

Local Limit Theorem in negative curvature

François Ledrappier

University of Notre Dame/ Université Paris 6

Joint work with Seonhee Lim, Seoul Nat. Univ.

BIRS, August 2014

(M, g) is a closed Riemannian manifold with negative curvature,

(\widetilde{M}, g) the universal cover

$\partial\widetilde{M}$ the geometric boundary at infinity of \widetilde{M} , sometimes identified with $S_x\widetilde{M}$ for any $x \in \widetilde{M}$.

$SM, S\widetilde{M}$ the unit tangent bundles, $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the geodesic flow.

$\Delta = \text{Div}\nabla$ the Laplacian on C^2 functions,

$p(t, x, y)$ the heat kernel on \widetilde{M} .

In the weak sense, $p(t, x, y) = e^{t\Delta}(x, y)$.

In particular,

Fact *Let λ_0 be the bottom of the spectrum of $-\Delta$ in $L^2(\widetilde{M}, \text{Vol})$. Then, for $x, y \in \widetilde{M}$:*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln p(t, x, y) = -\lambda_0.$$

Theorem [L. - Lim]

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{3/2} e^{\lambda_0 t} p(t, x, y) = C(x, y),$$

where, for x fixed, $C(x, y)$ is a harmonic function for $\Delta + \lambda_0$.

Remark: For all $x, y \in \widetilde{M}$, $C(x, y) = C(y, x)$.

Example The 3-dimensional hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^3 . Then, $\lambda_0 = 1$ and

$$p(t, x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} \right)^{3/2} \frac{d(x, y)}{\sinh d(x, y)} e^{-t} e^{-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4t}}.$$

Therefore:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{3/2} e^t p(t, x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \right)^{3/2} \frac{d(x, y)}{\sinh d(x, y)}$$

and $y \mapsto \frac{d(x, y)}{\sinh d(x, y)}$ is the positive $\Delta + 1$ harmonic function that depends only on the distance to x , with maximum 1.

Bougerol (1981) If \widetilde{M} is a symmetric space of non-compact type of rank n , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{\lambda_0 t} p(t, x, y) = C \Phi(x, y),$$

Where C is some constant and $y \mapsto \Phi(x, y)$ is the positive $\Delta + \lambda_0$ harmonic function that depends only on the distance to x , with maximum 1.

This has been generalized to trees and buildings for isotropic random walks.

Non-isotropic random walks (G, μ) with G a hyperbolic group. Expected result:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{3/2} R^n p^{(n)}(x, y) = c(x, y),$$

where R^{-1} is the spectral radius of the random walk.

Lalley (1993) G finitely generated free groups, μ finitely supported.

Gouëzel - Lalley (2013) G surface group, μ finitely supported.

Gouëzel (2014a) (2014b) G finitely gen. hyperbolic, μ has all exponential moments.

Our proof follows the Gouëzel - Lalley strategy (and arguments). Set, for $\lambda \leq \lambda_0, x \neq y$

$$G_\lambda(x, y) := (\Delta + \lambda)^{-1}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} p(t, x, y) dt.$$

Facts: $G_\lambda(x, y) < \infty$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda_0, x \neq y,$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) < \infty \text{ for } \lambda < \lambda_0, x \neq y,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) \nearrow \infty \text{ as } \lambda \nearrow \lambda_0, x \neq y.$$

Enough to show, for $x \neq y$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \nearrow \lambda_0} \sqrt{\lambda_0 - \lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) = C'(x, y) \quad (1)$$

Then, by Tauberian Theorems, symmetry and positivity of $p(t, x, y)$, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{3/2} e^{\lambda_0 t} p(t, x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} C'(x, y).$$

Goal Find a function $P(\lambda)$, $\lambda < \lambda_0$, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\lambda) &< 0, \quad P(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0, \\ (-P(\lambda)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) &\rightarrow C_1(x, y) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0, \\ (-P(\lambda))^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} G_\lambda(x, y) &\rightarrow C_2(x, y) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, if $F(\lambda) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y)$, we have

$$\frac{2F'(\lambda)}{F(\lambda)^3} \rightarrow \frac{2C_2}{C_1^3} \text{ and therefore (cf [GL2013])}$$

$$\sqrt{\lambda_0 - \lambda} F(\lambda) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{C_1^3}{2C_2}}.$$

Lalley's renewal formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) &= \int_{\widetilde{M}} G_\lambda(x, z) G_\lambda(z, y) d\text{Vol}(z) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{S(x, R)} G_\lambda(x, z) G_\lambda(y, z) dz \right) dR \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{S(x, R)} \frac{G_\lambda(y, z)}{G_\lambda(x, z)} (G_\lambda(x, z))^2 dz \right) dR,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $S(x, R)$, dz is the sphere of radius R about x and dz the Lebesgue measure on it.

Let $\mu_{x,\lambda,R}$ be the measure on $S_x M$ such that if it is lifted to $S_x \widetilde{M}$ and then pushed forward to $S(x, R)$ by $v \mapsto \exp_x Rv$, one gets the measure $(G_\lambda(x, z))^2 dz$.

Key fact: *There exist a negative number $P(\lambda)$ and a finite measure $\mu_{x,\lambda}$ on $S_x M$ such that, as $R \rightarrow \infty$, the measures $e^{-P(\lambda)R} \mu_{x,\lambda,R}$ weak* converge towards $\mu_{x,\lambda}$.*

Example: \widetilde{M} is the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . Then, if $d(x, y) = R$, R large:

$$G_\lambda(x, y) \sim C e^{-s(\lambda)R},$$

with $s(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left(n - 1 + \sqrt{(n - 1)^2 - 4\lambda} \right)$, whereas

$$dz \sim e^{(n-1)R} d\theta.$$

So with $P(\lambda) = -\sqrt{(n - 1)^2 - 4\lambda} = -2\sqrt{\lambda_0 - \lambda}$, we do have $e^{-P(\lambda)R} \mu_{x,\lambda,R}$ converge towards C. Lebesgue on $S_x M$.

Let $v \in S_x \widetilde{M}$. As $z \rightarrow \infty$ in \widetilde{M} along $\exp_x \mathbb{R}_+ v$, $\frac{G_\lambda(y, z)}{G_\lambda(x, z)}$ converges towards a continuous function $k_\lambda(x, y, v)$ (**Ancona 1985**).

The expression for $(-P(\lambda)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y)$ becomes

$$-P(\lambda) \int_0^\infty e^{P(\lambda)R} \left(\int_{S_x M} k_\lambda(x, y, v) e^{-P(\lambda)R} d\mu_{x, \lambda, R} \right) dR.$$

IF we can exchange the limits in R and λ , and **IF** $P(\lambda_0) = 0$, then $(-P(\lambda)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y)$ converges, as $\lambda \nearrow \lambda_0$, towards

$$\int_{S_x M} k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi) d\mu_{x, \lambda_0}(\xi) =: C_1(x, y)$$

if $k_{\lambda_0}(x, y)$ and μ_{x, λ_0} make sense.

Ingredients for the proof of the Key Fact, for a fixed λ :

1) Ancona's inequality and Martin boundary (**Ancona 85**)

There is C such that, if x, y, z are, in that order, on the same geodesic, then:

$$G_\lambda(x, z) \leq C G_\lambda(x, y) G_\lambda(y, z)$$

There is $k_\lambda(x, y, \xi)$, $x, y \in \widetilde{M}$, $\xi \in \partial\widetilde{M}$, such that, $z \rightarrow \xi$ iff

$$\frac{G_\lambda(y, z)}{G_\lambda(x, z)} \rightarrow k_\lambda(x, y, \xi).$$

2) Hölder regularity (**Hamenstädt, Kaimanovich, L.**)

For $v \in SM$, \tilde{v} a lift to $S\tilde{M}$, set

$$\phi_\lambda(v) := \frac{d}{dt} \ln k_\lambda(\sigma_{\tilde{v}}(0), \sigma_{\tilde{v}}(t), \sigma_{\tilde{v}}(+\infty))|_{t=0}$$

Proposition *There is $\alpha = \alpha(C, \text{geometry})$ positif such that the function ϕ_λ is α -Hölder continuous on SM .*

3) Thermodyn. form. for $\varphi_\lambda := -2\phi_\lambda$.

- $P(\lambda) := \text{Pressure}(\varphi_\lambda)$,
- the Gibbs measure m_λ is mixing for the geodesic flow $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and
- there are *Patterson-Sullivan* measures $\mu_{x,\lambda}$ on $\partial \widetilde{M}$ such that:

$$\frac{d\mu_{y,\lambda}}{d\mu_{x,\lambda}}(\xi) = k_\lambda^2(x, y, \xi) e^{-P(\lambda)\beta(x,y,\xi)}.$$

4) **Margulis 69**'s argument for the volume of the spheres used only mixing of the geodesic flow for the measure of maximal entropy.

Its extension to (mixing) Gibbs measures yields that the measures $e^{-P(\lambda)R} \mu_{x,\lambda,R}$ weak* converge towards some measure $\mu_{x,\lambda}$ that is proportional to the Patterson-Sullivan measures of 3).

Proof of convergence of $(-P(\lambda))\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}G_\lambda(x, y)$ as $\lambda \nearrow \lambda_0$: all the previous steps can be made uniform in λ up to λ_0 .

Step 1: **Proposition** *The constant C in Ancona inequality can be chosen independently of $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0]$.*

The proof imitates the proof of the corresponding statement in [**Gouëzel 14a**]

Steps 2 and 3: *There is $\beta < \alpha$ such that the mapping $\lambda \mapsto \varphi_\lambda$ is continuous from $[0, \lambda_0]$ into the space of β -Hölder continuous functions.*

Step 4: Margulis's argument yields uniform convergence in λ as soon as the rate of mixing is uniform in λ . We have:

Proposition *Let (M, g) be a manifold with negative curvature, $\varphi_\lambda, \lambda \leq \lambda_0$ as above, $\beta > 0$ small enough. There exist $\varepsilon > 0, C, c$ such that if f_1, f_2 are β -Hölder continuous functions, then for $\lambda \in [\lambda_0 - \varepsilon, \lambda_0], t > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f_1(v) f_2(g_t v) d m_\lambda(v) - \int f_1 d m_\lambda \int f_2 d m_\lambda \right| &\leq \\ &\leq C \frac{\|f_1\|_\beta \|f_2\|_\beta}{1+t^c}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof If we have topological rapid mixing, **Dolgopyat 98** proved the above for a fixed β -Hölder continuous potential φ . The constants C and c obtained in the proof are uniform in a small neighbourhood of φ .

A continuous flow $(X, d; \{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ is topologically rapid mixing if there exist t_0, α_0 such that for any two balls B_1, B_2 of radius $r > 0$, $g_t B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$ for t larger than t_0 and $r^{-\alpha_0}$.

By **Liverani 04**, a geodesic flow on a compact manifold of negative curvature is topologically rapid mixing.

This finishes the proof that

$$(-P(\lambda)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) \rightarrow C_1(x, y) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0,$$

$$\text{with } C_1(x, y) = \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi) d\mu_{x, \lambda_0}(\xi).$$

Remains to show that

$$(-P(\lambda))^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} G_\lambda(x, y) \rightarrow C_2(x, y) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0.$$

Further derivation yields Lalley's renewal formula for $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} G_\lambda(x, y)$:

$$2 \int_{\widetilde{M} \times \widetilde{M}} G_\lambda(x, z) G_\lambda(z, w) G_\lambda(w, y) d\text{Vol}(z) d\text{Vol}(w).$$

Geometric reductions show that we have to compute the limit of the following expression as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and to verify that the limit is uniform in λ :

$$2 \int_{S_x M} k_\lambda(x, y, v) \frac{1}{R} \left(\int_0^R u_\lambda(g_s v) ds \right) e^{-P(\lambda)R} d\mu_{x, \lambda, R},$$

where u_λ is a positive β -Hölder continuous function with $\|u_\lambda - u_{\lambda_0}\|_\beta \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \nearrow \lambda_0$.

We have uniform 2-mixing by an extension of Dolgopyat's argument:

Proposition *Let (M, g) be a manifold with negative curvature, $\varphi_\lambda, \lambda \leq \lambda_0$ as above, $\beta > 0$ small enough. There exist $\varepsilon > 0, C, c$ such that if f_1, f_2, f_3 are β -Hölder continuous functions, then for $\lambda \in [\lambda_0 - \varepsilon, \lambda_0], t, s > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int f_1 f_2(g_t \cdot) f_3(g_{t+s} \cdot) d m_\lambda \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int f_1 d m_\lambda \int f_2 d m_\lambda \int f_3 d m_\lambda \right| \\ & \leq C \frac{\|f_1\|_\beta \|f_2\|_\beta \|f_3\|_\beta}{(1+t^c)(1+s^c)}. \end{aligned}$$

By suitably extending the argument à la Margulis one gets that, as $\lambda \nearrow \lambda_0$,

$$(-P(\lambda))^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} G_\lambda(x, y) \rightarrow 2 \int u_{\lambda_0} dm_{\lambda_0} C_1(x, y).$$

This Proves our Theorem with

$$C(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \int u_{\lambda_0} dm_{\lambda_0}}} \int_{S_x M} k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi) d\mu_{x, \lambda_0}(\xi).$$

Remarks Since $C(x, y)$ is an integral of $\Delta + \lambda_0$ harmonic functions,

$$\Delta_y C(x, y) = -\lambda_0 C(x, y)$$

(in particular, $C(x, y)$ is a smooth function).

Since $k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi) = \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{y, \lambda_0}}{d\mu_{x, \lambda_0}}(\xi)}$, we may use the halfdensity notation and write the function $\int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi) d\mu_{x, \lambda_0}(\xi)$ as

$$\int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} \sqrt{d\mu_{y, \lambda_0}} \sqrt{d\mu_{x, \lambda_0}},$$

in analogy with the Harish-Chandra function Φ of Bougerol's Local Limit Theorem.