

Convergence results for two Gibbs samplers

Jim Hobert
U. of Florida

Collaborators: Kshitij Khare & Jorge Román

- Outline:
- I. Why is the convergence rate important?
 - II. One slide on the geometric drift function
 - III. A Gibbs sampler for Bayesian quantile regression
 - IV. A Gibbs sampler for Bayesian linear mixed models
 - V. Yet another warning about improper priors

I. Why is the convergence rate important?

Classical Monte Carlo estimation of $\mathbf{E}_\pi \mathbf{g} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} g(\mathbf{x}) \pi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$

Theory: Let X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots be iid π and form $\bar{g}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)$

SLLN: If $\mathbf{E}_\pi |g| < \infty$, then $\bar{g}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\pi \mathbf{g}$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$

I. Why is the convergence rate important?

Classical Monte Carlo estimation of $\mathbf{E}_\pi \mathbf{g} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} g(\mathbf{x}) \pi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$

Theory: Let X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots be iid π and form $\bar{g}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)$

SLLN: If $\mathbf{E}_\pi |g| < \infty$, then $\bar{g}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\pi \mathbf{g}$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$

CLT: If $\mathbf{E}_\pi g^2 < \infty$, then $\sqrt{n} (\bar{g}_n - \mathbf{E}_\pi \mathbf{g}) / \hat{\sigma}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(0, 1)$ where

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (g(X_i) - \bar{g}_n)^2$$

So, for large n , $\Pr \left(\bar{g}_n - \frac{2\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}} < \mathbf{E}_\pi \mathbf{g} < \bar{g}_n + \frac{2\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \approx 0.95$

I. Why is the convergence rate important?

Classical Monte Carlo estimation of $\mathbf{E}_{\pi} \mathbf{g} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} g(\mathbf{x}) \pi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$

Theory: Let X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots be iid π and form $\bar{g}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)$

SLLN: If $\mathbf{E}_{\pi} |g| < \infty$, then $\bar{g}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\pi} \mathbf{g}$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$

CLT: If $\mathbf{E}_{\pi} g^2 < \infty$, then $\sqrt{n} (\bar{g}_n - \mathbf{E}_{\pi} \mathbf{g}) / \hat{\sigma}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(0, 1)$ where

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (g(X_i) - \bar{g}_n)^2$$

So, for large n , $\Pr \left(\bar{g}_n - \frac{2\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}} < \mathbf{E}_{\pi} \mathbf{g} < \bar{g}_n + \frac{2\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \approx 0.95$

Application: Fix n , simulate X_1, \dots, X_n iid π

Asymptotic 95% CI for $\mathbf{E}_{\pi} \mathbf{g}$: $\bar{g}_n \pm 2\hat{\sigma}_n/\sqrt{n}$

Can we honestly replace the iid sequence with a MC?

Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a well-behaved MC converging to $\pi(x)$

As in the iid case, let $\bar{g}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(X_i)$

Ergodic Theorem: If $E_{\pi}|g| < \infty$, then $\bar{g}_n \rightarrow E_{\pi}g$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$

So the MCMC estimator also converges a.s. to $E_{\pi}g$, but...

Can we honestly replace the iid sequence with a MC?

Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a well-behaved MC converging to $\pi(x)$

As in the iid case, let $\bar{g}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(X_i)$

Ergodic Theorem: If $E_{\pi}|g| < \infty$, then $\bar{g}_n \rightarrow E_{\pi}g$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$

So the MCMC estimator also converges a.s. to $E_{\pi}g$, but...

There is no free lunch!

In the MC context: $E_{\pi}g^2 < \infty \not\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\bar{g}_n - E_{\pi}g) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \gamma^2)$

But, if the chain is geometrically ergodic (G.E.), there are CLTs

Target density: $\pi : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ where $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$

Markov chain: $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ has Mtd $k : X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\int_X k(x'|x) \pi(x) dx = \pi(x')$$

Density of X_n given $X_0 = x$: $k^n(x'|x) := \int_X k(x'|z) k^{n-1}(z|x) dz$

Definition: If there exist $\rho \in [0, 1)$ and $M : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ st

$$\int_X |k^n(x'|x) - \pi(x')| dx' \leq M(x)\rho^n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

then $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is called G.E.

If the chain is G.E. and $E_\pi |g|^{2+\epsilon} < \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{g}_n - E_\pi g) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \gamma^2)$$

II. One slide on the geometric drift function

Mtd: $k : X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying $\int_X k(x'|x) \pi(x) dx = \pi(x')$

Assume $k(x'|\cdot)$ is continuous (or just l.s.-c.) for each $x' \in X$

Definition: $V : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is *unbounded off compact sets* if

$$\{x \in X : V(x) \leq c\} \text{ is compact for all } c \geq 0$$

Example: If $X = \mathbb{R}$, $V(x) = x^2$ is u.o.c.s., but $V(x) = \frac{1}{x^2+1}$ isn't

The chain $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is G.E. if, for all $x \in X$,

$$E[V(X_{n+1}) | X_n = x] = \int_X V(x') k(x'|x) dx' \leq \lambda V(x) + L$$

where V is u.o.c.s., $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ and $L \in \mathbb{R}$

III. A Gibbs sampler for Bayesian quantile regression

Let Y_1, \dots, Y_m be indep random variables st

$$Y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta + \sigma \varepsilon_i$$

- \mathbf{x}_i is a $p \times 1$ vector of known covariates
- β is a $p \times 1$ vector of unknown parameters
- σ is a univariate scale parameter
- $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are iid with common density

$$r(1-r) \left[e^{(1-r)\varepsilon} I(\varepsilon \leq 0) + e^{-r\varepsilon} I(\varepsilon > 0) \right]$$

so that $\Pr(\varepsilon_1 < 0) = r$.

III. A Gibbs sampler for Bayesian quantile regression

Let Y_1, \dots, Y_m be indep random variables st

$$Y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta + \sigma \varepsilon_i$$

- \mathbf{x}_i is a $p \times 1$ vector of known covariates
- β is a $p \times 1$ vector of unknown parameters
- σ is a univariate scale parameter
- $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are iid with common density

$$r(1-r) \left[e^{(1-r)\varepsilon} I(\varepsilon \leq 0) + e^{-r\varepsilon} I(\varepsilon > 0) \right]$$

so that $\Pr(\varepsilon_1 < 0) = r$.

Joint density of the data, (Y_1, \dots, Y_m) , is

$$f(\mathbf{y}; \beta, \sigma) = r^m (1-r)^m \sigma^{-m} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_r(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta) \right\}$$

where $\psi_r(u) = u[r - I(u < 0)]$

The model: $f(\mathbf{y}; \beta, \sigma) = r^m (1 - r)^m \sigma^{-m} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_r(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta)}$

Let $\pi(\beta, \sigma)$ be a prior. The intractable posterior density is

$$\pi(\beta, \sigma | \mathbf{y}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{y}; \beta, \sigma) \pi(\beta, \sigma)}{m(\mathbf{y})}$$

Kozumi & Kobayashi (2011): Let $\{(Y_i, Z_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ be indep pairs st

$$Y_i | Z_i = z \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}_i^T \beta + \theta z, \sigma z \tau^2) \quad \& \quad Z_i \sim \text{Exp}(\sigma)$$

The model: $f(\mathbf{y}; \beta, \sigma) = r^m (1 - r)^m \sigma^{-m} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_r(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta)}$

Let $\pi(\beta, \sigma)$ be a prior. The intractable posterior density is

$$\pi(\beta, \sigma | \mathbf{y}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{y}; \beta, \sigma) \pi(\beta, \sigma)}{m(\mathbf{y})}$$

Kozumi & Kobayashi (2011): Let $\{(Y_i, Z_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ be indep pairs st

$$Y_i | Z_i = z \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}_i^T \beta + \theta z, \sigma z \tau^2) \quad \& \quad Z_i \sim \text{Exp}(\sigma)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; \beta, \sigma) d\mathbf{z} = f(\mathbf{y}; \beta, \sigma) \quad (*)$$

Now define

$$\pi(\beta, \sigma, \mathbf{z} | \mathbf{y}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; \beta, \sigma) \pi(\beta, \sigma)}{m(\mathbf{y})}$$

It follows from (*) that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta, \sigma, \mathbf{z} | \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{z} = \pi(\beta, \sigma | \mathbf{y})$

$$Y_i | Z_i = z \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}_i^T \beta + \theta z, \sigma z \tau^2) \quad \& \quad Z_i \sim \text{Exp}(\sigma)$$

$$\pi(\beta, \sigma | \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta, \sigma, \mathbf{z} | \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{z} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; \beta, \sigma) \pi(\beta, \sigma)}{m(\mathbf{y})}$$

Normal \times Inverse Gamma prior for (β, σ) yields π st:

- $\beta | \sigma, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \sim \mathbf{N}_p(\cdot, \cdot)$
- $\sigma | \beta, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \sim \text{Inverse Gamma}(\cdot, \cdot)$
- $\left(\frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_m}\right) | \beta, \sigma, \mathbf{y} \sim \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Inverse Gaussian}(\cdot, \cdot)$

Let $\Phi = \{(\beta_n, \sigma_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a Markov chain on $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with Mtd

$$k(\beta', \sigma' | \beta, \sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta' | \sigma', \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) \pi(\sigma' | \beta, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) \pi(\mathbf{z} | \beta, \sigma, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{z}$$

Invariance: $\pi(\beta', \sigma' | \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} k(\beta', \sigma' | \beta, \sigma) \pi(\beta, \sigma | \mathbf{y}) d\sigma d\beta$

Quantile regression model: $Y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta + \sigma \varepsilon_i$

Prior for (β, σ) : Normal \times Inverse Gamma

Markov chain: $\Phi = \{(\beta_n, \sigma_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ has Mtd

$$k(\beta', \sigma' | \beta, \sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta' | \sigma', \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) \pi(\sigma' | \beta, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) \pi(\mathbf{z} | \beta, \sigma, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{z}$$

and invariant density $\pi(\beta, \sigma | \mathbf{y})$

Proposition (Khare & H, 2011): Φ is G.E.

Proof uses the drift function $V : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ given by

$$V(\beta, \sigma) = \sigma + \frac{1}{\sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta)^2 + \beta^T \Sigma^{-1} \beta$$

IV. A Gibbs sampler for Bayesian linear mixed models

Let $Y_{N \times 1}$ follow the general linear mixed model

$$Y = X\beta + \sum_{i=1}^r Z_i u_i + e$$

- X, Z_1, \dots, Z_r are known matrices
- $u_i \sim N_{q_i}(0, I\sigma_{u_i}^2)$ and $e \sim N_N(0, I\sigma_e^2)$
- β and $\sigma^2 = (\sigma_e^2 \ \sigma_{u_1}^2 \ \dots \ \sigma_{u_r}^2)$ are unknown parameters

Improper prior density for (β, σ^2) :

$$\pi(\beta, \sigma^2) = (\sigma_e^2)^{-(a_e+1)} \prod_{i=1}^r (\sigma_{u_i}^2)^{-(a_i+1)}$$

$$Y = X\beta + \sum_{i=1}^r Z_i u_i + e, \quad u_i \sim N_{q_i}(0, I\sigma_{u_i}^2) \quad \& \quad e \sim N_N(0, I\sigma_e^2)$$

$$\text{Prior density: } \pi(\beta, \sigma^2) = (\sigma_e^2)^{-(a_e+1)} \prod_{i=1}^r (\sigma_{u_i}^2)^{-(a_i+1)}$$

Set $\theta = (\beta, u) = (\beta, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r)$. Define

$$\pi^*(\theta, \sigma^2 | y) = f(y | u; \beta, \sigma^2) f(u; \sigma^2) \pi(\beta, \sigma^2)$$

Necessary conditions for propriety:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p+q}} \pi^*(\theta, \sigma^2 | y) d\theta < \infty \quad \& \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^r} \pi^*(\theta, \sigma^2 | y) d\sigma^2 < \infty$$

When these hold, $\pi^*(\theta, \sigma^2 | y)$ has “conditionals” given by:

- $\theta | \sigma^2, y \sim N_{p+q}(\cdot, \cdot)$
- $\sigma^2 | \theta, y \sim \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \text{Inverse Gamma}(\cdot, \cdot)$

$$Y = X\beta + \sum_{i=1}^r Z_i u_i + e, \quad u_i \sim N_{q_i}(0, I\sigma_{u_i}^2) \quad \& \quad e \sim N_N(0, I\sigma_e^2)$$

$$\text{Prior density: } \pi(\beta, \sigma^2) = (\sigma_e^2)^{-(a_e+1)} \prod_{i=1}^r (\sigma_{u_i}^2)^{-(a_i+1)}$$

Let $\Phi = \{(\theta_n, \sigma_n^2)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a chain on $\mathbb{R}^{p+q} \times \mathbb{R}_+^r$ with Mtd

$$k(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | \theta, \sigma^2) = \pi^*(\tilde{\sigma}^2 | \tilde{\theta}, y) \pi^*(\tilde{\theta} | \sigma^2, y)$$

$$\text{Invariance: } \pi^*(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | y) = \int \int k(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | \theta, \sigma^2) \pi^*(\theta, \sigma^2 | y) d\sigma^2 d\theta$$

$$Y = X\beta + \sum_{i=1}^r Z_i u_i + e, \quad u_i \sim N_{q_i}(0, I\sigma_{u_i}^2) \quad \& \quad e \sim N_N(0, I\sigma_e^2)$$

$$\text{Prior density: } \pi(\beta, \sigma^2) = (\sigma_e^2)^{-(a_e+1)} \prod_{i=1}^r (\sigma_{u_i}^2)^{-(a_i+1)}$$

Let $\Phi = \{(\theta_n, \sigma_n^2)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a chain on $\mathbb{R}^{p+q} \times \mathbb{R}_+^r$ with Mtd

$$k(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | \theta, \sigma^2) = \pi^*(\tilde{\sigma}^2 | \tilde{\theta}, y) \pi^*(\tilde{\theta} | \sigma^2, y)$$

$$\text{Invariance: } \pi^*(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | y) = \int \int k(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | \theta, \sigma^2) \pi^*(\theta, \sigma^2 | y) d\sigma^2 d\theta$$

Proposition (Román & H, 2011): Φ is G.E. if

- $a_j < 0$
- $q_j + 2a_j > q - t + 2$
- $N + 2a_e > p + t + 2$

Notation: $X_{N \times p}$, $Z_{N \times q} = (Z_1 \cdots Z_r)$ and $t = \text{rank}(Z^T(I - P_X)Z)$

$$Y = X\beta + \sum_{i=1}^r Z_i u_i + e, \quad u_i \sim N_{q_i}(0, I\sigma_{u_i}^2) \quad \& \quad e \sim N_N(0, I\sigma_e^2)$$

$$\text{Prior density: } \pi(\beta, \sigma^2) = (\sigma_e^2)^{-(a_e+1)} \prod_{i=1}^r (\sigma_{u_i}^2)^{-(a_i+1)}$$

Let $\Phi = \{(\theta_n, \sigma_n^2)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a chain on $\mathbb{R}^{p+q} \times \mathbb{R}_+^r$ with Mtd

$$k(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | \theta, \sigma^2) = \pi^*(\tilde{\sigma}^2 | \tilde{\theta}, y) \pi^*(\tilde{\theta} | \sigma^2, y)$$

$$\text{Invariance: } \pi^*(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | y) = \int \int k(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | \theta, \sigma^2) \pi^*(\theta, \sigma^2 | y) d\sigma^2 d\theta$$

Proposition (Román & H, 2011): Φ is G.E. if

- $a_i < 0$ $(a_i < 0)$
- $q_i + 2a_i > q - t + 2$ $(q_i + 2a_i > q - t)$
- $N + 2a_e > p + t + 2$ $(N + 2a_e > p - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r a_i)$

Notation: $X_{N \times p}$, $Z_{N \times q} = (Z_1 \cdots Z_r)$ and $t = \text{rank}(Z^T(I - P_X)Z)$

Markov chain: $\Phi = \{(\theta_n, \sigma_n^2)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ has Mtd

$$k(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}^2 | \theta, \sigma^2) = \pi^*(\tilde{\sigma}^2 | \tilde{\theta}, y) \pi^*(\tilde{\theta} | \sigma^2, y)$$

and invariant density $\pi^*(\theta, \sigma^2 | y)$.

Proposition (Román & H, 2011): Φ is G.E. if

- $a_i < 0$ ($a_i < 0$)
- $q_i + 2a_i > q - t + 2$ ($q_i + 2a_i > q - t$)
- $N + 2a_e > p + t + 2$ ($N + 2a_e > p - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r a_i$)

$\Phi, \Phi_\theta = \{\theta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\Phi_{\sigma^2} = \{\sigma_n^2\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converge at the same rate

Proof uses Φ_{σ^2} and drift function $V : \mathbb{R}_+^{r+1} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ given by

$$V(\sigma^2) = c_1 \left[\sigma_e^2 + (\sigma_e^2)^{-c_2} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^r \left[\sigma_{u_i}^2 + (\sigma_{u_i}^2)^{-c_2} \right]$$

V. Yet another warning about improper priors

$\Phi_\theta = \{\theta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ has state space \mathbb{R}^{p+q} and Mtd

$$k(\tilde{\theta} | \theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{r+1}} \pi^*(\tilde{\theta} | \sigma^2, \mathbf{y}) \pi^*(\sigma^2 | \theta, \mathbf{y}) d\sigma^2$$

Recall that $\theta = (\beta, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r)$, and that

- $\theta | \sigma^2, \mathbf{y} \sim \mathbf{N}_{p+q}(\cdot, \cdot)$
- $\sigma^2 | \theta, \mathbf{y} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \text{Inverse Gamma}(\cdot, \cdot)$

In particular, $\sigma_{u_i}^2 | \theta, \mathbf{y} \sim \text{Inverse Gamma}\left(\frac{q_i}{2} + a_i, \frac{\|u_i\|^2}{2}\right)$

Technical Problem: It's undefined on $\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} : \|u_i\| = 0\}$

V. Yet another warning about improper priors

$\Phi_\theta = \{\theta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ has state space \mathbb{R}^{p+q} and Mtd

$$k(\tilde{\theta} | \theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{r+1}} \pi^*(\tilde{\theta} | \sigma^2, y) \pi^*(\sigma^2 | \theta, y) d\sigma^2$$

Recall that $\theta = (\beta, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r)$, and that

- $\theta | \sigma^2, y \sim N_{p+q}(\cdot, \cdot)$
- $\sigma^2 | \theta, y \sim \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \text{Inverse Gamma}(\cdot, \cdot)$

In particular, $\sigma_{u_i}^2 | \theta, y \sim \text{Inverse Gamma}\left(\frac{q_i}{2} + a_i, \frac{\|u_i\|^2}{2}\right)$

Technical Problem: It's undefined on $\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} : \|u_i\| = 0\}$

Can't we just change state space from \mathbb{R}^{p+q} to $\mathbb{R}^{p+q} \setminus \mathcal{N}$?

Example: If the drift function is $V(x) = x^2$

$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 \leq c\}$ is compact, but $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} : x^2 \leq c\}$ isn't