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Pressureless Gas w/ potential and viscosity

- Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$ and consider the system

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho v) = \lambda \partial_{xx} \rho \\
\partial_t (\rho v) + \partial_x (\rho v^2) = \lambda \partial_x (v \partial_x \rho) + (\alpha \partial_x \Phi + \beta) \rho \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}.
\end{cases}
\]

- Our concern is the initial value/Cauchy problem associated with $(P)$, i.e. $(P)$ along with

\[
\rho(0, \cdot) = \rho^0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(0, \cdot) = v^0, \ \rho^0 - \text{a.e.}
\]
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Sticky particles

- **Zeldovich (1970)** introduced the system with $\beta = \lambda = 0$ to model the formation of large structures in the Universe.

- Let $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ (say, adding up to the unit) be positive masses located at $x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0$ respectively, and moving initially with velocities $v_1^0, \ldots, v_n^0$ respectively.

- **Laws of motion:**
  1. Motion is rectilinear at constant velocity between collisions.
  2. When two masses collide, they stick together (additively).
  3. The velocity after collision is provided by imposing conservation of momentum through collisions.

- Let $\mu_t := \sum_{i=1}^{n(t)} m_i^{n(t)}(t) \delta_{x_i^{n(t)}(t)}$, and let $v_t$ be defined on the support of $\mu_t$ by $v_t(x_i^{n(t)}) = v_i^{n(t)}$ =velocity of the mass $m_i^{n(t)}(t)$ at location $x_i^{n(t)}(t)$.

- Then $(\mu, v)$ solves $(P)$ with $\alpha = \beta = \lambda = 0$ in the sense of distributions, with $\mu_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \delta_{x_i^0}$ and $v_0(x_i^0) = v_i^0$. 
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Weak Solutions; early results

- We seek solutions in the sense of distributions.
- Let $\rho^0$ be a Borel probability and $v^0$ be $\rho^0$–measurable. The IV is in the sense

$$
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx), \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t, x) \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^0(x) \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx)
$$

for all $\zeta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$.

- Physically, we are looking for solutions $(\rho, v)$ such that $\rho(t, \cdot)$ is a probability at all $t \geq 0$.
- E, Rykov & Sinai (1996) proved (variationally) existence of global solutions for $\beta = \lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0, -1$. Main restriction: $\rho^0$ is either discrete or absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure (more restrictions on $\rho^0$ and $v^0$).
- Brenier & Grenier (1997) established the connection between entropy solutions for certain scalar Conservation Law and the Pressureless Euler system, and used this connection to prove global existence for the latter (for compactly supported $\rho^0$ and continuous $v^0$).
Weak Solutions; early results

- We seek solutions in the sense of distributions.
- Let $\rho^0$ be a Borel probability and $v^0$ be $\rho^0$–measurable. The IV is in the sense

\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx), \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t, x) \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^0(x) \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx)
\]

for all $\zeta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$.

- Physically, we are looking for solutions $(\rho, v)$ such that $\rho(t, \cdot)$ is a probability at all $t \geq 0$.
- E, Rykov & Sinai (1996) proved (variationally) existence of global solutions for $\beta = \lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0, -1$. Main restriction: $\rho^0$ is either discrete or absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure (more restrictions on $\rho^0$ and $v^0$).
- Brenier & Grenier (1997) established the connection between entropy solutions for certain scalar Conservation Law and the Pressureless Euler system, and used this connection to prove global existence for the latter (for compactly supported $\rho^0$ and continuous $v^0$).
Weak Solutions; early results

- We seek solutions in the sense of distributions.
- Let $\rho^0$ be a Borel probability and $v^0$ be $\rho^0$–measurable. The IV is in the sense

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx), \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int v(t, x) \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int v^0(x) \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx)$$

for all $\zeta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$.

- Physically, we are looking for solutions $(\rho, v)$ such that $\rho(t, \cdot)$ is a probability at all $t \geq 0$.
- E, Rykov & Sinai (1996) proved (variationally) existence of global solutions for $\beta = \lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0, -1$. Main restriction: $\rho^0$ is either discrete or absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure (more restrictions on $\rho^0$ and $v^0$).
- Brenier & Grenier (1997) established the connection between entropy solutions for certain scalar Conservation Law and the Pressureless Euler system, and used this connection to prove global existence for the latter (for compactly supported $\rho^0$ and continuous $v^0$).
We seek solutions in the sense of distributions.

Let $\rho^0$ be a Borel probability and $v^0$ be $\rho^0$–measurable. The IV is in the sense

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx), \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t, x) \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^0(x) \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx)$$

for all $\zeta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$.

Physically, we are looking for solutions $(\rho, v)$ such that $\rho(t, \cdot)$ is a probability at all $t \geq 0$.

E, Rykov & Sinai (1996) proved (variationally) existence of global solutions for $\beta = \lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0, -1$. Main restriction: $\rho^0$ is either discrete or absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure (more restrictions on $\rho^0$ and $v^0$).

Brenier & Grenier (1997) established the connection between entropy solutions for certain scalar Conservation Law and the Pressureless Euler system, and used this connection to prove global existence for the latter (for compactly supported $\rho^0$ and continuous $v^0$).
Weak Solutions; early results

- We seek solutions in the sense of distributions.
- Let $\rho^0$ be a Borel probability and $v^0$ be $\rho^0$–measurable. The IV is in the sense
  \[
  \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx), \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t, x) \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^0(x) \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx)
  \]
  for all $\zeta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$.
- Physically, we are looking for solutions $(\rho, v)$ such that $\rho(t, \cdot)$ is a probability at all $t \geq 0$.
- E, Rykov & Sinai (1996) proved (variationally) existence of global solutions for $\beta = \lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0, -1$. Main restriction: $\rho^0$ is either discrete or absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure (more restrictions on $\rho^0$ and $v^0$).
- Brenier & Grenier (1997) established the connection between entropy solutions for certain scalar Conservation Law and the Pressureless Euler system, and used this connection to prove global existence for the latter (for compactly supported $\rho^0$ and continuous $v^0$).
Weak Solutions; early results

- We seek solutions in the sense of distributions.
- Let $\rho^0$ be a Borel probability and $v^0$ be $\rho^0$–measurable. The IV is in the sense

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx), \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t, x) \zeta(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^0(x) \zeta(x) \rho^0(dx)$$

for all $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
- Physically, we are looking for solutions $(\rho, v)$ such that $\rho(t, \cdot)$ is a probability at all $t \geq 0$.
- E, Rykov & Sinai (1996) proved (variationally) existence of global solutions for $\beta = \lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0, -1$. Main restriction: $\rho^0$ is either discrete or absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure (more restrictions on $\rho^0$ and $v^0$).
- Brenier & Grenier (1997) established the connection between entropy solutions for certain scalar Conservation Law and the Pressureless Euler system, and used this connection to prove global existence for the latter (for compactly supported $\rho^0$ and continuous $v^0$).
**More results**

- **Huang & Zang (2001)** found an interesting sufficient condition for uniqueness for the pressureless Euler system (for a nonnegative Radon measure \( \rho^0 \) and \( v^0 \in L^\infty(\rho^0) \)).
- **Nguyen & T. (2008)** obtained well-posedness for *entropy* solutions (TBD) in the case \( \alpha = 0, \pm 1, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \). Restrictions: \( \rho^0 \) is a Borel probability with finite second moment, \( v^0 \in L^2(\rho^0) \) is continuous and has at most quadratic growth.
- **Natili & Savaré (2009)** proved well-posedness for semigroup solutions in the case \( \rho^0 \) is a Borel probability with finite second moment and \( v^0 \in L^2(\rho^0) \).
- **Sobolevskii (1997), Boudin (2000)** considered the system with viscosity; numerically, and analytically. Restrictions: \( \alpha, \beta = 0, \rho^0, 1/\rho^0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \partial_x \rho^0 \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}), v^0 \in (W^{1,1} \cup H^1)(\mathbb{R}) \).
- **Nguyen & T. (2013)** well-posedness for entropy solutions for the system with arbitrary \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \lambda \geq 0 \) via scalar CLs w/ and w/out viscosity. The solution is obtained by iteration, which also provides explicit convergence and stability estimates. Also, the result is very general!
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Flux function from initial data

- Let $N^0$ be the optimal right-continuous map pushing $\chi := \mathcal{L}^1|_{(0,1)}$ forward to $\rho^0$ and set $V^0 := v^0 \circ N^0$. Let $M^0$ be the c.d.f. of $\rho^0$.
- Let $\tilde{F} : [0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
\tilde{F}(t, m) := \int_0^m V^0(\omega)d\omega + t \int_0^m a(\omega)d\omega = \int_0^m V^0(\omega)d\omega + t(\alpha \frac{m^2}{2} + \beta m).
$$

- Then the c.d.f. $M(t, \cdot)$ of $\rho(t, \cdot)$ formally solves

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t M + \partial_x [\tilde{F}(t, M)] = \lambda \partial_{xx} M \\
M(0, \cdot) = M^0
\end{cases}
\quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad M(t, \cdot) = M^0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}. \quad (CL)
$$

- Conversely, $(\partial_x M, \partial_m \tilde{F}(t, M))$ formally solves $(P)$ if $M$ solves $(CL)$. 
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M(0, \cdot) = M^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}.
\end{cases}$$

- Conversely, $(\partial_x M, \partial_m \tilde{F}(t, M))$ formally solves $(P)$ if $M$ solves $(CL)$. 

---

**Entropy Methods, PDE's (Banff, 2014) Pressureless Gas w/ or w/out viscosity**

July 2014 7 / 17
Flux function from initial data

- Let $N^0$ be the optimal right-continuous map pushing $\chi := \mathcal{L}^1|_{(0,1)}$ forward to $\rho^0$ and set $V^0 := v^0 \circ N^0$. Let $M^0$ be the c.d.f. of $\rho^0$.
- Let $\tilde{F} : [0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\tilde{F}(t, m) := \int_0^m V^0(\omega)d\omega + t \int_0^m a(\omega)d\omega = \int_0^m V^0(\omega)d\omega + t(\alpha \frac{m^2}{2} + \beta m).$$

- Then the c.d.f. $M(t, \cdot)$ of $\rho(t, \cdot)$ formally solves

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t M + \partial_x [\tilde{F}(t, M)] = \lambda \partial_{xx} M \\
M(0, \cdot) = M^0
\end{cases} \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$(CL)$$

- Conversely, $(\partial_x M, \partial_m \tilde{F}(t, M))$ formally solves $(P)$ if $M$ solves $(CL)$. 
Approach via scalar Conservation Laws

A scalar CL associated to the system

Flux function from initial data

- Let $N^0$ be the optimal right-continuous map pushing $\chi := \mathcal{L}^1|_{(0,1)}$ forward to $\rho^0$ and set $V^0 := v^0 \circ N^0$. Let $M^0$ be the c.d.f. of $\rho^0$.

- Let $\tilde{F} : [0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
\tilde{F}(t, m) := \int_0^m V^0(\omega) d\omega + t \int_0^m a(\omega) d\omega = \int_0^m V^0(\omega) d\omega + t(\alpha \frac{m^2}{2} + \beta m).
$$

- Then the c.d.f. $M(t, \cdot)$ of $\rho(t, \cdot)$ formally solves

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t M + \partial_x [\tilde{F}(t, M)] = \lambda \partial_{xx} M & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\
M(0, \cdot) = M^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}.
\end{cases}
$$

(CL)

- Conversely, $(\partial_x M, \partial_m \tilde{F}(t, M))$ formally solves $(P)$ if $M$ solves $(CL)$.
Flux function from initial data

- Let $N^0$ be the optimal right-continuous map pushing $\chi := \mathcal{L}^1|_{(0,1)}$ forward to $\rho^0$ and set $V^0 := v^0 \circ N^0$. Let $M^0$ be the c.d.f. of $\rho^0$.
- Let $\tilde{F} : [0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by
  \[ \tilde{F}(t, m) := \int_0^m V^0(\omega)d\omega + t \int_0^m a(\omega)d\omega = \int_0^m V^0(\omega)d\omega + t(\alpha \frac{m^2}{2} + \beta m). \]
- Then the c.d.f. $M(t, \cdot)$ of $\rho(t, \cdot)$ formally solves
  \[ \begin{cases} 
  \partial_t M + \partial_x \left[ \tilde{F}(t, M) \right] = \lambda \partial_{xx} M & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\
  M(0, \cdot) = M^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}. 
  \end{cases} \] (CL)
- Conversely, $(\partial_x M, \partial_m \tilde{F}(t, M))$ formally solves $(P)$ if $M$ solves (CL).
Consider the parabolic-hyperbolic equation

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u + \partial_x \left[ F(t, u) \right] &= \lambda u_{xx} & \text{in} & & Q_T := (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\
u(0, \cdot) &= u^0 & \text{in} & & \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned}
\]  

(1)

where \( \lambda \geq 0 \) and the flux function has the form \( F(t, z) = f(z) + tg(z) \) with continuous \( f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \).

**Definition.** Let \( u^0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \). A function \( u \in L^\infty(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \) is an entropy solution of (1) if \( \lambda u \in L^2(0, T; H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \) and

\[
\int_{Q_T} \left\{ |u - k| \phi_t + \text{sgn}(u - k)[F(t, u) - F(t, k)] \phi_x \right\} dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u^0(x) - k| \phi(0, x) \, dx \\
\geq \lambda \int_{Q_T} \text{sgn}(u - k) u_x \phi_x \, dx dt
\]

for all \( k \in \mathbb{R} \) and all nonnegative test functions \( \phi \in C^\infty_c([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}) \).
Entropy solution for (CL)

Consider the parabolic-hyperbolic equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u + \partial_x \left[ F(t, u) \right] = \lambda \, u_{xx} & \text{in } Q_T := (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\
 u(0, \cdot) = u^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \lambda \geq 0 \) and the flux function has the form \( F(t, z) = f(z) + tg(z) \) with continuous \( f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \).

**Definition.** Let \( u^0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \). A function \( u \in L^\infty(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \) is an entropy solution of (1) if \( \lambda u \in L^2(0, T; H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \) and

\[
\int_{Q_T} \left\{ |u - k| \phi_t + \text{sgn}(u - k) [F(t, u) - F(t, k)] \phi_x \right\} \, dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u^0(x) - k| \phi(0, x) \, dx \\
\geq \lambda \int_{Q_T} \text{sgn}(u - k) u_x \phi_x \, dx \, dt
\]

for all \( k \in \mathbb{R} \) and all nonnegative test functions \( \phi \in C^\infty_c([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}) \).
Consider the parabolic-hyperbolic equation

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u + \partial_x [F(t, u)] &= \lambda u_{xx} & \text{in } Q_T := (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\
u(0, \cdot) &= u^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \lambda \geq 0 \) and the flux function has the form \( F(t, z) = f(z) + tg(z) \) with continuous \( f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \).

**Definition.** Let \( u^0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \). A function \( u \in L^\infty(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \) is an entropy solution of (1) if \( \lambda u \in L^2(0, T; H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \) and

\[
\int_{Q_T} \left\{ |u - k|\phi_t + \text{sgn}(u - k)[F(t, u) - F(t, k)]\phi_x \right\} dxdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u^0(x) - k|\phi(0, x) \, dx
\]

\[
\geq \lambda \int_{Q_T} \text{sgn}(u - k)u_x\phi_x \, dxdt
\]

for all \( k \in \mathbb{R} \) and all nonnegative test functions \( \phi \in C_c^\infty([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}) \).
Entropy solution for \((P)\)

- **Definition.** \((\rho, v)\) is an *entropy solution* for \((P)+(IC')\) if it is a solution in the sense of distributions and the c.d.f. \(M\) of \(\rho\) is an entropy solution for \((CL)\) with the corresponding flux function and initial \(M^0 := c.d.f(\rho^0)\).

- The plan is to construct the entropy solution for \((CL)\), then show that \(M(t, \cdot)\) stays a probability c.d.f. for all times, and, finally, show that its \(BV\) derivative \(\rho(t, \cdot)\) and the velocity \(v\) of the path \(t \rightarrow \rho(t, \cdot)\) solve \((P)\) in the sense of distributions.

- The latter is taken care of by means of an appropriate generalization of Vol’pert’s \(BV\) calculus (1967); no details discussed here.
Entropy solution for \((P)\)

- **Definition.** \((\rho, v)\) is an entropy solution for \((P)+(IC)\) if it is a solution in the sense of distributions and the c.d.f. \(M\) of \(\rho\) is an entropy solution for \((CL)\) with the corresponding flux function and initial \(M^0 := \text{c.d.f}(\rho^0)\).

- The plan is to construct the entropy solution for \((CL)\), then show that \(M(t, \cdot)\) stays a probability c.d.f. for all times, and, finally, show that its BV derivative \(\rho(t, \cdot)\) and the velocity \(v\) of the path \(t \to \rho(t, \cdot)\) solve \((P)\) in the sense of distributions.

- The latter is taken care of by means of an appropriate generalization of Vol’pert’s BV calculus (1967); no details discussed here.
Definition. \((\rho, v)\) is an entropy solution for \((P)+(IC)\) if it is a solution in the sense of distributions and the c.d.f. \(M\) of \(\rho\) is an entropy solution for \((CL)\) with the corresponding flux function and initial \(M^0 := \text{c.d.f} (\rho^0)\).

The plan is to construct the entropy solution for \((CL)\), then show that \(M(t, \cdot)\) stays a probability c.d.f. for all times, and, finally, show that its \(BV\) derivative \(\rho(t, \cdot)\) and the velocity \(v\) of the path \(t \rightarrow \rho(t, \cdot)\) solve \((P)\) in the sense of distributions.

The latter is taken care of by means of an appropriate generalization of Vol’pert’s \(BV\) calculus (1967); no details discussed here.
Entropy solution for $(P)$

- **Definition.** $(\rho, v)$ is an *entropy solution* for $(P)+(IC)$ if it is a solution in the sense of distributions and the c.d.f. $M$ of $\rho$ is an entropy solution for $(CL)$ with the corresponding flux function and initial $M^0 := \text{c.d.f}(\rho^0)$.

- The plan is to construct the entropy solution for $(CL)$, then show that $M(t, \cdot)$ stays a probability c.d.f. for all times, and, finally, show that its $BV$ derivative $\rho(t, \cdot)$ and the velocity $v$ of the path $t \rightarrow \rho(t, \cdot)$ solve $(P)$ in the sense of distributions.

- The latter is taken care of by means of an appropriate generalization of Vol’pert’s $BV$ calculus (1967); no details discussed here.
Assumptions and main existence & uniqueness result

- Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \). We shall need the following assumptions:
  (H1) The initial distribution of mass satisfies \( \rho^0 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}) \);
  (H2) The initial velocity satisfies \( v^0 \in L^p(\rho^0) \).

**Theorem Nguyen & T.**

If \( 2 \leq p < \infty, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \lambda \geq 0 \), then \((P)-(IC)\) admits a unique entropy solution \((\rho, v)\) provided that (H1) and (H2) hold. Moreover, \( \rho(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}) \), \( v(t, \cdot) \in L^p(\rho(t, \cdot)) \) for every \( t > 0 \), and (i) \( \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))} \leq \|v^0\|_{L^p(\rho^0)} + t\|a\|_{L^p(0,1)} \) for all \( t > 0 \). In particular, this \( p \)-energy is nonincreasing in time if \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \).

(ii) Assume \( \lambda > 0 \). Then, \( \rho(t, \cdot) \ll L^1 \) for \( t > 0 \) and its density (still denoted by \( \rho \)) satisfies

\[
\rho \in L^2(0, T; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})), \quad \partial_x \rho \in L^1_{loc}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_r^s \int_K \left| \frac{\partial_x \rho(t, x)}{\rho(t, x)} \right|^2 \rho(t, x) \, dx \, dt < \infty
\]

for any \( T > 0 \), any \( 0 < r < s < \infty \) and any \( K \subset \mathbb{R} \).
Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. We shall need the following assumptions:

(H1) The initial distribution of mass satisfies $\rho^0 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$;
(H2) The initial velocity satisfies $v^0 \in L^p(\rho^0)$.

Theorem Nguyen & T.

If $2 \leq p < \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, then $(P)$–$(IC)$ admits a unique entropy solution $(\rho, v)$ provided that (H1) and (H2) hold. Moreover, $\rho(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$, $v(t, \cdot) \in L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))$ for every $t > 0$, and (i) $\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))} \leq \|v^0\|_{L^p(\rho^0)} + t\|a\|_{L^p(0,1)}$ for all $t > 0$. In particular, this $p$–energy is nonincreasing in time if $\alpha = \beta = 0$.

(ii) Assume $\lambda > 0$. Then, $\rho(t, \cdot) \ll L^1$ for $t > 0$ and its density (still denoted by $\rho$) satisfies

$$
\rho \in L^2(0, T; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})), \quad \partial_x \rho \in L^1_{loc}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_r^s \int_K \left| \frac{\partial_x \rho(t, x)}{\rho(t, x)} \right|^2 \rho(t, x) \, dx \, dt < \infty
$$

for any $T > 0$, any $0 < r < s < \infty$ and any $K \subset \mathbb{R}$. 
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Assumptions and main existence & uniqueness result

- Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. We shall need the following assumptions:
  
  (H1) The initial distribution of mass satisfies $\rho^0 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$;
  
  (H2) The initial velocity satisfies $v^0 \in L^p(\rho^0)$.

**Theorem Nguyen & T.**

If $2 \leq p < \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, then $(P)–(IC)$ admits a unique entropy solution $(\rho, v)$ provided that (H1) and (H2) hold. Moreover, $\rho(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$, $v(t, \cdot) \in L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))$ for every $t > 0$, and

(i) $\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))} \leq \|v^0\|_{L^p(\rho^0)} + t\|a\|_{L^p(0,1)}$ for all $t > 0$. In particular, this $p$–energy is nonincreasing in time if $\alpha = \beta = 0$.

(ii) Assume $\lambda > 0$. Then, $\rho(t, \cdot) \ll L^1$ for $t > 0$ and its density (still denoted by $\rho$) satisfies

$$\rho \in L^2(0, T; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})), \quad \partial_x \rho \in L^1_{loc}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\int_r^s \int_K \left| \frac{\partial_x \rho(t, x)}{\rho(t, x)} \right|^2 \rho(t, x) \, dx dt < \infty$$

for any $T > 0$, any $0 < r < s < \infty$ and any $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. 
Moreover,
\[ \| v(t, \cdot) \|_{L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))} = \| ta + V^0 \|_{L^p(0,1)} \text{ for all } t > 0. \]

In particular, the \( p \)-energy is conserved when \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \), i.e.,
\[ \| v(t, \cdot) \|_{L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))} = \| v^0 \|_{L^p(\rho^0)}. \]

(iii) \( \mathcal{W}_p(\rho(t, \cdot), \rho(s, \cdot)) \leq \left( \| v^0 \|_{L^p(\rho^0)} + \frac{t+s}{2} \| a \|_{L^p(0,1)} \right) |t-s| \text{ for all } t, s \geq 0. \)

(iv) As \( t \to 0^+ \), \( v(t, \cdot) \) converges strongly to \( v^0 \) in \( L^p \). More generally,
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x, v(t, x)) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x, v^0(x)) \rho^0(dx) \]
for all \( h \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \) with at most \( p \)-growth, i.e.,
\[ |h(x, y)| \leq A + B (|x|^p + |y|^p) \text{ for some } A, B \geq 0. \]
Moreover,
\[ \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))} = \|ta + V^0\|_{L^p(0,1)} \quad \text{for all} \quad t > 0. \]

In particular, the $p$-energy is conserved when $\alpha = \beta = 0$, i.e.,
\[ \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\rho(t, \cdot))} = \|v^0\|_{L^p(\rho^0)}. \]

(iii) \( \mathcal{W}_p(\rho(t, \cdot), \rho(s, \cdot)) \leq \left( \|v^0\|_{L^p(\rho^0)} + \frac{t + s}{2} \|a\|_{L^p(0,1)} \right) |t - s| \quad \text{for all} \quad t, s \geq 0. \)

(iv) As $t \to 0^+$, $v(t, \cdot)$ converges strongly to $v^0$ in $L^p$. More generally,
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x, v(t, x)) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x, v^0(x)) \rho^0(dx) \]

for all $h \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ with at most $p$–growth, i.e.,
\[ |h(x, y)| \leq A + B (|x|^p + |y|^p) \quad \text{for some} \quad A, B \geq 0. \]
Main stability result

Theorem Nguyen & T.

Assume that the conditions for existence $(H1)$ and $(H2)$ are satisfied. Let $(\rho_1, v_1)$ and $(\rho_2, v_2)$ be the entropy solutions for the system $(P)$ corresponding to the initial data $(\rho^0_1, v^0_1)$, $(\rho^0_2, v^0_2)$, and to the triplets of constants $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \lambda_1)$, $(\alpha_2, \beta_1, \lambda_2)$, respectively. We have:

(i) If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then, for every $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\rho_1(t, \cdot), \rho_2(t, \cdot)) \leq \mathcal{W}_p(\rho^0_1, \rho^0_2) + t\|v^0_1 \circ N^0_1 - v^0_2 \circ N^0_2\|_{L^p(0,1)} + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \mathcal{N}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2; p),$$

where $\mathcal{N}(a, b; p)$ denotes the $L^p(0, 1)$ norm of the function $x \mapsto ax + b$.

(ii) If $v^0_1 \circ N^0_1 \equiv v^0_2 \circ N^0_2$ Lebesgue a.e. in $(0, 1)$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2$, then, for every $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{W}_2^2(\rho_1(t, \cdot), \rho_2(t, \cdot)) \leq \mathcal{W}_2^2(\rho^0_1, \rho^0_2) + 2t|\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2}|^2.$$
**Main stability result**

**Theorem Nguyen & T.**

Assume that the conditions for existence \((H1)\) and \((H2)\) are satisfied. Let \((\rho_1, v_1)\) and \((\rho_2, v_2)\) be the entropy solutions for the system \((P)\) corresponding to the initial data \((\rho_0^1, v_0^1)\), \((\rho_0^2, v_0^2)\), and to the triplets of constants \((\alpha_1, \beta_1, \lambda_1)\), \((\alpha_2, \beta_1, \lambda_2)\), respectively. We have:

**(i)** If \(\lambda_1 = \lambda_2\), then, for every \(t \geq 0\),

\[
\mathcal{W}_p(\rho_1(t, \cdot), \rho_2(t, \cdot)) \leq \mathcal{W}_p(\rho_0^1, \rho_0^2) + t \|v_1^0 \circ N_1^0 - v_2^0 \circ N_2^0\|_{L^p(0,1)} + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \mathcal{N}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2; p),
\]

where \(\mathcal{N}(a, b; p)\) denotes the \(L^p(0,1)\) norm of the function \(x \mapsto ax + b\).

**(ii)** If \(v_1^0 \circ N_1^0 \equiv v_2^0 \circ N_2^0\) Lebesgue a.e. in \((0,1)\) and \(\alpha_1 = \alpha_2, \beta_1 = \beta_2\), then, for every \(t \geq 0\),

\[
\mathcal{W}^2_2(\rho_1(t, \cdot), \rho_2(t, \cdot)) \leq \mathcal{W}^2_2(\rho_0^1, \rho_0^2) + 2t |\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2}|^2.
\]
Theorem Nguyen & T. (continued)

(iii) In general,

$$W_2(\rho_1(t, \cdot), \rho_2(t, \cdot)) \leq W_2(\rho_1^0, \rho_2^0) + t\|v_1^0 \circ N_1^0 - v_2^0 \circ N_2^0\|_{L^2(0,1)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} t^2 N(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2; 2) + \sqrt{2}t|\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2}|.$$
Main stability result (continued)

**Theorem Nguyen & T. (continued)**

(iii) In general,

\[ W_2(\rho_1(t, \cdot), \rho_2(t, \cdot)) \leq W_2(\rho_0, \rho_0) + t\|v_1^0 \circ N_1^0 - v_2^0 \circ N_2^0\|_{L^2(0,1)} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \mathcal{N}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2; 2) + \sqrt{2t} \sqrt{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}. \]
An operator on the set of c.d.f.’s

For $h_1, h_2 > 0$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ (set of all c.d.f.’s), let

$$T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{M}\left(x - \sqrt{2\lambda h_1} y - h_1 f'(w) - h_2 g'(w), w\right) G(y) dw dy,$$

where $G$ is the standard Gaussian on $\mathbb{R}$ given by $G(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2}$ and $\tilde{M}: \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ given by $\tilde{M}(x, w) := 1_{\{M \geq w\}}(x)$.

To explain this choice, let us simplify to $\lambda = 0$ [Bolley, Brenier & Loeper (2005)]. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be some fixed initial profile with generalized inverse $X$. Let $X$ evolve, for $f, g \in W^{1,1}(0, 1)$, according to

$$X(w; h_1, h_2) := X(w) + h_1 f'(w) + h_2 g'(w)$$

for all $h_1, h_2 \geq 0$ and almost every $w \in (0, 1)$. Consider its repartition function

$$T(h_1, h_2)M(x) := X(\cdot; h_1, h_2) \# \chi((\infty, x]) = \chi(\{w \in (0, 1) : X(w; h_1, h_2) \leq x\}).$$
An iteration scheme for the entropy solution

Discretization for the CL

An operator on the set of c.d.f.’s

- For $h_1, h_2 > 0$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ (set of all c.d.f.’s), let

$$T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^1 \bar{M} \left( x - \sqrt{2\lambda h_1} \, y - h_1 f'(w) - h_2 g'(w), w \right) G(y) \, dw \, dy,$$

where $G$ is the standard Gaussian on $\mathbb{R}$ given by $G(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2}$ and

$$\bar{M} : \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \to \{0, 1\}$$

given by $\bar{M}(x, w) := 1_{\{M \geq w\}}(x)$. 

- To explain this choice, let us simplify to $\lambda = 0$ [Bolley, Brenier & Loeper (2005)]. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be some fixed initial profile with generalized inverse $X$. Let $X$ evolve, for $f, g \in W^{1,1}(0, 1)$, according to

$$X(w; h_1, h_2) := X(w) + h_1 f'(w) + h_2 g'(w)$$

for all $h_1, h_2 \geq 0$ and almost every $w \in (0, 1)$. Consider its repartition function

$$T(h_1, h_2)M(x) := X(\cdot; h_1, h_2) \# \chi((-\infty, x]) = \chi\{w \in (0, 1) : X(w; h_1, h_2) \leq x\}.$$
An operator on the set of c.d.f.’s

For $h_1, h_2 > 0$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ (set of all c.d.f.’s), let

$$T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{M}\left(x - \sqrt{2\lambda h_1} y - h_1 f'(w) - h_2 g'(w), w\right) G(y) dwdy,$$

where $G$ is the standard Gaussian on $\mathbb{R}$ given by $G(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2}$ and $\tilde{M} : \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \to \{0, 1\}$ given by $\tilde{M}(x, w) := 1_{\{M \geq w\}}(x)$.

To explain this choice, let us simplify to $\lambda = 0$ [Bolley, Brenier & Loeper (2005)]. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be some fixed initial profile with generalized inverse $X$. Let $X$ evolve, for $f, g \in W^{1,1}(0, 1)$, according to

$$X(w; h_1, h_2) := X(w) + h_1 f'(w) + h_2 g'(w)$$

for all $h_1, h_2 \geq 0$ and almost every $w \in (0, 1)$. Consider its repartition function

$$T(h_1, h_2)M(x) := X(\cdot; h_1, h_2) \# \chi((\infty, x]) = \chi(\{w \in (0, 1) : X(w; h_1, h_2) \leq x\}).$$
More on this operator

- Note that \( T(h_1, h_2) = T(0; h_1, h_2) \).
- So, in the case \( \lambda > 0 \), \( T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M \) is obtained by first mapping \( M \in \mathcal{M} \) to \( T(h_1, h_2)M \), and then letting \( T(h_1, h_2)M \) evolve along the heat flow on the time interval \([0, h_1]\). That is, for \( \lambda > 0 \), we have

\[
T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M := K_{\lambda h_1} \ast T(h_1, h_2)M,
\]

where \( K_h(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi h}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4h}} \).

\[
\mathcal{W}_p \left( [T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M_1]_x, [T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M_2]_x \right) \leq \mathcal{W}_p (M_1x, M_2x).
\]

\[
\mathcal{W}_2^2 \left( [T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M_1]_x, [T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M_2]_x \right) \leq \mathcal{W}_2^2 (M_1x, M_2x) + 2h_1|\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2}|^2.
\]
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$$T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M := K_{\lambda h_1} \ast T(h_1, h_2)M,$$
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Note that $T(h_1, h_2) = T(0; h_1, h_2)$.

So, in the case $\lambda > 0$, $T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)M$ is obtained by first mapping $M \in \mathcal{M}$ to $T(h_1, h_2)M$, and then letting $T(h_1, h_2)M$ evolve along the heat flow on the time interval $[0, h_1]$. That is, for $\lambda > 0$, we have
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Iterative scheme

- **Definition.** Let $h > 0$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$. For any $t \geq 0$ decomposed as $t = (N + s)h$ for a nonnegative integer $N \geq 0$ and some $0 \leq s < 1$, set

$$S_h M(t, \cdot) := (1 - s)T_h^N M + sT_h^{N+1} M$$

where $T_h^0 M := M$ and $T_h^{k+1} v := T(\lambda; h, (k + 0.5)h^2)T_h^k M$ for any nonnegative integer $k$.

**Theorem Nguyen & T.**

Under the assumptions $(H1)$, $(H2)$ and given any $T > 0$, $S_h$ inherits the contraction properties of $T(\lambda; h_1, h_2)$.

**Theorem Nguyen & T.**

Under the assumptions $(H1)$, $(H2)$ and given any $T > 0$, as $h$ goes to 0, the function $S_h M^0$ converges in $C([0, T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ to the unique entropy solution of $(CL)$ with initial datum $M^0$. 
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Thank you!