

Complex Hadamard Matrices and Strongly Regular Graphs

Ada Chan

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
York University

BIRS Algebraic Graph Theory Workshop 2011

Theorem (Goethals and Seidel 1970)

Let X be a strongly regular graph.

Then $I - A(X) + A(\overline{X})$ is a Hadamard matrix if and only if X or \overline{X} has one of the following parameters:

- i. $(4\theta^2, 2\theta^2 - \theta, \theta^2 - \theta, \theta^2 - \theta)$ (Latin square type)
- ii. $(4\theta^2, 2\theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 + \theta)$ (negative Latin square type)

A Generalization

Theorem

Let X be a strongly regular graph with at least 5 vertices. Then $I + xA(X) + yA(\overline{X})$ is a complex Hadamard matrix if and only if X or \overline{X} has one of the following parameters:

- i. $(4\theta^2, 2\theta^2 - \theta, \theta^2 - \theta, \theta^2 - \theta)$
- ii. $(4\theta^2, 2\theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 + \theta)$
- iii. $(4\theta^2 - 1, 2\theta^2, \theta^2, \theta^2)$
- iv. $(4\theta^2 + 4\theta + 1, 2\theta^2 + 2\theta, \theta^2 + \theta - 1, \theta^2 + \theta)$
- v. $(4\theta^2 + 4\theta + 2, 2\theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 - 1, \theta^2)$

Definition

A **complex Hadamard matrix** is a $v \times v$ matrix H such that

- ▶ $|H_{ij}| = 1$, for all i, j
- ▶ $HH^* = vI$.

We say H and H' are **equivalent** if

$$H' = D_1 P_1 H P_2 D_2$$

for some unitary diagonal matrices D_1 and D_2 , and permutation matrices P_1 and P_2 .

Definition

A **complex Hadamard matrix** is a $v \times v$ matrix H such that

- ▶ $|H_{ij}| = 1$, for all i, j
- ▶ $HH^* = vI$.

We say H and H' are **equivalent** if

$$H' = D_1 P_1 H P_2 D_2$$

for some unitary diagonal matrices D_1 and D_2 , and permutation matrices P_1 and P_2 .

Definition

A **type II matrix** is a $v \times v$ matrix W satisfying

$$WW^{(-)T} = vI,$$

where $W_{ij}^{(-)} = \frac{1}{W_{ij}}$.

Example

complex Hadamard matrices

spin models, four-weight spin models

Definition

A **type II matrix** is a $v \times v$ matrix W satisfying

$$WW^{(-)T} = vI,$$

where $W_{ij}^{(-)} = \frac{1}{W_{ij}}$.

Example

complex Hadamard matrices
spin models, four-weight spin models

For $a, b = 1, \dots, v$, define

$$Y_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{W_{1a}}{W_{1b}} \\ \frac{W_{2a}}{W_{2b}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{W_{va}}{W_{vb}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(Y_{aa} = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T)$$

For $a, b = 1, \dots, v$, define

$$Y_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{W_{1a}}{W_{1b}} \\ \frac{W_{2a}}{W_{2b}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{W_{va}}{W_{vb}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(Y_{aa} = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T)$$

The Nomura algebra of W is

$$\mathcal{N}_W = \{M : Y_{ab} \text{ is an eigenvector of } M \quad \forall a, b\}$$

- ▶ $I \in \mathcal{N}_W$
- ▶ $\sum_x \frac{W_{xa}}{W_{xb}} = v\delta_{ab} \implies J \in \mathcal{N}_W$
- ▶ \mathcal{N}_W is closed under matrix multiplication.
- ▶ \mathcal{N}_W is commutative and is closed under entrywise product and transpose.

The Nomura algebra of W is

$$\mathcal{N}_W = \{M : Y_{ab} \text{ is an eigenvector of } M \quad \forall a, b\}$$

- ▶ $I \in \mathcal{N}_W$
- ▶ $\sum_x \frac{W_{xa}}{W_{xb}} = v\delta_{ab} \implies J \in \mathcal{N}_W$
- ▶ \mathcal{N}_W is closed under matrix multiplication.
- ▶ \mathcal{N}_W is commutative and is closed under entrywise product and transpose.

The Nomura algebra of W is

$$\mathcal{N}_W = \{M : Y_{ab} \text{ is an eigenvector of } M \quad \forall a, b\}$$

- ▶ $I \in \mathcal{N}_W$
- ▶ $\sum_x \frac{W_{xa}}{W_{xb}} = v\delta_{ab} \implies J \in \mathcal{N}_W$
- ▶ \mathcal{N}_W is closed under matrix multiplication.
- ▶ \mathcal{N}_W is commutative and is closed under entrywise product and transpose.

The Nomura algebra of W is

$$\mathcal{N}_W = \{M : Y_{ab} \text{ is an eigenvector of } M \quad \forall a, b\}$$

- ▶ $I \in \mathcal{N}_W$
- ▶ $\sum_x \frac{W_{xa}}{W_{xb}} = v\delta_{ab} \implies J \in \mathcal{N}_W$
- ▶ \mathcal{N}_W is closed under matrix multiplication.
- ▶ \mathcal{N}_W is commutative and is closed under entrywise product and transpose.

Theorem (Jaeger et al. 1998)

\mathcal{N}_W and \mathcal{N}_{W^T} give a *formally dual* pair of association schemes.

Spin model W

- ▶ Type I: constant diagonal, constant row and column sum
- ▶ Type II
- ▶ Type III: $W \in \mathcal{N}_W$.

Example

Potts model $W = -u^3 I + u^{-1} J$ where $(u^2 + u^{-2})^2 = n$
Jones polynomial

Theorem (Jaeger et al. 1998)

$W \in \mathcal{N}_W$ if and only if cW is a spin model, for some constant c .

Spin model W

- ▶ Type I: constant diagonal, constant row and column sum
- ▶ Type II
- ▶ Type III: $W \in \mathcal{N}_W$.

Example

Potts model $W = -u^3 I + u^{-1} J$ where $(u^2 + u^{-2})^2 = n$
Jones polynomial

Theorem (Jaeger et al. 1998)

$W \in \mathcal{N}_W$ if and only if cW is a spin model, for some constant c .

Spin model W

- ▶ Type I: constant diagonal, constant row and column sum
- ▶ Type II
- ▶ Type III: $W \in \mathcal{N}_W$.

Example

Potts model $W = -u^3 I + u^{-1} J$ where $(u^2 + u^{-2})^2 = n$
Jones polynomial

Theorem (Jaeger et al. 1998)

$W \in \mathcal{N}_W$ if and only if cW is a spin model, for some constant c .

Wanted: more type II matrices

Where to start: association schemes

Wanted: more type II matrices
Where to start: association schemes

Let X be a strongly regular graph with v vertices and eigenvalues k, θ and τ ($\theta \geq 0 > \tau$).

Let E_0, E_1 and E_2 be the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace for k, θ , and τ , respectively.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} W &= I + xA(X) + yA(\overline{X}) \\ &= [1 + kx + (v - k - 1)y]E_0 + \\ &\quad [1 + \theta x + (-1 - \theta)y]E_1 + \\ &\quad [1 + \tau x + (-1 - \tau)y]E_2 \end{aligned}$$

Let X be a strongly regular graph with v vertices and eigenvalues k, θ and τ ($\theta \geq 0 > \tau$).

Let E_0, E_1 and E_2 be the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace for k, θ , and τ , respectively.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}W &= I + xA(X) + yA(\overline{X}) \\ &= [1 + kx + (v - k - 1)y]E_0 + \\ &\quad [1 + \theta x + (-1 - \theta)y]E_1 + \\ &\quad [1 + \tau x + (-1 - \tau)y]E_2\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$WW^{(-)T} = vI$$

if and only if

$$\begin{cases} (1 + kx + (v - k - 1)y) \left(1 + k\frac{1}{x} + (v - k - 1)\frac{1}{y}\right) & = v \\ (1 + \theta x + (-1 - \theta)y) \left(1 + \theta\frac{1}{x} + (-1 - \theta)\frac{1}{y}\right) & = v \\ (1 + \tau x + (-1 - \tau)y) \left(1 + \tau\frac{1}{x} + (-1 - \tau)\frac{1}{y}\right) & = v \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Godsil and C, 2010)

W is a type-II matrix if and only if one of the following holds

- (a) $y = x = \frac{1}{2}(2 - v \pm \sqrt{v^2 - 4v})$ and W is the Potts model.
- (b) $x = \frac{1}{2}(2 - v \pm \sqrt{v^2 - 4v})$ and $y = (1 + xk)/(x + k)$,
and X is isomorphic to mK_{k+1} for some $m > 1$.
- (c) $x = 1$ and $y = \frac{v+2(1+\theta)(1+\tau) \pm \sqrt{v^2+4v(1+\theta)(1+\tau)}}{2(1+\theta)(1+\tau)}$,
and $A(\bar{X})$ is the incidence matrix of a symmetric design.
- (d) $x = -1$ and $y = \frac{-v+2\theta^2+2 \pm \sqrt{(v-4)(v-4\theta^2)}}{2(1+\theta)(1+\tau)}$,
and $A(X)$ is the incidence matrix of a symmetric design.
- (e) $x + x^{-1}$ is a zero of a quadratic equation and
$$y = \frac{\theta\tau x^3 - [v(\theta+\tau+1) - 2\theta - 2\tau - 1]x^2 - (v+2\theta+2\tau+\tau\theta)x - 1}{(x^2-1)(1+\theta)(1+\tau)}.$$

When $|x| = |y| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}v &= (1 + \theta x + (-1 - \theta)y) \left(1 + \theta \frac{1}{x} + (-1 - \theta) \frac{1}{y}\right) \\&= 1 + \theta^2 + (-1 - \theta)^2 + \theta \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) + (-1 - \theta) \left(y + \frac{1}{y}\right) + \\&\quad \theta(-1 - \theta) \left(\frac{x}{y} + \frac{y}{x}\right) \\&\leq 4(\theta + 1)^2.\end{aligned}$$

When $|x| = |y| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}v &= (1 + \theta x + (-1 - \theta)y) \left(1 + \theta \frac{1}{x} + (-1 - \theta) \frac{1}{y}\right) \\&= 1 + \theta^2 + (-1 - \theta)^2 + \theta \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) + (-1 - \theta) \left(y + \frac{1}{y}\right) + \\&\quad \theta(-1 - \theta) \left(\frac{x}{y} + \frac{y}{x}\right) \\&\leq 4(\theta + 1)^2.\end{aligned}$$

When $|x| = |y| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}v &= (1 + \theta x + (-1 - \theta)y) \left(1 + \theta \frac{1}{x} + (-1 - \theta) \frac{1}{y} \right) \\&= 1 + \theta^2 + (-1 - \theta)^2 + \theta \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) + (-1 - \theta) \left(y + \frac{1}{y} \right) + \\&\quad \theta(-1 - \theta) \left(\frac{x}{y} + \frac{y}{x} \right) \\&\leq 4(\theta + 1)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

$W = I + xA(X) + yA(\overline{X})$ is a complex Hadamard matrix if and only if X or \overline{X} has one of the following parameters:

- i $(4\theta^2, 2\theta^2 - \theta, \theta^2 - \theta, \theta^2 - \theta)$
- ii $(4\theta^2, 2\theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 + \theta)$
- iii $(4\theta^2 - 1, 2\theta^2, \theta^2, \theta^2)$
- iv $(4\theta^2 + 4\theta + 1, 2\theta^2 + 2\theta, \theta^2 + \theta - 1, \theta^2 + \theta)$.
- v $(4\theta^2 + 4\theta + 2, 2\theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 - 1, \theta^2)$

Cases (i) and (ii):

All solutions of x and y give Hadamard matrices.

Case (iii): $(4\theta^2 - 1, 2\theta^2, \theta^2, \theta^2)$

- ▶ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}^T \\ \mathbf{1} & S(X) \end{pmatrix} + I$ is a Hadamard matrix.

Szöllősi's construction (2010)

- ▶ $J - A(X)$ is the incidence matrix of a Hadamard design.

Szöllősi's construction (2010)

Godsil and C. (2010)

Case (iii): $(4\theta^2 - 1, 2\theta^2, \theta^2, \theta^2)$

- ▶ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}^T \\ \mathbf{1} & S(X) \end{pmatrix} + I$ is a Hadamard matrix.

Szöllősi's construction (2010)

- ▶ $J - A(X)$ is the incidence matrix of a Hadamard design.

Szöllősi's construction (2010)

Godsil and C. (2010)

Case (iv): $(4(\theta^2 + \theta) + 1, 2(\theta^2 + \theta), (\theta^2 + \theta) - 1, \theta^2 + \theta)$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}^T \\ \mathbf{1} & S(X) \end{pmatrix}$ is a symmetric conference matrix.
Szöllősi's construction (2010)

Case (v): $(4\theta^2 + 4\theta + 2, 2\theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 - 1, \theta^2)$

- ▶ $S(X)$ is a regular symmetric conference matrix,
 $x = -y = \pm i$.
Turyn's construction (1970)



$$x = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{4\theta^2(\theta + 1)^2 - 1} i}{2\theta(\theta + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \bar{x}$$

Case (v): $(4\theta^2 + 4\theta + 2, 2\theta^2 + \theta, \theta^2 - 1, \theta^2)$

- ▶ $S(X)$ is a regular symmetric conference matrix,
 $x = -y = \pm i$.
Turyn's construction (1970)



$$x = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{4\theta^2(\theta + 1)^2 - 1} i}{2\theta(\theta + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \bar{x}$$

Diță's construction

Let $M = [m_{ij}]$ be a $k \times k$ complex Hadamard matrix.
Let N_1, \dots, N_k be $v \times v$ complex Hadamard matrix.
Then

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}N_1 & m_{12}N_2 & \dots & m_{1k}N_k \\ m_{21}N_1 & m_{22}N_2 & \dots & m_{2k}N_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{k1}N_1 & m_{k2}N_2 & \dots & m_{kk}N_k \end{pmatrix}$$

is a $vk \times vk$ complex Hadamard matrix.

Generalized tensor product (Hosoya and Suzuki 2003)

Let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_v be $k \times k$ type II matrices.

Let N_1, \dots, N_k be $v \times v$ type II matrices.

Then the matrix $(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_v) \otimes (N_1, \dots, N_k)$ with (i, j) -block being

$$\begin{pmatrix} (M_1)_{i,j} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (M_2)_{i,j} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (M_v)_{i,j} \end{pmatrix} N_j$$

is a $vk \times vk$ type II matrix.

Diță's construction is $(M, \dots, M) \otimes (N_1, \dots, N_k)$.

Theorem (Hosoya and Suzuki, 2003)

A type II matrix is equivalent to $(M_1, \dots, M_n) \otimes (N_1, \dots, N_k)$ if and only if $J_k \otimes I_n \in PN_W P^T$ for some permutation matrix P .

Diță's construction is $(M, \dots, M) \otimes (N_1, \dots, N_k)$.

Theorem (Hosoya and Suzuki, 2003)

A type II matrix is equivalent to $(M_1, \dots, M_n) \otimes (N_1, \dots, N_k)$ if and only if $J_k \otimes I_n \in P\mathcal{N}_W P^T$ for some permutation matrix P .

For Case (iii) to (v), $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes 2}$ is the only complex Hadamard matrix that is of Diță-type.

Distance regular cover of K_n

Theorem

If a distance regular cover of K_n gives a complex Hadamard matrix then $n \leq 16$.

C_6

the cube

the line graph of the Petersen graph

Distance regular cover of K_n

Theorem

If a distance regular cover of K_n gives a complex Hadamard matrix then $n \leq 16$.

C_6

the cube

the line graph of the Petersen graph