

Novikov-type conditions for processes with jumps

Martin Larsson

Swiss Finance Institute @ Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

joint with Johannes Ruf

Banff, May 2014

- **Introduction**
- **Two tools:** The Föllmer measure and reciprocals of stochastic exponentials
- **Some history:** Novikov ('72), Kazamaki ('77), Lépingle & Mémin ('78), Kallsen & Shiryaev ('02)
- **Two general criteria**

Novikov's and Kazamaki's criteria

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ stochastic basis, $Z > 0$ **continuous** local martingale
- ▶ Then there exists a continuous local martingale M such that

$$Z = \mathcal{E}(M) := \exp \left\{ M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle \right\}$$

Novikov's and Kazamaki's criteria

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ stochastic basis, $Z > 0$ **continuous** local martingale
- ▶ Then there exists a continuous local martingale M such that

$$Z = \mathcal{E}(M) := \exp \left\{ M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle \right\}$$

Question: Is $\mathcal{E}(M)$ a **u.i. martingale**? Or, $\exists \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ with $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{E}(M)_\infty$?

Novikov's and Kazamaki's criteria

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ stochastic basis, $Z > 0$ **continuous** local martingale
- ▶ Then there exists a continuous local martingale M such that

$$Z = \mathcal{E}(M) := \exp \left\{ M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle \right\}$$

Question: Is $\mathcal{E}(M)$ a **u.i. martingale**? Or, $\exists \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ with $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{E}(M)_\infty$?

Theorem (Novikov '72).

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\infty} \right] < \infty \quad \implies \quad \mathcal{E}(M) \text{ is a u.i. martingale.}$$

Theorem (Kazamaki '77). Assume M is a u.i. martingale. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} M_\infty} \right] < \infty \quad \implies \quad \mathcal{E}(M) \text{ is a u.i. martingale.}$$

Extension to the jump case

Setup:

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ stochastic basis, \mathbb{F} right-continuous, not necessarily \mathbb{P} -augmented
- ▶ \mathbb{F} is right-continuous modification of a standard system (w.l.o.g.)
- ▶ $Z \geq 0$ local martingale, $\tau_0 = \inf\{t : Z_t = 0\}$

Extension to the jump case

Setup:

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ stochastic basis, \mathbb{F} right-continuous, not necessarily \mathbb{P} -augmented
- ▶ \mathbb{F} is right-continuous modification of a standard system (w.l.o.g.)
- ▶ $Z \geq 0$ local martingale, $\tau_0 = \inf\{t : Z_t = 0\}$
- ▶ Assume Z does not jump to zero: $Z_{\tau_0-} = 0$ on $\{\tau_0 < \infty\}$. Then:
 - τ_0 is **foretellable**:

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t : Z_t \leq n^{-1}\} < \tau_0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \tau_0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Extension to the jump case

Setup:

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ stochastic basis, \mathbb{F} right-continuous, not necessarily \mathbb{P} -augmented
- ▶ \mathbb{F} is right-continuous modification of a standard system (w.l.o.g.)
- ▶ $Z \geq 0$ local martingale, $\tau_0 = \inf\{t : Z_t = 0\}$
- ▶ Assume Z does not jump to zero: $Z_{\tau_0-} = 0$ on $\{\tau_0 < \infty\}$. Then:
 - τ_0 is **foretellable**:

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t : Z_t \leq n^{-1}\} < \tau_0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \tau_0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- There exists a **local martingale M on $[[0, \tau_0[$** with $Z = \mathcal{E}(M)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(M) := \exp \left\{ M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle - (x - \log(1+x)) * \mu^M \right\} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_0[}$$

Extension to the jump case

Setup:

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ stochastic basis, \mathbb{F} right-continuous, not necessarily \mathbb{P} -augmented
- ▶ \mathbb{F} is right-continuous modification of a standard system (w.l.o.g.)
- ▶ $Z \geq 0$ local martingale, $\tau_0 = \inf\{t : Z_t = 0\}$
- ▶ Assume Z does not jump to zero: $Z_{\tau_0-} = 0$ on $\{\tau_0 < \infty\}$. Then:

- τ_0 is **foretellable**:

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t : Z_t \leq n^{-1}\} < \tau_0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \tau_0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- There exists a **local martingale M on $[[0, \tau_0[$** with $Z = \mathcal{E}(M)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(M) := \exp \left\{ M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle - (x - \log(1+x)) * \mu^M \right\} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_0[}$$

- ▶ Notation: For càdlàg adapted X , μ^X is its jump measure, ν^X the predictable compensator.

Extension to the jump case

Goal: Novikov-Kazamaki conditions on M such that Z is u.i. martingale.

Extension to the jump case

Goal: Novikov-Kazamaki conditions on M such that Z is u.i. martingale.

- ▶ Classical problem: Grigelionis ('71), Novikov ('72, '75), Kazamaki ('77), Lépingle & Mémin ('78a,b), Kabanov et al. ('79, '80), Okada ('82), Yan ('82), Kramkov & Shiryaev ('98), Kallsen & Shiryaev ('02), Ruf ('13), Sokol ('13), etc.

Extension to the jump case

Goal: Novikov-Kazamaki conditions on M such that Z is u.i. martingale.

- ▶ Classical problem: Grigelionis ('71), Novikov ('72, '75), Kazamaki ('77), Lépingle & Mémin ('78a,b), Kabanov et al. ('79, '80), Okada ('82), Yan ('82), Kramkov & Shiryaev ('98), Kallsen & Shiryaev ('02), Ruf ('13), Sokol ('13), etc.
- ▶ We obtain transparent proofs of (most of) these results . . .

Extension to the jump case

Goal: Novikov-Kazamaki conditions on M such that Z is u.i. martingale.

- ▶ Classical problem: Grigelionis ('71), Novikov ('72, '75), Kazamaki ('77), Lépingle & Mémin ('78a,b), Kabanov et al. ('79, '80), Okada ('82), Yan ('82), Kramkov & Shiryaev ('98), Kallsen & Shiryaev ('02), Ruf ('13), Sokol ('13), etc.
- ▶ We obtain transparent proofs of (most of) these results . . .
- ▶ . . . as well as generalizations, including **necessary and sufficient** conditions

Extension to the jump case

Goal: Novikov-Kazamaki conditions on M such that Z is u.i. martingale.

- ▶ Classical problem: Grigelionis ('71), Novikov ('72, '75), Kazamaki ('77), Lépingle & Mémin ('78a,b), Kabanov et al. ('79, '80), Okada ('82), Yan ('82), Kramkov & Shiryaev ('98), Kallsen & Shiryaev ('02), Ruf ('13), Sokol ('13), etc.
- ▶ We obtain transparent proofs of (most of) these results . . .
- ▶ . . . as well as generalizations, including **necessary and sufficient** conditions
- ▶ Key idea: change measure without uniform integrability, then apply non-explosion criteria

The Föllmer measure

- ▶ Even if Z is not a (u.i.) martingale, it can still be used to change probability measure

The Föllmer measure

- ▶ Even if Z is not a (u.i.) martingale, it can still be used to change probability measure
- ▶ Define $\tau_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf\{t : Z_t \geq n\}$ — explosion time of Z

The Föllmer measure

- ▶ Even if Z is not a (u.i.) martingale, it can still be used to change probability measure
- ▶ Define $\tau_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf\{t : Z_t \geq n\}$ — **explosion time of Z**

Theorem (*) (Föllmer '72, Meyer '72, etc.). There exists a probability measure \mathbb{Q} on \mathcal{F} , unique on $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_\infty-}$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [X \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_\infty\}}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} [X Z_\sigma]$$

for any bounded stopping time σ and \mathcal{F}_σ -measurable $X \geq 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \sim \mathbb{Q} &\iff Z \text{ is a } \mathbb{P}\text{-u.i. martingale} \\ &\iff \mathbb{Q}\left(\sup_{t < \tau_\infty} Z_t < \infty\right) = 1 \text{ (in particular, } \tau_\infty = \infty \text{ } \mathbb{Q}\text{-a.s.)} \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\frac{1}{Z} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_\infty[}$ is a nonnegative local \mathbb{Q} -martingale

Proof of Novikov's criterion

Theorem (Novikov '72). Assume M is continuous and $\tau_0 = \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.
Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\infty} \right] < \infty \quad \implies \quad Z = \mathcal{E}(M) \text{ is a u.i. martingale.}$$

Proof of Novikov's criterion

Theorem (Novikov '72). Assume M is continuous and $\tau_0 = \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.
Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\infty} \right] < \infty \quad \Longrightarrow \quad Z = \mathcal{E}(M) \text{ is a u.i. martingale.}$$

Proof:

► Write

$$\frac{1}{Z} = e^{-M + \langle M, M \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle} = \mathcal{E}(N),$$

where $N := -M + \langle M, M \rangle$ is a local \mathbb{Q} -martingale on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$.

Proof of Novikov's criterion

Theorem (Novikov '72). Assume M is continuous and $\tau_0 = \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.
Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\infty} \right] < \infty \quad \Longrightarrow \quad Z = \mathcal{E}(M) \text{ is a u.i. martingale.}$$

Proof:

- ▶ Write

$$\frac{1}{Z} = e^{-M + \langle M, M \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle} = \mathcal{E}(N),$$

where $N := -M + \langle M, M \rangle$ is a local \mathbb{Q} -martingale on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$.

- ▶ $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{M_\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} e^{-M_\sigma + \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{N_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_\infty\}} \right]$

Proof of Novikov's criterion

Theorem (Novikov '72). Assume M is continuous and $\tau_0 = \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.
Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\infty} \right] < \infty \quad \Longrightarrow \quad Z = \mathcal{E}(M) \text{ is a u.i. martingale.}$$

Proof:

- ▶ Write

$$\frac{1}{Z} = e^{-M + \langle M, M \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle} = \mathcal{E}(N),$$

where $N := -M + \langle M, M \rangle$ is a local \mathbb{Q} -martingale on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$.

- ▶ $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{M_\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} e^{-M_\sigma + \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{N_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_\infty\}} \right]$
- ▶ Novikov's criterion forces $\limsup_{t \uparrow \tau_\infty} N_t < \infty$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s.

Proof of Novikov's criterion

Theorem (Novikov '72). Assume M is continuous and $\tau_0 = \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.
Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\infty} \right] < \infty \quad \implies \quad Z = \mathcal{E}(M) \text{ is a u.i. martingale.}$$

Proof:

- ▶ Write

$$\frac{1}{Z} = e^{-M + \langle M, M \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle} = \mathcal{E}(N),$$

where $N := -M + \langle M, M \rangle$ is a local \mathbb{Q} -martingale on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$.

- ▶ $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{M_\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} e^{-M_\sigma + \langle M, M \rangle_\sigma} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{N_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_\infty\}} \right]$
- ▶ Novikov's criterion forces $\limsup_{t \uparrow \tau_\infty} N_t < \infty$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s.
- ▶ Hence $\tau_\infty = \infty$ and $\mathcal{E}(N)_\infty > 0$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s., so we are done by Theorem (*). □

The reciprocal of a stochastic exponential

- ▶ A key step in the proof of Novikov's criterion was to write $1/Z = \mathcal{E}(N)$ for some local \mathbb{Q} -martingale N on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$.

The reciprocal of a stochastic exponential

- ▶ A key step in the proof of Novikov's criterion was to write $1/Z = \mathcal{E}(N)$ for some local \mathbb{Q} -martingale N on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$.
- ▶ Consider the involution

$$\phi : (-1, \infty) \rightarrow (-1, \infty), \quad \phi(x) = -\frac{x}{1+x}$$

The reciprocal of a stochastic exponential

- ▶ A key step in the proof of Novikov's criterion was to write $1/Z = \mathcal{E}(N)$ for some local \mathbb{Q} -martingale N on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \rrbracket$.
- ▶ Consider the involution

$$\phi : (-1, \infty) \rightarrow (-1, \infty), \quad \phi(x) = -\frac{x}{1+x}$$

Lemma. Define a semimartingale N on $\llbracket 0, \tau_0 \rrbracket$ by

$$N = -M + \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \frac{x^2}{1+x} * \mu^M.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \llbracket 0, \tau_0 \rrbracket.$$

Also, $\Delta N = \phi(\Delta M)$. Hence for any predictable function $W \geq 0$,

$$W * \mu^M = (W \circ \phi) * \mu^N$$

where $(W \circ \phi)(\omega, t, x) := W(\omega, t, \phi(x))$. The same formula holds with ν^M, ν^N instead of μ^M, μ^N .

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Theorem (Lépingle & Mémin '78a). Assume $\tau_0 = \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. Define

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \left(\log(1+x) - \frac{x}{1+x} \right) * \mu^M$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + ((1+x) \log(1+x) - x) * \nu^M.$$

If either $\mathbb{E} [e^{A_\infty}] < \infty$ or $\mathbb{E} [e^{B_\infty}] < \infty$ holds, then $Z = \mathcal{E}^e(M)$ is a u.i. martingale.

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving A):

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \left(\log(1+x) - \frac{x}{1+x} \right) * \mu^M \\ &= \left[M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle - (x - \log(1+x)) * \mu^M \right] + \left[-M + \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \frac{x^2}{1+x} * \mu^M \right] \\ &= \log Z + N. \end{aligned}$$

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving A):

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \left(\log(1+x) - \frac{x}{1+x} \right) * \mu^M \\ &= \left[M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle - (x - \log(1+x)) * \mu^M \right] + \left[-M + \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \frac{x^2}{1+x} * \mu^M \right] \\ &= \log Z + N.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{E} [e^{A_\sigma}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [e^{N_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_\infty\}}]$.

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving A):

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \left(\log(1+x) - \frac{x}{1+x} \right) * \mu^M \\&= \left[M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle - (x - \log(1+x)) * \mu^M \right] + \left[-M + \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \frac{x^2}{1+x} * \mu^M \right] \\&= \log Z + N.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{E} [e^{A_\sigma}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [e^{N_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_\infty\}}]$.

Lemma. Let X be a local martingale on a stochastic interval $\llbracket 0, \tau \llbracket$. If

$$\sup_{\sigma} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{X_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\}} \right] < \infty$$

then $\lim_{t \uparrow \tau} X_t$ exists in \mathbb{R} , almost surely.

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving A):

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \left(\log(1+x) - \frac{x}{1+x} \right) * \mu^M \\ &= \left[M - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle - (x - \log(1+x)) * \mu^M \right] + \left[-M + \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \frac{x^2}{1+x} * \mu^M \right] \\ &= \log Z + N.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{E} [e^{A_\sigma}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [e^{N_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_\infty\}}]$.

Lemma. Let X be a local martingale on a stochastic interval $\llbracket 0, \tau \llbracket$. If

$$\sup_{\sigma} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{X_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\}} \right] < \infty$$

then $\lim_{t \uparrow \tau} X_t$ exists in \mathbb{R} , almost surely.

Hence N converges, so $\tau_\infty = \infty$ and $\mathcal{E}(N)_\infty > 0$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s. □

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving B):

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + ((1+x) \log(1+x) - x) * \nu^M \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + ((1+\phi(y)) \log(1+\phi(y)) - \phi(y)) * \nu^N \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1+y)) * \frac{\nu^N}{1+y}. \end{aligned}$$

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving B):

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + ((1+x) \log(1+x) - x) * \nu^M \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + ((1+\phi(y)) \log(1+\phi(y)) - \phi(y)) * \nu^N \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1+y)) * \frac{\nu^N}{1+y}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma. For any predictable function $W \geq 0$ and any bounded stopping time $\sigma < \tau$ such that $Z^\sigma = \mathcal{E}(M)^\sigma$ is a martingale,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{E}(M)_\sigma (W * \mu^N)_\sigma \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{E}(M)_\sigma \left(W * \frac{\nu_\sigma^N}{1+y} \right)_\sigma \right].$$

In particular, $\nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}} := (1+y)^{-1} \nu^N$ is the compensator of μ^N under \mathbb{Q} .

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving B):

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + ((1+x) \log(1+x) - x) * \nu^M \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + ((1+\phi(y)) \log(1+\phi(y)) - \phi(y)) * \nu^N \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1+y)) * \frac{\nu^N}{1+y}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma. For any predictable function $W \geq 0$ and any bounded stopping time $\sigma < \tau$ such that $Z^\sigma = \mathcal{E}(M)^\sigma$ is a martingale,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{E}(M)_\sigma (W * \mu^N)_\sigma \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{E}(M)_\sigma \left(W * \frac{\nu_\sigma^N}{1+y} \right)_\sigma \right].$$

In particular, $\nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}} := (1+y)^{-1} \nu^N$ is the compensator of μ^N under \mathbb{Q} .

Hence $B = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1+y)) * \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}}$.

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving B , cont'd):

► From previous slide:

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1 + y)) * \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}}$$

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving B , cont'd):

- ▶ From previous slide:

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1 + y)) * \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}}$$

- ▶ Thus, on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$,

$$\mathcal{E}(N) = e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})} - B$$

or equivalently,

$$e^B = \mathcal{E}(M) e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})}$$

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving B , cont'd):

- ▶ From previous slide:

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1+y)) * \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}}$$

- ▶ Thus, on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$,

$$\mathcal{E}(N) = e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})} - B$$

or equivalently,

$$e^B = \mathcal{E}(M) e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})}$$

- ▶ Hence

$$\sup_{\sigma} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{N_{\sigma}^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})_{\sigma}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_{\infty}\}} \right] < \infty \quad (*)$$

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving B , cont'd):

- ▶ From previous slide:

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1+y)) * \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}}$$

- ▶ Thus, on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$,

$$\mathcal{E}(N) = e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})} - B$$

or equivalently,

$$e^B = \mathcal{E}(M) e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})}$$

- ▶ Hence

$$\sup_{\sigma} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{N_{\sigma}^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})_{\sigma}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_{\infty}\}} \right] < \infty \quad (*)$$

Theorem. Condition (*) implies that N converges \mathbb{Q} -a.s.

Two criteria by Lépingle and Mémin

Proof (criterion involving B , cont'd):

- ▶ From previous slide:

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + (y - \log(1+y)) * \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}}$$

- ▶ Thus, on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$,

$$\mathcal{E}(N) = e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})} - B$$

or equivalently,

$$e^B = \mathcal{E}(M) e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})}$$

- ▶ Hence

$$\sup_{\sigma} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{N_{\sigma}^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})_{\sigma}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_{\infty}\}} \right] < \infty \quad (*)$$

Theorem. Condition (*) implies that N converges \mathbb{Q} -a.s.

Consequently, $\tau_{\infty} = \infty$ and $\mathcal{E}(N)_{\infty} > 0$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s.



A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

- ▶ X a semimartingale (one-dimensional for simplicity)

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

- ▶ X a semimartingale (one-dimensional for simplicity)
- ▶ $\theta \in L(X)$ such that $e^{\theta \cdot X}$ is a special semimartingale

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

- ▶ X a semimartingale (one-dimensional for simplicity)
- ▶ $\theta \in L(X)$ such that $e^{\theta \cdot X}$ is a special semimartingale
- ▶ The **modified Laplace cumulant process** $K^X(\theta)$ is the unique predictable FV process null at zero such that

$e^{\theta \cdot X - K^X(\theta)}$ is a local martingale.

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

- ▶ X a semimartingale (one-dimensional for simplicity)
- ▶ $\theta \in L(X)$ such that $e^{\theta \cdot X}$ is a special semimartingale
- ▶ The **modified Laplace cumulant process** $K^X(\theta)$ is the unique predictable FV process null at zero such that

$$e^{\theta \cdot X - K^X(\theta)} \text{ is a local martingale.}$$

- ▶ If this is even a martingale, then $e^{K^X(\theta)_t} = \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta \cdot X_t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}]$. Hence $K^X(\theta)$ is a “conditional cumulant generating function”.

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

- ▶ X a semimartingale (one-dimensional for simplicity)
- ▶ $\theta \in L(X)$ such that $e^{\theta \cdot X}$ is a special semimartingale
- ▶ The **modified Laplace cumulant process** $K^X(\theta)$ is the unique predictable FV process null at zero such that

$$e^{\theta \cdot X - K^X(\theta)} \text{ is a local martingale.}$$

- ▶ If this is even a martingale, then $e^{K^X(\theta)_t} = \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta \cdot X_t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}]$. Hence $K^X(\theta)$ is a “conditional cumulant generating function”.
- ▶ The **derivative process** $DK^X(\theta)$ can always be defined. It can sometimes be interpreted as “ $\frac{d}{d\theta} K^X(\theta)$ ”.

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

- ▶ X a semimartingale (one-dimensional for simplicity)
- ▶ $\theta \in L(X)$ such that $e^{\theta \cdot X}$ is a special semimartingale
- ▶ The **modified Laplace cumulant process** $K^X(\theta)$ is the unique predictable FV process null at zero such that

$$e^{\theta \cdot X - K^X(\theta)} \text{ is a local martingale.}$$

- ▶ If this is even a martingale, then $e^{K^X(\theta)_t} = \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta \cdot X_t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}]$. Hence $K^X(\theta)$ is a “conditional cumulant generating function”.
- ▶ The **derivative process** $DK^X(\theta)$ can always be defined. It can sometimes be interpreted as “ $\frac{d}{d\theta} K^X(\theta)$ ”.

Theorem (Kallsen & Shiryaev '02). Assume X is quasi-left continuous and $|xe^x - x\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}| * \nu^X$ is a finite-valued process. If

$$\sup_{\sigma} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{DK^X(1)_{\sigma} - K^X(1)_{\sigma}} \right] < \infty$$

then $Z = e^{X - K^X(1)}$ is a u.i. martingale.

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

Proof:

- ▶ Let M be a local martingale with $\Delta M > 1$ such that

$$Z = e^{X - K^X(1)} = \mathcal{E}(M)$$

- ▶ Define N as before: $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) = 1$

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

Proof:

- ▶ Let M be a local martingale with $\Delta M > 1$ such that

$$Z = e^{X - K^X(1)} = \mathcal{E}(M)$$

- ▶ Define N as before: $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) = 1$

Lemma. Assume X is quasi-left continuous. Then

$$DK^X(1) - X = N^c + \log(1 + y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N, \mathbb{Q}})$$

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

Proof:

- ▶ Let M be a local martingale with $\Delta M > 1$ such that

$$Z = e^{X - K^X(1)} = \mathcal{E}(M)$$

- ▶ Define N as before: $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) = 1$

Lemma. Assume X is quasi-left continuous. Then

$$DK^X(1) - X = N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N,\mathbb{Q}})$$

Hence on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \rrbracket$,

$$e^{DK^X(1) - K^X(1)} = e^{X - K^X(1)} e^{DK^X(1) - X} = Z e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N,\mathbb{Q}})}$$

A criterion by Kallsen and Shiryaev

Proof:

- ▶ Let M be a local martingale with $\Delta M > 1$ such that

$$Z = e^{X - K^X(1)} = \mathcal{E}(M)$$

- ▶ Define N as before: $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) = 1$

Lemma. Assume X is quasi-left continuous. Then

$$DK^X(1) - X = N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N,\mathbb{Q}})$$

Hence on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \rrbracket$,

$$e^{DK^X(1) - K^X(1)} = e^{X - K^X(1)} e^{DK^X(1) - X} = Z e^{N^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N,\mathbb{Q}})}$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{DK^X(1)_\sigma - K^X(1)_\sigma} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{N_\sigma^c + \log(1+y) * (\mu^N - \nu^{N,\mathbb{Q}})_\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_\infty\}} \right]$$

The result now follows as before.



Two general criteria

- ▶ The following concept plays a key role for convergence under \mathbb{Q} :
A process U on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$ is **extended locally integrable** (under \mathbb{Q}) if there exist stopping times ρ_m such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}(\rho_m = \tau_\infty) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t < \tau_\infty} |U_t^{\rho_m}| \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall m$$

Two general criteria

- ▶ The following concept plays a key role for convergence under \mathbb{Q} :
A process U on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$ is **extended locally integrable** (under \mathbb{Q}) if there exist stopping times ρ_m such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}(\rho_m = \tau_\infty) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t < \tau_\infty} |U_t^{\rho_m}| \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall m$$

- ▶ How can this property be characterized under \mathbb{P} ?

Two general criteria

- ▶ The following concept plays a key role for convergence under \mathbb{Q} :
A process U on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$ is **extended locally integrable** (under \mathbb{Q}) if there exist stopping times ρ_m such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}(\rho_m = \tau_\infty) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t < \tau_\infty} |U_t^{\rho_m}| \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall m$$

- ▶ How can this property be characterized under \mathbb{P} ?
- ▶ Define $\tau_n^+ = \inf\{t : Z_t \geq n\}$

Two general criteria

- ▶ The following concept plays a key role for convergence under \mathbb{Q} :
A process U on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$ is **extended locally integrable** (under \mathbb{Q}) if there exist stopping times ρ_m such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}(\rho_m = \tau_\infty) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t < \tau_\infty} |U_t^{\rho_m}| \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall m$$

- ▶ How can this property be characterized under \mathbb{P} ?
- ▶ Define $\tau_n^+ = \inf\{t : Z_t \geq n\}$

Lemma. Let U be a nondecreasing càdlàg adapted process on $\llbracket 0, \tau_\infty \llbracket$, null at zero. Then U is extended locally integrable under \mathbb{Q} if and only if there exists a nondecreasing sequence (ρ_m) of stopping times such that

- (i) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\rho_m < \infty\}} Z_{\rho_m}] = 0$
- (ii) $\sup_n \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[U_{\tau_n^+ \wedge \rho_m} Z_{\tau_n^+ \wedge \rho_m}] < \infty$ for all m .

The set of U satisfying (i) and (ii) is denoted $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}, Z}^+$

Two general criteria

Theorem. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define on $\llbracket 0, \tau_0 \llbracket$ a process

$$A^a = aM + \left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right) \langle M^c, M^c \rangle + \left(\log(1+x) - \frac{ax^2 + x}{1+x}\right) * \mu^M$$

The following are equivalent:

- (i) $Z = \mathcal{E}(M)$ is a u.i. martingale
- (ii) For some $a \neq 1$ and some $U \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}, Z}^+$,

$$\sup_{\sigma} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{A_{\sigma}^a - U_{\sigma}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_0\}} \right] < \infty$$

- ▶ With $U = 0$ we improve results by Lépingle & Mémin ('78b)

Two general criteria

Theorem. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define on $\llbracket 0, \tau_0 \llbracket$,

$$B^a = aM + \left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right) \langle M^c, M^c \rangle - a(x - \log(1+x)) * \mu^M \\ + (1-a)((1+x)\log(1+x) - x) * \nu^M$$

The following are equivalent:

- (i) $Z = \mathcal{E}(M)$ is a u.i. martingale and for some constant $\kappa > 0$, $((1+x)\log(1+x)\mathbf{1}_{x>\kappa}) * \nu^M \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}, Z}^+$
- (ii) $(1+x)^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{x<-\varepsilon} * \nu^M \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}, Z}^+$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and for some $a \neq 1$ and some $U \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}, Z}^+$,

$$\sup_{\sigma} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{B_{\sigma}^a - U_{\sigma}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau_0\}} \right] < \infty$$

Conclusion

- ▶ Transparent proofs of many known Novikov-Kazamaki type conditions
- ▶ Idea: Combine the Föllmer measure with non-explosion criteria for local martingales
- ▶ Extensions of many known criteria ...
- ▶ ... including necessary and sufficient conditions