

# Approximate controllability for a two trapped ions system

Sylvain Ervedoza and Jean-Pierre Puel

S.E : IMT, CNRS and Université Paul Sabatier,  
Toulouse, France  
ervedoza@math.univ-toulouse.fr  
JPP : LMV, Université de Versailles St Quentin,  
Versailles, France  
jppuel@math.uvsq.fr

B.I.R.S. April, 2011.

# Outline

- 1 Physical model
- 2 Mathematical model
- 3 Change of frame
- 4 Formal approximation
  - Lamb-Dicke approximation
  - Averaging approximation

- Two ions.
- Each ion is a two level system.
- Coupled to the same quantized harmonic oscillator with vibration quantum  $\omega$  and annihilation operator  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$ .
- Controls : electromagnetic waves of complex amplitude  $u_1$  and  $u_2$   
Phases depending on spatial coordinate :  
 $\Omega_j^L - k_j x$  ,  $j = 1, 2$ .  
 $k_j x = \eta_j (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)$   
 $\eta_j$  : Lamb-Dicke parameters (small)

When an ion absorbs a photon, its energy changes and its impulsion captures the photon impulsion and excite the (quantized) vibration mode (phonon) inside the trap.

## Mathematical model

Pauli matrices :

$$\sigma_{1,z} = (|e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|)_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{2,z} = (|e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|)_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{1,x} = (|g\rangle\langle e| + |e\rangle\langle g|)_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{2,x} = (|g\rangle\langle e| + |e\rangle\langle g|)_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Schrödinger system

State of the system : 4-d vector-wave function

$$|\psi\rangle = \psi = {}^t(\psi_{gg}, \psi_{ge}, \psi_{eg}, \psi_{ee})$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = & \omega(\mathcal{A}^\dagger\mathcal{A} + \frac{1}{2})\psi + \frac{\Omega}{2}\sigma_{1,z}\psi + \frac{\Omega}{2}\sigma_{2,z}\psi \\ & + (u_1 e^{i(\Omega_1^L t - k_1 x)} + u_1^* e^{-i(\Omega_1^L t - k_1 x)})\sigma_{1,x}\psi \\ & + (u_2 e^{i(\Omega_2^L t - k_2 x)} + u_2^* e^{-i(\Omega_2^L t - k_2 x)})\sigma_{2,x}\psi, \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi(0) = \psi^0 .$$

Question : Given an initial configuration  $\psi^0$  and a final configuration  $\psi^1$ , can we find control amplitudes  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in order to drive the system at time  $T$  “close” to  $\psi^1$ ?

Parameters :

$\omega$  large and  $\Omega$  very large,

$$|\Omega_1^L - \Omega| \ll \Omega, \quad |\Omega_2^L - \Omega| \ll \Omega, \quad \omega \ll \Omega,$$

$$|u_1| \ll \Omega, \quad |u_2| \ll \Omega, \quad \left|\frac{du_1}{dt}\right| \ll \Omega, \quad \left|\frac{du_2}{dt}\right| \ll \Omega.$$

## Laser frame

Set

$$\psi = e^{-i\frac{\Omega_1^L}{2}t\sigma_{1,z}} \cdot e^{-i\frac{\Omega_2^L}{2}t\sigma_{2,z}} \varphi$$

or

$$\varphi = e^{i\frac{\Omega_2^L}{2}t\sigma_{2,z}} \cdot e^{-i\frac{\Omega_1^L}{2}t\sigma_{1,z}} \psi.$$

And

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{\Omega - \Omega_1^L}{2}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{\Omega - \Omega_2^L}{2},$$

$$k_{1X} = \eta_1(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger), \quad k_{2X} = \eta_2(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger).$$

## Interaction frame

$$A = \mathcal{A}^\dagger \mathcal{A} + \frac{1}{2},$$

$$S(t) = e^{-i\omega t A} \cdot e^{-i\Delta_1 t \sigma_{1,z}} \cdot e^{-i\Delta_2 t \sigma_{2,z}}$$

( $A$ ,  $\sigma_{1,z}$  and  $\sigma_{2,z}$  commute.)

$$\xi(t) = S(-t)\varphi(t) \quad , \quad \varphi(t) = S(t)\xi(t).$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = & S(-t) \left( u_1 e^{2i\Omega_1^L t - i\eta_1(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)} + u_1^* e^{i\eta_1(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)} \right) (|e \rangle \langle g|)_1 S(t) \xi \\ & + S(-t) \left( u_1 e^{-i\eta_1(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)} + u_1^* e^{-2i\Omega_1^L t + i\eta_1(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)} \right) (|g \rangle \langle e|)_1 S(t) \xi \\ & + S(-t) \left( u_2 e^{2i\Omega_2^L t - i\eta_2(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)} + u_2^* e^{i\eta_2(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)} \right) (|e \rangle \langle g|)_2 S(t) \xi \\ & + S(-t) \left( u_2 e^{-i\eta_2(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)} + u_2^* e^{-2i\Omega_2^L t + i\eta_2(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger)} \right) (|g \rangle \langle e|)_2 S(t) \xi \end{aligned}$$



## Lamb-Dicke approximation

$$|\eta_1|, |\eta_2| \ll 1.$$

$$e^{i\eta_j(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^\dagger)} \sim \left( Id + i\eta_j(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger) \right), \quad e^{-i\eta_j(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^\dagger)} \sim \left( Id - i\eta_j(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger) \right).$$

We then have (for example)

$$e^{i\omega t A} (e^{i\eta_1(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^\dagger)}) e^{-i\omega t A} \sim Id + i\eta_1(\mathcal{A}e^{-i\omega t} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger e^{i\omega t}).$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = & \left( u_1 e^{2i\Omega_1^L t} \left( Id - i\eta_1(\mathcal{A}e^{-i\omega t} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger e^{i\omega t}) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + u_1^* \left( Id + i\eta_1(\mathcal{A}e^{-i\omega t} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger e^{i\omega t}) \right) \right) e^{2i\Delta_1 t} (|e\rangle\langle g|)_{1\xi} \\ & + \left( u_1 \left( Id - i\eta_1(\mathcal{A}e^{-i\omega t} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger e^{i\omega t}) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + u_1^* e^{-2i\Omega_1^L t} \left( Id + i\eta_1(\mathcal{A}e^{-i\omega t} + \mathcal{A}^\dagger e^{i\omega t}) \right) \right) e^{-2i\Delta_1 t} (|g\rangle\langle e|)_{1\xi} \\ & + \dots \end{aligned}$$





## Averaging approximation

First of all we take each control  $u_j$  to be a superposition of 3 monochromatic waves, two of them having a pulsation shifted by  $\pm$  a vibration quantum  $\omega$ . In fact we take

$$u_1(t)e^{-2i\Delta_1 t} = v_0(t) + \tilde{v}_r(t)e^{-i\omega t} + \tilde{v}_b(t)e^{i\omega t}$$

$$u_2(t)e^{-2i\Delta_2 t} = w_0(t) + \tilde{w}_r(t)e^{-i\omega t} + \tilde{w}_b(t)e^{i\omega t}.$$

Then we neglect the rapidly oscillating terms as  $\omega$ ,  $\Omega_1^L$ ,  $\Omega_2^L$  and  $\Omega$  are very large.



## Approximate model

Similar to Law-Eberly equations in the case of one qubit.

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = & (v_0 - i\eta_1 \tilde{v}_r \mathcal{A}^\dagger - i\eta_1 \tilde{v}_b \mathcal{A})(|g \rangle \langle e|)_1 y \\
 & (v_0^* + i\eta_1 \tilde{v}_r^* \mathcal{A} + i\eta_1 \tilde{v}_b^* \mathcal{A}^\dagger)(|e \rangle \langle g|)_1 y \\
 & (w_0 - i\eta_2 \tilde{w}_r \mathcal{A}^\dagger - i\eta_2 \tilde{w}_b \mathcal{A})(|g \rangle \langle e|)_2 y \\
 & (w_0^* + i\eta_2 \tilde{w}_r^* \mathcal{A} + i\eta_2 \tilde{w}_b^* \mathcal{A}^\dagger)(|e \rangle \langle g|)_2 y.
 \end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$v_r = -i\eta_1 \tilde{v}_r, \quad v_b = -i\eta_1 \tilde{v}_b,$$

$$w_r = -i\eta_2 \tilde{w}_r, \quad w_b = -i\eta_2 \tilde{w}_b,$$

and

$$y = {}^t (y_{gg}, y_{ge}, y_{eg}, y_{ee}),$$

we obtain



## Approximate model

$$i \frac{\partial y_{gg}}{\partial t} = (v_0 + v_r \mathcal{A}^\dagger + v_b \mathcal{A}) y_{eg} + (w_0 + w_r \mathcal{A}^\dagger + w_b \mathcal{A}) y_{ge}$$

$$i \frac{\partial y_{ge}}{\partial t} = (v_0 + v_r \mathcal{A}^\dagger + v_b \mathcal{A}) y_{ee} + (w_0^* + w_r^* \mathcal{A} + w_b^* \mathcal{A}^\dagger) y_{gg}$$

$$i \frac{\partial y_{eg}}{\partial t} = (v_0^* + v_r^* \mathcal{A} + v_b^* \mathcal{A}^\dagger) y_{gg} + (w_0 + w_r \mathcal{A}^\dagger + w_b \mathcal{A}) y_{ee}$$

$$i \frac{\partial y_{ee}}{\partial t} = (v_0^* + v_r^* \mathcal{A} + v_b^* \mathcal{A}^\dagger) y_{ge} + (w_0^* + w_r^* \mathcal{A} + w_b^* \mathcal{A}^\dagger) y_{eg}$$

$$y(0) = y^0.$$