta^*$ .

•  $r(\beta)$  is the dimension reduction from the data information  $\mathcal{P}$  to the information on Q.

◆ロ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ □ ▶

#### General T

With the results from the simple example, we now generalize:

• The simple example reveals a polynomial structure of the dynamic panel logit model with fixed effects, which generalizes to any finite *T* with or without *x*.

◆ロ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ □ ▶

## General Results for AR(1) model

• For general T, for each given  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $y_0$ , we can construct  $g(A, \theta, x, y_0)$  as a polynomial of A of degree 2T - 1 such that:

$$\mathcal{L}(A, heta, \mathbf{x}, y_0) = G( heta, \mathbf{x}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ A \\ \vdots \\ A^{2^T-1} \end{pmatrix} rac{1}{g(A, heta, \mathbf{x}, y_0)}$$

where  $G(\theta, \mathbf{x})$  is of dimension  $2^T \times 2T$ .

- When T > 2, we obtain moment equalities in addition to moment inequalities. [Because G is of dimension  $2^T \times 2T$ ].
  - The number of moment equalities available is determined by the dimension of the left null space of  $G(\theta)$ .
  - The form of the moment equalities can be constructed analytically with the basis of the left null space of  $G(\theta)$ .
- Define the set (left null space of  $G(\theta, x)$ ):

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\theta) = \{\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{T}} : \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\theta)' \boldsymbol{G}(\theta, \boldsymbol{x}) = 0\}$$

• Moment equality conditions:  $\mathbb{E}[v_x(\theta)_j 1\{Y = y^j, X = x\}] = 0, \forall j.$ 

#### General T

Theorem 2: If  $G(\theta, \mathbf{x})$  is full rank, then  $\theta \in \Theta^*$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (a) For all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $v_x(\theta)' \mathcal{P}_x = 0$  for all  $v_x(\theta) \in M_x(\theta)$ .
- (b) For all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $r(\theta, x) \in \mathcal{M}_{2T-1}$ , where  $r(\theta, x) = H(\theta, x)\mathcal{P}_x$  and  $H(\theta, x)$  is a matrix of dimension  $2T \times 2^T$  such that  $H(\theta, x)G(\theta, x) = I_{2T}$ .
  - Condition (a) provides moment equalities and condition (b) provides moment inequalities.
  - The number of non-redundant moment equalities available:

$$2^T - rank(G) = 2^T - 2T.$$

Details on Inequalitie

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### Identification of Q

For general T,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\theta, y_0, \mathbf{x}) = \left\{ Q : \mathbf{r}(\theta, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left( 1 \quad A \quad \cdots \quad A^{2^{T-1}} \right)' \frac{1}{g(A, \theta, \mathbf{x}, y_0)} dQ \right\}$$

Theorem 4: For each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$  and each value of  $\theta \in \Theta^*$ , the sharp identified set  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta, y_0, \mathbf{x})$  of the latent distribution are those Q that has its generalized moments,  $\mathbb{E}_Q[\mathcal{A}^j/g(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \mathbf{x}, y_0)] = \mathbf{r}_j(\theta, \mathbf{x})$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2T - 1$ .

- Q is in general not point identified from the 2T 1 generalized moments.
- But some functional of Q may be point identified.
- If  $\theta$  is point identified (i.e.  $\Theta^* = \{\theta_0\}$ ), then the generalized moments  $r(\theta_0, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} (1 \quad A \quad \cdots \quad A^{2T-1})' \frac{1}{g(A, \theta_0, \mathbf{x}, y_0)} dQ_0(A \mid y_0, \mathbf{x})$  is also point identified.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆臣 → ◆臣 → ○ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

# Point Identification of Functionals $\int \psi(A, \theta, x) dQ$

Theorem (Point Identification of Functionals of Q) If  $\theta$  is point identified and the product  $\psi(A, \theta_0, \mathbf{x})g(A, \theta_0, \mathbf{x}, y_0)$  is a polynomial of A with a degree that is no larger than 2T - 1 such that:

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{A}, \theta_0, \boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{A}, \theta_0, \boldsymbol{x}, y_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{2T-1} \eta_j(\theta_0, \boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{A}^j,$$

for some vector  $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\theta_0, \mathbf{x}) = (\eta_0(\theta_0, \mathbf{x}), \eta_1(\theta_0, \mathbf{x}), \dots, \eta_{2T-1}(\theta_0, \mathbf{x}))$ , then  $\mathbb{E}_{Q_0(A|y_0, \mathbf{x})}[\psi(A, \theta_0, \mathbf{x})]$  is point identified and equal to  $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\theta_0, \mathbf{x})' \boldsymbol{r}(\theta_0, \mathbf{x})$ .

- The Theorem provides sufficient conditions on the function  $\psi$  under which  $\mathbb{E}_{Q_0}[\psi(A, \theta_0, \mathbf{x})]$  is point identified.
- Examples:
  - Average marginal effect of lagged choice when  $T \geq 3$ .
  - Posterior expectation of A when  $T \geq 3$ :  $\mathbb{E}_{Q_0}[A|\mathbf{y}]$  for  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ .
  - Counterfactual choice probability with no dynamics: i.e. AR(1) model without x, we can compare the counterfactual  $\mathbb{P}(Y = (1, 1, 1)|\beta = 0)$  with  $\mathbb{P}_0(1, 1, 1)$  in the data.

# Example: Average Marginal Effect

• For models without covariates, T = 3, and  $y_0 = 0$  (we know  $\beta$  is point identified)

$$AME = \int rac{AB_0}{1+AB_0} dQ_0(A) - \int rac{A}{1+A} dQ_0(A)$$

• Let 
$$\psi(A, \beta_0) = \frac{AB_0}{1+AB_0} - \frac{A}{1+A}$$
, and  $g(A, \beta_0) = (1+A)^3 (1+AB_0)^2$ .  
 $\psi(A, \beta_0)g(A, \beta_0) = (B_0 - 1)A(1+AB_0)(1+A)^2 = \eta(\beta_0)'(1, A, \dots, A^5)'$   
with  $\eta(\beta_0) = (0, B_0 - 1, (2+B_0)(B_0 - 1), (1+2B_0)(B_0 - 1), B_0(B_0 - 1), 0)'$ .

• Point identification of AME:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{AME} = \int \psi(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_0) dQ_0(\mathcal{A}) = oldsymbol{\eta}(eta_0)' \mathcal{H}(eta_0) \mathcal{P} \ & = (\mathcal{B}_0 - 1) \Big\{ rac{1}{2} (\mathbb{P}_0(1, 0, 0) + \mathbb{P}_0(0, 1, 0)) + rac{1}{\mathcal{B}_0 + 1} (\mathbb{P}_0(1, 0, 1) + \mathbb{P}_0(0, 1, 1)) \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

∃ 990

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## Example: Bounding AME for T = 2

• For models without x, T = 2, and  $y_0 = 0$  (we know  $\beta$  is not point identified)

$$AME=\intrac{AB_0}{1+AB_0}dQ_0(A)-\intrac{A}{1+A}dQ_0(A)$$

with  $\psi(A,\beta) = \frac{AB}{1+AB} - \frac{A}{1+A}$  and  $g(A,\beta) = (1+A)^2(1+AB)$ .

- It is easy to verify that  $\psi(A,\beta)g(A,\beta) = \eta' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & A^2 & A^3 \end{pmatrix}'$  with  $\eta' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B-1 & B-1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}'$ .
- Sharp bound of AME is:

$$\Big[\inf_{eta\in\Theta^*} \eta(eta)' r(eta), \sup_{eta\in\Theta^*} \eta(eta)' r(eta)\Big]$$

- Since  $\eta(\beta)' r(\beta) = (B-1) \mathbb{P}_0(1,0)$ .
- $\bullet$  As soon as we have the identified set  $\Theta^*,$  sharp bounds for AME is mapped directly from that.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > □ ■ ○ のへで

# Illustration: T = 2, no x, $y_0 = 0$

- DGP:  $Q_0 = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\exp(-2)} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\exp(1)}$ , we vary  $\exp(\beta_0)$  from 0.01 to 2.
- Left: identified set for  $exp(\beta)$ ; Right: identified set for AME



### Time trend model with T = 3

- $Y_{it} = 1\{\alpha_i + \beta Y_{it-1} + \gamma t \ge \epsilon_{it}\}$  and T = 3.
- There are two moment conditions for  $(\beta, \gamma)$ , which always give two solutions.
- Using the moment inequality, we demonstrate inequalities can be used to rule out the false solution.

◆ロ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ □ ▶

#### Motivation

# Time trend model with T = 3

- $\alpha \sim G = 0.5\delta_{-2} + 0.5\delta_1$ , and  $\beta_0 = 0.5$  and  $\gamma_0 = 0.8$ .
- The false root, roughly at  $\tilde{\theta} = (1.15, 0.3)$ .

•  $r_1(\tilde{ heta}) \approx -0.24 < 0.$ 



#### Estimation

- We need an estimation and inference framework that allows for both moment equality and inequalities.
- We can use minimum distance framework to combine moment equality and inequalities: (Bajari, Benkard, Levin (2007), Shi and Shum (2015))

$$\mathcal{Q}( heta,\mathcal{P})=h^e( heta,\mathcal{P})'W\;h^e( heta,\mathcal{P})+\sum_{j=1}^{K}\Big(\min\{h^{ie}( heta,\mathcal{P}),0\}\Big)^2$$

• If  $\Theta^*$  is singleton:  $Q(\theta_0, \mathcal{P}_0) = 0 = \min_{\theta \in \Theta} Q(\theta, \mathcal{P}_0)$ , and  $Q(\theta, \mathcal{P}_0) > 0$  for  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ : CAN estimator under suitable conditions:

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} Q_n(\theta, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n)$$

with  $Q_n(\theta, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n) = h^e(\theta, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n)' \hat{W}_n h^e(\theta, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n) + \sum_{j=1}^K \left( \min\{h^{ie}(\theta, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n), 0\} \right)^2$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n$  a CAN estimator of  $\mathcal{P}_0$ .

• If  $\Theta^*$  is a set: consistent estimation via Manski and Tamer (2002):

$$\hat{\Theta}_n = \left\{ heta: Q_n( heta, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n) \leq \min_{ heta \in \Theta} Q_n( heta, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n) + \kappa_n 
ight\}$$

with  $\kappa_n > 0$  and  $\kappa_n \to 0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 つのぐ

# **Empirical Application**

- We revisit Fitzmaurice and Laird (1993) on modeling children's respiratory conditions with data over a short period of time.
- Data: Observe wheezing conditions (binary) of 537 children from Steubenville, Ohio between the ages of 7 and 10.
- Model: time trend model with T = 3

$$y_{it} = 1\{\alpha_i + \beta y_{it-1} + \gamma t \ge \epsilon_{it}\}, \quad t = 1, 2, 3$$

- Focus on children with  $y_0 = 0$  (85% of the sample).
- Time trend is crucial to distinguish age effect and persistence.
- Fixed effects are crucial to distinguish unobserved heterogeneity from true dynamics.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

# **Empirical Application**



• Using only moment equality conditions, we have two solutions:  $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}) = (1.301, -0.276)$ and  $(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (-0.088, -0.019)$ , indistinguishable for the GMM criteria with a diagonal weighting matrix.

• For the second root:  $r_1(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = -4.82 < 0.$ 

(4回) (4 注) (4 注)

# **Empirical Application**

	Logit Full	Logit Full	Logit	Logit	Logit FE ML	Logit FE ML
All Sample $(n = 450)$						
lagged y	1.301**	0.693	2.08***	1.772***	$-2.918^{***}$	-2.736***
	(0.671)	(0.707)	(0.258)	(0.238)	(0.690)	(0.503)
time trend	-0.276	- 1	1.05***	-	1.666***	-
	(0.321)	-	(0.162)	-	(0.260)	-

- Logit Full: our proposed method combining equality and inequalities.
- Logit: models without fixed effects.
- Logit FE ML: models with fixed effects estimated through full MLE (incidental parameter problem).

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

≡ nar

```
Discussions (Finite sample issues)
```

When parameters are point identified by moment equalities, can inequalities improve finite sample efficiency?

- When inequalities are binding, they will act like moment equalities, hence incorporating them should improve efficiency.
- We need a way to detect binding inequalities.
- It may also be that incorporating all inequalities is not suited in practice, since some of them will be very noisy in finite sample.

(1) マント (1) マント (1) マント