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Consider a class $\mathcal{C}$ of objects from some category.

- If we to each object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ associate an object $I(X)$ of some fixed category in such a way that $X \cong Y \Rightarrow I(X) \cong I(Y)$, then we call $I$ an invariant.
- We call such an $I$ a complete invariant if also $I(X) \cong I(Y) \Rightarrow X \cong Y$.
- A functor is always an invariant, and if it is a complete invariant, we call it a classification functor. Not all invariants are functors.
- A functor $F$ is called a strong classification functor if for all objects $X$ and $Y$ every isomorphism from $F(X)$ to $F(Y)$ is induced by an isomorphism from $X$ to $Y$. 
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Historical remarks

- Cuntz and Krieger introduced the so-called Cuntz-Krieger algebras, and proved a series of results about them. E.g., that the stabilized Cuntz-Krieger algebra is an invariant of flow equivalence of shifts of finite type. (publ. 1980-81)

- Using the Bowen-Franks groups, Franks classified irreducible shifts of finite type up to flow equivalence. (publ. 1984)

- Cuntz showed that if the Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated with the matrices \[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

are isomorphic, then all simple, purely infinite Cuntz-Krieger algebras are classified up to stable isomorphism by their Bowen-Franks groups (or $K_0$-groups). (publ. 1986)

- Rørdam showed that this is indeed the case. (techniques from dynamical systems, internal classification, publ. 1995)

- Kirchberg and Phillips classified the simple, purely infinite, separable, nuclear $C^*$-algebras in the bootstrap class. (external, strong classification)
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Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable $C^*$-algebra with finitely many ideals, and let $X$ denote the primitive ideal space equipped with the usual hull-kernel topology. Then we have a lattice isomorphism from the open subsets of $X$ to the lattice of ideals of $\mathcal{A}$. For each element $x \in X$, we let $H(x)$ be the smallest open subset containing $x$, and we let $H_-(x) = H(x) \setminus \{x\}$.

Definition

Using $x \leq y \iff \{x\} \subseteq \{y\}$ we get a one-to-one correspondence from the $T_0$-topologies to the partial orders of a fixed finite set. The graph of this relation (i.e., $x \to y$ iff $x \leq y$), is exactly the component graph for a Cuntz-Krieger algebra, so therefore we will use this to illustrate the primitive ideal spaces.
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Full filtered $K$-theory

**Definition**

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra with finitely many ideals, and let $X = \text{Prim}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the primitive ideal space. The **full filtered $K$-theory** of $\mathcal{A}$ is then the collection of the $K$-groups $K_0(\mathcal{A}(V \setminus U))$ and $K_1(\mathcal{A}(V \setminus U))$ for all open subsets $U \subseteq V$ of $X$ together with the homomorphisms

\[
\begin{array}{c}
K_0(\mathcal{A}(V \setminus U)) \quad \longrightarrow \quad K_0(\mathcal{A}(W \setminus U)) \\
| \quad \quad | \quad \quad | \\
| \quad \quad | \quad \quad | \\
K_1(\mathcal{A}(W \setminus V)) \quad \quad \leftarrow \quad K_1(\mathcal{A}(W \setminus U)) \\
\end{array}
\]

for all open subsets $U \subseteq V \subseteq W$ of $X$. 
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Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra with finitely many ideals, and let $X = \text{Prim}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the primitive ideal space. The reduced filtered $K$-theory of $\mathcal{A}$ is then the collection of the $K$-groups $K_0(\mathcal{A}(U))$ where $U$ ranges over all \( \{x\} \) all $H(x)$ and all non-empty $H_-(x)$ and the $K$-groups $K_1(\mathcal{A}(\{x\}))$ whenever $H_-(x) \neq \emptyset$ together with the homomorphisms
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K_0(\mathcal{A}(H_-(x))) & \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}(H(x))) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}(\{x\})) \\
& \uparrow \\
& K_1(\mathcal{A}(\{x\}))
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x$ satisfying $H_-(x) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
K_0(\mathcal{A}(H(y))) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}(H_-(x)))
$$

whenever $H(y)$ is a proper subset of $H_-(x)$ and $H(y) \subseteq H(z) \subseteq H_-(x)$ implies that $z = y$. 
Reduced filtered $K$-theory

**Definition**

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra with finitely many ideals, and let $X = \text{Prim}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the primitive ideal space. The **reduced filtered $K$-theory** of $\mathcal{A}$ is then the collection of the $K$-groups $K_0(\mathcal{A}(U))$ where $U$ ranges over all $\{x\}$ all $H(x)$ and all non-empty $H_-(x)$ and the $K$-groups $K_1(\mathcal{A}(\{x\}))$ whenever $H_-(x) \neq \emptyset$ together with the homomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
K_0(\mathcal{A}(H_-(x))) &\rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}(H(x))) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}(\{x\})) \\
&\uparrow \uparrow \\
K_1(\mathcal{A}(\{x\}))
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x$ satisfying $H_-(x) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
K_0(\mathcal{A}(H(y))) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}(H_-(x)))
$$

whenever $H(y)$ is a proper subset of $H_-(x)$ and $H(y) \subseteq H(z) \subseteq H_-(x)$ implies that $z = y$. 

Outline

1. Historical remarks
2. Primitive ideal space
3. Filtered $K$-theory
4. Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras
5. Some answers
   - Unital classification
   - Strong classification (external classification)
   - Range results
   - Strong classification, II
   - Phantom Cuntz-Krieger algebras
   - Graph $C^*$-algebras
Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras

Theorem (R)

*Purely infinite Cuntz-Krieger algebras are classified up to stable isomorphism by the reduced filtered K-theory (and consequently also by the full filtered K-theory).*

Questions

- Can we get unital classification?
- Can we get strong classification?
- Is it possible to get external classification?
- Can we describe the range of the invariants?
- Do there exist phantom Cuntz-Krieger algebras.
- Is it possible to generalize the results to graph C*-algebras?
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Unital classification

**Theorem (Eilers-R-Ruiz)**

*Essentially, if we have a class of unital, separable, nuclear, purely infinite $C^*$-algebras such that the stabilization is strongly classified by some invariant that includes $K_0$, then the same invariant together with the class of the unit in $K_0$ strongly classifies this class (up to unital isomorphism).*

**Corollary**

*If we have a class of unital, separable, nuclear, purely infinite $C^*$-algebras such that the full filtered $K$-theory strongly classifies the algebras in this class up to stable isomorphism, just throw in the class of the unit in $K_0$ to get strong unital classification.*
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Strong classification (external classification)

Since the (strong) unital classification can be done using strong classification up to stable isomorphism, we will focus on that. To get strong (external) classification, the general idea is to prove a Universal Coefficient Theorem for full filtered $K$-theory. Then we can lift a full filtered $K$-theory isomorphism to an ideal related $KK$-equivalence using this UCT, and then lift that to a $*$-isomorphism using Kirchberg’s result. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be nuclear, separable, purely infinite, stable $C^*$-algebras that are tight over the finite $T_0$-space $X$ having all its simple quotients being in the bootstrap class.

**Theorem (Bonkat)**

If $|X| = 2$ and $X$ is non-Hausdorff, then every isomorphism from the filtered $K$-theory of $\mathfrak{A}$ to the filtered $K$-theory of $\mathfrak{B}$ can be lifted to an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathfrak{B}$. 
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- **$A$:**
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  - $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet$
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  - $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$
  - $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$
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  - $\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$
  - $\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$

- **$3B$:**
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Theorem (Arkliint-R-Ruiz)

Restricted to real rank zero, we have strong classification for the cases $A, 38, 1F, 3E$ and we have counterexamples to classification for $1E$ (using the full filtered $K$-theory). The case $3B$ is open in the real rank zero case.

Theorem (Bentmann)

There is a Cuntz-Krieger algebra with projective dimension 2 over the space $1E$, so we cannot even hope to just prove that the full filtered $K$-theory of Cuntz-Krieger algebras (or graph $C^*$-algebras) have projective dimension 1.

Theorem (Arkliint-Bentmann-Katsura)

In the case of real rank zero and free $K_1$, we have strong classification for the case $1E$. 
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Theorem (Arkling-Bentmann-Katsura)

If we have some finitely generated reduced filtered $K$-theory such that all the involved $K_1$-groups are free and the rank of the group that corresponds to $K_1(\mathcal{A}(\{x\}))$ is less than or equal to the rank of the cokernel of the map from $K_0(\mathcal{A}(H_-(x)))$ to $K_0(\mathcal{A}(H(x)))$, for each $x$, then there exists a unital, purely infinite graph $C^*$-algebra with this invariant.

If we instead have equality for each $x$, then the graph algebra can be chosen to be a Cuntz-Krieger algebra.
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Range results

**Theorem (Arklint-Bentmann-Katsura)**

*If we have some finitely generated reduced filtered $K$-theory such that all the involved $K_1$-groups are free and the rank of the group that corresponds to $K_1(\mathbb{A}(\{x\}))$ is less than or equal to the rank of the cokernel of the map from $K_0(\mathbb{A}(H_-(x)))$ to $K_0(\mathbb{A}(H(x)))$, for each $x$, then there exists a unital, purely infinite graph $C^*$-algebra with this invariant.*

*If we instead have equality for each $x$, then the graph algebra can be chosen to be a Cuntz-Krieger algebra.*

**Theorem (Arklint-Bentmann-Katsura)**

*If we have some reduced filtered $K$-theory such that all the involved $K_1$-groups are free, then there exists a purely infinite graph $C^*$-algebra with this invariant.*
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Theorem (Arklin-R)

There exists a separable, nuclear, purely infinite graph $C^*$-algebra $C^*(E)$ over a graph $E$ with primitive ideal space of the type $3B$, such that there exists an is automorphism of the full filtered $K$-theory that cannot be lifted to an automorphism of the algebra $C^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{K}$.

Question

It seems that we can choose the above graph $C^*$-algebra to be unital and the corresponding graph to be finite. Is it possible to get an example with a Cuntz-Krieger algebra?
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Strong classification, II
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Phantom Cuntz-Krieger algebras

Question

Since the classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras in general is internal, it is an open question whether there exist separable, nuclear, purely infinite $C^*$-algebras with all simple subquotients in the Bootstrap class that has the filtered $K$-theory isomorphic to the $K$-theory of a Cuntz-Krieger algebra without being stably isomorphic to a Cuntz-Krieger algebra. Such an algebra is called a phantom Cuntz-Krieger algebra.
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Graph $C^*$-algebras

There has been (and still is) some progress in extending the classification results to more general graph $C^*$-algebras, both in the purely infinite case, in the mixed case, and for graphs not satisfying condition (K). [Eilers, R, Ruiz, Sørensen, Tomforde]