# Diagrammatic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory for (walled) Brauer algebras

# Maud De Visscher City University London

May 2011

Maud De Visscher (City University London)

(Walled) Brauer algebras

May 2011 1 / 11

Maud De Visscher (City University London)

(Walled) Brauer algebras

▲ ■ ▶ ■ • つへで May 2011 2/11

Let *V* be an *n*-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. Then we have commuting actions

A (1) > (1) > (1)

Let *V* be an *n*-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. Then we have commuting actions

$$\operatorname{GL}_n \to V^{\otimes r} \leftarrow \mathbb{C}\Sigma_r$$

< (□) < 三 > (□)

Let *V* be an *n*-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. Then we have commuting actions

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Let *V* be an *n*-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. Then we have commuting actions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \operatorname{GL}_n & \to & V^{\otimes r} & \leftarrow & \mathbb{C}\Sigma_r \\ \cup & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & & \cap \\ \operatorname{Sp}_n, O_n & & & & B_r(-n), B_r(n) \end{array}$$

$$\operatorname{GL}_n \rightarrow V^{\otimes r} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes s} \leftarrow B_{r,s}(n).$$

More generally, for each  $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$  and any positive integers *r* and *s* we can define the **Brauer algebra**  $B_r(\delta)$  [Brauer '37] and the **walled Brauer algebra**  $B_{r,s}(\delta)$  [Koike '89; Turaev '89; BCHLLS '94].

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

## 2. Diagram basis

The Brauer algebra  $B_r(\delta)$  has a basis given by diagrams of the form

### 2. Diagram basis

The Brauer algebra  $B_r(\delta)$  has a basis given by diagrams of the form



### 2. Diagram basis

The Brauer algebra  $B_r(\delta)$  has a basis given by diagrams of the form



and multiplication given by concatenation of diagrams and scalar multiplication by  $\delta^k$  where k is the number of loops in the concatenation.



The walled Brauer algebra  $B_{r,s}(\delta)$  is the subalgebra of  $B_{r+s}(\delta)$  spanned by diagrams of the form

The walled Brauer algebra  $B_{r,s}(\delta)$  is the subalgebra of  $B_{r+s}(\delta)$  spanned by diagrams of the form



where horizontal edges must cross the wall and vertical edges cannot cross the wall.

## 3. Blocks and reflection groups

Simple  $B_r(\delta)$ -modules indexed by partitions of degree r, r - 2, r - 4, ...Simple  $B_{r,s}(\delta)$ -modules indexed by bipartitions of bidegree (r, s), (r - 1, s - 1), (r - 2, s - 2), ...

A (10) A (10)

## 3. Blocks and reflection groups

Simple  $B_r(\delta)$ -modules indexed by partitions of degree r, r - 2, r - 4, ...Simple  $B_{r,s}(\delta)$ -modules indexed by bipartitions of bidegree (r, s), (r - 1, s - 1), (r - 2, s - 2), ...

The Brauer and walled Brauer algebras are not semisimple in general (see [Rui] and [CDDM] for precise criterion).

## 3. Blocks and reflection groups

Simple  $B_r(\delta)$ -modules indexed by partitions of degree r, r - 2, r - 4, ...Simple  $B_{r,s}(\delta)$ -modules indexed by bipartitions of bidegree (r, s), (r - 1, s - 1), (r - 2, s - 2), ...

The Brauer and walled Brauer algebras are not semisimple in general (see [Rui] and [CDDM] for precise criterion).

What are their block structures?

イベト イモト イモト

Consider the maximal parabolic subgroups

$$A_{r-1} \subset D_r$$





May 2011 6 / 11

< E

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

**Theorem 1.** (i) [CDM] The simple  $B_r(\delta)$ -modules can be identified with  $A_{r-1}$ -dominant integral weights. Then two simple modules are in the same block if and only if they are in the same  $D_r$ -orbit.

(ii) [CDDM] The simple  $B_{r,s}(\delta)$ -modules can be identified with  $A_{r-1} \times A_{s-1}$ -dominant integral weights. Then two simple modules are in the same block if and only if they are in the same  $A_{r+s-1}$ -orbit.

A (10) A (10)

**Theorem 1.** (i) [CDM] The simple  $B_r(\delta)$ -modules can be identified with  $A_{r-1}$ -dominant integral weights. Then two simple modules are in the same block if and only if they are in the same  $D_r$ -orbit.

(ii) [CDDM] The simple  $B_{r,s}(\delta)$ -modules can be identified with  $A_{r-1} \times A_{s-1}$ -dominant integral weights. Then two simple modules are in the same block if and only if they are in the same  $A_{r+s-1}$ -orbit.



## 4. Diagrammatic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory

# 4. Diagrammatic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory

**Theorem 2.** [CDM][M][CD] The **decomposition numbers** for the Brauer and walled Brauer algebras are given by parabolic KL-polynomials (in the sense of Soergel's algorithm) of type  $A \subset D$  and  $A \times A \subset A$  respectively.

# 4. Diagrammatic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory

**Theorem 2.** [CDM][M][CD] The **decomposition numbers** for the Brauer and walled Brauer algebras are given by parabolic KL-polynomials (in the sense of Soergel's algorithm) of type  $A \subset D$  and  $A \times A \subset A$  respectively.

These parabolic KL-polynomials are monomials which can be described using the **cap/curl diagram** associated to a (bi)partition (see [Brundan, Stroppel] for type  $A \times A \subset A$  and [CD] for type  $A \subset D$ ).

A (10) A (10)



cap diagram associated to a bipartition

< A



curl diagram associated to a partition

**Theorem 3.** [CD] (see also [BS]) The dimensions of  $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(\Delta(\lambda), L(\mu))$  are given by parabolic KL-polynomials in the sense of Lascoux-Schutzenberger ( $A \times A \subset A$ ) and Boe (other types). These can also be described using the cap/curl diagrams.

• Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?

• Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?

- Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?
  - Conjectured Schur-Weyl duality with super Lie algebras...

- Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?
  - Conjectured Schur-Weyl duality with super Lie algebras...
  - Morita equivalence between walled Brauer algebra and a truncation of the generalized Khovanov algebra recently announced by Brundan.

- Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?
  - Conjectured Schur-Weyl duality with super Lie algebras...
  - Morita equivalence between walled Brauer algebra and a truncation of the generalized Khovanov algebra recently announced by Brundan.
- Can we construct diagram algebras corresponding to other types?

- Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?
  - Conjectured Schur-Weyl duality with super Lie algebras...
  - Morita equivalence between walled Brauer algebra and a truncation of the generalized Khovanov algebra recently announced by Brundan.
- Can we construct diagram algebras corresponding to other types?

- Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?
  - Conjectured Schur-Weyl duality with super Lie algebras...
  - Morita equivalence between walled Brauer algebra and a truncation of the generalized Khovanov algebra recently announced by Brundan.
- Can we construct diagram algebras corresponding to other types?
- What about positive characteristic?

- Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?
  - Conjectured Schur-Weyl duality with super Lie algebras...
  - Morita equivalence between walled Brauer algebra and a truncation of the generalized Khovanov algebra recently announced by Brundan.
- Can we construct diagram algebras corresponding to other types?
- What about positive characteristic?

- Why do we have this geometry in the representation theory of Brauer and walled Brauer algebras?
  - Conjectured Schur-Weyl duality with super Lie algebras...
  - Morita equivalence between walled Brauer algebra and a truncation of the generalized Khovanov algebra recently announced by Brundan.
- Can we construct diagram algebras corresponding to other types?
- What about positive characteristic?

# Thank you!