

Lifting Lower Bounds for Tree-Like Proofs

Alexis Maciel
Clarkson University

Phuong Nguyen
University of Montréal

Toniann Pitassi
University of Toronto

Banff 2011

Introduction

General goal: Prove that some tautology requires very large \mathcal{P} proofs, for increasingly more general \mathcal{P} .

Famous example: Resolution

Virtually all propositional theorem provers attempt to construct Resolution proofs.

Theorem [Haken 85] Resolution proofs of the Pigeonhole Principle have exponential size.

Next: Extensions of Resolution...

The Sequent Calculus

Lines in a proof: $(A_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n) \rightarrow (B_1 \vee \cdots \vee B_m)$

Sequents: $A_1, \dots, A_n \rightarrow B_1, \dots, B_m$

Axiom: $A \rightarrow A$

Some inference rules:

NEG-left: From $\Gamma \rightarrow A, \Delta$, derive $\neg A, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

AND-right: From $\Gamma \rightarrow A, \Delta$ and $\Gamma \rightarrow \wedge(F), \Delta$, derive $\Gamma \rightarrow \wedge(A, F), \Delta$.

Cut rule: From $\Gamma, A \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\Gamma \rightarrow A, \Delta$, derive $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

Constant-Depth Frege

Constant-depth Frege: The depth of all formulas is bounded by some constant d .

Depth 0: Resolution.

Theorem [PBI 93, KPW 95] Constant-depth Frege proofs of the Pigeonhole Principle have exponential size.

Constant-depth Frege = AC^0 -Frege.

Next: $ACC^0[r]$ -Frege.

ACC⁰[r]-Frege

Modular connectives: $\bigoplus_r^b(F)$ is true if $\sum_{A \in F} A \equiv b \pmod{r}$.

Additional rules:

Mod-left: From $A, \bigoplus_r^{b-1}(F), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\bigoplus_r^b(F), \Gamma \rightarrow A, \Delta$, derive $\bigoplus_r^b(A, F), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

Idea: Adapt circuit lower bound technique.

$AC^0 \subset ACC^0[q] \subset ACC^0[r]$, if q is prime and r is divisible by q and some other prime p . [Håstad 86, Smolensky 87]

Idea: Use circuit lower bound directly.

Example: Cutting planes, interpolation.

Problem: AC^0 -Frege and all of its extensions probably do not have the interpolation property. [BDGMT 04]

In fact: No lower bound result known for $ACC^0[r]$ -Frege (or any other extension of AC^0 -Frege).

Alternative Extensions of Resolution

Idea: Restrict only cut formulas.

$ACC^0[r]$ -Frege	$ACC^0[r]$ -PK*	$ACC^0[r]$ -PK
AC^0 -Frege	AC^0 -PK*	AC^0 -PK
Resolution		

Complete for all tautologies, not just constant-depth formulas.

Conservative extensions of AC^0 -Frege and $ACC^0[r]$ -Frege.

Corollary AC^0 -PK proofs of PHP have exponential size.

Theorem $ACC_d^0[r]$ -PK* proofs of $PHP(MOD_2)$ have exponential size, assuming a plausible circuit complexity conjecture.

$ACC^0[r]$ -Frege $ACC^0[r]$ -PK* $ACC^0[r]$ -PK

AC^0 -Frege AC^0 -PK* AC^0 -PK

Resolution

Note: Size- s $ACC_d^0[r]$ -PK* proofs of $PHP(MOD_2)$ imply size- s $ACC_d^0[r]$ -Frege* proofs of PHP .

General Strategy

\mathcal{C} -PK*	AC_d^0 -PK*	$ACC_d^0[r]$ -PK*
PK* proofs with cuts limited to circuit class \mathcal{C} .	$\mathcal{C} = AC_d^0$	$\mathcal{C} = ACC_d^0$
Cut-free PK* proofs of S have exponential size.	$S = \text{Statman or PHP}$	
\mathcal{C} circuits of subexponential size cannot approximate f .	$f = \text{MOD}_2$ [Håstad 86]	$f = \text{MAJ} ?$
“Lifted” lower bound: \mathcal{C} -PK* proofs of $S(f)$ have exp size.		

Main Result

Theorem \mathcal{C} -PK* proofs of $S(f)$ have exponential size if

\mathcal{C} is a set of formulas that is closed with respect to sub-formulas and restrictions,

f , as a function, is balanced and hard to approximate by \mathcal{C} formulas, and

S has the Statman property of order n .

Definition S has the **Statman property of order n** if the following hold:

S is of the form $\rightarrow \Gamma$ where Γ is not empty and consists of nonempty conjunctions.

Removing from S every occurrence of any of these conjunctions results in an invalid sequent.

If $n \geq 2$, let S' be obtained from S by replacing a conjunction $\wedge(A, F)$ by either A or $\wedge(F)$. Then there is a partial assignment ρ such that $S'|_{\rho}$ has the Statman property of order $n - 1$, modulo a possible renaming of the variables.

Examples: Statman and PHP.

Theorem If S has the Statman property of order n , then any cut-free PK* proof of S requires size 2^n .

Proof Overview

Suppose that S has the Statman property of order n and suppose that \mathcal{C} and f satisfy the conditions of the theorem.

S has the form $\rightarrow \Gamma$.

Suppose that π is a \mathcal{C} -PK* proof of $\rightarrow \Gamma(f)$.

From the root of π , follow all paths until: an axiom, a sequent where a formula of $\Gamma(f)$ is introduced by weakening, or a sequent where a formula of $\Gamma(f)$ is introduced by an AND-right rule.

Result: a subtree π' of π in which all sequents are of the form $\Lambda \rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma(f)$ with all the formulas in Λ and Δ belonging to \mathcal{C} .

Goal: Show that little progress is made in π' .

In $\Lambda \rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma(f)$, the formulas in Λ and Δ are **side formulas**.

An assignment is **critical** if it satisfies Λ and falsifies Δ .

All assignments are critical for the root sequent $\rightarrow \Gamma(f)$.

Critical assignments are preserved as we travel from the root to the leaves of π' .

If π' is of size 2^n , done.

Otherwise, a $1/2^n$ fraction of all assignments is critical for some leaf L of π' .

L is of the form $\Lambda \rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma(f)$.

Goal: Show that L is just as hard to prove as $\rightarrow \Gamma(f)$.

$L = \Lambda \rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma(f)$ cannot be an axiom.

Suppose that L is derived from L' and L'' by an application of the **AND**-right rule that introduces a formula of $\Gamma(f)$.

L' is of the form $\Lambda \rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma'(f)$ where Γ' contains all the formulas of Γ but with some $\wedge(A, F)$ replaced by either A or $\wedge(F)$.

There is a partial assignment ρ to the variables of $\rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $(\rightarrow \Gamma')|_{\rho}$ has the Statman property of order $n - 1$.

Goal: Achieve ρ with a large number of critical assignments to the variables of L' .

All the assignments that are critical for $L = \Lambda \rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma(f)$ are also critical for $L' = \Lambda \rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma'(f)$.

Since f is hard for the side formulas, at least $1/4$ of the critical assignments satisfy f and at least $1/4$ falsify f .

Therefore, ρ can be achieved with a large number of critical assignments to the variables of L' .

There is a partial assignment τ to the variables of L' that is consistent with ρ and such that $L'|_{\tau} = \Lambda|_{\tau} \rightarrow \Delta|_{\tau}, \Gamma'|_{\rho}(f)$ still has a large number of critical assignments.

By induction, $L'|_{\tau}$, and therefore L' , requires a proof of size 2^{n-1} .

Same for L'' . Therefore, π has size at least 2^n . □

Missing: Weakening. Contractions. Numbers of critical assignments. Arity of f .

Lower Bounds

$ACC^0[r]$ -Frege $ACC^0[r]$ -PK* $ACC^0[r]$ -PK

AC^0 -Frege AC^0 -PK* AC^0 -PK

Resolution

Theorem If f is balanced and hard for $ACC_d^0[r]$, then $PHP(f)$ requires $ACC_d^0[r]$ -PK* proofs of exponential size.

Theorem $PHP(MOD_2)$ requires AC_d^0 -PK* proofs of exponential size.

Tree-Like Versus Dag-Like Proofs

$ACC^0[r]$ -Frege $ACC^0[r]$ -PK* $ACC^0[r]$ -PK

AC^0 -Frege AC^0 -PK* AC^0 -PK

Resolution

Theorem If f is balanced and hard for $ACC_d^0[r]$, then $\text{Statman}(f)$ has polynomial-size cut-free PK proofs but requires $ACC_d^0[r]$ -PK* proofs of exponential size.

Theorem $\text{Statman}(\text{MOD}_2)$ has polynomial-size cut-free PK proofs but requires AC_d^0 -PK* proofs of exponential size.

Key: Statman has polynomial-size cut-free PK proofs.

Separation Results

Theorem $\text{Statman}(\text{MOD}_2)$ has polynomial-size $\text{ACC}_3^0[2]\text{-PK}^*$ proofs but requires $\text{AC}_d^0\text{-PK}^*$ proofs of exponential size.

Theorem If p is a prime that does not divide r and if $f \in \text{ACC}^0[p]$ is balanced and hard for $\text{ACC}_d^0[r]$, then $\text{Statman}(f)$ has polynomial-size $\text{ACC}^0[p]\text{-PK}^*$ proofs but requires $\text{ACC}_d^0[r]\text{-PK}^*$ proofs of exponential size.

Key: Statman has polynomial-size $\text{AC}_1^0\text{-PK}^*$ proofs.

Other Results

Hierarchy theorems for $AC^0\text{-PK}^*$ and $ACC^0[r]\text{-PK}^*$.

Similar results for $TC^0\text{-PK}^*$.

New proof of the non-finite axiomatizability of the theory of bounded arithmetic $I\Delta_0(R)$.

The hierarchy G_i^* of quantified propositional proof systems does not collapse, assuming a plausible hardness conjecture concerning the polynomial-time hierarchy.

Summary

$ACC^0[r]$ -Frege $ACC^0[r]$ -PK* $ACC^0[r]$ -PK

AC^0 -Frege

AC^0 -PK*

AC^0 -PK

Resolution

Lower bounds.

Separation of tree-like and dag-like.

Separation of various MOD's.

Hierarchy theorems.

Some Open Problems

$ACC^0[r]$ -Frege $ACC^0[r]$ -PK* $ACC^0[r]$ -PK

AC^0 -Frege AC^0 -PK* AC^0 -PK

Resolution

Lower bound for $ACC_d^0[r]$ -PK.

Lower bound for $ACC_d^0[r]$ -Frege.

Strong hardness result for $ACC^0[r]$.