On the maximal measure of sections of the *n*-cube

Hermann König, Alexander Koldobsky

CAU Kiel; University of Missouri, Columbia

Banff, May 2011

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Measure of sections

990

Problem: Find analogues of Ball's cube slicing theorem for the Gaussian measure and more general measures.

Э

DQC

Problem: Find analogues of Ball's cube slicing theorem for the Gaussian measure and more general measures.

Let $h: [-1,1]
ightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be even and in C^1 . Then

$$d\mu_h(s) := \prod_{j=1}^n h(s_j) ds_j / \left(\int_{-1}^1 h(r) dr \right)^n, \quad s = (s_j)_{j=1}^n \in B_{\infty}^n$$

defines a probability measure on the *n*-cube B_∞^n . For $a\in S^{n-1}$ let

$$A(a,h) := \mu_h \{ x \in B_\infty^n \mid \langle x, a \rangle = 0 \}$$

be the (n-1)-dimensional measure of the central section orthogonal to a.

Sac

Problem: Find analogues of Ball's cube slicing theorem for the Gaussian measure and more general measures.

Let $h: [-1,1]
ightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be even and in $\mathcal{C}^1.$ Then

$$d\mu_h(s) := \prod_{j=1}^n h(s_j) ds_j / \left(\int_{-1}^1 h(r) dr \right)^n, \quad s = (s_j)_{j=1}^n \in B_{\infty}^n$$

defines a probability measure on the *n*-cube $B_\infty^n.$ For $a\in S^{n-1}$ let

$$A(a,h) := \mu_h \{ x \in B_\infty^n \mid \langle x, a \rangle = 0 \}$$

be the (n-1)-dimensional measure of the central section orthogonal to *a*. For $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $f_k := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k}, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{n-1}$.

K. Ball:For Lebesgue measure (h = 1), $A(a, 1) \leq A(f_2, 1)$.A. Zvavitch:False in general for Gaussian measure, $h_{\lambda}(s) = \exp(-\lambda s^2)$:For n > 3 and large $\lambda > 0$, $A(f_n, h_{\lambda}) > A(f_2, h_{\lambda})$.

3

Sac

The main result

Theorem 1

Let $h: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be even and in C^3 with $h' \le 0$, $h'' \le 0$, $h''' \ge 0$ on [0,1] and $h(0) \le \frac{3}{2}h(1)$. Suppose further that

$$\pi \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{2} h(r) dr \right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} h(r)^{2} dr \right)^{2} \ge \left(\int_{0}^{1} h(r) dr \right)^{5} .$$
(1)
Consider $d\mu_{h}(s) := \prod_{j=1}^{n} h(s_{j}) ds_{j} / \left(\int_{-1}^{1} h(r) dr \right)^{n}, \quad s = (s_{j})_{j=1}^{n} \in B_{\infty}^{n}.$
Let $a = (a_{j})_{j=1}^{n} \in S^{n-1}$ with $a_{1} \ge \cdots \ge a_{n} \ge 0$. Then, if $a_{1} \le 1/\sqrt{2}$,

 $A(a,h) \leq A(f_2,h).$

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

The main result

Theorem 1

Let $h: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be even and in C^3 with $h' \le 0$, $h'' \le 0$, $h''' \ge 0$ on [0,1] and $h(0) \le \frac{3}{2}h(1)$. Suppose further that

$$\pi\left(\int_0^1 r^2 h(r)dr\right)\left(\int_0^1 h(r)^2 dr\right)^2 \ge \left(\int_0^1 h(r)dr\right)^5.$$
(1)

Consider $d\mu_h(s) := \prod_{j=1}^n h(s_j) ds_j / \left(\int_{-1}^1 h(r) dr \right)^n$, $s = (s_j)_{j=1}^n \in B_\infty^n$. Let $a = (a_j)_{j=1}^n \in S^{n-1}$ with $a_1 \ge \cdots \ge a_n \ge 0$. Then, if $a_1 \le 1/\sqrt{2}$,

$$A(a,h) \leq A(f_2,h).$$

Remark: The conditions $h''' \ge 0$, $h(0) \le \frac{3}{2}h(1)$ are technical and can be weakened. Condition (1) is essential, without it, in general, for large $n = A(f_n, h) > A(f_2, h)$.

Corollary. For $\lambda > 0$ consider the Gaussian measure with $h(r) = \exp(-\lambda r^2)$

$$d\mu(s) = \exp\left(-\lambda \|s\|_2^2\right) ds / \left(\int_{-1}^1 \exp(-\lambda r^2) dr\right)^n, \quad s \in B^n_\infty.$$

Then for $\lambda \leq 0.196262$ and $a_1 \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$

$$A(a,h) \leq A(f_2,h)$$

while for $\lambda > 0.196263$ and large *n*

$$A(f_n,h) > A(f_2,h).$$

Condition (1) is satisfied for $h(r) = \exp(-\lambda r^2) \Leftrightarrow \lambda \leq 0.1962627...$

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Formula for the measure of the cube section

Proposition 1

Let $h: [-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be even and in C^1 . Let

$$f(t):=\int_0^1\cos(tr)h(r)dr\,/\int_0^1h(r)dr,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Then the measure μ_h of the section of B_{∞}^n orthogonal to $a = (a_j)_{j=1}^n \in S^{n-1}$ is given by

$$A(a,h) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \prod_{j=1}^n f(a_j r) dr$$

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Banff, May 2011 5 / 20

(2)

Sac

Analogue of K. Ball's main inequality

Proposition 2

Assume $h \in C^3[0,1], h > 0, h' \le 0, h'' \le 0, h''' \ge 0, h(0) \le \frac{3}{2}h(1)$ and (1). Let

$$f(t) := \int_0^1 \cos(tr)h(r)dr / \int_0^1 h(r)dr,$$

$$H(p) := \sqrt{p} \int_0^\infty |f(t)|^p dt, \quad p \ge 0.$$

Then for all $p \ge 2$, $H(p) \le H(2)$.

990

Proof of the Theorem using Proposition 2: Let $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in S^{n-1}$, $0 \le a_1 \le 1/\sqrt{2}$. Then $p_j := a_i^{-2} \ge 2$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ and Hölder's inequality yields $A(a,h) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} f(a_{j}r) dr$ $\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |f(a_{j}r)|^{p_{j}} dr \right)^{1/p_{j}}, \quad a_{j}r = t$ $= \frac{1}{\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sqrt{p_{j}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |f(t)|^{p_{j}} dt \right)^{1/p_{j}}$

Sar

Proof of the Theorem using Proposition 2: Let $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in S^{n-1}$, $0 \le a_1 \le 1/\sqrt{2}$. Then $p_j := a_i^{-2} \ge 2$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ and Hölder's inequality yields $A(a,h) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^n f(a_j r) dr$ $\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |f(a_{j}r)|^{p_{j}} dr \right)^{1/p_{j}}, \quad a_{j}r = t$ $=\frac{1}{\pi}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sqrt{p_{j}}\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(t)|^{p_{j}}dt\right)^{1/p_{j}}$ $=rac{1}{\pi}\prod_{j=1}^{n}H(p_{j})^{1/p_{j}}\leqrac{1}{\pi}H(2)$ $=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{1}^{\infty}f(r/\sqrt{2})^{2}dr=A(f_{2},h)$

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Banff, May 2011

Sar

Nazarov-Podkorytov's Lemma on distribution functions

Lemma 1

$$(X,\lambda)$$
 measure space, $f,g:X o \mathbb{R}$ in $L_p(X,\lambda)$ for any $p>0$,

 $F(x) = \lambda \{t \in X \mid |f(t)| > x\}, \quad G(x) = \lambda \{t \in X \mid |g(t)| > x\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$

Assume there is $x_0 > 0$ such that

$$G \leq F$$
 on $(0, x_0)$ and $G \geq F$ on (x_0, ∞) . (3)

Sac

Nazarov-Podkorytov's Lemma on distribution functions

Lemma 1

$$(X,\lambda)$$
 measure space, $f,g:X o \mathbb{R}$ in $L_p(X,\lambda)$ for any $p>0$,

 $F(x) = \lambda \{t \in X \mid |f(t)| > x\}, \quad G(x) = \lambda \{t \in X \mid |g(t)| > x\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$

Assume there is $x_0 > 0$ such that

 $G \leq F \quad on \quad (0, x_0) \quad and \quad G \geq F \quad on \quad (x_0, \infty).$ (3) Then $\varphi(p) = \frac{1}{px_0^p} \int_x (|g(t)|^p - |f(t)|^p) dt$ is increasing in $p \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Therefore $\int_x |f(t)|^{p_0} dt \leq \int_x |g(t)|^{p_0} dt$ for some $p_0 > 0$ implies $\int_x |f(t)|^p dt \leq \int_x |g(t)|^p dt$ for all $p \geq p_0$.

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー つくや

The L_2 -norm of fWe apply Lemma 1 with $p_0 = 2$, f and a suitable exponential function g. For this we need

Lemma 2

Let h be as in Proposition 1 and for t > 0

$$\begin{split} f(t) &= \int_0^1 \cos(tr) h(r) dr \ / \ \int_0^1 h(r) dr, \\ g(t) &= \exp(-dt^2), \quad d := \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^1 h(r) dr \right)^4 \ / \ \left(\int_0^1 h(r)^2 dr \right)^2. \end{split}$$

$$Then \quad \int_0^\infty \ |f(t)|^2 dt = \int_0^\infty \ |g(t)|^2 dt.$$

Zeros of f

Under the conditions imposed on h, f(t) resembles $\frac{\sin t}{t}$

Proposition 3

(Polya-Szegö) Assume $h \in C^2[0,1]$ with h > 0, and $[h' \le 0, h'' < 0]$ or $[h' \ge 0]$ and let

$$f(t) = \int_0^1 \cos(tr)h(r)dr / \int_0^1 h(r)dr.$$

Then f has infinitely many zeros, all of which are real. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is exactly one zero between $n\pi$ and $(n+1)\pi$ (and between $-(n+1)\pi$ and $-n\pi$).

Comparison of f and g near 0

To prove Proposition 2 using Lemma 1, take f, g as above and let F, G denote their distribution functions. We have to show (3): there is $x_0 > 0$ with

$$G \leq F$$
 on $(0, x_0)$, $G \geq F$ on $(x_0, 1]$.

Note $|f|, g \leq 1$. For $G \geq F$ on $(x_0, 1]$ we need $|f| \leq g$ near 0, where |f|, g are maximal. Let

$$d':=\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 r^2 h(r) dr / \int_0^1 h(r) dr.$$

Near t = 0: $\cos(tr) \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{2}t^2r^2$, $f(t) \simeq 1 - d't^2 \simeq \exp(-d't^2)$.

Sac

Comparison of f and g near 0

To prove Proposition 2 using Lemma 1, take f, g as above and let F, G denote their distribution functions. We have to show (3): there is $x_0 > 0$ with

$$G \leq F$$
 on $(0, x_0)$, $G \geq F$ on $(x_0, 1]$.

Note $|f|, g \leq 1$. For $G \geq F$ on $(x_0, 1]$ we need $|f| \leq g$ near 0, where |f|, g are maximal. Let

$$d' := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 r^2 h(r) dr / \int_0^1 h(r) dr.$$

Near t = 0: $\cos(tr) \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{2}t^2r^2$, $f(t) \simeq 1 - d't^2 \simeq \exp(-d't^2)$. Thus $|f(t)| \le g(t) = \exp(-dt^2)$ near t = 0 requires $d' \ge d$ or

$$\left(\int_0^1 h(r)dr\right)^5 \leq \pi \left(\int_0^1 r^2 h(r)dr\right) \left(\int_0^1 h(r)^2 dr\right)^2 .$$
 (1)

= nar

Lemma 3

 $h\in \mathcal{C}^2$, h>0, $h'\leq 0$, h''<0, $ar{t_1}=$ smallest positive zero of f,

$$f(t) = \int_0^1 \cos(tr)h(r)dr / \int_0^1 h(r)dr.$$

Then for all $0 \le t \le \overline{t_1}, |f(t)| \le \exp(-d't^2),$

$$d' = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 r^2 h(r) dr / \int_0^1 h(r) dr.$$

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

990

Proof. Denote the zeros of
$$f$$
 by
 $\overline{t_n} \in (n\pi, (n+1)\pi)$ and $-\overline{t_n}$, $f(0) = 1$, $f'(0) = 0$:
 $f(t) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{\overline{t_n^2}}\right)$, $\ln f(\sqrt{x}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ln \left(1 - \frac{x}{\overline{t_n^2}}\right)$
 $\ln(1 - cx)'' = \frac{-c^2}{(1 - cx)^2} < 0$ implies that
 $(\ln f(\sqrt{\cdot}))'(x) = \frac{f'(\sqrt{x})}{f(\sqrt{x})} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$ is decreasing in $x > 0$.
Hence $\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \frac{1}{2t} \le \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \frac{1}{2t} = \frac{1}{2}f''(0) = -d'$ and
 $(\exp(d't^2)f(t))' = (f'(t) + 2d't f(t)) \exp(-d't^2) \le 0$.
Therefore $f(t) \le \exp(-d't^2)$, $t \le t_1$.

Ξ

900

Distribution functions

Hence for $d' \leq d$, i.e. condition (1), $f(t) \leq g(t) = \exp(-dt^2), \quad t \leq ar{t_1},$

and
$$\int_{0}^{1} |f(t)|^{2} dt = \int_{0}^{1} |g(t)|^{2} dt$$
, which means that $\int_{0}^{1} x F(x) dx = \int_{0}^{1} x G(x) dx$.

Since F < G near x = 1, F - G has at least one zero $0 < x_0 < 1$. It will have exactly one such zero if F - G is strictly decreasing, i.e. F' < G' or |F'| > |G'|. Note F' < 0, G' < 0. Hence (3) of Lemma 1 for f, g and Proposition 2 will follow from

$$|F'(x) / G'(x)| > 1, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$
 (4)

Since $G(x) = g^{-1}(x) = \sqrt{\ln \frac{1}{x}} / \sqrt{d}$,

$$1/|G'(x)| = 2\sqrt{d}x\sqrt{\ln\frac{1}{x}}.$$

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Sar

Distribution function of f

Let $0 < ar{t_1} < ar{t_2} < \cdots$ denote the zeros of f and

$$x_i = \max_{t \in [\tilde{t}_i, \tilde{t}_{i+1}]} |f(t)| =: |f(\tilde{t}_i)|, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The maxima x_i are decreasing in $i \in \mathbb{N}$ under the conditions of the Theorem, as seen by estimates based on integration by parts.

Since f < g on $(0, \bar{t_1}]$, |F'| > |G'| on $[x_1, 1)$. For $x \in (0, x_1)$, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x \in [x_{m+1}, x_m)$. Considering level sets one finds

$$|F'(x)| = \sum_{|f(t)|=x} \frac{1}{|f'(t)|}.$$

SOC

Distribution function of f

Let $0 < ar{t_1} < ar{t_2} < \cdots$ denote the zeros of f and

$$x_i = \max_{t \in [\overline{t}_i, \overline{t}_{i+1}]} |f(t)| =: |f(\tilde{t}_i)|, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The maxima x_i are decreasing in $i \in \mathbb{N}$ under the conditions of the Theorem, as seen by estimates based on integration by parts.

Since f < g on $(0, \bar{t_1}]$, |F'| > |G'| on $[x_1, 1)$. For $x \in (0, x_1)$, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x \in [x_{m+1}, x_m)$. Considering level sets one finds

$$|F'(x)| = \sum_{|f(t)|=x} \frac{1}{|f'(t)|}$$

Thus (4) means

$$2\sqrt{d} \sum_{|f(t)|=x} \frac{|f(t)|}{|f'(t)|} \sqrt{\ln \frac{1}{|f(t)|}} > 1, \quad x \in [x_{m+1}, x_m)$$
(5)

Banff, May 2011

15 / 20

For $x \in [x_{m+1}, x_m)$, there is one such $t = t_0 \in (0, \bar{t_1})$ and two *t*-values with $t_i < t'_i$ in $(\bar{t_i}, \bar{t_{i+1}})$ for $i = 1, \cdots, m$.

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Reduction to the size of f

The most difficult case to show (5) is m = 1. We reduce this to size estimates of |f| and estimates of t_0 , t_i , t'_i and x_2 by

э

Reduction to the size of f

The most difficult case to show (5) is m = 1. We reduce this to size estimates of |f| and estimates of t_0, t_i, t'_i and x_2 by

Lemma 4

Under the assumptions of the Polya-Szegö Proposition, when $h' \leq 0$

$$rac{|f(t)|}{|f'(t)|} \geq t \; rac{|f(t)|}{1-arepsilon|f(t)|}, \quad arepsilon = \mathrm{sgn}(f(t)f'(t)), \quad t>0$$

Sar

Reduction to the size of f

The most difficult case to show (5) is m = 1. We reduce this to size estimates of |f| and estimates of t_0, t_i, t'_i and x_2 by

Lemma 4

Under the assumptions of the Polya-Szegö Proposition, when $h' \leq 0$

$$rac{|f(t)|}{|f'(t)|} \geq t \; rac{|f(t)|}{1-arepsilon|f(t)|}, \quad arepsilon = \mathrm{sgn}(f(t)f'(t)), \quad t>0$$

Proof. Integration by parts yields with $h' \leq 0$

$$f'(t) = \frac{h(1)}{\int_0^1 h(r)dr} \frac{\cos t}{t} - \frac{f(t)}{t} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\int_0^1 \cos(tr)r|h'(r)|dr}{\int_0^1 h(r)dr} .$$

If $f'(t) \ge 0$ use $\cos(tr) \le 1$, if $f'(t) \le 0$ use $\cos(tr) \ge -1$, and
 $\int_0^1 r|h'(r)|dr = \int_0^1 h(t)dt - h(1)$ to conclude
 $|f'(t)| \le \frac{1}{t} [1 - \operatorname{sgn}(f'(t)) f(t)] .$

Dividing by |f(t)| and forming reciprocals yields Lemma 4 < 3 > 4 > 3 > 3 < 2 < 10H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri) Measure of sections Banff, May 2011 16 / 20 Estimate of |F'(x)/G'(x)|Using that *h* is decreasing and concave with $h(1) \ge \frac{2}{3}h(0) = \frac{2}{3}$, we find

$$2\sqrt{d} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\int_0^1 h(r) dr \right)^2 / \left(\int_0^1 h(r)^2 dr \right)$$
$$\geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 h(r) dr \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 (1 - \frac{r}{3}) dr = \frac{5}{6} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

DQC

17 / 20

э

Estimate of |F'(x)/G'(x)|Using that *h* is decreasing and concave with $h(1) \ge \frac{2}{3}h(0) = \frac{2}{3}$, we find

$$2\sqrt{d} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\int_0^1 h(r) dr \right)^2 / \left(\int_0^1 h(r)^2 dr \right)$$
$$\geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 h(r) dr \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 (1 - \frac{r}{3}) dr = \frac{5}{6} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

Therefore (5) is satisfied if

$$\frac{5}{6}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{f(t)=x} t \frac{|f(t)|}{1-\varepsilon|f(t)|} \sqrt{|n|\frac{1}{|f(t)|}} > 1, \quad x \in [x_{m+1}, x_m)$$
(6)

DQC

Estimate of |F'(x)/G'(x)|Using that *h* is decreasing and concave with $h(1) \ge \frac{2}{3}h(0) = \frac{2}{3}$, we find

$$2\sqrt{d} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\int_0^1 h(r) dr \right)^2 / \left(\int_0^1 h(r)^2 dr \right)$$
$$\geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 h(r) dr \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 (1 - \frac{r}{3}) dr = \frac{5}{6} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

Therefore (5) is satisfied if

$$\frac{5}{6}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{f(t)=x} t \frac{|f(t)|}{1-\varepsilon|f(t)|} \sqrt{|n|\frac{1}{|f(t)|}} > 1, \quad x \in [x_{m+1}, x_m)$$
(6)

For m = 1 this means, with $f(t_i) = x$,

$$\begin{split} & \frac{5}{5}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\left(t_0 + t_1'\right)\frac{x}{1-x} + t_1\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \sqrt{\ln\frac{1}{x}} \\ & = \frac{5}{6}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\left(t_0 + t_1 + t_1'\right)\frac{x}{1-x} - t_1\frac{2x^2}{1-x^2} \right) \sqrt{\ln\frac{1}{x}} > 1, \quad x \in [x_2, x_1). \end{split}$$

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Banff, May 2011

990

Since $\frac{x}{1-x}\sqrt{\ln \frac{1}{x}}$ is increasing in x, (6) is satisfied provided that

$$\frac{5}{6}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left(\left(t_{0}+t_{1}+t_{1}'\right)\frac{x_{2}}{1-x_{2}}-t_{1}\frac{2x_{2}^{2}}{1-x_{2}^{2}}\right)\sqrt{\ln\frac{1}{x_{2}}}>1$$
(7)

with $f(t_i) = x_2$ = second maximum of |f|. We need lower estimates of t_0, t_1, t'_1 and x_2 .

<u>18</u> / 20

Since $\frac{x}{1-x}\sqrt{\ln \frac{1}{x}}$ is increasing in x, (6) is satisfied provided that

$$\frac{5}{6}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left(\left(t_{0}+t_{1}+t_{1}'\right)\frac{x_{2}}{1-x_{2}}-t_{1}\frac{2x_{2}^{2}}{1-x_{2}^{2}}\right)\sqrt{\ln\frac{1}{x_{2}}}>1$$
(7)

with $f(t_i) = x_2$ = second maximum of |f|. We need lower estimates of t_0, t_1, t'_1 and x_2 .

Lemma 5

Let $x_1 = |f(\tilde{t_1})|$ be the first maximum of |f|. Then $\tilde{t_1} \ge T_1 \simeq 4.4934$, the first maximum of $\left|\frac{\sin t}{t}\right|$ and $t_1 + t'_1 \ge 2\tilde{t_1} \ge 2T_1$. Further $t_0 \ge \pi(1 - x_2)$.

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Lower estimate of x_2 Since $x_2 = |f(\tilde{t}_2)|$ with $2\pi < \bar{t}_2 < \tilde{t}_2 < \bar{t}_3$, we know $x_2 \ge |f(\frac{5}{2}\pi)|$.

Lemma 6

Assume that $h \in C^3[-1,1]$ with $h''' \ge 0$. Then

$$x_2 \geq f\left(\frac{5}{2}\pi\right) \geq \frac{h(1)}{\int_0^1 h(r)dr} \ \frac{1}{\frac{5}{2}\pi} \geq \frac{4}{15\pi}$$

H. König, A. Koldobsky (Kiel; Missouri)

Banff, May 2011

3

Sac

Lower estimate of x_2 Since $x_2 = |f(\tilde{t}_2)|$ with $2\pi < \bar{t}_2 < \tilde{t}_2 < \bar{t}_3$, we know $x_2 \ge |f(\frac{5}{2}\pi)|$.

Lemma 6

Assume that $h \in C^3[-1,1]$ with $h''' \ge 0$. Then

$$x_2 \ge f\left(\frac{5}{2}\pi\right) \ge \frac{h(1)}{\int_0^1 h(r)dr} \ \frac{1}{\frac{5}{2}\pi} \ge \frac{4}{15\pi}$$

Proof. Integration by parts yields with $sin(tr)h'''(r) \le h'''(r)$

$$f(t) \cdot \left(\int_0^1 h(r)dr\right) \ge h(1)\frac{\sin t}{t} + h'(1) \frac{\cos t}{t^2} - h'(0)\frac{1}{t^2} + h''(0)\frac{1}{t^3} - h''(1)\frac{1+\sin t}{t^3}$$

Since
$$h\in C^3$$
 is even and $h'''\geq 0,\ h'(0)=h''(0)=0,\ -h''(1)\geq 0$. Put $t=rac{5}{2}\pi.$

Estimate of |F'(x)/G'(x)| for m = 1We have to prove (7). Using Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, (7) follows from

$$\frac{5}{6}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left[\pi + \frac{2T}{1-x_2} - \frac{4\pi x_2}{1-x_2^2}\right]x_2 \ \sqrt{\ln\frac{1}{x_2}} > 1 \ , \ 2T = 8.9868$$

which is true for $x_2 \geq \frac{4}{15\pi} > \frac{1}{12}$; The left side is increasing in x_2 .

Estimate of |F'(x)/G'(x)| for m = 1We have to prove (7). Using Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, (7) follows from

$$\frac{5}{6}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left[\pi+\frac{2\,T}{1-x_2}-\frac{4\pi x_2}{1-x_2^2}\right]x_2\,\,\sqrt{\ln\frac{1}{x_2}}>1\,\,,\,\,2\,T=8.9868$$

which is true for $x_2 \ge \frac{4}{15\pi} > \frac{1}{12}$; The left side is increasing in x_2 . The estimate for $m \ge 2$ is easier.

<u>20</u> / 20