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## Random variables

- $(A, \tau)$ a W ${ }^{*}$-probability space; $A=L^{\infty}(\tau)$
- $L^{0}(\tau)=\left\{y^{-1} x: x, y \in A, y \neq 0\right.$ a.s. $\}$ arbitrary random variables
- This is still a *-algebra
- $x \in L^{0}(\tau), x=x^{*}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t d e_{x}(t)$
- $\mu_{x}(\sigma)=\tau\left(e_{x}(\sigma)\right)$ probability distribution of $x$
- $A_{x} \mathbf{w}^{\star}$ closed algebra generated by $\left\{e_{x}((-\infty, t)): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}(\sigma$-algebra of $x)$
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- $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \subset L^{0}(\tau), x_{i}=x_{i}^{*}$
- $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is free if $\left(A_{x_{i}}\right)_{i \in I}$ are free in $(A, \tau)$
- When $x$ not selfadjoint, $x=h+i k, A_{x}$ generated by $A_{h} \cup A_{k}$
- Corresponding notion: *-freeness
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- $\mu_{x+y}=\mu_{x} \boxplus \mu_{y}$ free additive convolution
- assume $x \geq 0$
- $\mu_{\sqrt{x} y \sqrt{x}}$ depends only on $\mu_{x}$ and $\mu_{y}$
- $\mu_{\sqrt{x} y \sqrt{x}}=\mu_{x} \boxtimes \mu_{y}$ free multiplicative convolution
- $x, y$ free unitary, $\mu_{x y}=\mu_{y x}=\mu_{x} \boxtimes \mu_{y}$
- same notation, different semigroup
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- $\delta_{r} \boxplus \delta_{s}=\delta_{r+s} ; c_{r} \boxplus c_{s}=c_{r+s}$ for Cauchy (arctangent)

$$
d c_{r}=\frac{r d t}{\pi\left(t^{2}+r^{2}\right)}
$$

- $\gamma_{r} \boxplus \gamma_{s}=\gamma_{r+s}$ for semicircle of variance $r$

$$
d \gamma_{r}=\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \sqrt{4 r-t^{2}} d t
$$

- $\mu=\nu=\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{-1}\right) / 2$

$$
\mu \boxplus \nu=\frac{d t}{\pi \sqrt{4-t^{2}}}
$$
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- $\mu$ probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}$
- $z \in \mathbb{C}^{+}$upper half-plane

$$
G_{\mu}(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \mu(t)}{z-t}
$$

- $F_{\mu}(z)=1 / G_{\mu}(z) ; F_{\mu}: \mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{+}$
- Function inverse $F_{\mu}^{<-1>}$ defined in

$$
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- $\varphi_{\mu}(z)=\mathcal{R}_{\mu}(1 / z)=F_{\mu}^{<-1>}(z)-z$ V-transform of $\mu$

$$
\varphi_{\mu \boxplus \nu}=\varphi_{\mu}+\varphi_{\nu}
$$

- There are corresponding results for $\boxtimes$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(z), \mu \boxplus \text { etc. } \\
& \quad,
\end{aligned}
$$
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- $\varphi_{\mu}(z)=F_{\mu}^{<-1>}(z)-z$
- $\varphi_{\mu}(z) \approx z-F_{\mu}(z)$ in $D_{r, \varepsilon}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$
- The approximation is uniformly better when $\mu$ is concentrated near zero.
- meaning: ratio closer to one
- larger r
- smaller $\varepsilon$
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$$
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$$
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- If $\mu_{n} \rightarrow \mu$ then $\mu$ is $\infty$-divisible
- $\mu_{n} \rightarrow \mu \Leftrightarrow \nu_{n} \rightarrow \nu$, where $\nu$ is uniquely determined by $\mu$. (Ex.: $\mu$ semicircle corresponds with $\nu$ normal; CLT)
- almost analogous results for $\boxtimes$
- differences: the correspondence $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ not bijective
- for the circle, there are no $\boxtimes$-idempotents
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- $f, g: \mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic
- $f \prec g$ if $f(z)=g(h(z))$ for some $h: \mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{+}$analytic (Littlewood subordination)
- $\mu, \nu$ distributions on $\mathbb{R}$
- Then $F_{\mu \boxplus \nu} \prec F_{\mu}$ (and $F_{\mu \boxplus \nu} \prec F_{\nu}$ )
- Note: subordination functions $F_{\mu}^{<-1>} \circ F_{\mu \boxplus \nu}$ obviously exist at $\infty$; the important point is they continue to $\mathbb{C}^{+}$.
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## Regularity consequences

- If $d \mu / d t \in L^{p}$, same is true for $\mu \boxplus \nu$
- $\mu \boxplus \nu$ has only finitely many atoms, with total mass $<1$
- $\mu \boxplus \nu$ has no singular continuous component
- the density of $\mu \boxplus \nu$ is locally analytic a.e.
- But: the density of $\mu \boxplus \nu$ may have points of nondifferentiability even when those of $\mu$ and $\nu$ don't
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## Ignorance

- $\mu$ distribution of $\mathbb{R}$
- $\nu$ symmetric to $\mu\left(\mu=\mu_{x}, \nu=\mu_{-x}\right)$
- $\rho=\mu * \nu, \lambda=\mu \boxplus \nu ; \rho$ and $\lambda$ are symmetric
- tails of $\rho: 1-\rho((-t, t)), t \rightarrow \infty$
- are comparable to those of $\mu$
- what about $\lambda$ ?


## Operator-valued

- $A$ algebra, $B \subset A$ unital subalgebra


## Operator-valued

- $A$ algebra, $B \subset A$ unital subalgebra
- $\tau: A \rightarrow B$ conditional expectation


## Operator-valued

## Free convolutions

- $A$ algebra, $B \subset A$ unital subalgebra
- $\tau: A \rightarrow B$ conditional expectation
- projection so $\tau\left(b a b^{\prime}\right)=b \tau(a) b^{\prime}$ for $b, b^{\prime} \in B$


## Operator-valued

## Free convolutions

- $A$ algebra, $B \subset A$ unital subalgebra
- $\tau: A \rightarrow B$ conditional expectation
- projection so $\tau\left(b a b^{\prime}\right)=b \tau(a) b^{\prime}$ for $b, b^{\prime} \in B$
- Freeness can be defined relative to such $\tau$


## Operator-valued

- $A$ algebra, $B \subset A$ unital subalgebra
- $\tau: A \rightarrow B$ conditional expectation
- projection so $\tau\left(b a b^{\prime}\right)=b \tau(a) b^{\prime}$ for $b, b^{\prime} \in B$
- Freeness can be defined relative to such $\tau$
- $x \in A$ has a combinatorial analogue of a distribution

$$
\tau\left(a b_{1} a b_{2} a \cdots b_{n-1} a\right)
$$

- $A$ algebra, $B \subset A$ unital subalgebra
- $\tau: A \rightarrow B$ conditional expectation
- projection so $\tau\left(b a b^{\prime}\right)=b \tau(a) b^{\prime}$ for $b, b^{\prime} \in B$
- Freeness can be defined relative to such $\tau$
- $x \in A$ has a combinatorial analogue of a distribution

$$
\tau\left(a b_{1} a b_{2} a \cdots b_{n-1} a\right)
$$

- This is a "Fourier coefficient" of order $n$
- $A$ algebra, $B \subset A$ unital subalgebra
- $\tau: A \rightarrow B$ conditional expectation
- projection so $\tau\left(b a b^{\prime}\right)=b \tau(a) b^{\prime}$ for $b, b^{\prime} \in B$
- Freeness can be defined relative to such $\tau$
- $x \in A$ has a combinatorial analogue of a distribution

$$
\tau\left(a b_{1} a b_{2} a \cdots b_{n-1} a\right)
$$

- This is a "Fourier coefficient" of order $n$
- $\mu_{x+y}=\mu_{x} \boxplus \mu_{y}$ where $\boxplus$ is defined combinatorially

Operator-valued

- $A$ algebra, $B \subset A$ unital subalgebra
- $\tau: A \rightarrow B$ conditional expectation
- projection so $\tau\left(b a b^{\prime}\right)=b \tau(a) b^{\prime}$ for $b, b^{\prime} \in B$
- Freeness can be defined relative to such $\tau$
- $x \in A$ has a combinatorial analogue of a distribution
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\tau\left(a b_{1} a b_{2} a \cdots b_{n-1} a\right)
$$

- This is a "Fourier coefficient" of order $n$
- $\mu_{x+y}=\mu_{x} \boxplus \mu_{y}$ where $\boxplus$ is defined combinatorially
- Subordination survives
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$$
G_{x}(z)=\tau\left((z-x)^{-1}\right)
$$

- To be viewed as a function of $z \in B$. (If $x=x^{*}, z$ can be anything with positive invertible imaginary part; Siegel half-plane.)
- Function theory not sufficiently well developed to undertake the analysis available when $B=\mathbb{C}$.
- Fully matricial analytic functions may be needed for full understanding.

```
f(z),\mu\boxplus}\nu
    etc.
```
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- $X_{n}(\omega)$ an $n \times n$ random matrix, $X_{n}=X_{n}^{*}$
- With $\mathbb{E}$ expected value, $\tau_{n}=\operatorname{Tr} / n, X_{n}$ has distribution given by

$$
G_{\mu X_{n}}(z)=\mathbb{E} \tau_{n}\left(\left(z l-X_{n}\right)^{-1}\right) \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{+}
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- Asymptotic (eigenvalue) distribution of $X_{n}: \lim _{n} \mu_{X_{n}}$
- $X_{n}, Y_{n}$ independent (classically) with asymptotic distributions $\mu, \nu$
- Then (spoonful of salt here): $X_{n}+Y_{n}$ has asymptotic distribution $\mu \boxplus \nu$.
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- Then: $\left|X_{n}+Y_{n}\right|$ has asymptotic distribution $\mu \boxplus_{\lambda} \nu$
- many results extend to this operation, questions remain
- $(A, \tau)$ probability space, $B, C \subset A$ subalgebras not containing the unit.
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